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# Translation surfaces of finite type in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$ 

Bendehiba Senoussi, Hassan Al-Zoubi


#### Abstract

In the homogeneous space $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$, a translation surface is parametrized by $r(s, t)=\gamma_{1}(s) * \gamma_{2}(t)$, where $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are curves contained in coordinate planes.

In this article, we study translation invariant surfaces in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$, which has finite type immersion.
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## Introduction

A Euclidean submanifold is said to be of finite Chen-type if its coordinate functions are a finite sum of eigenfunctions of its Laplacian, see [3]. B.-Y. Chen posed the problem of classifying the finite type surfaces in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. Further, the notion of finite type can be extended to any smooth function on a submanifold of a Euclidean space or a pseudo-Euclidean space.

Let $M^{2}$ be a 2-dimensional surface of the Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. If we denote by $r, H$ and $\Delta$ the position vector field, the mean curvature vector field and the Laplace operator of $M^{2}$, respectively, then it is well-known, see [3], that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta r=-2 H \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A well-known result due to T. Takahashi in [9] states that minimal surfaces and spheres are the only surfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ satisfying the condition $\Delta r=\lambda r$ for a real constant $\lambda$. Equation (1) shows that $M^{2}$ is a minimal surface of $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ if and only if its coordinate functions are harmonic. In [2], M. Bekkar and B. Senoussi studied the translation surfaces in the 3-dimensional Euclidean and Lorentz-Minkowski space under the condition $\Delta^{I I I} r_{i}=\mu_{i} r_{i}, \mu_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\Delta^{I I I}$ denotes the Laplacian of the surface with respect to the third fundamental form $I I I$.

In [11], D. W. Yoon studied translation surfaces in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\Delta x=A x+B
$$

where $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(3, \mathbb{R})$ is a $3 \times 3$ real matrix and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. In [1], H. Al-Zoubi, S. Stamatakis, W. Al-Mashaleh and M. Awadallah studied the translation surfaces of coordinate finite type.

The main purpose of this paper is to complete classification of translation surfaces in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$ in terms of the position vector field and the Laplacian operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta r_{i}=\lambda_{i} r_{i}, \quad \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2,3 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ are the components of $r$ and $\Delta r=\left(\Delta r_{1}, \Delta r_{2}, \Delta r_{3}\right)$.
As a result, we are to complete [11] classification of translation surfaces in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$ satisfying the condition (2).

## 1. Preliminaries

The space $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$ is the space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ equipped with the metric

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{z} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{-z} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{2}+(\mathrm{d} z)^{2}
$$

where $(x, y, z)$ are usual coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, see for instance [8].
The space $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$ is a Lie group with the multiplication

$$
(x, y, z) *\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)=\left(x+\mathrm{e}^{-z} x^{\prime}, y+\mathrm{e}^{z} y^{\prime}, z+z^{\prime}\right)
$$

where ' $*$ ' denotes the group operation of $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. A left-invariant orthonormal frame $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$ in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$ is given by

$$
E_{1}=\mathrm{e}^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad E_{2}=\mathrm{e}^{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad E_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}
$$

Proposition 1.1 ([10]). The Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ of $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$ with respect to this frame is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{c}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{1}} E_{1} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{1}} E_{2} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{1}} E_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
E_{1} \\
E_{2} \\
E_{3}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{c}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{2}} E_{1} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{2}} E_{2} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{2}} E_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
E_{1} \\
E_{2} \\
E_{3}
\end{array}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{3}} E_{1} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{3}} E_{2} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{3}} E_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
E_{1} \\
E_{2} \\
E_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The immersion $\left(M^{2}, r\right)$ is said to be of finite Chen-type $k$, if the position vector $r$ of $M^{2}$ can be written as a finite sum of nonconstant eigenvectors of the Laplacian $\Delta$, that is, if

$$
r=y_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}
$$

where $\Delta y_{i}=\lambda_{i} y_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, k, y_{0}$ is a fixed vector and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$ are eigenvalues of $\Delta$. In particular, if all eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$ are mutually distinct, then $M^{2}$ is said to be of finite type $k$. However, if $\lambda_{i}=0$ for some $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, then $M^{2}$ is said to be of finite null type $k$. Otherwise $M^{2}$ is said to be of infinite type.

For the matrix $G=\left(g_{i j}\right)$ consisting of the components of the induced metric on $M^{2}$, we denote by $G^{-1}=\left(g^{i j}\right)$ (or $D=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}\right)$ ) the inverse matrix (the determinant, respectively) of the matrix $\left(g_{i j}\right)$. The Laplacian $\Delta$ on $M^{2}$ is, in turn, given by [11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{-1}{\sqrt{D}} \sum_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\sqrt{D} g^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Translation surfaces in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$

A surface $M^{2}$ in the Euclidean 3 -space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ is called minimal when locally each point on the surface has a neighborhood which is the surface of least area with respect to its boundary. In 1775 , J. B. Meusnier showed that the condition of minimality of a surface in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ is equivalent with the vanishing of its mean curvature function, $H=0$.

In 1835, H. F. Scherk studied translation surfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ and proved that, besides the planes, the only minimal translation surfaces are given by

$$
z(x, y)=\frac{1}{a} \log |\cos (a x)|-\frac{1}{a} \log |\cos (a y)|,
$$

where $a$ is a nonzero constant. The minimal translation surfaces were generalized to minimal translation hypersurfaces by F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and G. Zafindratafa in [4].
R. López and M.I. Munteanu constructed translation surfaces in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$ and investigated properties of minimal ones in [7]. In [6], the authors defined and classified two types of constant angle surfaces in the homogeneous 3-manifold $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$.

In [5] J. Inoguchi, R. López and M. I. Munteanu defined translation surfaces in the 3 -dimensional Heisenberg group $H_{3}$ in terms of a pair of two planar curves lying in orthogonal planes. D. W. Yoon, C. W. Lee and M. K. Karacan in [12] considered translation surfaces in $H_{3}$ generated as product of two planar curves lying in planes, which are not orthogonal, and the authors classified such minimal translation surfaces.

In the space $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$, a translation surface is parameterized by $r(s, t)=\gamma_{1}(s) * \gamma_{2}(t)$, where $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are curves contained in coordinate planes and ' $*$ ' denotes the group operation of $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$.

Definition 2.1 ([7]). A translation surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ in Sol $_{3}$ is a surface parametrized by $r(s, t)=\gamma_{1}(s) * \gamma_{2}(t)$, where $\gamma_{1}: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sol}_{3}, \gamma_{2}: J \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ Sol $_{3}$ are curves in two coordinate planes of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

We distinguish six types of translation surfaces in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$.
2.1 Translation surfaces of type $I$ and type $I V$. Let the curves $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ be given by $\gamma_{1}(s)=(s, f(s), 0)$ and $\gamma_{2}(t)=(t, 0, g(t))$. We have two translation surfaces $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $M\left(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ parametrized by, respectively,

$$
r(s, t)=\gamma_{1}(s) * \gamma_{2}(t)=(s+t, f(s), g(t))
$$

and

$$
r(s, t)=\gamma_{2}(t) * \gamma_{1}(s)=\left(s \mathrm{e}^{-g(t)}+t, f(s) \mathrm{e}^{g(t)}, g(t)\right)
$$

which are called the translation surfaces of type $I$ and $I V$.
2.2 Translation surfaces of type $I I$ and type $V$. Let the curves $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ be given by $\gamma_{1}(s)=(s, f(s), 0)$ and $\gamma_{2}(t)=(0, t, g(t))$. We have two translation surfaces $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $M\left(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ parametrized by, respectively,

$$
r(s, t)=\gamma_{1}(s) * \gamma_{2}(t)=(s, t+f(s), g(t))
$$

and

$$
r(s, t)=\gamma_{2}(t) * \gamma_{1}(s)=\left(s \mathrm{e}^{-g(t)}, t+f(s) \mathrm{e}^{g(t)}, g(t)\right)
$$

which are called the translation surfaces of type $I I$ and $V$.
2.3 Translation surfaces of type $I I I$ and type $V I$. Let the curves $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ be given by $\gamma_{1}(s)=(s, 0, f(s))$ and $\gamma_{2}(t)=(0, t, g(t))$. We have two translation surfaces $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ and $M\left(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ parametrized by, respectively,

$$
r(s, t)=\gamma_{1}(s) * \gamma_{2}(t)=\left(s, t \mathrm{e}^{f(s)}, f(s)+g(t)\right)
$$

and

$$
r(s, t)=\gamma_{2}(t) * \gamma_{1}(s)=\left(s \mathrm{e}^{-g(t)}, t, f(s)+g(t)\right)
$$

which are called the translation surfaces of type III and $V I$.
3. Translation surfaces in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$ satisfying $\Delta r_{i}=\lambda_{i} r_{i}$
3.1 Translation surfaces of type $I I$. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type $I I$ in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(s, t)=\gamma_{1}(s) * \gamma_{2}(t)=(s, t+f(s), g(t)) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the natural frame $\left\{\frac{\partial r}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial r}{\partial t}\right\}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial r}{\partial s}=r_{s}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+f^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}=\mathrm{e}^{g} E_{1}+f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2} \\
& \frac{\partial r}{\partial t}=r_{t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}+g^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}=\mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}+g^{\prime} E_{3} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{N}$ be a unit normal vector of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$. Then it is defined by

$$
\mathbf{N}=\frac{r_{s} \times r_{t}}{\left\|r_{s} \times r_{t}\right\|}
$$

and hence we get

$$
\mathbf{N}=\left(\frac{f^{\prime} g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g}}{W}\right) E_{1}-\left(\frac{g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{g}}{W}\right) E_{2}+\left(\frac{1}{W}\right) E_{3}
$$

where $W=\sqrt{\left\|r_{s} \times r_{t}\right\|}=\sqrt{g^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{2 g}+f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}+1}$.
The first fundamental form $I$ of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is defined by

$$
I=E \mathrm{~d} s^{2}+2 F \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} t+G \mathrm{~d} t^{2}
$$

where

$$
E=\left\langle r_{s}, r_{s}\right\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{2 g}+f^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}, \quad F=\left\langle r_{s}, r_{t}\right\rangle=f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}, \quad G=\left\langle r_{t}, r_{t}\right\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-2 g}+g^{\prime 2},
$$ and $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the standard scalar product in \mathbb{E}^{3}$.

To compute the second fundamental form of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$, we have to calculate the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{s s} & =\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{s}=f^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}+\left(f^{\prime 2}-1\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 g} E_{3}, \\
r_{s t} & =\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{t}=\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{t}} r_{s}=g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{g} E_{1}-f^{\prime} g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}+f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g} E_{3},  \tag{7}\\
r_{t t} & =\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{t}} r_{t}=-2 g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 g}+g^{\prime \prime}\right) E_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

which imply the coefficients of the second fundamental form of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ are given by

$$
L=\left\langle\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{s}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle=\frac{-1}{W}\left(f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}-f^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}+\mathrm{e}^{2 g}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\left\langle\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{t}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle \\
& N=\left\langle\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{s}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{W}\left(2 f^{\prime} g^{\prime 2}+f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}\right) \\
&\left(g^{\prime \prime}+2 g^{\prime 2}+\mathrm{e}^{-2 g}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A surface is minimal if its mean curvature, computed by the formula

$$
H=\frac{E N-2 F M+G L}{2 W^{3}}
$$

vanishes identically. Thus, the mean curvature of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{-f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 3}-\left(f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}+f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime 2}-f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}+\left(g^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 g}}{2 W^{3}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is a minimal surface if and only if

$$
-f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 3}-\left(f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}+f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime 2}-f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}+\left(g^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 g}=0
$$

By (4), the Laplacian operator $\Delta$ of $r$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta r & =\frac{-1}{W}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{G r_{s}-F r_{t}}{W}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{E r_{t}-F r_{s}}{W}\right)\right]  \tag{9}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2 W^{4}}\left(2 W^{2}\left(G \widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{s}-2 F \widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{t}+E \widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{t}} r_{t}\right)+\mathcal{H}_{1} r_{s}+\mathcal{H}_{2} r_{t}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{1}= & \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}\left(4 f^{\prime} g^{\prime}-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 4}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-4 g}\left(2 f^{\prime 3} g^{\prime 3}-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 2}+2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime 3}\right) \\
& +6 f^{\prime} g^{\prime 3}+2 f^{\prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \\
\mathcal{H}_{2}= & 4 g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{2 g}+\mathrm{e}^{-2 g}\left(-2 f^{\prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-4 g}\left(-2 f^{\prime 4} g^{\prime 3}-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime 4}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{e}^{4 g}\left(-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+2 g^{\prime 3}\right)-2 f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 2}-4 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The substituting of (6) and (7) into (9) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta r= & -\frac{1}{2 W^{4}}\left(2 W^{2}\left(G r_{s s}-2 F r_{s t}+E r_{t t}\right)+\mathcal{H}_{1} r_{s}+\mathcal{H}_{2} r_{t}\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{2 W^{4}}\left(2 W^{2}\left(G r_{s s}-2 F r_{s t}+E r_{t t}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\mathcal{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{g} E_{1}+f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}\right)+\mathcal{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}+g^{\prime} E_{3}\right)\right)  \tag{10}\\
= & \frac{-2 H}{W}\left(f^{\prime} g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{1}-g^{\prime} e^{g} E_{2}+E_{3}\right) \\
= & -2 H \mathbf{N}
\end{align*}
$$

$M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is a minimal surfaces in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$ if and only if its coordinate functions are harmonic.

Equations (2) and (4) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2 H f^{\prime} g^{\prime}}{W} & =-\lambda_{1} s \mathrm{e}^{2 g}  \tag{11}\\
\frac{2 H g^{\prime}}{W} & =\lambda_{2}(f+t) \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}  \tag{12}\\
\frac{2 H}{W} & =-\lambda_{3} g \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 1. Let $\lambda_{3}=0$. (13) implies that the mean curvature $H$ is identically zero. Thus, the surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is minimal.

Case 2. Let $\lambda_{3} \neq 0$.
2-1) Let $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $\lambda_{2}=0$. In this case the system (11), (12) and (13) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\begin{aligned}
H f^{\prime} g^{\prime} & =0 \\
H g^{\prime} & =0 \\
\frac{2 H}{W} & =-\lambda_{3} g
\end{aligned}
$$

2-1-1) If $H=0$, then $\lambda_{3}=0$, a contradiction.
2-1-2) If $g^{\prime}=0$, then $H=0$. So we get a contradiction.
2-2) Let $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$. In this case the system (11), (12) and (13) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) f^{\prime} g^{\prime} & =0  \tag{14}\\
\frac{2 H g^{\prime}}{W} & =\lambda_{2}(f+t) \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}  \tag{15}\\
\frac{2 H}{W} & =-\lambda_{3} g \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

2-2-1) If $H=0$, then $\lambda_{3}=0$ and $\lambda_{2}=0$, a contradiction.
2-2-2) If $g^{\prime}=0$, then $\lambda_{2}=0$. So we get a contradiction.
$\mathbf{2 - 2 - 3})$ If $f^{\prime}=0$. Then $f(s)=\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
Substituting (16) into (15), we get

$$
-\lambda_{3} g g^{\prime}=\lambda_{2}(\alpha+t) \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}
$$

A direct integration implies that there exist $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ such that

$$
(2 g-1) \mathrm{e}^{2 g}=\alpha_{1} t^{2}+\alpha_{2} t+\alpha_{3} .
$$

2-3) If $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2}=0$. In this case the system (11), (12) and (13) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 H g^{\prime}}{W}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(17) implies that the mean curvature $H$ is identically zero. Then (13) gives $\lambda_{3}=0$, a contradiction.

2-4) If $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$. Substituting (13) into (12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{3} g g^{\prime}=-\lambda_{2}(f+t) \mathrm{e}^{-2 g} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (18) with respect to $s$ we get $\lambda_{2} f^{\prime}=0$. If $f^{\prime}=0$, then $\lambda_{1}=0$. So we get a contradiction.

Therefore, we have the following:
Theorem 3.1. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type II in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ satisfies the equation $\Delta r_{i}=\lambda_{i} r_{i}, i=1,2,3, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if one of the following statement is true:

1) A surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ has zero mean curvature everywhere.
2) A surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized as

$$
r(s, t)=(s, t+\alpha, g(t)),
$$

$$
\text { where }(2 g-1) \mathrm{e}^{2 g}=\alpha_{1} t^{2}+\alpha_{2} t+\alpha_{3} ; \alpha, \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

3.2 Translation surfaces of type $V$. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type $V$ in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(s, t)=\gamma_{2}(t) \gamma_{1}(s) * \gamma_{1}(s)=\left(s \mathrm{e}^{-g(t)}, t+f(s) \mathrm{e}^{g(t)}, g(t)\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (19) with respect to $s$ and to $t$ we deduce the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{s}=E_{1}+f^{\prime} E_{2}, \quad r_{t}=\left(-s g^{\prime}\right) E_{1}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{-g}+f g^{\prime}\right) E_{2}+g^{\prime} E_{3} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of first fundamental form of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=1+f^{\prime 2} \\
& F=-s g^{\prime}+f^{\prime}\left(f g^{\prime}+\mathrm{e}^{-g}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& G=g^{\prime 2}\left(s^{2}+1\right)+\left(f g^{\prime}+\mathrm{e}^{-g}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The unit normal vector field $\mathbf{N}$ of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{N}=\left(\frac{f^{\prime} g^{\prime}}{W}\right) E_{1}-\left(\frac{g^{\prime}}{W}\right) E_{2}+\left(\frac{f g^{\prime}+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}+\mathrm{e}^{-g}}{W}\right) E_{3}
$$

where $W=\sqrt{g^{\prime 2}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)+\left(f g^{\prime}+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}+\mathrm{e}^{-g}\right)^{2}}$. From (20) and (3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{s}= & f^{\prime \prime} E_{2}+\left(f^{\prime 2}-1\right) E_{3} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{t}= & \widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{t}} r_{s}=\left(f f^{\prime} g^{\prime}+s g^{\prime}+f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g}\right) E_{3} \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{t}} r_{t}= & -s\left(g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime 2}\right) E_{1}+\left(-2 g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g}+f\left(g^{\prime \prime}-g^{\prime 2}\right)\right) E_{2}  \tag{22}\\
& +\left(g^{\prime \prime}-s^{2} g^{\prime 2}+\left(f g^{\prime}+\mathrm{e}^{-g}\right)^{2}\right) E_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients of the second fundamental form are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
W L= & -g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+\left(f^{\prime 2}-1\right)\left(f g^{\prime}+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}+\mathrm{e}^{-g}\right), \\
W M= & \left(f g^{\prime}+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}+\mathrm{e}^{-g}\right)\left(f f^{\prime} g^{\prime}+s g^{\prime}+f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g}\right), \\
W N= & \left(f g^{\prime}+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}+\mathrm{e}^{-g}\right)\left(g^{\prime \prime}-s^{2} g^{\prime 2}+\left(f g^{\prime}+\mathrm{e}^{-g}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& -g^{\prime}\left(-2 g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g}+f\left(g^{\prime \prime}-g^{\prime 2}\right)\right)-s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The mean curvature $H$ of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\mathcal{H}}{2 W^{3}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}= & -f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime 2}\left(1+s^{2}+f^{2}\right)+2 f g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g}+\mathrm{e}^{-2 g}\right)+g^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g}\left(f^{\prime 2}+1\right) \\
& +g^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{-g}\left(1+3 f^{\prime 2}+2 s^{2} f^{\prime 2}\right)+2 f^{\prime} g^{\prime 3}\left(f f^{\prime}-s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The substituting of (21) and (19) into (4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta r=-2 H \mathbf{N} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (24) and (2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) f^{\prime} g^{\prime} & =\lambda_{1} s \\
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) g^{\prime} & =\lambda_{2}\left(f+t \mathrm{e}^{-g}\right) \\
-\frac{2 H}{W}\left(f g^{\prime}+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}+\mathrm{e}^{-g}\right) & =\lambda_{3} g
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the problem of classifying the translation surfaces $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ satisfying (2) is reduced to the integration of this system of ordinary differential equations.

Then, by using similar method as for the translation surface of type $I I$, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.2. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type $V$ in $\operatorname{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ satisfies the equation $\Delta r_{i}=\lambda_{i} r_{i}, i=1,2,3, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if one of the following statement is true:

1) A surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ has zero mean curvature everywhere.
2) A surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized as

$$
r(s, t)=\left(s \mathrm{e}^{-g(t)}, t+a \mathrm{e}^{g(t)}, g(t)\right),
$$

$$
\text { where }\left(\delta_{1} g+\delta_{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 g}=\delta_{3} t^{2}+\delta_{4} t \mathrm{e}^{g} ; a, \delta_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq 4
$$

3.3 Translation surfaces of type $I I I$. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type III in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(s, t)=\gamma_{1}(s) * \gamma_{2}(t)=\left(s, t \mathrm{e}^{f(s)}, f(s)+g(t)\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first derivatives are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial r}{\partial s}=r_{s}=\mathrm{e}^{g+f} E_{1}+t f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}+f^{\prime} E_{3}, \\
& \frac{\partial r}{\partial t}=r_{t}=\mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}+g^{\prime} E_{3} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, the unit normal vector field $\mathbf{N}$ of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{N}=-\left(\frac{f^{\prime}\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-g}}{W}\right) E_{1}-\left(\frac{g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{f+g}}{W}\right) E_{2}+\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{f}}{W}\right) E_{3}
$$

where $W=\sqrt{g^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{2(f+g)}+f^{\prime 2}\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}+\mathrm{e}^{2 f}}$.
The coefficients of the first fundamental form are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=\left\langle r_{s}, r_{s}\right\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{2(f+g)}+f^{\prime 2}\left(1+t^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}\right), \\
& F=\left\langle r_{s}, r_{t}\right\rangle=f^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}+t \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}\right),  \tag{27}\\
& G=\left\langle r_{t}, r_{t}\right\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-2 g}+g^{\prime 2}
\end{align*}
$$

The covariant derivatives are:

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{s s}=\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{s}= & \left(2 f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{f+g}\right) E_{1}+t\left(f^{\prime \prime}-f^{\prime 2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2} \\
& +\left(f^{\prime \prime}+t^{2} f^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}-\mathrm{e}^{2(f+g)}\right) E_{3}, \\
r_{s t}=\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{t}= & \widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{t}} r_{s}=g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{f+g} E_{1}-t f^{\prime} g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}+t f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g} E_{3},  \tag{28}\\
r_{t t}=\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{t}} r_{t}= & -2 g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 g}+g^{\prime \prime}\right) E_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients of the second fundamental form are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
W L & =\mathrm{e}^{f}\left[\left(f^{\prime \prime}-2 f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right)+t g^{\prime} f^{\prime 2}+t^{2} f^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}-\mathrm{e}^{2(f+g)}\right] \\
W M & =\mathrm{e}^{f}\left[-f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right)+t f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 g}+g^{\prime 2}\right)\right] \\
W N & =\mathrm{e}^{f}\left[g^{\prime \prime}+2 g^{\prime 2}+\mathrm{e}^{-2 g}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The mean curvature $H$ of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\mathcal{H}}{2 W^{3}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}= & \mathrm{e}^{f}\left[\left(g^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2(f+g)}+\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right)-f^{\prime 2}\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+f^{\prime 2} t\left(t g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}\right. \\
& \left.+f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 2}\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right)+f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime 2}\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right)+f^{\prime 2}\left(g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime 2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4), the Laplacian operator $\Delta$ of $r$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta r=-\frac{1}{2 W^{4}}\left(2 W^{2}\left(G r_{s s}-2 F r_{s t}+E r_{t t}\right)+\mathcal{H}_{1} r_{s}+\mathcal{H}_{2} r_{t}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{1}= & \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}\left(4 f^{\prime} g^{\prime}-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 4}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-4 g}\left(2 f^{\prime 3} g^{\prime 3}-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{2}+2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime 3}\right) \\
& +6 f^{\prime} g^{\prime 3}+2 f^{\prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \\
\mathcal{H}_{2}= & 4 g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{2 g}+\mathrm{e}^{-2 g}\left(-2 f^{\prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-4 g}\left(-2 f^{\prime 4} g^{\prime 3}-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime 4}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{e}^{4 g}\left(-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+2 g^{\prime 3}\right)-2 f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 2}-4 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The substituting of (26) and (28) into (30) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta r= & -\frac{1}{2 W^{4}}\left[2 W^{2}\left(G r_{s s}-2 F r_{s t}+E r_{t t}\right)+\mathcal{H}_{1} r_{s}+\mathcal{H}_{2} r_{t}\right] \\
= & -\frac{1}{2 W^{4}}\left[2 W^{2}\left(G \widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{s}-2 F \widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{t}+E \widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{t}} r_{t}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\mathcal{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{g+f} E_{1}+t f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}+f^{\prime} E_{3}\right)+\mathcal{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-g} E_{2}+g^{\prime} E_{3}\right)\right]  \tag{31}\\
= & -\frac{\mathcal{H}}{W^{4}}\left(-\left(f^{\prime}\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-g}\right) E_{1}-\left(g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{f+g}\right) E_{2}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{f}\right) E_{3}\right) \\
= & -2 H \mathbf{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, from (31) and (2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) f^{\prime}\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right) & =\lambda_{1} s \mathrm{e}^{f+2 g}  \tag{32}\\
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) g^{\prime} & =\lambda_{2} t \mathrm{e}^{-f-2 g}  \tag{33}\\
\frac{2 H}{W} & =-\lambda_{3}(f+g) \mathrm{e}^{-f} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the problem of classifying the affine translation surfaces $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ satisfying (2) is reduced to the integration of this system of ordinary differential equations. Next we study it according to the constants $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$.

Case 1. Let $\lambda_{3}=0$. (34) implies that the mean curvature $H$ is identically zero. Thus, the surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is minimal.

Case 2. Let $\lambda_{3} \neq 0$.
2-1) Let $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $\lambda_{2}=0$. In this case the system (32), (33) and (34) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\begin{aligned}
H f^{\prime}\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right) & =0 \\
H g^{\prime} & =0 \\
\frac{2 H}{W} & =-\lambda_{3}(f+g) \mathrm{e}^{-f}
\end{aligned}
$$

2-1-1) If $H=0$, then $f=a$ and $g=-a, a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $H=0$.
2-1-2) If $g^{\prime}=0$, then $H=0$. Thus, the surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is minimal.
$\mathbf{2 - 2}$ ) Let $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$. In this case the system (32), (33) and (34) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) f^{\prime}\left(1-t g^{\prime}\right) & =0  \tag{35}\\
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) g^{\prime} & =\lambda_{2} t \mathrm{e}^{-f-2 g}  \tag{36}\\
\frac{2 H}{W} & =-\lambda_{3}(f+g) \mathrm{e}^{-f} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathbf{2 - 2} \mathbf{- 1}$ ) If $H=0$, then $\lambda_{2}=0$. So we get a contradiction.
$\mathbf{2 - 2 - 2}$ ) If $1-t g^{\prime}=0$, then $H=0$. So we get a contradiction.
2-2-3) If $f^{\prime}=0$. Then $f(s)=b, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
Substituting (37) into (36), we get

$$
-\lambda_{3}(b+g) g^{\prime}=\lambda_{2} t \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}
$$

A direct integration implies that there exist $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ such that

$$
(2 b+2 g-1) \mathrm{e}^{2 g}=\beta_{1} t^{2}+\beta_{2}
$$

2-3) If $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2}=0$. From (33), we have $g^{\prime}=0$. Then $g(t)=c, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Substituting (34) into (32), we get

$$
-\lambda_{3}(c+f) f^{\prime}=\lambda_{1} s \mathrm{e}^{2(f+c)}
$$

A direct integration implies that there exist $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ such that

$$
(2 c+2 f+1) \mathrm{e}^{-2 f}=\gamma_{1} s^{2}+\gamma_{2}
$$

2-4) If $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$. Substituting (34) into (33), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\lambda_{3}(f+g) g^{\prime}=\lambda_{2} t \mathrm{e}^{-2 g} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (38) with respect to $s$ we get $\lambda_{3} f^{\prime} g^{\prime}=0$.
2-4-1) If $f^{\prime}=0$, then $\lambda_{1}=0$, a contradiction.
2-4-2) If $g^{\prime}=0$, then $\lambda_{2}=0$, a contradiction.
Therefore, we have the following
Theorem 3.3. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type III in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ satisfies the equation $\Delta r_{i}=\lambda_{i} r_{i}, i=1,2,3, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if one of the following statement is true:

1) A surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ has zero mean curvature everywhere.
2) A surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized as

$$
r(s, t)=\left(s, t \mathrm{e}^{b}, b+g(t)\right)
$$

where $(2 b+2 g-1) \mathrm{e}^{2 g}=\beta_{1} t^{2}+\beta_{2} ; \beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
3) A surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized as

$$
r(s, t)=\left(s, t \mathrm{e}^{f(s)}, f(s)+c\right)
$$

where $(2 c+2 f+1) \mathrm{e}^{-2 f}=\gamma_{1} s^{2}+\gamma_{2} ; \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
3.4 Translation surfaces of type $V I$. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type $V I$ in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(s, t)=\gamma_{2}(s) * \gamma_{1}(t)=\left(s \mathrm{e}^{-g(t)}, t, f(s)+g(t)\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first derivatives are

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{s}=\mathrm{e}^{f} E_{1}+f^{\prime} E_{3}, \\
& r_{t}=\left(-g^{\prime} s \mathrm{e}^{f}\right) E_{1}+\mathrm{e}^{-(f+g)} E_{2}+g^{\prime} E_{3} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

The unit normal vector $\mathbf{N}$ of the surface is defined by

$$
\mathbf{N}=\left(\frac{-f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-(f+g)}}{W}\right) E_{1}-\left(\frac{g^{\prime}\left(1+s f^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{f}}{W}\right) E_{2}+\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-g}}{W}\right) E_{3}
$$

where $W=\sqrt{f^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{-2(f+g)}+g^{\prime 2}\left(1+s f^{\prime}\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 f}+\mathrm{e}^{-2 g}}$. The coefficients of the first fundamental form are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=\mathrm{e}^{2 f}+f^{\prime 2} \\
& F=g^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}-s \mathrm{e}^{2 f}\right)  \tag{41}\\
& G=\mathrm{e}^{-2(f+g)}+g^{\prime 2}\left(1+s^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 f}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The covariant derivatives are:

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{s s} & =\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{s}=\left(2 f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{f}\right) E_{1}+\left(f^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{e}^{2 f}\right) E_{3}, \\
r_{s t} & =\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{t}} r_{s}=-\left(s g^{\prime} f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{f}\right) E_{1}-\left(f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-(f+g)}\right) E_{2}+\left(s g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{2 f}\right) E_{3},  \tag{42}\\
r_{t t} & =-s \mathrm{e}^{f}\left(g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime 2}\right) E_{1}-\left(2 g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-(f+g)}\right) E_{2}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2(f+g)}+g^{\prime \prime}-g^{\prime 2} s^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 f}\right) E_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients of the second fundamental form are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
W L & =\mathrm{e}^{-g}\left(f^{\prime \prime}-2 f^{\prime 2}-\mathrm{e}^{2 f}\right) \\
W M & =\mathrm{e}^{-g}\left(f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\left(1+s f^{\prime}\right)+s g^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 f}+f^{\prime 2}\right)\right) \\
W N & =\mathrm{e}^{-g}\left(\left(g^{\prime \prime}+2 g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+s f^{\prime}\right)+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime 2}+\mathrm{e}^{-2(f+g)}-g^{\prime 2} s^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 f}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The mean curvature $H$ of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\mathcal{H}}{2 W^{3}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}= & g^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g}\left(1+s f^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 f}+f^{\prime 2}\right)+f^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g}\left(g^{\prime 2}\left(1+s^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 f}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-2(f+g)}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{e}^{-g}\left(1+s f^{\prime}\right) g^{\prime 2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 f}+s f^{\prime}-f^{\prime 2}\right)-f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g}\left(f^{\prime} g^{\prime 2}+f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-2 f}-s g^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{2 f}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The substituting of (40), (41) and (42) into (4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta r=-2 H \mathbf{N} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (44) and (2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) f^{\prime} & =\lambda_{1} s \mathrm{e}^{2 f+g}  \tag{45}\\
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) g^{\prime}\left(1+s f^{\prime}\right) & =\lambda_{2} t \mathrm{e}^{-2 f-g},  \tag{46}\\
-\frac{2 H}{W} & =\lambda_{3}(f+g) \mathrm{e}^{g} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the problem of classifying the translation surfaces $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ satisfying (2) is reduced to the integration of this system of ordinary differential equations. Next we study it according to the constants $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$.

Then, by using similar method as for the translation surface of type III, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.4. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type VI in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ satisfies the equation $\Delta r_{i}=\lambda_{i} r_{i}, i=1,2,3, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if one of the following statement is true:

1) A surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ has zero mean curvature everywhere.
2) A surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized as

$$
r(s, t)=\left(s \mathrm{e}^{-a}, t, f(s)+a\right),
$$

where $(2 a+2 f+1) \mathrm{e}^{-2 f}=\beta_{1} s^{2}+\beta_{2} ; a, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
3) A surface $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized as

$$
r(s, t)=\left(s \mathrm{e}^{-g(t)}, t, c+g(t)\right),
$$

where $(2 c+2 g-1) \mathrm{e}^{2 g}=\gamma_{1} t^{2}+\gamma_{2} ; c, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
3.5 Translation surfaces of type $I V$. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type $I V$ in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(s, t)=\gamma_{2}(s) * \gamma_{1}(t)=\left(s \mathrm{e}^{-g(t)}+t, f(s) \mathrm{e}^{g(t)}, g(t)\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first derivatives are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial r}{\partial s}=r_{s}=E_{1}+f^{\prime} E_{2}  \tag{49}\\
& \frac{\partial r}{\partial t}=r_{t}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right) E_{1}+g^{\prime} f E_{2}+g^{\prime} E_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, the unit normal vector field $\mathbf{N}$ of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{N}=-\left(\frac{f^{\prime} g^{\prime}}{W}\right) E_{1}-\left(\frac{g^{\prime}}{W}\right) E_{2}+\left(\frac{f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)}{W}\right) E_{3}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\sqrt{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+f^{2}\right) g^{\prime 2}+f^{\prime 2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-2 f f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of the first fundamental form are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=1+f^{\prime 2}, \quad F=\mathrm{e}^{g}-g^{\prime}\left(s-f f^{\prime}\right), \quad G=\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\left(1+f^{2}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariant derivatives are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{s}} r_{s}=f^{\prime \prime} E_{2}+\left(f^{\prime 2}-1\right) E_{3},  \tag{52}\\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{t}} r_{s}=\left(f f^{\prime} g^{\prime}+s g^{\prime}-e^{g}\right) E_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{r_{t}} r_{t}= & \left(2 g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{g}-s\left(g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime 2}\right)\right) E_{1}+f\left(g^{\prime \prime}-g^{\prime 2}\right) E_{2} \\
& +\left(g^{\prime \prime}-\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+f^{2} g^{\prime 2}\right) E_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients of the second fundamental form are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
W L= & \left(1-f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)-f g^{\prime}\right)-g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}, \\
W M= & \left(f f^{\prime} g^{\prime}-\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)\right), \\
W N= & \left(f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(g^{\prime \prime}+f^{2} g^{\prime 2}-\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& -f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\left(s\left(g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime 2}\right)-2 g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{g}\right)-f g^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime \prime}-g^{\prime 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The mean curvature $H$ of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\mathcal{H}}{2 W^{3}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}= & \left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(\left(f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(g^{\prime \prime}+f^{2} g^{\prime 2}-\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\left(s\left(g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime 2}\right)-2 g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{g}\right)-f g^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime \prime}-g^{\prime 2}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\left(1+f^{2}\right)\right)\left(\left(1-f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)-f g^{\prime}\right)-g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& -2\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-g^{\prime}\left(s-f f^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\left(f f^{\prime} g^{\prime}-\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{g}-s g^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The substituting of (49), (51) and (52) into (4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta r=-2 H \mathbf{N} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (54) and (2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{-2 H}{W}\right) f^{\prime} g^{\prime} & =\lambda_{1}\left(s+t \mathrm{e}^{g}\right),  \tag{55}\\
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) g^{\prime} & =\lambda_{2} f,  \tag{56}\\
\left(\frac{-2 H}{W}\right)\left(f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{g}+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right) & =\lambda_{3} g . \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the problem of classifying the affine translation surfaces $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ satisfying (2) is reduced to the integration of this system of ordinary differential equations. Next we study it according to the constants $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$.

Case 1. Let $\lambda_{2}=0$. Then, the equation (56) gives rise to $g^{\prime} H=0$. If $g^{\prime}=0$, then $H=0$, which means that the surfaces are minimal.

Case 2. Let $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$.
i) If $f=0$, then $H=0$.
ii) If $f \neq 0$, in this case we have four possibilities:
a) Let $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $\lambda_{3}=0$. (55) gives rise to $f^{\prime} g^{\prime} H=0$. Then (53) implies $H=0$. Then $\lambda_{2}=0$, a contradiction.
b) Let $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $\lambda_{3} \neq 0$. In this case the system (55), (56) and (57) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{-2 H}{W}\right) f^{\prime} g^{\prime} & =0  \tag{58}\\
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) g^{\prime} & =\lambda_{2} f  \tag{59}\\
\frac{-2 H}{W}\left(f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{g}+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right) & =\lambda_{3} g \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

i) If $f=0$, then (60) gives $g=0$. Then (50) gives $W=0$, a contradiction.
ii) If $f \neq 0$, from (58), we have $f^{\prime} g^{\prime} H=0$. So, we get $H=0$, it is a contradiction.
c) If $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{3}=0$. In this case the system (55), (56) and (57) is reduced equivalently to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) f^{\prime} g^{\prime} & =\lambda_{1}\left(s+t \mathrm{e}^{g}\right)  \tag{61}\\
\left(\frac{2 H}{W}\right) g^{\prime} & =\lambda_{2} f,  \tag{62}\\
\left(\frac{-2 H}{W}\right)\left(f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{g}+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right) & =0 . \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

i) If $f=0$, then (61) gives $\lambda_{1}=0$, a contradiction.
ii) If $f \neq 0$, from (63), we have $\left(f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{g}+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right) H=0$. We discuss by cases:
(1) The case $H=0$. Then (62) implies $\lambda_{2} f=0$, a contradiction.
(2) The case when

$$
\begin{equation*}
f g^{\prime}-f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{g}+s f^{\prime} g^{\prime}=0 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2-1) If $g^{\prime}=0$, then $H=0$, a contradiction.
(2-2) If $f^{\prime}=0$, then $\lambda_{1}=0$, a contradiction.
(2-3) If $f^{\prime} g^{\prime} \neq 0$, combining equations (61) and (62), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\lambda_{2} f f^{\prime}-\lambda_{1} s=\lambda_{1} t \mathrm{e}^{g} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have an identity of two functions, one depending only on $t$ and the other one depending only on $s$. Hence we deduce the existence of a real number $k \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{2} f f^{\prime}+\lambda_{1} s=-k=-\lambda_{1} t \mathrm{e}^{g} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

This differential equation admits the solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{2} f^{2}+\lambda_{1} s^{2}+2 k s+a & =0,  \tag{67}\\
g(t) & =\ln \frac{k}{\lambda_{1} t} \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

From (64) and (68), there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s)=\frac{c}{k+s} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (69) and (67), we have

$$
\lambda_{1} s^{2}(k+s)^{2}+2 k s(k+s)^{2}+a(k+s)^{2}+\lambda_{2} c^{2}=0 .
$$

This is a polynomial in $s$. Then $\lambda_{1}=0$, a contradiction.
d) If $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{3} \neq 0$, from (68), we have $g^{\prime}(t)=-1 / t$. We put this value of $g^{\prime}(t)$ into (53) and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 H}{W}=\frac{\psi(s)}{\varphi^{2}(s)} t \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(s) & =2 f^{\prime}(\delta+s)-2 f f^{\prime 2}+f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+(\delta+s)^{2}\right)+f\left(f f^{\prime \prime}-2 f^{\prime 2}\right) \\
\varphi(s) & =1+f^{\prime 2}\left(1+(\delta+s)^{2}\right)+2 f f^{\prime}(\delta+s)+f^{2} \\
\delta & =\frac{k}{\lambda_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By combining (60) and (70), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\psi(s)}{\varphi^{2}(s)}\left(f+\delta f^{\prime}+s f^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{3} g \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (71) with respect to $t$, we have $\lambda_{3}=0$, it is a contradiction.
Theorem 3.5. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type $I V$ in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ satisfies the equation $\Delta r_{i}=\lambda_{i} r_{i}, i=1,2,3, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ has zero mean curvature.
3.6 Translation surfaces of type $I$. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type $I$ in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(s, t)=\gamma_{1}(s) * \gamma_{2}(t)=(s+t, f(s), g(t)) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of the first fundamental form of $M^{2}$ are given by

$$
E=\mathrm{e}^{2 g}+f^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}, \quad F=\mathrm{e}^{2 g}, \quad G=\mathrm{e}^{2 g}+g^{\prime 2} .
$$

The unit normal vector is given by

$$
\mathbf{N}=\left(\frac{f^{\prime} g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-g}}{W}\right) E_{1}-\left(\frac{g^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{g}}{W}\right) E_{2}-\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{W}\right) E_{3}
$$

where $W=\sqrt{g^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{2 g}+f^{\prime 2}+f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime 2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}}$.
The mean curvature $H$ of $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
H=\frac{\mathcal{H}}{2 W^{3}}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}=-f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 3}-\left(f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}+f^{\prime} g^{\prime 2}+f^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 g}+f^{\prime 3}\left(g^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-2 g}-f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 3}$.
Then, by using similar method as for the translation surface of type $I V$, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.6. Let $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ be a translation surface of type $I$ in $\mathrm{Sol}_{3}$. Then, $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ satisfies the equation $\Delta r_{i}=\lambda_{i} r_{i}, i=1,2,3, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if $M\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ has zero mean curvature.
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