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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the growth of solutions of a certain class of linear differential equation where the coefficients are analytic functions in the closed complex plane except at a finite singular point. For that, we will use the value distribution theory of meromorphic functions developed by Rolf Nevanlinna with adapted definitions.
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## 1. Introduction and statement of results

Throughout this paper, we assume that the reader is familiar with the fundamental results and the standard notations of the Nevanlinna value distribution theory of a meromorphic function on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and in the unit disc $D=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ (see [7], [12], [17]). The importance of this theory has inspired many authors to find modifications and generalizations to different domains. Extensions of Nevanlinna theory to annuli have been made by [1], [8], [10], [11], [14]. In [4], Hamouda studied the growth of solutions of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients in the unit disc based on the behavior of the coefficients on a neighborhood of a point on the boundary of the unit disc. Recently in [2], [6], Fettouch and Hamouda investigated the growth of solutions of certain linear differential equations near a finite singular point. In this paper, we continue this investigation near a finite singular point to study other types of linear differential equations. First, we recall

[^0]the appropriate definitions. Set $\overline{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ and suppose that $f(z)$ is meromorphic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ where $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$. Define the counting function near $z_{0}$ by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{z_{0}}(r, f)=-\int_{\infty}^{r} \frac{n(t, f)-n(\infty, f)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t-n(\infty, f) \log r \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $n(t, f)$ counts the number of poles of $f(z)$ in the region

$$
\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: t \leqslant\left|z-z_{0}\right|\right\} \cup\{\infty\}
$$

each pole according to its multiplicity; and the proximity function by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{z_{0}}(r, f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \ln ^{+}\left|f\left(z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} \varphi . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic function of $f$ is defined in the usual manner by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{z_{0}}(r, f)=m_{z_{0}}(r, f)+N_{z_{0}}(r, f) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the order of the meromorphic function $f(z)$ near $z_{0}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{T}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log ^{+} T_{z_{0}}(r, f)}{-\log r} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an analytic function $f(z)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$, we have also the definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{M}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log ^{+} \log ^{+} M_{z_{0}}(r, f)}{-\log r} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{z_{0}}(r, f)=\max \left\{|f(z)|:\left|z-z_{0}\right|=r\right\}$.
By the usual manner of the definition of the iterated order of a meromorphic function in the complex plane (see [9]), we define the $n$-iterated order near $z_{0}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n, T}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log _{n}^{+} T_{z_{0}}(r, f)}{-\log r} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for an analytic function $f(z)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$, we have also the definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n, M}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\underset{r \rightarrow 0}{\limsup } \frac{\log _{n+1}^{+} M_{z_{0}}(r, f)}{-\log r} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\log _{n+1}^{+}(x)=\ln ^{+} \log _{n}^{+}(x)(n \geqslant 1$ is an integer $)$ and $\ln ^{+}(x)=\max (\ln x, 0)$.

Remark 1.1. It is shown in [2] that if $f$ is a non constant meromorphic function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}-\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ and $g(w)=f\left(z_{0}-1 / w\right)$, then $g(w)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}$ and we have

$$
T(R, g)=T_{z_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{R}, f\right)
$$

and so $\sigma\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\sigma(g)$. Also, if $f(z)$ is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$, then, $g(w)$ is entire and thus $\sigma_{T}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\sigma_{M}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ and in general $\sigma_{n, T}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\sigma_{n, M}\left(f, z_{0}\right) n \geqslant 1$. So, we can use the notation $\sigma_{n}\left(f, z_{0}\right)$ without any ambiguity.

We recall the following definitions.
Definition 1.1. The linear measure of a set $E \subset(0, \infty)$ is defined as $\int_{0}^{\infty} \chi_{E}(t) \mathrm{d} t$ and the logarithmic measure of $E$ is defined by $\int_{0}^{\infty} \chi_{E}(t) t^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t$ where $\chi_{E}(t)$ is the characteristic function of the set $E$.

In 2016, Fettouch and Hamouda proved the following result.
Theorem $\mathbf{A}([2])$. Let $A_{0}(z) \not \equiv 0, A_{1}(z), \ldots, A_{k-1}(z)$ be analytic functions in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ satisfying $\max \left\{\sigma\left(A_{j}, z_{0}\right): j \neq 0\right\}<\sigma\left(A_{0}, z_{0}\right)$. Then, every solution $f(z) \not \equiv 0$ of the differential equation

$$
f^{(k)}+A_{k-1}(z) f^{(k-1)}+\ldots+A_{1}(z) f^{\prime}+A_{0}(z) f=0
$$

satisfies $\sigma\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\infty$ with $\sigma_{2}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\sigma\left(A_{0}, z_{0}\right)$.
In the following two results, we will base our study on the domination of $A_{0}$ on only a curve tending to $z_{0}$. In this case, it may hapen that

$$
\sigma\left(A_{0}, z_{0}\right) \leqslant \max \left\{\sigma\left(A_{j}, z_{0}\right): j \neq 0\right\}
$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $A_{0}(z) \not \equiv 0, A_{1}(z), \ldots, A_{k-1}(z)$ be analytic functions in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$. If there exists a subset $\gamma$ of a curve tending to $z_{0}$ such that the set $\gamma_{0}=\left\{\left|z_{0}-z\right|: z \in \gamma\right\} \cap(0,1)$ is of infinite logarithmic measure, such that for $z \in \gamma$, $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in \gamma_{0}$ and for any fixed $\mu>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|A_{0}(z)\right| r^{\mu}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left|A_{j}(z)\right|+1\right)=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution $f(z) \not \equiv 0$ of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(k)}+A_{k-1}(z) f^{(k-1)}+\ldots+A_{1}(z) f+A_{0}(z) f=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ is of infinite order.

Corollary 1.1. Let $P_{j}(z), j=1,2, \ldots, k-1$ be polynomials and $P_{0}(z)$ be a transcendental entire function; let $A_{j}(z)=P_{j}\left(1 /\left(z_{0}-z\right)\right)$; then every solution $f(z) \not \equiv 0$ of (1.9), that is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$, is of infinite order.

Example 1.1. The differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{1}{z^{3}} f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{z^{2}} f^{\prime}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{n^{2}} z^{n}} f=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 as $z$ tends to $z_{0}=0$ on the ray $\arg \theta=0$. So, every solution $f(z) \not \equiv 0$ of (1.10) is of infinite order. We signal here that $\sigma\left(A_{0}, 0\right)=$ $\sigma\left(A_{1}, 0\right)=\sigma\left(A_{2}, 0\right)=0$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $A_{0}(z) \not \equiv 0, A_{1}(z), \ldots, A_{k-1}(z)$ be analytic functions in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$. If there exists a subset $\gamma$ of a curve tending to $z_{0}$ such that the set $\gamma_{0}=\left\{\left|z_{0}-z\right|: z \in \gamma\right\} \cap(0,1)$ is of infinite logarithmic measure, such that for $z \in \gamma$ and $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in \gamma_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|A_{0}(z)\right|}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left|A_{j}(z)\right|+1\right) \exp _{n} \frac{\lambda}{r^{\mu}}=0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geqslant 1$ is an integer, $\lambda>0, \mu>0$ are real constants, then every solution $f(z) \not \equiv 0$ of (1.9), that is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$, satisfies $\sigma_{n}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\infty$ and furthermore $\sigma_{n+1}\left(f, z_{0}\right) \geqslant \mu$.

Example 1.2. The differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f^{\prime \prime} \exp \frac{1}{z}+f^{\prime} \exp _{2} \frac{1}{z^{3}}+f \exp _{2} \frac{1}{z^{2}}=0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 as $z$ tends to $z_{0}=0$ on the ray $\arg \theta=\frac{1}{5} \pi$. So, every solution $f(z) \not \equiv 0$ of $(1.12)$ is of infinite order with $\sigma_{3}(f, 0) \geqslant 2$.

Now, we will investigate the case when $A_{s}, s \neq 0$ dominates the other coefficients in a sector. Let $I(\varepsilon)=\left(\theta_{1}+\varepsilon, \theta_{2}-\varepsilon\right) \subset[0,2 \pi)$ and $S(\varepsilon)$ denote the sector $\left\{z: \arg \left(z_{0}-z\right) \in I(\varepsilon)\right\}, \varepsilon \geqslant 0$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $A_{0}(z), \ldots, A_{k-1}(z)$ be analytic functions in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ satisfying that there exist real constants $0 \leqslant \theta_{1}<\theta_{2} \leqslant 2 \pi$ such that for any $\theta \in\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ there exists a set $\Gamma_{\theta}=\left\{r=\left|z-z_{0}\right|: \arg \left(z-z_{0}\right)=\theta\right\} \subset(0,1)$ of infinite logarithmic measure, and for every fixed $\mu>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{1}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right| r^{\mu}}\left(\sum_{j=0, j \neq s}^{k-1}\left|A_{j}(z)\right|+1\right)=0, \quad s \neq 0 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right)=\theta \in I(0)$ and $\left|z_{0}-z\right|=r \in \Gamma_{\theta}$. Given $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, if $f \not \equiv 0$ is a solution of (1.9) that is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ and of finite order $\sigma\left(f, z_{0}\right)<\infty$, then the following statements hold.
(i) There exist $j \in\{0, \ldots, s-1\}$ and a complex constant $b_{j} \neq 0$ such that $f^{(j)}(z) \rightarrow b_{j}$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ in the sector $S(\varepsilon)$. More precisely, for every fixed $\mu>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{\left|f^{(j)}(z)-b_{j}\right|}{r^{\mu}}=0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ and $\left|z_{0}-z\right|=r \in \Gamma_{\theta}$.
(ii) For each integer $m \geqslant j+1, f^{(m)}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ in $S(\varepsilon)$. More precisely, for every fixed $\mu>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right|}{r^{\mu}}=0 \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ and $\left|z_{0}-z\right|=r \in \Gamma_{\theta}$.
Example 1.3. The function $f(z)=\mathrm{e}^{1 / z}-1$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+\mathrm{e}^{-1 / z} f^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{2}{z}-\frac{5}{z^{2}}-\frac{6}{z^{3}}-\frac{1}{z^{4}}\right) f^{\prime}+\left(\frac{2}{z^{3}}+\frac{1}{z^{4}}\right) f=0 . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The differential equation (1.16) fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 in any sector $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \subset\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi, \frac{3}{2} \pi\right)$ with $z_{0}=0$. In this example, $A_{2}(z)=\mathrm{e}^{-1 / z}$ is the dominating coefficient, while we have $j=0$ and $b_{j}=-1$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $A_{0}(z), \ldots, A_{k-1}(z)$ be analytic functions in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ satisfying that there exist real constants $0 \leqslant \theta_{1}<\theta_{2} \leqslant 2 \pi$ such that for any $\theta \in\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ there exists a set $\Gamma_{\theta}=\left\{r=\left|z-z_{0}\right|: \arg \left(z-z_{0}\right)=\theta\right\} \subset(0,1)$ of infinite logarithmic measure such that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{1}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left(\sum_{j=0, j \neq s}^{k-1}\left|A_{j}(z)\right|+1\right) \exp \frac{\lambda}{r^{\alpha}}=0, \quad s \neq 0 \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right)=\theta \in I(0)$ and $\left|z_{0}-z\right|=r \in \Gamma_{\theta}, \lambda>0, \alpha>0$ are real constant. Given $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, if $f \not \equiv 0$ is a solution of (1.9), analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ and of finite order $\sigma\left(f, z_{0}\right)<\infty$, then the following statements hold.
(i) There exists $j \in\{0, \ldots, s-1\}$ and a complex constant $b_{j} \neq 0$ such that $f^{(j)}(z) \rightarrow b_{j}$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ in the sector $S(\varepsilon)$. More precisely, for $\lambda>\lambda^{\prime}>0$ we have

$$
\left|f^{(j)}(z)-b_{j}\right|<\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{r^{\alpha}}\right)
$$

for all $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ with $\left|z_{0}-z\right|=r \in \Gamma_{\theta}$.
(ii) For each integer $m \geqslant j+1, f^{(m)}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ in $S(\varepsilon)$. More precisely, for $\lambda^{\prime}>0$ we have

$$
\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right|<\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{r^{\alpha}}\right)
$$

for all $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ with $\left|z_{0}-z\right|=r \in \Gamma_{\theta}$.

Corollary 1.2. Let $A_{0}(z), \ldots, A_{k-1}(z)$ be analytic functions in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ satisfying that there exist real constants $0 \leqslant \theta_{1}<\theta_{2} \leqslant 2 \pi$ such that for any $\theta \in\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ there exists a set $\Gamma_{\theta}=\left\{r=\left|z-z_{0}\right|: \arg \left(z-z_{0}\right)=\theta\right\} \subset(0,1)$ of infinite logarithmic measure, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|A_{s}(z)\right| \geqslant \exp \frac{\alpha}{r^{\mu}}, \quad s \neq 0, \\
& \left|A_{j}(z)\right| \leqslant \exp \frac{\beta}{r^{\mu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right)=\theta \in\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ and $\left|z_{0}-z\right|=r \in \Gamma_{\theta}, \alpha>\beta \geqslant 0, \mu>0$ are real constant. Given $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, if $f \not \equiv 0$ is a solution of (1.9) that is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ and of finite order $\sigma\left(f, z_{0}\right)<\infty$, then the following statements hold.
(i) There exists $j \in\{0, \ldots, s-1\}$ and a complex constant $b_{j} \neq 0$ such that $f^{(j)}(z) \rightarrow b_{j}$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ in the sector $S(\varepsilon)$. More precisely, for $\alpha-\beta>\lambda^{\prime}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(j)}(z)-b_{j}\right|<\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{r^{\mu}}\right) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ with $\left|z_{0}-z\right|=r \in \Gamma_{\theta}$.
(ii) For each integer $m \geqslant j+1, f^{(m)}(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$ in $S(\varepsilon)$. More precisely, for $\alpha-\beta>\lambda^{\prime}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right|<\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{r^{\mu}}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ with $\left|z_{0}-z\right|=r \in \Gamma_{\theta}$.
Indeed, by taking $\alpha-\beta>\lambda>0$, the condition (1.17) holds; and then the assertions (1.18)-(1.19) hold by taking $\lambda>\lambda^{\prime}>0$. We can see similar results of these theorems in the complex plane and in the unit disc in [3], [5], [13].

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

To prove these results we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 ([2]). Let $f$ be a non constant meromorphic function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$; let $\alpha>0, \varepsilon>0$ be given real constants and $j \in \mathbb{N}$; then
(i) there exists a set $E_{1} \subset(0,1)$ that has finite logarithmic measure and a constant $A>0$ that depends on $\alpha$ and $j$ such that for all $r=\left|z-z_{0}\right|$ satisfying $r \in(0,1) \backslash E_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leqslant A\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}} T_{z_{0}}(\alpha r, f) \log T_{z_{0}}(\alpha r, f)\right)^{j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) there exists a set $E_{2} \subset[0,2 \pi)$ that has a linear measure zero and a constant $A>0$ that depends on $\alpha$ and $j$ such that for all $\theta \in[0,2 \pi) \backslash E_{2}$ there exists a constant $r_{0}=r_{0}(\theta)>0$ such that (2.1) holds for all $z$ satisfying $\arg \left(z-z_{0}\right) \in$ $[0,2 \pi) \backslash E_{2}$ and $r=\left|z-z_{0}\right|<r_{0}$.

Lemma 2.2 ([2]). Let $f$ be a non constant meromorphic function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ of finite order $\sigma\left(f, z_{0}\right)<\infty$; let $\varepsilon>0$ be a given constant. Then,
(i) there exists a set $E_{1} \subset(0,1)$ that has finite logarithmic measure such that for all $r=\left|z-z_{0}\right| \in(0,1) \backslash E_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{r^{k(\sigma+2+\varepsilon)}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) there exists a set $E_{2} \subset[0,2 \pi)$ that has a linear measure zero such that for all $\theta \in[0,2 \pi) \backslash E_{2}$ there exists a constant $r_{0}=r_{0}(\theta)>0$ such that for all $z$ satisfying $\arg \left(z-z_{0}\right) \in[0,2 \pi) \backslash E_{2}$ and $r=\left|z-z_{0}\right|<r_{0}$, the inequality (2.2) holds.

Lemma 2.3. Let $f$ be a non constant meromorphic function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ of finite order $\sigma_{n}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\sigma_{n}<\infty(n \geqslant 1)$ and let $\varepsilon>0$ be a given constant. Then, there exists a set $E_{1} \subset(0,1)$ that has finite logarithmic measure such that for all $r=\left|z-z_{0}\right| \in(0,1) \backslash E_{1}$, we have
(i) if $n=1,(2.2)$ holds,
(ii) and if $n \geqslant 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leqslant\left(\exp _{n-1} \frac{1}{r^{\sigma_{n}+\varepsilon}}\right)^{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the definition

$$
\sigma_{n}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log _{n} T_{z_{0}}(r, f)}{-\log r}=\sigma_{n}
$$

for given $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ there exists $r_{0}$ such that for $0<r<r_{0}$, we have

$$
\frac{\log _{n} T_{z_{0}}(r, f)}{-\log r}<\sigma_{n}+\varepsilon^{\prime}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{z_{0}}(r, f)<\exp _{n-1} \frac{1}{r^{\sigma_{n}+\varepsilon^{\prime}}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.4) with Lemma 2.1, for $\alpha>0$, there exists a set $E_{1} \subset(0,1)$ that has finite logarithmic measure and a constant $A>0$ that depends only on $\alpha$ such that for all $r=\left|z-z_{0}\right|$ satisfying $r \notin(0,1) \backslash E_{1}$, we have

$$
\left|\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leqslant A\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}} \exp _{n-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)^{\sigma_{n}+\varepsilon^{\prime}} \exp _{n-2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)^{\sigma_{n}+\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)^{k}
$$

Then, for $\varepsilon>\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ and $r$ near enough to 0 , we have

$$
\left|\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leqslant\left(\exp _{n-1} \frac{1}{r^{\sigma_{n}+\varepsilon}}\right)^{k}
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $f(z)$ be a non constant meromorphic function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$. Then

$$
\sigma\left(f^{(j)}, z_{0}\right)=\sigma\left(f, z_{0}\right), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\sigma\left(f^{\prime}, z_{0}\right)=\sigma\left(f, z_{0}\right)$. By Remark 1.1, $g(w)=$ $f\left(z_{0}-1 / w\right)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma(g)=\sigma\left(f, z_{0}\right)$. It is well known that for a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$ we have $\sigma\left(g^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(g)$, (see [16], [15]). We have $f^{\prime}(z)=g^{\prime}(w) / w^{2}$. Set $h(w)=g^{\prime}(w) / w^{2}$. Obviously, we have $\sigma(h)=\sigma\left(g^{\prime}\right)$. On the other hand, by Remark 1.1, we have $\sigma(h)=\sigma\left(f^{\prime}, z_{0}\right)$. So, we conclude that $\sigma\left(f^{\prime}, z_{0}\right)=\sigma\left(f, z_{0}\right)$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $f$ be a non constant meromorphic function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ and suppose that $\left|f^{(k)}(z)\right|$ is unbounded on some ray $\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right)=\theta$. Then there exists an infinite sequence of points $z_{m}=z_{0}-r_{m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, m=1,2, \ldots$, where $r_{m} \rightarrow 0$, such that $f^{(k)}\left(z_{m}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\left|\frac{f^{(j)}\left(z_{m}\right)}{f^{(k)}\left(z_{m}\right)}\right| \leqslant M
$$

where $M>0$ and $j \in(0,1, \ldots, k-1)$.

Proof. Let $M\left(r, \theta, f^{(k)}\right)=\max \left|f^{(k)}(z)\right|$ where $z \in\left[z_{0}-r_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right]$. Clearly, we may construct a sequence of points $z_{m}=z_{0}-r_{m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, m \geqslant 1, r_{m} \rightarrow 0$, such that $M\left(r, \theta, f^{(k)}\right)=\left|f^{(k)}\left(z_{m}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$. For each $m$, by $(k-j)$-fold iteration integration along the line segment $\left[z_{1}, z_{m}\right]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{(j)}\left(z_{m}\right)= & f^{(j)}\left(z_{1}\right)+f^{(j+1)}\left(z_{1}\right)\left(z_{m}-z_{1}\right) \\
& +\ldots+\frac{1}{(k-j-1)} f^{(k-1)}\left(z_{1}\right)\left(z_{m}-z_{1}\right)^{k-j-1} \\
& +\int_{z_{1}}^{z_{m}} \ldots \int_{z_{1}}^{y} f^{(k)}(x) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \ldots \mathrm{~d} t ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and by an elementary triangle inequality estimate we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f^{(j)}\left(z_{m}\right)\right| \leqslant & \left|f^{(j)}\left(z_{1}\right)\right|+\left|f^{(j+1)}\left(z_{1}\right)\right|\left|\left(z_{m}-z_{1}\right)\right|  \tag{2.5}\\
& +\ldots+\frac{1}{(k-j-1)}\left|f^{(k-1)}\left(z_{1}\right)\right|\left|\left(z_{m}-z_{1}\right)\right|^{k-j-1} \\
& +\frac{1}{(k-j)}\left|f^{(k)}\left(z_{m}\right)\right|\left|\left(z_{m}-z_{1}\right)\right|^{k-j}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.5) and taking account that when $m \rightarrow \infty, f^{(k)}\left(z_{m}\right) \rightarrow \infty, z_{m} \rightarrow z_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{f^{(j)}\left(z_{m}\right)}{f^{(k)}\left(z_{m}\right)}\right| \leqslant M, \quad M>0
$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $f$ be an analytic function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$. Let $a \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$
G=\left\{z:\left|\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right)\right|<\frac{\pi}{2 a}\right\} .
$$

Suppose that $\limsup _{z \rightarrow \varsigma}|f(z)| \leqslant M$ for all $\varsigma \in \partial G$, where $M$ is a fixed constant. Suppose further that there exist constants $K, b<a$ such that

$$
|f(z)| \leqslant K \exp \frac{1}{r^{b}} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0
$$

where $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right|$ and $z \in G$. Then, $|f(z)| \leqslant M$ for all $z \in G$.
Proof. The change of variable $w=1 /\left(z_{0}-z\right)$ maps $G$ onto $H=\{w:|\arg (w)|<$ $\pi /(2 a)\}$ and the function $g(w)=f(z)$ is an entire function on $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and we have $\left|\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right)\right|=\pi /(2 a) \Leftrightarrow|\arg (w)|=\pi /(2 a)$ and $\limsup _{w \rightarrow \xi}|g(w)|=\limsup _{z \rightarrow \varsigma}|f(z)| \leqslant M$ for all $\xi \in \partial H$. Further, we have

$$
|g(w)|=|f(z)| \leqslant K \exp \frac{1}{r^{b}}=K \exp R^{b} \quad \text { as } R \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $R=|w|=1 / r$. Then, by Phragmen-Lindelöf theorem we get $|g(w)| \leqslant M$ for all $w \in H$. Therefore, $|f(z)| \leqslant M$ for all $z \in G$.

Lemma 2.7. If $f$ is analytic function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ such that for any $\mu>0$, we have

$$
\left|f\left(z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \leqslant r^{\mu} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0
$$

then $\int_{0}^{r}\left|f\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t$ converges and for every $\alpha>0$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{r}\left|f\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t \leqslant r^{\alpha} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof. It is easy to show that $\int_{0}^{r}\left|f\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t$ converges; and we have

$$
\int_{0}^{r}\left|f\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t \leqslant \int_{0}^{r} t^{\mu} \mathrm{d} t=\frac{r^{\mu+1}}{\mu+1}
$$

Let $\alpha>0$. By taking $\mu+1>\alpha$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{r}\left|f\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t \leqslant \frac{r^{\mu+1}}{\mu+1} \leqslant r^{\alpha} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Lemma 2.8. Let $f$ be an analytic function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$. The two following assertions are equivalent:
(i) for any $\mu>0,\left|f\left(z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \leqslant r^{\mu}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$,
(ii) for any $\alpha>0, \lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left|f\left(z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| / r^{\alpha}=0$.

Proof. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that for any $\alpha>0, \lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left|f\left(z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| / r^{\alpha}=0$. For any $\alpha>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for $0<r<\delta$ we have $\left|f\left(z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon r^{\alpha}$. By taking $\varepsilon=1$ we get the assertion (i).
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that for any $\mu>0,\left|f\left(z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \leqslant r^{\mu}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Let $\alpha>0$. We have

$$
\frac{\left|f\left(z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right|}{r^{\alpha}} \leqslant \frac{r^{\mu}}{r^{\alpha}}
$$

By taking $\mu>\alpha$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|f\left(z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right|}{r^{\alpha}}=0
$$

Lemma 2.9. If $f$ is analytic function in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ such that

$$
\left|f\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda}{t^{\alpha}}\right)
$$

where $\alpha>0, \lambda>0$, then $\int_{0}^{r}\left|f\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t$ converges and we have

$$
\int_{0}^{r}\left|f\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda}{r^{\alpha}}\right) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof. It is easy to show that $\int_{0}^{r}\left|f\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t$ converges; and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{r}\left|f\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t & \leqslant \int_{0}^{r} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda}{r^{\alpha}}\right) \mathrm{d} t \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda}{r^{\alpha}}\right) \int_{0}^{r} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \leqslant r \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda}{r^{\alpha}}\right) \leqslant \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda}{r^{\alpha}}\right) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Proof of theorems

Pro of of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $f \not \equiv 0$ is a solution of (1.9) of finite order $\sigma\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\sigma<\infty$. By Lemma 2.3, for any given $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a set $E \subset(0,1)$ that has finite logarithmic measure such that for all $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in(0,1) \backslash E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{r^{j(\sigma+2+\varepsilon)}}, \quad j=1, \ldots, k \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.9) we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqslant \frac{1}{\left|A_{0}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right|+\frac{\left|A_{k-1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{0}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(k-1)}}{f}\right|+\ldots+\frac{\left|A_{1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{0}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right| . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumption (1.8), for $r \in F$ and any fixed $\mu>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|A_{j}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{0}(z)\right| r^{\mu}}=0, \quad j=1, \ldots, k \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|A_{0}(z)\right| r^{\mu}}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4) in (3.2), a contradiction follows as $r \rightarrow 0$ with $r=$ $\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in F \backslash E$.

Pro of of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $f \not \equiv 0$ is a solution of (1.9) with $\sigma_{n}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=$ $\sigma_{n}<\infty, n \geqslant 1$. If $n=1$ we have (3.1) and if $n \geqslant 2$, by Lemma 2.3 , for any given $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a set $E \subset(0,1)$ that has finite logarithmic measure such that for all $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in(0,1) \backslash E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leqslant\left(\exp _{n-1} \frac{1}{r^{\sigma_{n}+\varepsilon}}\right)^{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, k \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumption (1.11), for $r \in F$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|A_{j}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{0}(z)\right|} \exp _{n} \frac{\lambda}{r^{\mu}}=0, \quad j=1, \ldots, k \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|A_{0}(z)\right|} \exp _{n} \frac{\lambda}{r^{\mu}}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.1) or (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) in (3.2), a contradiction follows as $r \rightarrow 0$ on $\gamma$ with $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in F \backslash E$. So, $\sigma_{n}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\infty$ for $n \geqslant 1$. Now, by Lemma 2.1, and since $\sigma_{n}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leqslant A\left(\frac{1}{r} T_{z_{0}}(\alpha r, f)\right)^{2 k}, \quad j=1, \ldots, k \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumption (1.11), for $\varepsilon_{1}>0, \varepsilon_{2}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|A_{j}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{0}(z)\right|} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\exp _{n}\left(\lambda / r^{\mu}\right)}, \quad j=1, \ldots, k \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|A_{0}(z)\right|} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\exp _{n}\left(\lambda / r^{\mu}\right)} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$ on $\gamma$ with $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in F$. Using (3.8)-(3.10) in (3.2), we obtain, for $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in F \backslash E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqslant \frac{M}{\exp _{n}\left(\lambda / r^{\mu}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{r} T_{z_{0}}(\alpha r, f)\right)^{2 k} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M>0$ is a real constant. Set $R=\alpha r$. We signal here that $E$ is of finite logarithmic measure if and only if $\alpha E$ is of finite logarithmic measure. So, from (3.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp _{n} \frac{\lambda \alpha^{\mu}}{R^{\mu}} \leqslant M\left(\frac{\alpha}{R} T_{z_{0}}(r, f)\right)^{2 k}, \quad R \in F \backslash E \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.12) we obtain

$$
\sigma_{n+1}\left(f, z_{0}\right)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log _{n+1}^{+} T_{z_{0}}(r, f)}{-\log R} \geqslant \mu
$$

Pro of of Theorem 1.3. First, we have to prove that $f(z)$ is bounded in $S(\varepsilon)$, for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough and for that we prove that $f^{(s)}(z)$ is also bounded in $S(\varepsilon)$. From Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.2, it follows that there exists a set $E \subset[0,2 \pi)$ that has linear measure zero, such that for all $j \in\{s+1, \ldots, k\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f^{(s)}(z)}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{r^{(j-s)(\sigma+2+\varepsilon)}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right) \in I(0) \backslash E$ and $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in \Gamma_{\theta}$. If we suppose that $f^{(s)}(z)$ is unbounded on some ray $\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right)=\varphi \in I(0) \backslash E$, then by Lemma 2.5 there exists an infinite sequence of points $z_{m}=z_{0}-r_{m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}, m=1,2, \ldots$, with $r_{m} \rightarrow 0$, such that $f^{(k)}\left(z_{m}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{(q)}\left(z_{m}\right)}{f^{(s)}\left(z_{m}\right)}\right| \leqslant M_{1} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{1}>0, q \in\{0,1, \ldots, s-1\}$ and $m$ large enough. From (1.9) we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
1 \leqslant & \frac{1}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(k)}}{f^{(s)}}\right|+\frac{\left|A_{k-1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(k-1)}}{f^{(s)}}\right|+\ldots+\frac{\left|A_{s+1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(s+1)}}{f^{(s)}}\right|  \tag{3.15}\\
& +\frac{\left|A_{s-1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(s-1)}}{f^{(s)}}\right|+\ldots+\frac{\left|A_{0}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f}{f^{(s)}}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Combining now (1.13), (3.13)-(3.15) and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain a contradiction. Therefore, $f^{(s)}(z)$ remains bounded on all rays $\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right)=\varphi \in I(0) \backslash E$. By Lemma 2.6, we conclude that $f^{(s)}(z)$ is bounded, say $\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right| \leqslant M_{2}$, in the whole sector $S\left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)$ for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough.

By integrating $s$ times along the line segment $\left[z_{1}, z\right]$ in $S\left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z)= & f\left(z_{1}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\left(z-z_{1}\right)+\ldots+\frac{1}{(s-1)!} f^{(s-1)}\left(z_{1}\right)\left(z-z_{1}\right)^{s-1} \\
& +\int_{z_{1}}^{z} \ldots \int_{z_{1}}^{z} f^{(s)}(t) \mathrm{d} t \ldots \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

and by an elementary triangle inequality estimate, we obtain

$$
|f(z)| \leqslant\left|f\left(z_{1}\right)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right|\left|z-z_{1}\right|+\ldots+\frac{1}{(s-1)!}\left|f^{(s-1)}\left(z_{1}\right)\right|\left|z-z_{1}\right|^{s-1}+\frac{1}{(s)!} M\left|z-z_{1}\right|^{s}
$$

and therefore, as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leqslant M_{3} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a certain constant $M_{3}>0$. Now, we begin to prove (1.15) for $m=s$. Using (1.9), we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right| \leqslant & |f|\left(\frac{1}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right|+\frac{\left|A_{k-1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(k-1)}}{f}\right|+\ldots+\frac{\left|A_{s+1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(s+1)}}{f}\right|\right.  \tag{3.17}\\
& \left.+\frac{\left|A_{s-1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(s-1)}}{f}\right|+\ldots+\frac{\left|A_{1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right|+\frac{\left|A_{0}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By the assumption (1.13), for any $\mu>0$, for every $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, s-1, s+1, \ldots, k-1\}$ and for $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta$ such that for $\left|z_{0}-z\right|<\delta$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left|A_{j}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|} \leqslant \varepsilon\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{\mu}  \tag{3.18}\\
& \frac{1}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|} \leqslant \varepsilon\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{\mu} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right)=\theta \in I(0)$ and $\left|z_{0}-z\right|=r \in \Gamma_{\theta}$. Substituting (3.13), (3.16), (3.18) and (3.19) into (3.17), we obtain that for any $\mu>0$, we have

$$
\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right| \leqslant M_{4} \frac{\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{\mu}}{r^{k(\sigma+2+\varepsilon)}} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0
$$

We conclude that for any fixed $\alpha>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right|}{r^{\alpha}}=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in \Gamma_{\theta}$ and $\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right)=\varphi \in I\left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right) \backslash E$.
Proof of equation (1.15) for $m>s$. Consider $z=z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \in S(\varepsilon)$ and $C(z)$ the circle centered at $z$ of radius $\varrho$ small enough such that $C(z)$ is contained in $S\left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)$, we may take $\varrho=r \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)$. By the Cauchy formula applied to the function $f^{(s)}(z)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(m)}(z)=\frac{(m-s)!}{2 \pi} \int_{C(z)} \frac{f^{(s)}(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{m-s+1}} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (3.20), we get

$$
\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right| \leqslant \frac{(m-s)!}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{\mu}}{\varrho^{m-s+1}} \varrho \mathrm{~d} \theta \leqslant \frac{(m-s)!}{\sin ^{m-s}\left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)} \frac{\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{\mu}}{r^{m-s}}
$$

We conclude that, for any fixed $\alpha>0$ and $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ with $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in \Gamma_{\theta}$, we have

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right|}{\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{\alpha}}=0
$$

Until now, we have proved the second assertion for $m \geqslant s$. We start to prove the first assertion for $j=s-1$. Set

$$
a_{s}=\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{(s)}\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathrm{~d} t .
$$

By (3.20), it is easy to see that $\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{(s)}\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathrm{d} t$ converges. Moreover, $a_{s}$ is independent of $\theta$, because by (3.20), the integral of $f^{(s)}(\zeta)$ over the arc $z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$, $\theta \in(\varphi, \varphi) \subset I\left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)$, we get

$$
\left|\int_{\varphi}^{\varphi} f^{(s)}\left(z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{i} r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathrm{~d} \theta\right| \leqslant M r^{\alpha+1}|\varphi-\varphi| \rightarrow 0, \quad r \rightarrow 0, M>0
$$

Define now $b_{s-1}=f^{(s-1)}(\infty)+a_{s}$, and suppose that $b_{s-1} \neq 0$. Let $z=z_{0}-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ be an arbitrary point in $S(\varepsilon)$. Then, since

$$
f^{(s-1)}(z)-b_{s-1}=\int_{\infty}^{z} f^{(s)}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta-\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{(s)}\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathrm{~d} t,
$$

we may apply (3.20) and Lemma 2.7, and we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f^{(s-1)}(z)-b_{s-1}\right| & =\left|\int_{\infty}^{z} f^{(s)}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta-\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{(s)}\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathrm{~d} t\right|  \tag{3.22}\\
& =\left|\int_{r}^{\infty} f^{(s)}\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\infty}^{0} f^{(s)}\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathrm{~d} t\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{r}^{0} f^{(s)}\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathrm{~d} t\right| \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{r}\left|f^{(s)}\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} t \leqslant r^{\mu} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\mu>0$ and $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ with $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in \Gamma_{\theta}$. By Lemma 2.8, we have completed the proof in the case $b_{s-1} \neq 0$. If $b_{s-1}=0$, we define $a_{s-1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} f^{(s-1)}\left(z_{0}-t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathrm{d} t$ and $b_{s-2}=f^{(s-2)}(\infty)+a_{s-1}$ and by applying Lemma 2.7 with (3.22) we obtain that, for every fixed $\mu>0$,

$$
\left|f^{(s-2)}(z)-b_{s-2}\right| \leqslant r^{\mu} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0
$$

for $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ with $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in \Gamma_{\theta}$. By the same method, if $b_{s-1}=b_{s-2}=\ldots=$ $b_{j+1}=0$ and $b_{j} \neq 0, j \in\{0, \ldots, s-1\}$, then for any fixed $\mu>0$

$$
\left|f^{(j)}(z)-b_{j}\right| \leqslant r^{\mu} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right| \leqslant r^{\mu} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0 \text { for all } m \geqslant j+1 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ with $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in \Gamma_{\theta}$. Now it remains to show that the case $b_{s-1}=$ $b_{s-2}=\ldots=b_{0}=0$ is not possible. In this case, we have, for any fixed $\mu>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right| \leqslant r^{\mu} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ with $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in \Gamma_{\theta}$, for every $m \geqslant 0$ and any $\mu>0$, there exists $r_{0}(\mu, m)>0$ such that if $\left|z_{0}-z\right|=r<r_{0}$ then $\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right| \leqslant\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{\mu}$. Now we take $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ such that $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right|<r_{1}=\min _{m=0, \ldots, s} r_{0}(\mu, m)$; we remark here that if $z$ is fixed then (3.24) is valid for only some $\mu>0$ and not for all $\mu>0$. From (1.9) we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right|}{|f(z)|} \leqslant & \frac{1}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right|+\frac{\left|A_{k-1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(k-1)}}{f}\right|+\ldots+\frac{\left|A_{s+1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(s+1)}}{f}\right|  \tag{3.25}\\
& +\frac{\left|A_{s-1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{(s-1)}}{f}\right|+\ldots+\frac{\left|A_{1}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}\left|\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right|+\frac{\left|A_{0}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|}
\end{align*}
$$

and by using (1.13) and Lemma 2.2 in (3.25), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right|}{|f(z)|} \leqslant\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{\mu} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (3.24) for $m=0$ in (3.25), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right| \leqslant\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{2 \mu} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\left|z_{0}-z\right|<r_{1}$ and $\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right) \in I(\varepsilon) \backslash E$, hence in $S\left(\varepsilon+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)$ by Lemma 2.6. Repeating the reasoning of (3.22)-(3.24) with (3.27), we obtain

$$
|f(z)| \leqslant\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{2 \mu}
$$

and by combining with (3.26), we get

$$
\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right| \leqslant\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{3 \mu}
$$

in $S\left(\varepsilon+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon+\frac{1}{2^{2}} \varepsilon\right)$. Inductively, by the same reasoning, after $(T-1)$ steps, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right| \leqslant\left|z_{0}-z\right|^{T \mu} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

in

$$
S\left(\varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{T-1}}\right)=S\left(2 \varepsilon\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{T-1}}\right)\right)
$$

with $\left|z_{0}-z\right|<r_{1}$. Thus, we have proved, in this special case $b_{s-1}=b_{s-2}=\ldots=$ $b_{0}=0$, that (3.28) is valid in $S(2 \varepsilon)$ for all $T \in \mathbb{N}$, provided $\left|z_{0}-z\right|<r_{1}$. Fix now a finite line segment $L \subset S(2 \varepsilon)$ with $\left|z_{0}-z\right|<\min \left(1, r_{1}\right)$. By taking $T \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.28), $f^{(s)}(z)$ vanishes identically on such a line segment. Therefore, $f$ must be a polynomial. Since $f$ is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}-\left\{z_{0}\right\}, f$ has to be a constant. It is easy to see that the only constant solution of (1.9) is $f \equiv 0$, a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We will use the same method of the proof of Theorem 1.3. The assumption (1.17) implies that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right|<\delta$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left|A_{j}(z)\right|}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|} \leqslant \varepsilon \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda}{r^{\alpha}}\right),  \tag{3.29}\\
& \frac{1}{\left|A_{s}(z)\right|} \leqslant \varepsilon \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda}{r^{\alpha}}\right) . \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

By the same steps (3.13)-(3.15) with (3.29) and (3.30), we can prove that $f^{(s)}(z)$ is bounded in $S(\varepsilon)$, say

$$
\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right| \leqslant M_{1}
$$

in the whole sector $S\left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ small enough. As above, we can prove also that

$$
|f(z)| \leqslant M_{2}
$$

By using (3.29)-(3.30) in (3.17), for $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in \Gamma_{\theta}$ and $\arg \left(z_{0}-z\right)=\varphi \in I\left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right) \backslash E$, we get

$$
\left|f^{(s)}(z)\right| \leqslant \exp \frac{-\lambda+\tau}{r^{\alpha}}
$$

where $0<\tau<\lambda$. For $m>s$, as above, by (3.21) we obtain

$$
\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right| \leqslant \exp \frac{-\lambda+\tau}{r^{\alpha}}
$$

for all $z \in S(\varepsilon)$ with $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \in \Gamma_{\theta}, 0<\tau<\lambda$. Puting $a_{s}$ and $b_{s-1}$ as above and by Lemma 2.9, we get

$$
\left|f^{(s-1)}(z)-b_{s-1}\right| \leqslant \exp \frac{-\lambda+\tau}{r^{\alpha}}
$$

as $r=\left|z_{0}-z\right| \rightarrow 0$, where $0<\tau<\lambda$. By the same method used in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can prove the impossibility of the case $b_{s-1}=b_{s-2}=\ldots=b_{0}=0$.
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