## Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Yingying Zhang
Derived dimension via $\tau$-tilting theory

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 71 (2021), No. 4, 1167-1172

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/149246

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2021

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms of use.


This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://dml.cz

# DERIVED DIMENSION VIA $\tau$-TILTING THEORY 

Yingying Zhang, Huzhou

Received July 28, 2020. Published online April 26, 2021.


#### Abstract

Comparing the bounded derived categories of an algebra and of the endomorphism algebra of a given support $\tau$-tilting module, we find a relation between the derived dimensions of an algebra and of the endomorphism algebra of a given $\tau$-tilting module.
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## 1. Introduction

The dimension of a triangulated category, which is a measure of the complexity of the category, was introduced in [11]. It also gives a new invariant for algebras and algebraic varieties under derived equivalences. The dimension of the bounded derived category of a finite-dimensional algebra is called the derived dimension of this algebra, see [6]. Note that there is a close relation between the derived dimension and some other important notions, such as Loewy length, global dimension and representation dimension. Especially, the derived dimension of a finite-dimensional algebra is finite, see [11]. Usually it is very difficult to give the precise value of the derived dimension of an algebra. Many algebraists investigated the upper bound of the derived dimension of an algebra, see [5], [7], [10], [11], [14].

Tilting theory aims at comparing the representation theory of an algebra with that of the endomorphism algebra of a tilting module over that algebra. Also tilting theory is a rich source of derived equivalences. $\tau$-tilting theory, which completes tilting theory from the viewpoint of mutation, was introduced by Adachi, Iyama, Reiten, see [1]. Support $\tau$-tilting modules are very closely related with some other important notions, such as torsion classes, silting modules, silting complexes and cluster-tilting objects, see [1], [2] for details.

From [1] we know that tilting modules are exactly faithful support $\tau$-tilting modules. Hence, extending results from tilting theory to $\tau$-tilting theory increases the amount of algebras that can be compared to a given one. Treffinger in [13] compared the module categories of an algebra and of the endomorphism algebra of a given support $\tau$-tilting module, and then gave a generalization of tilting theorem in the framework of $\tau$-tilting theory. Suarez investigated the relation between the global dimensions of an algebra and of the endomorphism algebra of a $\tau$-tilting module, see [12].

In this paper, we deal with the comparison between the bounded derived category of a given algebra and the bounded derived category of the endomorphism algebra of a given support $\tau$-tilting module. Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.1). Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra and $T$ a support $\tau$-tilting $A$-module, $B=\operatorname{End}_{A}(T)$ be its endomorphism algebra and $C=$ $A /$ ann $T$ the factor algebra of $A$ modulo the annihilator of $T$.
(1) The derived functors

$$
\mathbf{R H o m}_{A}(T,-): D^{b}(\bmod A) \rightleftarrows D^{b}(\bmod B): \mathbf{L}\left(T \otimes_{B}-\right)
$$

are inverse triangle-equivalences if and only if $T$ is a tilting module.
(2) The derived functors

$$
\mathbf{R H o m}_{A}(T,-): D^{b}(\bmod C) \rightleftarrows D^{b}(\bmod B): \mathbf{L}\left(T \otimes_{B}-\right)
$$

are inverse triangle-equivalences.
Applying the above result, we find a relation between the derived dimensions of an algebra and of the endomorphism algebra of a given $\tau$-tilting module.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 3.2). Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra and $T$ a $\tau$-tilting $A$-module, $B=\operatorname{End}_{A}(T)$ be its endomorphism algebra. Then we have der. $\operatorname{dim}(A) \leqslant r(1+\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(B))-1$, where the annihilator of $T$ is a nilpotent ideal with $(\operatorname{ann} T)^{r}=0$.

Notation. Let $K$ be an algebraically closed field and $A$ a finite-dimensional basic $K$-algebra. We denote by $\bmod A$ the category of finitely generated right $A$-modules, and by $D^{b}(\bmod A)$ the bounded derived category. The Auslander-Reiten translation of $A$ is denoted by $\tau$. The annihilator of $A$-module $T$ is denoted by ann $T$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some basic materials that will be used later.
2.1. $\tau$-titing theory. First, we recall the definition of support $\tau$-tilting modules from [1].

Definition 2.1. Let $X \in \bmod A$.
(1) We call $X$ in $\bmod A \tau$-rigid if $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, \tau X)=0$.
(2) We call $X$ in $\bmod A \tau$-tilting if $X$ is $\tau$-rigid and $|X|=|A|$, where $|X|$ denotes the number of nonisomorphic indecomposable direct summands of $X$.
(3) We call $X$ in $\bmod A$ support $\tau$-tilting if there exists an idempotent $e$ of $A$ such that $X$ is a $\tau$-tilting $(A /\langle e\rangle)$-module.

We recall some properties of support $\tau$-tilting modules associated with $\tau$-tilting modules and tilting modules.

Proposition 2.2 ([1], Proposition 2.2).
(1) $\tau$-tilting modules are precisely sincere support $\tau$-tilting modules.
(2) Tilting modules are precisely faithful support $\tau$-tilting modules.
(3) Any $\tau$-tilting $A$-module $T$ is a tilting $C$-module, where $C=A / \operatorname{ann} T$.
2.2. Derived dimension. We recall some notions from [9], [10], [11]. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{T}$. Let $\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle$ be the smallest full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ containing $\mathcal{I}$ and closed under finite direct sums, direct summands and shifts. Given two subclasses $\mathcal{I}_{1}, \mathcal{I}_{2} \subseteq \mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{T}$, we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{1} * \mathcal{I}_{2}$ the full subcategory of all extensions between them, that is,

$$
\mathcal{I}_{1} * \mathcal{I}_{2}=\left\{X: X_{1} \rightarrow X \rightarrow X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}[1] \text { with } X_{1} \in \mathcal{I}_{1} \text { and } X_{2} \in \mathcal{I}_{2}\right\} .
$$

Write $\mathcal{I}_{1} \diamond \mathcal{I}_{2}:=\left\langle\mathcal{I}_{1} * \mathcal{I}_{2}\right\rangle$. Then $\left(\mathcal{I}_{1} \diamond \mathcal{I}_{2}\right) \diamond \mathcal{I}_{3}=\mathcal{I}_{1} \diamond\left(\mathcal{I}_{2} \diamond \mathcal{I}_{3}\right)$ for any subclasses $\mathcal{I}_{1}, \mathcal{I}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{3}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ by the octahedral axiom. Write

$$
\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle_{0}:=0, \quad\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle_{1}:=\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle_{n+1}:=\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle_{n} \diamond\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle_{1} \quad \text { for any } n \geqslant 1 .
$$

Definition 2.3 ([11], Definition 3.2). The dimension $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{T}$ of a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ is the minimal $d$ such that there exists an object $M \in \mathcal{T}$ with $\mathcal{T}=\langle M\rangle_{d+1}$. If no such $M$ exists for any $d$, then we $\operatorname{set} \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{T}=\infty$.

Now we give the definition of derived dimension of an algebra.

Definition 2.4 ([6]). The derived dimension of $A$ is the dimension of the triangulated category $D^{b}(\bmod A)$, denoted by $\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A)$.

A finite-dimensional algebra $A$ is said to be derived finite if up to shift and isomorphism there is only a finite number of indecomposable objects in $D^{b}(\bmod A)$, see [4], Definition 1. Clearly, der. $\operatorname{dim}(A)=0$ if and only if $A$ is derived finite. By [6], a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field is derived finite if and only if it is an iterated tilted algebra of Dynkin type.

The following result establishes a relation between derived dimensions of an algebra and of its factor algebra.

Proposition 2.5 ([10], Lemma 7.35). Let $A$ be an algebra and $I$ a nilpotent ideal of $A$ with $I^{r}=0$. We have $\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A) \leqslant r(1+\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A / I))-1$.

## 3. Main results

Given an algebra $A$ and a support $\tau$-tilting $A$-module $T$, we consider the algebras $B=\operatorname{End}_{A}(T)$ and $C=A / \operatorname{ann} T$. Treffinger in [13] compared the module categories of $A$ and $B$, and gave a generalization of the Brenner-Butler's tilting theorem in the framework of $\tau$-tilting theory. We replace the module categories by their derived categories, and adapt the conditions accordingly. We obtain the following statement.

Theorem 3.1. Let $T$ be a support $\tau$-tilting $A$-module, $B=\operatorname{End}_{A}(T)$ be its endomorphism algebra and $C=A /$ ann $T$.
(1) The derived functors

$$
\operatorname{RHom}_{A}(T,-): D^{b}(\bmod A) \rightleftarrows D^{b}(\bmod B): \mathbf{L}\left(T \otimes_{B}-\right)
$$

are inverse triangle-equivalences if and only if $T$ is a tilting module.
(2) The derived functors

$$
\mathbf{R H o m}_{A}(T,-): D^{b}(\bmod C) \rightleftarrows D^{b}(\bmod B): \mathbf{L}\left(T \otimes_{B}-\right)
$$

are inverse triangle-equivalences.
Proof. (1) By [8], the derived functors $\mathbf{R H o m}_{A}(T,-)$ and $\mathbf{L}\left(T \otimes_{B}-\right)$ are inverse triangle-equivalences if and only if $T$ is a tilting module of finite projective dimension. Thus, $T$ is a faithful support $\tau$-tilting module. It follows that $T$ is a tilting module by Proposition 2.2 (2).
(2) Because of Proposition 2.2 (3) and [1], Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 2.7, $T$ is a tilting $C$-module. Moreover, since $\bmod C$ is a full subcategory of $\bmod A$, we have that $\operatorname{End}_{C}(T) \cong \operatorname{End}_{A}(T)=B$. Therefore it follows from [8] that $C$ is derived equivalent to $B$.

Assume that $T$ is a $\tau$-tilting module. Suarez investigated the relation between the global dimensions of $A$ and of $B$ in [12]. Here we replace the global dimensions by their derived dimensions and get the following result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $A$ be an algebra and $T$ a $\tau$-tilting $A$-module, $B=\operatorname{End}_{A}(T)$ be its endomorphism algebra. Then we have $\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A) \leqslant r(1+\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(B))-1$, where ann $T$ is a nilpotent ideal with $(\operatorname{ann} T)^{r}=0$.

Proof. Since $T$ is a $\tau$-tilting module, by Proposition $2.2(1) T$ is sincere. It follows from [3] that ann $T$ is a nilpotent ideal. By Theorem $3.1(2), \operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(B)=$ $\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A / \operatorname{ann} T)$. Applying Proposition 2.5, we have

$$
\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A) \leqslant r(1+\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A / \operatorname{ann} T))-1
$$

## Remark 3.3.

(1) It is obvious that the equality holds if $T$ is a tilting module.
(2) The derived dimension of $A$ can be strictly less than $r(1+\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(B))-1$. For example, let $A$ be an iterated tilted algebra of Dynkin type and $T$ a $\tau$-tilting module which is not tilting.

In fact, the bound of Theorem 3.2 is sharp, even $T$ is $\tau$-tilting which is not tilting. We illustrate this on a simple but non trivial example.

Example 3.4. Let $A$ be a radical square zero algebra with the following quiver $Q$ :

$$
1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \bigcirc \beta
$$

Observe that $\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A)=1$. The support $\tau$-tilting quiver of $A$ is the following:


Consider $T={ }_{2}^{1} 1$ a unique $\tau$-tilting $A$-module which is not tilting. In this case, $\operatorname{ann} T=\langle\beta\rangle$ and $(\operatorname{ann} T)^{2}=0$, i.e., $r=2$.

The endomorphism algebra $B=\operatorname{End}_{A}(T)$ is the hereditary algebra with quiver $1 \leftarrow 2$. Then der. $\cdot \operatorname{dim}(B)=0$.

Therefore $1=\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(A) \leqslant r(1+\operatorname{der} \cdot \operatorname{dim}(B))-1=1$.
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