Julio Pérez-Hernández; Mario Pineda-Ruelas Ramification in quartic cyclic number fields K generated by x^4+px^2+p

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 146 (2021), No. 4, 471-481

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/149261

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2021

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

RAMIFICATION IN QUARTIC CYCLIC NUMBER FIELDS K GENERATED BY $x^4 + px^2 + p$

JULIO PÉREZ-HERNÁNDEZ, MARIO PINEDA-RUELAS, Mexico City

Received September 9, 2019. Published online February 11, 2021. Communicated by Clemens Fuchs

Abstract. If K is the splitting field of the polynomial $f(x) = x^4 + px^2 + p$ and p is a rational prime of the form $4+n^2$, we give appropriate generators of K to obtain the explicit factorization of the ideal $q\mathcal{O}_K$, where q is a positive rational prime. For this, we calculate the index of these generators and integral basis of certain prime ideals.

Keywords: ramification; cyclic quartic field; discriminant; index

MSC 2020: 11S15, 11R16

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a number field of degree n and \mathcal{O}_K the ring of integers K. We choose $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, and denote by δ_K the discriminant of K and $D(\alpha)$ the discriminant of the basis $\{1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$. We associate to α the positive integer $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) = \sqrt{D(\alpha)/\delta_K}$ called the *index of* α . We know that δ_K and $D(\alpha)$ are related by $D(\alpha) = \det(C)^2 \delta_K$, where C is the coefficient matrix that maps the basis $1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}$ to some fixed integral basis of K. Since $D(\alpha) = \operatorname{ind}(\alpha)^2 \delta_K$, then $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) = |\det(C)|$. According to the Theorem 9.1.2 of [2] we have $\operatorname{ind}(\theta) = [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$, so that $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]] = |\det(C)|$. Let p be a positive rational prime and let P_1, \ldots, P_g be prime ideals in \mathcal{O}_K such that

$$p\mathcal{O}_K = P_1^{e_1} \dots P_q^{e_g}.$$

If $I \neq \{o\}$ is any ideal of \mathcal{O}_K , we denote by $N(I) = |\mathcal{O}_K/I|$ the norm of the ideal I. Moreover, if $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ is an integral basis of I, then $N(I) = \sqrt{D(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)/\delta_K}$.

DOI: 10.21136/MB.2021.0131-19

The first author has been supported by CONACyT (México) 291197.

Particularly, $N(P_i) = |\mathcal{O}_K/P_i| = p^{f_i}$ for i = 1, ..., n and some $f_i \in \mathbb{N}$. If K/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois extension, then $e = e_1 = ... = e_g$, $f = f_1 = ... = f_g$ and efg = n. If $G = \operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we denote the norm of α by $N(\alpha) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\alpha)$. If $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + ... + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + x^n = \operatorname{Irr}(\alpha, \mathbb{Z})$, then $N(\alpha) = (-1)^n a_0$.

An old problem in algebraic number theory consists in explicitly giving prime ideals P_i with generators and positive integers e_i such that $p\mathcal{O}_K = P_1^{e_1} \dots P_g^{e_g}$. If pis a prime number such that $p \nmid \operatorname{ind}(\alpha)$ then we can decompose theoretically $p\mathcal{O}_K$ as Dedekind's theorem ensures. Conrad has a comprehensive exposition of Dedekind's theorem in [4].

Theorem 1.1 (Dedekind). Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be a number field with $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$, p be a rational prime and $f(x) = \operatorname{Irr}(\alpha, \mathbb{Q}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let us consider the natural map $\exists \mathbb{Z}[x] \to \mathbb{F}_p[x]$, where $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\overline{f}(x) = g_1(x)^{e_1} \dots g_r(x)^{e_r}$, where $g_1(x), \dots, g_r(x)$ are distinct irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ and e_1, \dots, e_r are positive integers. For $i = 1, \dots, r$ let $f_i(x)$ be any polynomial of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $\overline{f}_i(x) = g_i(x)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(f_i(x)) = \operatorname{deg}(g_i(x))$. Set

$$P_i = \langle p, f_i(\alpha) \rangle.$$

If $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$, then P_1, \ldots, P_r are distinct prime ideals of \mathcal{O}_K with

$$p\mathcal{O}_K = P_1^{e_1} \dots P_r^{e_r}$$
 and $N(P_i) = p^{\deg(f_i(x))}$.

But if $p \mid \operatorname{ind}(\alpha)$ or $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$ we have the question: can we factorize $p\mathcal{O}_K$? Obviously we can't factorize $p\mathcal{O}_K$ using Dedekind's theorem, unless we could change α for another $\alpha' \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $p \nmid \operatorname{ind}(\alpha')$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$. Remember that $\operatorname{ind}(K) = \operatorname{gcd}\{\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K, K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)\}$, so, if $p \mid \operatorname{ind}(K)$, we can't find α' as we wish.

In cubic number fields K, Llorente and Nart (see [12]) give the factorization of $p\mathcal{O}_K$ for any prime p, but don't give generators of the prime ideal factors. Following the cubic case, Alaca et al. (see [1]) give the explicit factorization of $2\mathcal{O}_K$, where $\operatorname{ind}(K) = 2$. Guàrdia et al. (see [7]) build an algorithm to compute generators for the prime ideals P_i and the discriminant of any number field. This algorithm is a p-adic factorization method based on Newton polygons of higher order. The theory of Newton polygons of higher order is developed by Montes in [13] and revised in [8]. We suggest the interested reader to delight in reading [7]; we also suggest reading Chapter 6 in [3], where the reader can find an introduction to this subject and, especially, a version of Dedekind's theorem without using the hypothesis $p \nmid \operatorname{ind}(\alpha)$. In this paper we are interested in getting the factorization of $q\mathcal{O}_K$ with $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, where $f(\alpha) = 0$, $f(x) = x^4 + px^2 + p$ and, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p = 4 + n^2$ is a rational prime. We don't use Newton polygons; we use explicitly the integral basis of cyclic quartic fields (see [10]), we calculate the integral basis of some prime ideals and we make calculation of the index of generators of K. In our case, it is relatively easy to factorize $q\mathcal{O}_K$, when q > 2. For this reason, we start Section 3 by factoring $q\mathcal{O}_K$ for any prime $q \neq p$ such that $q \neq 2$ and $q \nmid n$, this includes the first case of the factorization of q = 3. We finish Section 3 by factoring q = 2. In Section 4 we study the case when K has index 3 and q = 3.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper we shall consider a quartic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with

$$\alpha = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}(p - n\sqrt{p})}$$

and $p = 4 + n^2 \in \mathbb{N}$ being a prime number. If $f(x) = x^4 + bx^2 + d \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is irreducible, then the Galois group of f(x) can be V, C_4 or D_4 , where V is the Klein 4-group, C_4 is the cyclic group of order 4, and D_4 is the dihedral group of order 8. If $f(x) = x^4 + px^2 + p$ with p a prime number and $\alpha^4 + p\alpha^2 + p = 0$, then, according to Theorem 3 in [11], $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is cyclic if and only if $p = 4 + n^2$. Hardy et al. (see [9]) show that any cyclic quartic field can be expressed in a unique way as

$$\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{A(D+B\sqrt{D})}\Big),$$

where $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that A is an odd squarefree integer, $D = B^2 + C^2$ is squarefree, B > 0, C > 0 and A, D are relatively prime. Hudson and Williams (see [10]) give an integral basis for the integer ring of $K = \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{A(D + B\sqrt{D})}\right)$. In our case, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Since

$$\alpha' = \frac{n+2}{2}\alpha + \frac{\sqrt{p}}{2}\alpha,$$

then $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha') \subset \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. But $\operatorname{Irr}(\alpha', \mathbb{Q}) = x^4 + 2px^2 + n^2p$, so

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha'), \quad \alpha' = \sqrt{-(p + 2\sqrt{p})}, \quad \beta' = \sqrt{-(p - 2\sqrt{p})},$$

where $p = 4 + n^2$ is a rational prime. According to the unique theorem in [10], an integral basis for \mathcal{O}_K is as follows: if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then

$$\omega_1 = 1, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{p}}{2}, \quad \omega_3 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{p} + \alpha' + \beta'}{4}, \quad \omega_4 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{p} + \alpha' - \beta'}{4}$$
473

and if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then

$$\omega_1 = 1, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{p}}{2}, \quad \omega_3 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{p} + \alpha' - \beta'}{4}, \quad \omega_4 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{p} + \alpha' + \beta'}{4}.$$

In any case $\delta_K = p^3$, and so p is the only ramified prime.

Theorem 2.1. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with

$$\alpha = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}(p - n\sqrt{p})}$$

Then $p\mathcal{O}_K = \langle \alpha \rangle^4$.

Proof. We have

$$\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) = \sqrt{\frac{D(\alpha)}{\delta_K}} = \sqrt{\frac{2^4 n^4 p^3}{p^3}} = 2^2 n^2,$$

then $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $\operatorname{Irr}(\alpha, \mathbb{Q}) = x^4 + px^2 + p$, by Theorem 1.1, $p\mathcal{O}_K = \langle p, \alpha \rangle^4 = \langle \alpha \rangle^4$.

Since K is a Galois extension, then any prime $q \neq p$ does not ramify, i.e. e = 1 and fg = 4, so we have g = 1, g = 2 or g = 4.

On the other hand, Engstrom in [6] shows that for any quartic number field K, ind(K) = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12. Sperman and Williams in Theorem A (see [14]) show that, in the cyclic case, ind(K) assumes all of these values and give necessary and sufficient conditions for each to occur. In our case, according to Theorem A of [14], ind(K) = 1, 3.

Theorem 2.2. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with $p = 4 + n^2$ be a rational prime. Then $\operatorname{ind}(K) = 3$ if and only if $3 \mid n$.

Proof. By Theorem A of [14], we have that if $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $\operatorname{ind}(K) = 1$; and if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $\operatorname{ind}(K) = 3$. If $\operatorname{ind}(K) = 3$, then $p \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Since $p = 4 + n^2 \ge 5$, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Therefore $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. If n = 3t for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$p = 4 + 9t^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3},$$

so $\operatorname{ind}(K) = 3$.

474

3. Factoring $q \neq p$

Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be a rational prime number. To use Dedekind's theorem to factorize $q\mathcal{O}_K$ in \mathcal{O}_K where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$, we need that $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ or $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha') \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, but if

$$\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) = 2^2 n^2, \quad \operatorname{ind}(\alpha') = 2^6 n,$$

then we can factorize any prime $q \neq 2$, $q \neq p$ and $q \nmid n$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and q be a rational prime such that $q \neq 2$ and $q \nmid n$. Then:

- (1) If $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = -1$, then $q\mathcal{O}_K = \langle q, \alpha^4 + p\alpha^2 + p \rangle$ is a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K . (2) If $p \equiv t^2 \pmod{q}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\left(\frac{-p-2t}{q}\right) = -1$, then

$$q\mathcal{O}_K = \langle q, \alpha^2 + a_1\alpha + a_0 \rangle \langle q, \alpha^2 + b_1\alpha + b_0 \rangle,$$

where $a_1, a_0, b_1, b_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy

$$x^4 + px^2 + p \equiv (x^2 + a_1x + a_0)(x^2 + b_1x + b_0) \pmod{q}.$$

(3) If $p \equiv t^2 \pmod{q}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\left(\frac{-p-2t}{q}\right) = 1$, then

$$q\mathcal{O}_K = \langle q, \alpha + a_0 \rangle \langle q, \alpha + b_0 \rangle \langle q, \alpha + a_1 \rangle \langle q, \alpha + b_1 \rangle,$$

where $a_1, a_0, b_1, b_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy

$$x^{4} + px^{2} + p \equiv (x + a_{0})(x + b_{0})(x + a_{1})(x + b_{1}) \pmod{q}.$$

Proof. We prove only the first assertion, the others are similar. As $ind(\alpha) \neq 0$ $(\mod q)$, we can use Dedekind's theorem. Since

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = -1,$$

then

$$\left(\frac{p^2 - 4p}{q}\right) = -1,$$

so by Theorem 3 (iv) in [5], we have that $x^4 + px^2 + p$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Therefore $q\mathcal{O}_K = \langle q, \alpha^4 + p\alpha^2 + p \rangle.$

Note that if $3 \nmid n$, then $\operatorname{ind}(K) = 1$. By (1) above, we have $3\mathcal{O}_K = \langle 3 \rangle$. If $q \mid n$, then $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) \equiv \operatorname{ind}(\alpha') \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. So we need to find new generators that satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.1. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$ be with $\alpha' = \sqrt{-(p+2\sqrt{p})}$ and $\beta' = \sqrt{-(p-2\sqrt{p})}$. Then:

(i) $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha') = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha' + t\beta')$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$;

(ii) $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha' + t\beta') = 2^6(4t - n(1 - t^2))(t^2 - 1 - tn)^2$.

Proof. Since $\alpha', \beta' \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$, then $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha' + t\beta') \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$. By Theorem 2 (iii) in [11] we have that

$$h(x) = x^{4} + 2p(1+t^{2})x^{2} + p(4t - n(1-t^{2}))^{2}$$

is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Since $h(\alpha' + t\beta') = 0$, then $h(x) = \operatorname{Irr}(\alpha' + t\beta', \mathbb{Q})$. Therefore $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha' + t\beta') : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ and so $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha') = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha' + t\beta')$.

For the second assertion we know that $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha' + t\beta') = \sqrt{D(\alpha' + t\beta')/\delta_K}$ and $D(\alpha' + t\beta') = N(h'(\alpha' + t\beta'))$, where h'(x) is the derivative of h(x). Since

$$h'(\alpha' + t\beta') = 4(\alpha' + t\beta')((\alpha' + t\beta')^2 + p(1 + t^2)) = 4(\alpha' + t\beta')(2t^2 - 2 - 2tn)\sqrt{p},$$

then $N(h'(\alpha' + t\beta')) = 4^4 p (4t - n(1 - t^2))^2 (2t^2 - 2 - 2tn)^4 p^2$.

Thus

$$\operatorname{ind}(\alpha' + t\beta') = 2^6(4t - n(1 - t^2))(t^2 - 1 - tn)^2.$$

We note that if $q \mid n$, then $q \mid ind(\alpha' + t\beta')$ if and only if $q \mid t - 1$, $q \mid t$ or $q \mid t + 1$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$ and q be a rational prime such that $q \neq 2, 3$ and $q \mid n$. If $\theta_1 = \alpha' + 2\beta'$, then:

(1) If $q \equiv 5,7 \pmod{8}$, then $q\mathcal{O}_K = \langle q, \theta_1^2 + a_1\theta_1 + a_0 \rangle \langle q, \theta_1^2 + b_1\theta_1 + b_0 \rangle$, where $a_1, a_0, b_1, b_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy

$$x^{4} + 10px^{2} + p(8+3n)^{2} \equiv (x^{2} + a_{1}x + a_{0})(x^{2} + b_{1}x + b_{0}) \pmod{q}$$

(2) If $q \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$, then $q\mathcal{O}_K = \langle q, \theta_1 + a_0 \rangle \langle q, \theta_1 + b_0 \rangle \langle q, \theta_1 + a_1 \rangle \langle q, \theta_1 + b_1 \rangle$, where $a_1, a_0, b_1, b_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy

$$x^{4} + 10px^{2} + p(8+3n)^{2} \equiv (x+a_{0})(x+b_{0})(x+a_{1})(x+b_{1}) \pmod{q}$$

Proof. We note that for θ_1 it follows that $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta_1)$ and $\operatorname{ind}(\theta_1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.

Now we factorize q = 2 no matter what ind(K) is.

Proposition 3.2. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$ as in Proposition 3.1. Then:

- (i) $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha') = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ with $\theta = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha');$
- (ii) $ind(\theta) = n$, where $p = 4 + n^2 = 4k + 1$.

Proof. First note that $\mathbb{Q}(\theta) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$. Let us consider

$$h(x) = x^{4} - 2x^{3} + 2(k+1)x^{2} - (2k+1)x + k^{2}.$$

By Theorem 2 (iii) in [11],

$$h\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right) = x^4 + \left(-\frac{3}{2} + 2(k+1)\right)x^2 + \left(-\frac{3}{16} - \frac{k}{2} + k^2\right)$$

is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Therefore h(x) is irreducible. Since $h(\theta) = 0$, we have

$$\operatorname{Irr}(\theta, \mathbb{Q}) = x^4 - 2x^3 + 2(k+1)x^2 - (2k+1)x + k^2$$

and $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha') = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. For the assertion (ii) remember that

$$D(\theta) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1-p & \frac{1-3p}{2} \\ 2 & 1-p & \frac{1-3p}{2} & \frac{(p-1)^2}{4} \\ 1-p & \frac{1-3p}{2} & \frac{(p-1)^2}{4} & \frac{1+10p+5p^2}{8} \\ \frac{1-3p}{2} & \frac{(p-1)^2}{4} & \frac{1+10p+5p^2}{8} & \frac{1+45p+3p^2-p^3}{16} \end{pmatrix},$$

so $D(\theta) = n^2 p^3$. Therefore $\operatorname{ind}(\theta) = \sqrt{n^2 p^3 / p^3} = n$.

As a consequence of (ii) above we have $2 \nmid ind(\theta)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let K be as in Proposition 3.1 and $\theta = \frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha')$. Then $2\mathcal{O}_K = \langle 2 \rangle$.

Proof. Note that $\operatorname{Irr}(\theta) = x^4 - 2x^3 + 2(k+1)x^2 - (2k+1)x + k^2 \equiv x^4 + x + 1 \pmod{2}$ and $x^4 + x + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Therefore by Dedekind's theorem $2\mathcal{O}_K = \langle 2, \theta^4 + \theta + 1 \rangle$. Finally $N(\langle 2, \theta^4 + \theta + 1 \rangle) = 2^4$, $N(\langle 2 \rangle) = N(2) = 2^4$ and $\langle 2 \rangle \subseteq \langle 2, \theta^4 + \theta + 1 \rangle$, so $2\mathcal{O}_K = \langle 2, \theta^4 + \theta + 1 \rangle = \langle 2 \rangle$ is principal.

4. Factoring 3 with ind(K) = 3

In Section 3 we obtained the factorization of $3\mathcal{O}_K$ in the case $\operatorname{ind}(K) = 1$. Remember that $3 \mid n$ if and only if $\operatorname{ind}(K) = 3$. If 3 is a common index divisor of K, we can't use Dedekind's theorem. We find new generators.

Lemma 4.1. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$ with $\alpha' = \sqrt{-(p+2\sqrt{p})}$ and $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4\}$ be the integral basis as in Section 2. Then:

(i) $\frac{1}{2}(3 + \alpha') = 1 + \omega_3 + \omega_4;$ (ii) $\frac{1}{2}(5 - \alpha') = 3 - \omega_3 - \omega_4;$ (iii) $\frac{1}{2}(5 + \alpha') = 2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4.$

Proof. We prove only one case, the others are similar. If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\omega_1 = 1, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{p}}{2}, \quad \omega_3 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{p} + \alpha' + \beta'}{4}, \quad \omega_4 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{p} + \alpha' - \beta'}{4}.$$

Therefore $1 + \omega_3 + \omega_4 = \frac{1}{2}(3 + \alpha').$

Proposition 4.1. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$ be as in Lemma 4.1. The ideals

$$M = \left\langle 3, \frac{3+\alpha'}{2} \right\rangle, \quad P_1 = \left\langle 3, \frac{5-\alpha'}{2} \right\rangle, \quad P_2 = \left\langle 3, \frac{5+\alpha'}{2} \right\rangle$$

satisfy:

- (i) $M = 3\mathbb{Z} + (3 + 3\omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (-4 + \omega_2 3\omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (1 + \omega_3 + \omega_4)\mathbb{Z};$
- (ii) $P_1 = 3\mathbb{Z} + (-17 + \omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (-8 + \omega_2 + \omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (-3 + \omega_3 + \omega_4)\mathbb{Z};$
- (iii) $P_2 = 3\mathbb{Z} + (-1 + \omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (\omega_2 + 3\omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4)\mathbb{Z}.$

Proof. Only we comment the proof of assertion (i). Since $1+\omega_3+\omega_4 = \frac{1}{2}(3+\alpha')$, then $M \subset 3\mathbb{Z} + (3+3\omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (-4+\omega_2-3\omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (1+\omega_3+\omega_4)\mathbb{Z}$. The other statement is obtained by solving a linear equation system. The other assertions are similar. \Box

Corollary 4.1. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$, M, P_1 and P_2 be as in Proposition 4.1. Then N(M) = 9, $N(P_1) = N(P_2) = 3$.

 ${\rm P\,r\,o\,o\,f.}$ Proposition 4.1 provides an integral basis. Next calculate the discriminant. $\hfill \Box$

Since $N(P_1) = N(P_2) = 3$ we have that P_1 and P_2 are prime ideals of \mathcal{O}_K and $P_1 \cap \mathbb{Z} = P_2 \cap \mathbb{Z} = 3\mathbb{Z}$. Also it is clear that $P_1 \neq P_2$ and $M \neq \mathcal{O}_K$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$ with $\alpha' = \sqrt{-(p+2\sqrt{p})}$. Let us consider

$$M = \left\langle 3, \frac{3+\alpha'}{2} \right\rangle, \quad P_1 = \left\langle 3, \frac{5-\alpha'}{2} \right\rangle, \quad P_2 = \left\langle 3, \frac{5+\alpha'}{2} \right\rangle.$$

Then

$$3\mathcal{O}_K = MP_1P_2.$$

Proof. First we show that

$$P_1P_2 = \left\langle 9, 6 + 3\omega_3 + 3\omega_4, 9 - 3\omega_3 - 3\omega_4, \frac{23+p}{4} + \omega_2 \right\rangle = \langle 3, -\omega_2 \rangle,$$

where $\{1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4\}$ is an integral basis as in Section 2, no matter if $n \equiv 1$ or 3 (mod 4).

In our case, $\operatorname{ind}(K) = 3$ and p = 4k + 1 implies that k = 3m for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\frac{1}{4}(23+p) + \omega_2 = 3(2+m) + \omega_2 \in \langle 3, -\omega_2 \rangle$, we have $P_1P_2 \subset \langle 3, -\omega_2 \rangle$. Likewise

$$3 = 2(9) - 3\left(\frac{5+\alpha'}{2}\right) - 3\left(\frac{5-\alpha'}{2}\right)$$

and

$$\frac{23+p}{4} = 3(2+m),$$

then

$$\omega_2 = \left(\frac{23+p}{4} + \omega_2\right) - \left(\frac{23+p}{4}\right) \in P_1 P_2$$

and therefore, $\langle 3, -\omega_2 \rangle \subset P_1 P_2$.

Finally, as $-\omega_2 = \frac{1}{4}(\alpha'^2 + (p-2))$ then

$$MP_1P_2 = \left\langle 9, 3\frac{3+\alpha'}{2}, 3\frac{\alpha'^2 + (p-2)}{4}, \frac{3+\alpha'}{2}\frac{\alpha'^2 + (p-2)}{4} \right\rangle$$

The following numbers are in $3\mathcal{O}_K$:

$$\frac{3+\alpha'}{2}\frac{\alpha'^2+(p-2)}{4}, \quad 9, \quad 3\frac{\alpha'^2+(p-2)}{4}, \quad 3\frac{3+\alpha'}{2},$$

so $MP_1P_2 \subseteq 3\mathcal{O}_K$. Since $N(MP_1P_2) = N(3\mathcal{O}_K) = 3^4$, then $MP_1P_2 = 3\mathcal{O}_K$. \Box

In the next result we give an integral basis of some prime ideals that will help us to decompose the ideal M.

Proposition 4.2. Let K be as in Theorem 4.1. If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ let's consider the ideals $Q_1 = \langle 3, \omega_2 - \omega_3 \rangle$, $Q_2 = \langle 3, -\omega_3 \rangle$ and if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, let's consider the ideals $Q'_1 = \langle 3, -1 - \omega_4 \rangle$, $Q'_2 = \langle 3, 2 - \omega_2 - \omega_4 \rangle$. Then:

- (i) $Q_1 = 3\mathbb{Z} + (1 \omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (\omega_2 \omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (1 + \omega_2 + \omega_4)\mathbb{Z};$
- (ii) $Q_2 = 3\mathbb{Z} + (2 + \omega_2 \omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (\omega_2 + \omega_4)\mathbb{Z} \omega_3\mathbb{Z};$
- (iii) $Q'_1 = 3\mathbb{Z} + (-1 \omega_4)\mathbb{Z} + \omega_3\mathbb{Z} + (3 \omega_2 \omega_4)\mathbb{Z};$
- (iv) $Q'_2 = 3\mathbb{Z} + (1 \omega_4)\mathbb{Z} + (2 + \omega_3)\mathbb{Z} + (-2 + \omega_2 + \omega_4)\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1.

By Proposition 4.2 it is clear that $N(Q_1) = N(Q_2) = N(Q'_1) = N(Q'_2) = 3$ and therefore Q_1, Q_2, Q'_1, Q'_2 are prime ideals.

Theorem 4.2. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha')$ with $\alpha' = \sqrt{-(p+2\sqrt{p})}$ and Q_1, Q_2, Q'_1, Q'_2 be as in Proposition 4.2. Then

$$M = \begin{cases} Q_1 Q_2 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ Q_1' Q_2' & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we show that $Q_1Q_2 = \langle 3, 1 + \omega_3 + \omega_4 \rangle = M$. First we note that 9, $-3\omega_3$, $3\omega_2 - 3\omega_3 \in \langle 3, 1 + \omega_3 + \omega_4 \rangle$. As n = 4l + 3 for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $-\omega_3(\omega_2 - \omega_3) = (-3l^2 - 4l - 2) - (1 + l)\omega_2$. By Proposition 4.1, $\{3, 3 + 3\omega_3, -4 + \omega_2 - 3\omega_3, 1 + \omega_3 + \omega_4\}$ is an integral basis of M and

$$(-3l^2 - 4l - 2) - (1 + l)\omega_2 = 3x_1 + (3 + 3\omega_3)x_2 + (-4 + \omega_2 - 3\omega_3)x_3 + (1 + \omega_3 + \omega_4)x_4,$$

where $x_1 = \frac{1}{3}(-3l^2 - 5l - 3)$, $x_2 = -l - 1$, $x_3 = -l - 1$, $x_4 = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $-\omega_3(\omega_2 - \omega_3) \in M$ and $Q_1Q_2 \subseteq M$. Since $N(Q_1Q_2) = N(M) = 9$ we conclude that $Q_1Q_2 = M$. The factorization $M = Q'_1Q'_2$ in the case $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is similar. \Box

A c k n o w l e d g e m e n t. We thank the referee for the valuable comments, which improved the presentation of this work.

References

[1]	<i>Ş. Alaca, B. K. Spearman, K. S. Williams</i> : The factorization of 2 in cubic fields with index 2. Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 14 (2004), 273–282.
[2]	S. Alaca, K. S. Williams: Introductory Algebraic Number Theory. Cambridge University
	Press, Cambridge, 2004. zbl MR doi
[3]	H. Cohen: A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory. Graduate Texts in
	Mathematics 138. Springer, Berlin, 1993. Zbl MR doi
[4]	K. Conrad: Factoring after Dedekind. Available at
	https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/gradnumthy/dedekindf.pdf, 7 pages.
[5]	E. Driver, P. A. Leonard, K. S. Williams: Irreducible quartic polynomials with factoriza-
	tions modulo <i>p</i> . Am. Math. Mon. <i>112</i> (2005), 876–890. Zbl MR doi
[6]	H. T. Engstrom: On the common index divisors of an algebraic field. Trans. Am. Math.
	Soc. 32 (1930), 223–237. zbl MR doi
[7]	J. Guàrdia, J. Montes, E. Nart: Higher Newton polygons in the computation of discrim-
	inants and prime ideals decomposition in number fields. J. Théor. Nombres Bordx. 23
	(2011), 667–696. zbl MR doi
[8]	J. Guàrdia, J. Montes, E. Nart: Newton polygons of higher order in algebraic number
	theory. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 364 (2012), 361 –416. Zbl MR doi
[9]	K. Hardy, R. H. Hudson, D. Richman, K. S. Williams, N. M. Holtz. Calculation of the
	Class Numbers of Imaginary Cyclic Quartic Fields. Carleton-Ottawa Mathematical Lec-
	ture Note Series 7. Carleton University, Ottawa, 1986. zbl
[10]	R. H. Hudson, K. S. Williams: The integers of a cyclic quartic field. Rocky Mt. J. Math.
r	20 (1990), 145–150. zbl MR doi
[11]	LC. Kappe, B. Warren: An elementary test for the Galois group of a quartic polyno-
[10]	mial. Am. Math. Mon. 96 (1989), 133–137. Zbl MR doi
[12]	P. Llorente, E. Nart: Effective determination of the decomposition of the rational primes
[10]	in a cubic field. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), 579–585. Zbl MR doi
[13]	J. Montes: Polígonos de Newton de orden superior y aplicaciones aritméticas: Disserta-
[1] 4]	tion Ph.D. Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, 1999. (In Spanish.)
[14]	B. K. Spearman, K. S. Williams: The index of a cyclic quartic field. Monatsh. Math. 140
	(2003), 19-70. zbl MR doi

Authors' address: Julio Pérez-Hernández, Mario Pineda-Ruelas, Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa, Avenida San Rafael Atlixco No. 186, Col. Vicentina, CP 09340, Ciudad de México, Mexico, e-mail: galois60@gmail.com, mpr@xanum.uam.mx.