Neil Epstein Regularity and intersections of bracket powers

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 72 (2022), No. 2, 593–599

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/150418>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

[This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and](http://dml.cz) stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

REGULARITY AND INTERSECTIONS OF BRACKET POWERS

Neil Epstein, Fairfax

Received February 21, 2021. Published online March 1, 2022.

Abstract. Among reduced Noetherian prime characteristic commutative rings, we prove that a regular ring is precisely that where the finite intersection of ideals commutes with taking bracket powers. However, reducedness is essential for this equivalence. Connections are made with Ohm-Rush content theory, intersection-flatness of the Frobenius map, and various flatness criteria.

Keywords: regular ring; Ohm-Rush content theory; intersection flat; bracket power; Frobenius endomorphism

MSC 2020: 13A35, 13B40, 13H05

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, all rings are unital, associative, and (unless otherwise specified) commutative.

The condition of being *regular* is paramount in the theory of commutative Noetherian rings, due in part to its connections to algebraic geometry. Hence, an easily tested algebraic condition for it is always welcome. In pursuance of this, we offer:

Theorem 1 (Main Theorem). Let R be a Noetherian reduced commutative ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is regular.
- (2) Finite intersection of ideals commutes with taking bracket powers.
- (3) For any ideal I and any $x \in R$, we have $I^{[p]} \cap (x^p) = (I \cap (x))^{[p]}$.
- (4) For any ideal I and any $x \in R$, we have $(I : x)^{[p]} = (I^{[p]} : x^p)$.

The use of the Frobenius to detect important properties of prime characteristic rings, including regularity, the complete intersection property, and Gorensteinness, is not new. We refer the reader to Miller's survey, see [9]. Indeed, the equivalence

[DOI: 10.21136/CMJ.2022.0066-21](http://dx.doi.org/10.21136/CMJ.2022.0066-21) 593

of (1) and (4) of the above theorem was previously proved by Zhang (see [12]), using completely different methods from the ones employed here.

Recall that for a power p^n of p, the p^n th bracket power of an ideal I is the ideal generated by $\{x^{p^n}: x \in I\}$. Kunz in [8] showed¹ that for a commutative Noetherian ring R of prime characteristic $p > 0$, R is regular if and only if the Frobenius endomorphism $R \rightarrow R$, $r \mapsto r^p$ Indeed, the proof of Theorem 1 is really about flatness, so we commence with the Bourbaki flatness criterion, a criterion that this author feels should be better known than it is.

2. Preliminaries

Proposition 2 ([1], Exercise I.2.22). Let R be a ring, not necessarily commutative, and let M be a left R-module. Then M is R-flat if and only if $(IM :_M x)$ $(I :_R x)M$ for all finitely generated right ideals I and every $x \in R$, where for a subset $L \subseteq M$, $(L :_M x) := \{z \in M : xz \in L\}.$

Next, we have the Hochster-Jeffries flatness criterion.

Theorem 3. Let R be a ring, not necessarily commutative, and M a left R -module. Then M is flat over R if and only if

- (1) for any finitely generated right ideal I of R and any $x \in R$, we have $(IM \cap xM)$ = $(I \cap xR)M$, and
- (2) for any $x \in R$, we have $(0 :_M x) = (0 :_R x)M$.

Remark 4. The above is proved by Hochster and Jeffries in Proposition 5.5, $(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$ of [6], in case R is commutative, though this is not how they state it. Bourbaki flatness makes the proof simpler though, and it allows the passage to noncommutative rings.

P r o of of Theorem 3. First suppose M is flat over R. Then (1) is well known (by applying $-\otimes_R M$ to the exact sequence of right R-modules $0 \to R/(I \cap xR) \to$ $(R/I) \oplus (R/xR)$ and (2) follows from Bourbaki flatness, see Proposition 2.

Conversely, suppose (1) and (2) hold. Let I be a finitely generated right ideal and $x \in R$. Then we have

$$
x(IM:_{M} x) = IM \cap xM = (I \cap xR)M = x(I:_{R} x)M.
$$

¹ Kunz only stated this for R reduced, but as explained in the footnote to [4], Theorem 3.6, this is an unnecessary assumption when the result is stated this way.

It follows that

$$
IM:_{M} x = (I:_{R} x)M + (0:_{M} x) = (I:_{R} x)M + (0:_{R} x)M = (I:_{R} x)M.
$$

Another appeal to Bourbaki flatness finishes the proof.

Lemma 5. Let R be a (commutative) integral domain and M a torsion-free R-module such that for any finitely generated ideal I of R and any $x \in R$, we have $(I \cap xR)M = IM \cap xM$. Then M is flat over R.

P r o o f. By Hochster-Jeffries flatness (see Theorem 3), it is enough to show that $\lim_{R(a)M}$ = (ann_M a) for all $a \in R$. But if $a = 0$, then $\lim_{R(a)R}$ = R and $\text{ann}_M(a) = M$, whence $\text{ann}_R(a)M = RM = M = \text{ann}_M a$. On the other hand, if $a \neq 0$, then $\text{ann}_R(a) = 0$, and by torsion-freeness we also have $\text{ann}_M(a) = 0$, so $\lim_{R(a)M} = 0M = 0 = \lim_{M(a)}$.

Next, note the following connection between zero-divisors and intersection of bracket powers of principal ideals.

Lemma 6. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a commutative Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that for all $x, y \in R$, we have $((x^p) \cap (y^p)) = ((x) \cap (y)^{[p]})$. Then every nonzero zero-divisor in R has a nonzero nilpotent multiple.

P r o o f. Let $0 \neq a$ be a zero-divisor of R. Assume it has no nonzero nilpotent multiple. Let P be an associated prime of R that contains $ann(a)$. Without loss of generality (by passing to a multiple), we may assume $P = \text{ann}(a)$. Now let $Z := \{\n \text{ann } x: 0 \neq x \in P\n \}.$ Then Z is a nonempty set of proper ideals of R, so the fact that R is Noetherian implies that Z has a maximal element Q , which is then prime by the usual arguments. Let $x \in P$ with $Q = \text{ann } x$. Then we have $P = \text{ann}(a^t)$ (since a is non-nilpotent) and $Q = \text{ann}(x^t)$ (since Q is maximal in Z) for all positive integers t .

Fix a positive integer t, and let $b = a^t$ and $y = x^t$. Now let $g \in (b) \cap (b + y)$. We have $g = cb = d(b + y)$ for some $c, d \in R$. Thus, $(c-d)b = dy$, so $(c-d)b^2 = dby = 0$, whence $c - d \in \text{ann}(b^2) = P = \text{ann}(b)$. Say $c = d + \pi$, $\pi \in P$. Then we have $0 = \pi b = (c - d)b = dy$, so $d \in \text{ann}(y) = Q$. We have shown that $(b) \cap (b + y) \subseteq Qb$. Conversely, if $q \in Q$, then $qb = q(b + y) \in (b) \cap (b + y)$ since $qy = 0$. Thus, we have $(b) \cap (b + y) = Qb$. In particular, choosing $t = 1$ yields $(a) \cap (a + x) = Qa$, whence $(a^p) \cap ((a+x)^p) = ((a) \cap (a+x))^{[p]} = (Qa)^{[p]} = Q^{[p]}a^p$. On the other hand, choosing $t = p$ yields $(a^p) \cap ((a+x)^p) = (a^p) \cap (a^p + x^p) = Qa^p$. Thus, $Qa^p = Q^{[p]}a^p \subseteq \mathfrak{m}Qa^p$, so that by the Nakayama lemma, $Qa^p = 0$. But $a \in Q$, so $a^{p+1} = 0$, whence a is nilpotent, which is a contradiction.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.

P r o o f of Theorem 1. By the Kunz regularity criterion (see [8]), R is regular if and only if the maps $f_q: R \to R$ given by $a \mapsto a^q$, q a power of p, are flat, if and only if f_p is flat. Moreover, we have $f_q(I)R = I^{[q]}$. Hence, the equivalence of (1) and (4) follows directly from Bourbaki flatness. Moreover, the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ follows from the well-known fact that for any flat R-module M, we have $IM \cap JM = (I \cap J)M$ for any ideals I, J of R (cf. the proof of Theorem 3), plus a trivial induction step. The implication $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is obvious. Hence, we need only prove $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Assume R satisfies (3) and suppose the implication (3) \Rightarrow (1) holds when R is local. Since both finite intersections and bracket powers commute with localization at maximal ideals, and since any localization of a reduced ring is reduced, we have that (3) holds for the reduced local ring R_m for any maximal ideal m. Thus, by assumption, R_m is a regular local ring, so R is regular since m was arbitrary. Thus, we are reduced to the local case.

If R is an integral domain and (3) holds, then since $R^{1/p}$ is a torsion-free R-module, the Frobenius is flat by Lemma 5, and we are done by the Kunz regularity criterion.

It remains to show that when (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local and (3) holds, R is an integral domain. But this follows from Lemma 6 since R is reduced. \square

The obvious question now is: Do the equivalences in Theorem 1 still hold when R is nonreduced? The answer is no.

Example 7. Let $R = k[x]/(x^2)$, where k is a field and x an indeterminate over k. Clearly R is local and Noetherian but not regular, since $\dim R = 0$ but the maximal ideal requires a nonzero generator. However, let I, J be nonzero ideals of R . Since the 3 ideals of R are linearly ordered, without loss of generality we have $J \subseteq I$. Thus, $I^{[q]}\cap J^{[q]}=J^{[q]}=(I\cap J)^{[q]}.$

Remark 8 (Ohm-Rush content and Frobenius roots). Recall that for a commutative ring R, a module M is $Ohm-Rush$ (see [3]) or *content* (see [10]) or *weakly* intersection flat for ideals (see [6]), if for all collections $\{I_{\alpha}\}\$ of ideals of R, we have $\bigcap_{\alpha} (I_{\alpha}M) = \left(\bigcap_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}\right)M$. I prefer the former term as it was Ohm and Rush who first explored this property, albeit using problematic terminology. For an element $x \in M$, the (Ohm-Rush) content of x denoted by $c(x)$ is the intersection of all ideals I of R such that $x \in IM$. Similarly, for a submodule $L \subseteq M$, the (Ohm-Rush) content of L denoted by $c(L)$ is the intersection of all ideals I of R such that $L \subseteq IM$. It turns out that M is Ohm-Rush if and only if for all $x \in M$ we have $x \in c(x)M$ if and only if for all submodules $L \subseteq M$ we have $L \subseteq c(L)M$, see [10], 1.2.

Of particular interest is the case, where one has a ring homomorphism $\varphi: R \to S$, by which the R-algebra S is seen as an R-module via restriction of scalars. If S , seen as an R-module in this way, satisfies the above Ohm-Rush condition, we say that S is an Ohm-Rush R-algebra, or that φ is an Ohm-Rush map, or that the Ohm-Rush property holds for S or for φ .

The condition on a Noetherian ring of positive prime characteristic that the Frobenius endomorphism is a flat Ohm-Rush map is an important condition in tight closure theory, cf. [5], [7], [11]. Note that if $\varphi: R \to R$ is the Frobenius endomorphism, then for any ideal I of R and nonnegative integer e, we have $\varphi^{e}(I)R = I^{[p^{e}]}$, thus showing the connection between bracket powers and Ohm-Rush theory.

Given an ideal J and a power q of p, the Ohm-Rush property of the Frobenius allows one to find a *unique smallest* ideal I such that $J \subseteq I^{[q]}$, which is known as $J^{[1/q]}$, see, e.g., [11], Definition 9.5. Indeed, one may intersect all the ideals that have this property. But this intersection is just the Ohm-Rush content of the ideal (see [3], [10]) via the iterated Frobenius endomorphism, so the Ohm-Rush property itself is enough to guarantee such a minimal member. Hence, it is natural to consider whether the weaker condition of being Ohm-Rush is enough to force flatness of the Frobenius, and hence regularity. We see above that the answer is yes for reduced rings, but no otherwise. It might be interesting to characterize the class of (nonreduced) rings for which the Ohm-Rush property holds for the Frobenius endomorphism, of which the above example is a member. This will have no bearing on tight closure theory in particular (since one can compute tight closure modulo minimal primes), but it is of fundamental interest in prime characteristic algebra more generally.

When R is complete, finite intersection of ideals commuting with bracket powers is enough to ensure the property for arbitrary intersections of ideals (compare Proposition 5.7 (e) of [6] and Proposition 5.3 of [7], where flatness of the Frobenius is assumed):

Proposition 9. Let R be a complete Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that for any ideals I, J of R, we have $I^{[p]} \cap J^{[p]} = (I \cap J)^{[p]}$. Then the Frobenius endomorphism $f: R \to R$ is Ohm-Rush.

P r o o f. We need to show that for any $x \in R$, there is a unique smallest ideal I with $x \in I^{[p]}$.

Let $\{I_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in {\Lambda}}$ be the set of ideals J with $x\in J^{[p]}$, indexed by the index set ${\Lambda}$. Set $c(x) := \bigcap \{I_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$. By the argument in the proof of Proposition 5.3 of [7], there is a countable subset $\{ \alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \}$ of Λ such that $c(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty}$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I_{\alpha_i}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, set

 $J_i := \bigcap_{\alpha_h}^i I_{\alpha_h}$. Then this is a decreasing sequence of ideals whose intersection is $c(x)$. Moreover, for each i, we have $x \in \bigcap^{i}$ $h=1$ $I^{[p]}_{\alpha_h} \,=\, \big(\stackrel{i}{\bigcap}$ $\bigcap_{h=1}^{i} I_{\alpha_h} \bigg)^{[p]} = J_i^{[p]},$ where the first equality follows from the assumption on pairs of ideals. Chevalley's lemma (see [2], Lemma 7) then guarantees that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is some $i(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J_{i(n)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n + c(x)$. Thus, for each n, we have

$$
x \in \bigcap_n (J^{[p]}_{i(n)}) \subseteq \bigcap_n (\mathfrak{m}^n + c(x))^{[p]} = \bigcap_n (\mathfrak{m}^{[p]})^n + c(x)^{[p]} = c(x)^{[p]}
$$

with the last equality by the Krull intersection theorem. Since $x \in c(x)^{[p]}$ and $c(x)$ is contained in all ideals J with $x \in J^{[p]}$, it follows that $c(x)$ is the unique smallest such ideal. \Box

Recall that the *Frobenius closure* I^F of an ideal I in a prime characteristic ring consists of all those elements $x \in R$ such that there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x^{p^n} \in I^{[p^n]}$. Recall that an F-pure ring has the property that every ideal is Frobenius closed (and is characterized by the property that every submodule of every finite module is Frobenius closed). F-purity is considered to be a much weaker property than regularity.

Example 7 indicates that there might be a connection between the properties in Theorem 1 for non-reduced rings and the regularity property for the reduced structure of the ring. Indeed this is so, in the presence of F-purity.

Proposition 10. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$ such that

(1) $(I \cap xR)^{[p]} = I^{[p]} \cap x^pR$ for all ideals I and $x \in R$, and

(2) R_{red} is F-pure.

Then R_{red} is regular.

P r o o f. Let $\mathfrak a$ be an ideal of R_{red} and $\alpha \in R_{\text{red}}$. Let I be an ideal of R and $x \in R$ whose residues in R_{red} are $\mathfrak a$ and α , respectively. Let N be the nilradical of R. Then there is some power q of p such that $N^{[q]} = 0$.

Now let $\beta \in \mathfrak{a}^{[p]} \cap (\alpha^p)$. Let $y \in R$ with residue class equal to β . Then $y \in$ $(I^{[p]} + N) \cap ((x^p) + N)$. Further,

$$
y^q \in (I^{[p]} + N)^{[q]} \cap ((x^p) + N)^{[q]} = I^{[pq]} \cap (x^{pq}) = (I \cap (x))^{[pq]},
$$

where the last equality follows from (1) and induction on $\log_p q$.

It follows that $\beta^q \in (\mathfrak{a} \cap (\alpha))^{[pq]} = ((\mathfrak{a} \cap (\alpha))^{[p]})^{[q]},$ so that $\beta \in ((\mathfrak{a} \cap (\alpha))^{[p]})^F$. But every ideal in R_{red} is Frobenius closed, so $\beta \in (\mathfrak{a} \cap (\alpha))^{[p]}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{a}^{[p]} \cap (\alpha^p) \subseteq$ $(\mathfrak{a} \cap (\alpha))^{[p]}$, whence equality holds. Then by Theorem 1, R_{red} is regular.

However, the converse is false. To see this, let $R = k[x, y, z, w]/(x^{p+1}, x^p z - y^p w,$ xy, y^{p+1}). Then $(x) \cap (y) = (x^p z)$, so $((x) \cap (y))^{[p]} = 0$, but $x^p z \in (x^p) \cap (y^p)$. On the other hand, it is well known that the Frobenius on $R_{\text{red}} \cong k[z, w]$ is F-intersection flat, hence Ohm-Rush. Thus, the Ohm-Rush property of the Frobenius on nonreduced rings remains mysterious.

Acknowledgment. The author thanks Craig Huneke for comments on the proof of Lemma 6 and Wenliang Zhang for making the author aware of Zhang's previous contribution. Thanks to the anonymous referee for suggestions that improved the clarity of the paper.

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki: Elements of Mathematics. Commutative Algebra. Hermann, Paris, 1972. [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0279.13001) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR0360549)
- [2] C. Chevalley: On the theory of local rings. Ann. Math. (2) $\frac{1}{4}$ (1943), 690–708. [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0060.06908) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR0009603)
- [3] N. Epstein, J. Shapiro: The Ohm-Rush content function. J. Algebra Appl. 15 (2016), Article ID 1650009, 14 pages. $\qquad \qquad$ [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1333.13009) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR3393938) [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0219498816500092)
- [4] N. Epstein, Y. Yao: Criteria for flatness and injectivity. Math. Z. 271 (2012), 1193–1210. [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1245.13009)
- [5] M. Hochster, C. Huneke: F-regularity, test elements, and smooth base change. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 346 (1994), 1–62. **[zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0844.13002)** [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR1273534) [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2154942)
- [6] M. Hochster, J. Jeffries: Extensions of primes, flatness, and intersection flatness 150 Years with Roger and Sylvia Wiegand. Commutative Algebra - 150 Years with Roger and Sylvia Wiegand. Contemporary Mathematics 773. AMS, Providence, 2021. [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR4321391) [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/773)
- [7] M. Katzman: Parameter-test-ideals of Cohen-Macaulay rings. Compos. Math. 144 (2008), 933–948. [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1152.13005) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR2441251) [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X07003417)
- [8] E. Kunz: Characterizations of regular local rings of characteristic p. Am. J. Math. 91 (1969), 772–784. [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0188.33702) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR0252389) [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2373351)
- [9] C. Miller: The Frobenius endomorphism and homological dimensions. Commutative Algebra: Interactions with Algebraic Geometry. Contemporary Mathematics 331. AMS, Providence, 2003, pp. 207–234. **[zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1085.13502)** [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR2013168) [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/331)
- [10] J. Ohm, D. E. Rush: Content modules and algebras. Math. Scand. 31 (1972), 49–68. [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0248.13013) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR0344289)
- [11] R. Y. Sharp: Big tight closure test elements for some non-reduced excellent rings. J. Algebra 349 (2012), 284–316. **[zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1256.13004)** [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR2853638) [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.08.009)
- [12] W. Zhang: On the Frobenius power and colon ideals. Commun. Algebra 37 (2009), 2391–2395. [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1185.13011) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR2536926) [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00927870802216438)

Author's address: Neil Epstein, George Mason University, 4400 University Drive, Fairfax, VA 22030, USA, e-mail: nepstei2@gmu.edu.