Yusof Azimi (Generalized) filter properties of the amalgamated algebra

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 58 (2022), No. 3, 133-140

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/150658

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

(GENERALIZED) FILTER PROPERTIES OF THE AMALGAMATED ALGEBRA

YUSOF AZIMI

ABSTRACT. Let R and S be commutative rings with unity, $f: R \to S$ a ring homomorphism and J an ideal of S. Then the subring $R \bowtie^f J :=$ $\{(a, f(a) + j) \mid a \in R \text{ and } j \in J\}$ of $R \times S$ is called the amalgamation of Rwith S along J with respect to f. In this paper, we determine when $R \bowtie^f J$ is a (generalized) filter ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let R and S be two commutative rings with identity, J be a non-zero proper ideal of S, and $f: R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism.

D'Anna, Finocchiaro, and Fontana in [10] and [11] have introduced the following subring (with standard component-wise operations)

$$R \bowtie^{f} J := \{ (r, f(r) + j) \mid r \in R \text{ and } j \in J \}$$

of $R \times S$, called the *amalgamated algebra* (or *amalgamation*) of R with S along J with respect to f. This construction generalizes the amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal (introduced and studied in [13]). Moreover, several classical constructions such as Nagata's idealization (cf. [16, page 2]), the R+XS[X] and the R+XS[X] constructions can be studied as particular cases of this construction (see [10, Example 2.5 and Remark 2.8]). Recently, many properties of amalgamations investigated in several papers (e.g. [3], [4], [6], [20], etc.) and the construction has proved its worth providing numerous (counter)examples in commutative ring theory.

In [9], Cuong et al. introduced the notion of filter regular sequence as an extension of regular sequence, and via this notion, they studied f-modules, as an extension of (generalized) Cohen-Macaulay modules. This structure is a well-known structure in commutative algebra and have applications in algebraic geometry. Then, in [17], Nhan extended this notion to generalized regular sequence, which in turn,

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 13A15; secondary 13C14, 13C15, 13E05, 13H10.

Key words and phrases: amalgamated algebra, Cohen-Macaulay ring, f-ring, generalized f-ring. This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No.14001300114).

Received December 10, 2021, revised February 2022. Editor J. Trlifaj.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2022-3-133

leads to the introduction of *generalized* f-modules in [18]. We have the following implications:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Gorenstein ring} \Longrightarrow \text{Cohen-Macaulay ring} \Longrightarrow \text{generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring} \\ \Longrightarrow f\text{-ring} \Longrightarrow \text{generalized } f\text{-ring}. \end{array}$

It has already investigated that when $R \bowtie^f J$ is one of the three first in the above list ([2], [4], [5], [6]). In this paper, we investigate when it is one of the two last properties.

The proofs for the two case is almost the same, but for f-modules easier. Therefore we deal with case of generalized f-modules in details, and the same proof with minor modifications works in the case of f-modules. We provide a sketch of proof for this case and leave details for the reader.

2. Results

Let us first fix some notation which we shall use throughout the paper: As mentioned above, R and S are two commutative rings with identity, J is an ideal of the ring S, and $f: R \to S$ is a ring homomorphism. In the sequel, we consider contractions and extensions with respect to the natural embedding $\iota_R: R \to R \bowtie^f J$ defined by $\iota_R(x) = (x, f(x))$, for every $x \in R$.

Let I be an ideal of R, and M be a finitely generated R-module such that $M \neq IM$. We shall refer to the length of a maximal M-sequence contained in I as the depth of M in I, and we shall denote this by depth(I, M). It will be convenient to use depth M to denote depth (\mathfrak{m}, M) when (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a local ring.

(Generalized) f-modules are defined in the context of Noetherian local rings for finitely generated modules. Thus we always assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Noetherian local ring and J is finitely generated as an R-module. We will also assume that $J \subseteq \operatorname{Jac}(S)$. When this is the case, $(R \bowtie^f J, \mathfrak{m}'{}^f)$ is also a Noetherian local ring (see [10, Proposition 5.7] and [12, Corollary 2.7]).

The notion of *M*-generalized regular sequence of *M* is defined as a sequence x_1, \ldots, x_n of elements in \mathfrak{m} such that, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n, x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M)$ satisfying dim $R/\mathfrak{p} > 1$. The length of a maximal generalized regular sequence of *M* in *I* is called the generalized depth of *M* in *I* and denoted by g-depth(*I*, *M*). In this paper, we use the following characterization for g-depth(*I*, *M*) by the support of local cohomology module $H_I^i(M)$:

Lemma 2.1. Let I be an ideal of R, and M be a finitely generated R-module. Then the following equality holds.

g-depth $(I, M) = \min\{r \mid there \ exists \ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}_{R}(H^{r}_{I}(M)) \ such \ that \ \dim R/\mathfrak{p} > 1\}.$

Proof. If dim M/IM > 1, then the assertion holds by [17, Proposition 4.5]. If dim $M/IM \leq 1$, then by definition, g-depth $(I, M) = \infty$. The other side is also infinite since $\operatorname{Supp}_R(H_I^r(M)) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}(M) \cap \operatorname{Supp}(R/I) = \operatorname{Supp}(M/IM)$.

The following lemma, which has the key role in the proof of Theorem 2.4, links the g-depth of $R \bowtie^f J$ in the extension ideal \mathfrak{a}^e to the g-depth of R and J in the prime ideal \mathfrak{a} .

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Then the following holds:

g-depth($\mathfrak{a}^e, R \bowtie^f J$) = min{g-depth(\mathfrak{a}, R), g-depth(\mathfrak{a}, J)}.

Proof. We first show that the existence of some $\mathcal{P} \in \operatorname{Supp}_{R \bowtie^f J} (H^r_{\mathfrak{a}^e}(R \bowtie^f J))$ with the property dim $R \bowtie^f J/\mathcal{P} > 1$ is equivalent to the existence of some $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}_R (H^r_{\mathfrak{a}^e}(R \bowtie^f J))$ with the property dim $R/\mathfrak{p} > 1$. To achieve this, first we note that, by [11, Lemma 3.6], the extension $\iota_R : R \to R \bowtie^f J$ is integral since we assume that J is finitely generated as an R-module. Therefore, for any $\mathcal{P} \in$ $\operatorname{Spec}(R \bowtie^f J)$, we have dim $R \bowtie^f J/\mathcal{P} > 1$ if and only if dim $R/\mathcal{P}^c > 1$. Next, let $\mathcal{P} \in \operatorname{Supp}_{R \bowtie^f J} (H^r_{\mathfrak{a}^e}(R \bowtie^f J))$, say $\alpha/1$ is a non-zero element of $(H^r_{\mathfrak{a}^e}(R \bowtie^f J))_{\mathcal{P}}$. If $r \in R$ such that $r\alpha = 0$, then $f(r) \in \mathcal{P}$, i.e. $r \in \mathcal{P}^c$. We have thus proved $\mathcal{P}^c \in \operatorname{Supp}_R (H^r_{\mathfrak{a}^e}(R \bowtie^f J))$.

Suppose conversely that $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}_R \left(H^r_{\mathfrak{a}^e}(R \bowtie^f J) \right)$. Then, for some ideal \mathcal{I} of $R \bowtie^f J$, with the property $R \bowtie^f J/\mathcal{I} \subseteq H^r_{\mathfrak{a}^e}(R \bowtie^f J)$, we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}_R \left(R \bowtie^f J/\mathcal{I} \right)$. From this we have $\mathcal{I}^c \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. By lying over property, there exists $\mathcal{P} \in \operatorname{Spec} \left(R \bowtie^f J/\mathcal{I} \right)$. J) such that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}^c = \mathfrak{p}$, hence that $\mathcal{P} \in \operatorname{Supp}_{R \bowtie^f J} \left(R \bowtie^f J/\mathcal{I} \right) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}_{R \bowtie^f J} \left(H^r_{\mathfrak{a}^e}(R \bowtie^f J) \right)$. This completes the proof of our claim. Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{g-depth}(\mathfrak{a}^{e}, R \Join^{f} J) &= \min\{r \mid \exists \mathcal{P} \in \text{Supp}_{R \bowtie^{f} J} \left(H^{r}_{\mathfrak{a}^{e}}(R \bowtie^{f} J) \right); \dim R \bowtie^{f} J/\mathcal{P} > 1 \} \\ &= \min\{r \mid \exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_{R} \left(H^{r}_{\mathfrak{a}^{e}}(R \bowtie^{f} J) \right); \dim R/\mathfrak{p} > 1 \} \\ &= \min\{r \mid \exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_{R} \left(H^{r}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R \bowtie^{f} J) \right); \dim R/\mathfrak{p} > 1 \} \\ &= \min\{r \mid \exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_{R} \left(H^{r}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \oplus H^{r}_{\mathfrak{a}}(J) \right); \dim R/\mathfrak{p} > 1 \} \\ &= \min\{r \mid \exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_{R} \left(H^{r}_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \oplus H^{r}_{\mathfrak{a}}(J) \right); \dim R/\mathfrak{p} > 1 \} \\ &= \min\{g\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{a}, R), g\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{a}, J) \}. \end{aligned}$$

The first and last equality hold by Lemma 2.1, while the second one holds by the above observation. The third equality follows by the Independence Theorem of local cohomology [7, Theorem 4.2.1], and the forth equality obtained using the R-module isomorphism $R \bowtie^f J \cong R \oplus J$ [10, Lemma 2.3].

Generalized f-modules were introduced in [18] as modules for which every system of parameters is a generalized regular sequence. A ring is called a generalized f-ring if it is a generalized f-module over itself. For more details we refer the reader to [17] and [18]. We define a finitely generated R-module M to be maximal generalized f-module if g-depth(\mathfrak{p}, M) = dim(R) – dim(R/ \mathfrak{p}), for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$ satisfying dim $R/\mathfrak{p} > 1$. This definition has stem in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. Assume that M is a finitely generated R-module such that dim M > 1. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) M is a generalized f-module.
- (2) g-depth(\mathfrak{p}, M) = dim(M) dim (R/\mathfrak{p}) for each $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Supp M satisfying dim $R/\mathfrak{p} > 1$.
- (3) g-depth $(I, M) = \dim(M) \dim(R/I)$ for any proper ideal I of R satisfying $I \supseteq \operatorname{Ann}(M)$ and $\dim R/I > 1$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (3) \Rightarrow (1) is by [18, Proposition 2.5]. The proof of (2) \Rightarrow (3) is similar to the proof of [14, Remark 4.2], using [17, Proposition 4.3 (ii)] and [18, Proposition 2.5].

We use the above proposition to investigate when $R \bowtie^f J$ is a generalized f-ring, which is one of our main results. Recall that a finitely generated module M over a Noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) is called a *maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-module* if depth $M = \dim R$. In the sequel, when we consider J as a module, we always consider it as an R-module via the homomorphism $f : R \to S$. In particular, by Supp J we mean Supp_R J.

Theorem 2.4. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $R \bowtie^f J$ is a generalized f-ring.
- (2) R is a generalized f-ring and J is a maximal generalized f-module.
- (3) R is a generalized f-ring and $J_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(J)$ satisfying dim $R/\mathfrak{p} > 1$.

Proof. We first assume that dim J > 1. The process of proof shows that the opposite assumption, dim $J \leq 1$, leads to trivial cases.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that $R \bowtie^f J$ is a generalized *f*-ring and pick $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ satisfying dim $R/\mathfrak{p} > 1$. By [11, Lemma 3.6], $\iota_R : R \to R \bowtie^f J$ is an integral extension. Hence, by lying over property, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^{ec}$, hence that dim $R \bowtie^f J/\mathfrak{p}^e = \dim R/\mathfrak{p} > 1$. Now, by Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.2, we have:

$$\dim R - \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim R \bowtie^J J - \dim R \bowtie^J J/\mathfrak{p}^e$$
$$= g-\operatorname{depth}(\mathfrak{p}^e, R \bowtie^f J)$$
$$\leq g-\operatorname{depth}(\mathfrak{p}, R)$$
$$\leq \dim R - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}.$$

Again we use Proposition 2.3 to see that R is a generalized f-ring, and a similar argument will show that J is a maximal generalized f-module.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that R is a generalized f-ring and J is a maximal generalized f-module. Then, from Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3, we deduce that g-depth($\mathfrak{p}^e, R \bowtie^f J$) = g-depth(\mathfrak{p}, R), for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Now, let $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Spec}(R \bowtie^f J)$ and dim $R \bowtie^f J/\mathcal{P} > 1$. Then dim $R/\mathcal{P}^c > 1$ and, by Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3, we have:

$$\dim R \bowtie^{f} J - \dim R \bowtie^{f} J/\mathcal{P} = \dim R - \dim R/\mathcal{P}^{c}$$
$$= g\text{-depth}(\mathcal{P}^{c}, R)$$
$$= g\text{-depth}(\mathcal{P}^{ce}, R \bowtie^{f} J)$$
$$\leq g\text{-depth}(\mathcal{P}, R \bowtie^{f} J)$$
$$\leq \dim R \bowtie^{f} J - \dim R \bowtie^{f} J/\mathcal{P}.$$

Thus inequalities are equality, and another appeal to Proposition 2.3 gives the desired conclusion.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(J)$ with the property dim $R/\mathfrak{p} > 1$. In order to show that $J_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay, observe that [17, Proposition 4.4] together

with our assumptions yields the following inequalities:

$$\operatorname{depth} J_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \operatorname{g-depth}(\mathfrak{p}, J) = \dim R - \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \geq \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \operatorname{depth} J_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

 $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(J)$ satisfying dim $R/\mathfrak{p} > 1$. Then, using [17, Proposition 4.4] and [8, Proposition 1.2.10(a)], we get a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} containing \mathfrak{p} such that $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Supp}(J)$, dim $R/\mathfrak{q} > 1$, and g-depth(\mathfrak{p}, J) = depth $J_{\mathfrak{q}}$. The following inequalities complete the proof:

$$g-\operatorname{depth}(p, J) = \operatorname{depth} J_{\mathfrak{q}} = \operatorname{dim} R_{\mathfrak{q}} \ge g-\operatorname{depth}(\mathfrak{q}, R) = \\ \operatorname{dim} R - \operatorname{dim} R/\mathfrak{q} \ge \operatorname{dim} R - \operatorname{dim} R/\mathfrak{p} \ge g-\operatorname{depth}(p, J) \,.$$

Recall that if $f := id_R$ is the identity homomorphism on R, and I is an ideal of R, then $R \bowtie I := R \bowtie^{id_R} I$ is called the amalgamated duplication of R along I. The next corollary deals with this case.

Corollary 2.5. $R \bowtie I$ is a generalized f-ring if and only if R is a generalized f-ring and I is maximal generalized f-module if and only if R is a generalized f-ring and $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(I)$ satisfying dim $R/\mathfrak{p} > 1$.

Let M be an R-module. Nagata (1955) considered a ring extension of R called the the *idealization* of M in R, denoted here by $R \ltimes M$ [16, page 2]. As in [10, Remark 2.8], if $S := R \ltimes M$, $J := 0 \ltimes M$, and $\iota : R \to S$ be the natural embedding, then $R \Join^{\iota} J \cong R \ltimes M$. It is easy to check that, as R-modules, $0 \ltimes M \cong M$. The following corollary shows when the idealization is generalized f-ring.

Corollary 2.6. If M is a finitely generated R-module, then $R \ltimes M$ is a generalized f-ring if and only if R is a generalized f-ring and M is a maximal generalized f-module if and only if R is a generalized f-ring and $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$ satisfying dim $R/\mathfrak{p} > 1$.

In the remaining part of the paper we investigate when $R \bowtie^f J$ is an *f*-ring. The arguments are the same as the ones in the case of generalized *f*-ring. But, for the readers convenience, we give brief proofs and refer the reader to previous arguments.

The notion of *M*-filter regular sequence is defined as a sequence x_1, \ldots, x_n of elements in \mathfrak{m} such that $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The filter depth, f-depth(I, M), of I on M is defined as the length of any maximal M-filter regular sequence in I. Here, we use the following characterization for f-depth(I, M) (see [15, Theorem 3.1] and [14, Theorem 3.10]):

f-depth $(I, M) = \inf\{r \mid H_I^r(M) \text{ is not an Artinian } R$ -module $\}$.

The following lemma expresses f-depth($\mathfrak{p}^e, R \bowtie^f J$), the f-depth of extension of a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R in $R \bowtie^f J$. For the proof, we use the elementary fact that being Artinian as an $R \bowtie^f J$ -module is the same as being Artinian as an R-module.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Then the following holds:

 $f-depth(\mathfrak{p}^e, R \bowtie^f J) = \min\{f-depth(\mathfrak{p}, R), f-depth(\mathfrak{p}, J)\}.$

Proof. By [14, Theorem 3.10] (and arguments similar to Lemma 2.2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{f-depth}(\mathfrak{p}^e, R \bowtie^f J) &= \inf\{r \mid H^r_{\mathfrak{p}^e}(R \bowtie^f J) \text{ is not Artinian } R \bowtie^f J\text{-module}\} \\ &= \inf\{r \mid H^r_{\mathfrak{p}^e}(R \bowtie^f J) \text{ is not Artinian } R\text{-module}\} \\ &= \inf\{r \mid H^r_{\mathfrak{p}}(R \bowtie^f J) \text{ is not Artinian } R\text{-module}\} \\ &= \inf\{r \mid H^r_{\mathfrak{p}}(R) \oplus H^r_{\mathfrak{p}}(J) \text{ is not Artinian } R\text{-module}\} \\ &= \min\{f\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{p}, R), f\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{p}, J)\}. \end{aligned}$$

In [9], the authors introduced *f*-modules as modules for which every system of parameters is a filter regular sequence. The ring R is called an *f*-ring if it is an *f*-module over itself. This structure is a well-known structure in commutative algebra and have applications in algebraic geometry. For more details we refer the reader to [9], [14], and [21]. We define an *R*-module *M* to be maximal *f*-module if f-depth(\mathfrak{p}, M) = dim(R) – dim(R/\mathfrak{p}), for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. This definition has stem in the following Proposition [14, Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.2]:

Proposition 2.8. For a finitely generated *R*-module *M*, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) M is an f-module
- (2) for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp} M \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, f-depth $(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \dim(M) \dim(R/\mathfrak{p})$
- (3) for any proper ideal I of R with the property $I \supseteq \operatorname{Ann}(M)$ and $\sqrt{I} \neq \mathfrak{m}$, f-depth $(I, M) = \dim(M) - \dim(R/I)$

We use the above proposition to investigate when $R \bowtie^f J$ is f-ring, which is our final result.

Theorem 2.9. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $R \bowtie^f J$ is an f-ring.
- (2) R is an f-ring and J is a maximal f-module.
- (3) R is an f-ring and $J_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(J) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}.$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that $R \bowtie^f J$ is an *f*-ring and pick $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. As before, the extension $\iota_R : R \to R \bowtie^f J$ is integral, and so $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^{ec}$. Thus $\sqrt{\mathfrak{p}^e} \neq \mathfrak{m}'^f$ and dim $R \bowtie^f J/\mathfrak{p}^e = \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. Then Proposition 2.8 gives the desired conclusion, just as in the proof of Theorem 2.4.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Suppose that R is an f-ring and J is a maximal f-module, and let $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Spec}(R \Join^f J) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}'^f\}$. Then $\mathcal{P}^c \in \text{Spec}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and Proposition 2.8 gives the desired conclusion, as in the case of Theorem 2.4.

 $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$ The proof of this part is the same as the proof in Theorem 2.4, using the following equality instead of [17, Proposition 4.4]:

 $f-depth(\mathfrak{p}, J) = \min\{depth(\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{q}, J_\mathfrak{q}) \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Supp}(J/\mathfrak{p}J) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}\}.$

For the proof the equality, see the proof of [14, Theorem 3.10].

Corollary 2.10 (cf. [19, Theorem 3.5]). $R \bowtie I$ is an *f*-ring if and only if R is an *f*-ring and I is maximal *f*-module if and only if R is an *f*-ring and $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(I) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.

Corollary 2.11. If M is a finitely generated R-module, then $R \ltimes M$ is an f-ring if and only if R is an f-ring and M is a maximal f-module if and only if R is an f-ring and $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to the referee for his/her careful reading of the paper and helpful comments.

References

- [1] Anderson, D.D., Winders, M., Idealization of a module, J. Commut. Algebra 1 (2009), 3–56.
- [2] Azimi, Y., Linkage property under the amalgamated construction, Comm. Algebra 49 (2021), 3743–3747.
- [3] Azimi, Y., Constructing (non-)Catenarian rings, J. Algebra 597 (2022), 266–274.
- [4] Azimi, Y., Sahandi, P., Shirmihammadi, N., Cohen-Macaulay properties under the amalgamated construction, J. Commut. Algebra 10 (4) (2018), 457–474.
- [5] Azimi, Y., Sahandi, P., Shirmihammadi, N., Cohen-Macaulayness of amalgamated algebras, Algebr. Represent. Theory 23 (2019), 275–280.
- [6] Azimi, Y., Sahandi, P., Shirmohammadi, N., Prüfer conditions under the amalgamated construction, Comm. Algebra 47 (2019), 2251–2261.
- [7] Brodmann, M.P., Sharp, R.Y., Local Cohomology: An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 136, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [8] Bruns, W., Herzog, J., Cohen-Macaulay rings, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, 1998, Rev. ed.
- [9] Cuong, N.T., Schenzel, P., Trung, N.V., Verallgemeinerte Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln, Math. Nachr. 85 (1978), 57–73.
- [10] D'Anna, M., Finocchiaro, C.A., Fontana, M., Amalgamated algebras along an ideal, Commutative Algebra and Applications, Proceedings of the Fifth International Fez Conference on Commutative Algebra and Applications, Fez, Morocco., 2008, W. de Gruyter Publisher, Berlin, 2009, pp. 155–172.
- [11] D'Anna, M., Finocchiaro, C.A., Fontana, M., Properties of chains of prime ideals in an amalgamated algebra along an ideal, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 214 (2010), 1633–1641.
- [12] D'Anna, M., Finocchiaro, C.A., Fontana, M., New algebraic properties of an amalgamated algebra along an ideal, Comm. Algebra 44 (2016), 1836–1851.
- [13] D'Anna, M., Fontana, M., An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal: the basic properties, J. Algebra Appl. 6 (3) (2007), 443–459.
- [14] Lü, R., Tang, Z., The F-depth of an ideal on a module, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (7) (2002), 1905–1912.
- [15] Melkersson, L., Some applications of a criterion for Artinianness of a module, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 101 (1995), 291–303.
- [16] Nagata, M., Local Rings, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [17] Nhan, L.T., On generalized regular sequences and the finiteness for associated primes of local cohomology modules, Comm. Algebra 33 (2005), 793–806.
- [18] Nhan, L.T., Marcel, M., Generalized f-modules and the associated primes of local cohomology modules, Comm. Algebra 34 (2006), 863–878.

- [19] Sahandi, P., Shirmohammadi, N., Notes on amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 41 (2015), 749–757.
- [20] Sahandi, P., Shirmohammadi, N., Sohrabi, S., Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein properties under the amalgamated construction, Comm. Algebra 44 (2016), 1096–1109.
- [21] Stückrad, J., Vogel, W., Buchsbaum Rings and Applications, Berlin: WEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TABRIZ, TABRIZ, IRAN AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN *E-mail*: u.azimi@tabrizu.ac.ir