## Mathematica Bohemica

Heghine Ghumashyan; Jaroslav Guričan
Endomorphism kernel property for finite groups

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 147 (2022), No. 3, 347-358

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151012

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms of use.


This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://dml.cz

# ENDOMORPHISM KERNEL PROPERTY FOR FINITE GROUPS 

Heghine Ghumashyan, Yerevan, Jaroslav Guričan, Bratislava

Received October 28, 2020. Published online August 10, 2021.
Communicated by Wiesław Kubiś


#### Abstract

A group $G$ has the endomorphism kernel property (EKP) if every congruence relation $\theta$ on $G$ is the kernel of an endomorphism on $G$. In this note we show that all finite abelian groups have EKP and we show infinite series of finite non-abelian groups which have EKP.
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## 1. Introduction

The concept of the (strong) endomorphism kernel property for an universal algebra has been introduced by Blyth, Fang and Silva in [1] and [3] as follows.

Definition 1.1. An algebra $A$ has the endomorphism kernel property (EKP) if every congruence relation $\theta$ on $A$ different from the universal congruence $\iota_{A}=A \times A$ is the kernel of an endomorphism on $A$.

Let $\theta \in \operatorname{Con}(A)$ be a congruence on $A$. A mapping $f: A \rightarrow A$ is said to be compatible with $\theta$ if $a \equiv b(\theta)$ implies $f(a) \equiv f(b)(\theta)$, it means if it preserves the congruence $\theta$. An endomorphism of $A$ is called strong if it is compatible with every congruence $\theta \in \operatorname{Con}(A)$.

The notion of compatibility of functions with congruences has been studied in various contexts by many authors. We refer to the monograph [16] for an overview. Compatible functions are sometimes called "congruence preserving functions" or "functions with substitution property".

The first author was supported by the NSP of the Slovak Republic, SAIA. The second author was supported by VEGA grant No. $1 / 0333 / 17$ of the Slovak Republic.

Definition 1.2. An algebra $A$ has the strong endomorphism kernel property (SEKP) if every congruence relation $\theta$ on $A$ different from the universal congruence $\iota_{A}$ is the kernel of a strong endomorphism on $A$.

If the algebra $A$ has two or more nullary operations and corresponding elements are different in $A$, the universal congruence $\iota_{A}$ cannot be the kernel of an endomorphism and that is the reason why the universal congruence $\iota_{A}$ is excluded from the definition of both EKP and SEKP. It is not necessary to exclude it for algebras with one-element subalgebras, like groups.

In the original paper [1] Blyth, Fang and Silva proved that finite Boolean algebras, finite chains as bounded distributive lattices possess EKP, finite bounded distributive lattice has EKP if and only if it is a product of chains. They also proved a full characterisation of finite de Morgan algebras having EKP. EKP for finite Stone algebras has been studied by Gaitan and Cortes in [8], by Guričan in [10]. The main approach in papers [1] and [8] lies in regarding algebras in question as Ockham algebras and using the duality theory of Priestley and Urquhart. Another papers concerning this topic are e.g. [11], [15].

Blyth and Silva considered the case of Ockham algebras and in particular of MSalgebras in [3]. For instance, a Boolean algebra has SEKP if and only if it has exactly two elements. A full characterization of MS-algebras having SEKP is provided in [3]. A full characterization of finite distributive double $p$-algebras and finite double Stone algebras having SEKP was proved by Blyth, Fang and Wang in [2]. SEKP for distributive $p$-algebras and Stone algebras has been studied and fully characterized by Fang and Fang in [5]. Semilattices with SEKP were fully described by Fang and Sun in [6]. Guričan and Ploščica described unbounded distributive lattices with SEKP in [13]. Halušková described monounary algebras with SEKP in [14]. Double MS-algebras with SEKP were described by Fang in [4]. Guričan proved in [12] that all finite relative Stone algebras have SEKP. Finite abelian groups with SEKP were described by Fang and Sun in [7].

## 2. Preliminaries

We shall start with an obvious characterization of EKP.

Theorem 2.1 ([1]). Algebra $A$ has EKP if and only if every homomorphic image of $A$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $A$.

It means that a group $G$ has EKP if and only if every homomorphic image of $G$, it means every factor group of a group $G$, is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G$. We shall consider only nilpotent groups throughout this paper.

Let $G$ be a finite group, $|G|=p_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{a_{k}}$, where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ are pairwise different prime numbers. Then $G$ is nilpotent if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \cong G_{1} \times G_{2} \times \ldots \times G_{k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{i}$ is (isomorphic to) a Sylow $p_{i}$-subgroup of $G$ for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, it means that $\left|G_{1}\right|=p_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots,\left|G_{k}\right|=p_{k}^{a_{k}}$.

We shall use the following well known theorem.
Theorem 2.2. Let $G$ be a finite nilpotent group written in this way as a product of its Sylow $p_{i}$-groups $G_{i}$,

$$
G=G_{1} \times G_{2} \times \ldots \times G_{k}
$$

Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Then there exist subgroups $H_{i}$ of $G_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$, such that

$$
H=H_{1} \times H_{2} \times \ldots \times H_{k} .
$$

Moreover, if $H \triangleleft G$, then $H_{i} \triangleleft G_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$.
Using this decomposition, the factor group $G / H$ (in the case when $H \triangleleft G$ ) can be written as a product of factor groups in the form

$$
G / H \cong G_{1} / H_{1} \times \ldots \times G_{k} / H_{k} .
$$

Combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we get:
Theorem 2.3. Let each of Sylow subgroups $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ of a finite nilpotent group $G$ (written in the form (2.1)) have EKP. Then also $G$ has EKP.

Proof. Homomorphic image of $G$ is isomorphic to a factor group of $G$. Using Theorem 2.1, it is enough to prove that for any normal subgroup $H$ of $G$, the factor group $G / H$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G$.

Let $G$ be a finite nilpotent group, $|G|=p_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{a_{k}}$, where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ are pairwise different prime numbers. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$
G=G_{1} \times G_{2} \times \ldots \times G_{k},
$$

where $G_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$ are isomorphic to Sylow subgroups of $G$. Let $H \triangleleft G$. By Theorem 2.2 we know that

$$
G / H \cong G_{1} / H_{1} \times \ldots \times G_{k} / H_{k}
$$

for suitable normal subgroups $H_{i}$ of $G_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$. For any $i=1, \ldots, k$, the group $G_{i}$ is a Sylow subgroup of $G$ and therefore $G_{i} / H_{i}$ is isomorpic to a subgroup of $G_{i}$ by Theorem 2.1. Therefore the product $G_{1} / H_{1} \times \ldots \times G_{k} / H_{k}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G_{1} \times G_{2} \times \ldots \times G_{k}$. Hence, $G$ has EKP.

## 3. Finite abelian groups

Let us consider finite abelian groups now. Every abelian group is nilpotent. Let us start with a special case of homomorphic images of a finite abelian $p$-group. Cyclic group with $n$ elements will be denoted by $Z_{n}$. Let $p$ be a prime number. By a structure theorem for finite abelian groups a finite abelian $p$-group $G$ can be uniquely written as $G \cong Z_{p^{a_{1}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{n}}}, a_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant a_{n}$. Numbers $p^{a_{1}}, \ldots, p^{a_{n}}$ are called abelian invariants of a $p$-group $G$. We shall use additive notation for a group operation in this section, it means that for the $n$th power of a group element $g$ we shall write $n \times g$. The subgroup generated by elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ will be denoted by $\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $k \geqslant 1, a_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant a_{k}$ and $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k} \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$. Then

$$
H=Z_{p^{a_{1}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{k}}} /\left[\left(l_{1} \times p^{a_{1}-1}, \ldots, l_{k} \times p^{a_{k}-1}\right)\right]
$$

is isomorphic to $Z_{p^{a_{1}-1}} \times Z_{p^{a_{2}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{k}}}$.
Proof. We shall calculate abelian invariants of a group $H$. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the group of integers, $K=\left[\left(l_{1} \times p^{a_{1}-1}, \ldots, l_{k} \times p^{a_{k}-1}\right)\right], e_{i}=(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$ be a $k$-tuple with just one 1 on the $i$ th coordinate.

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}^{k} \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(e_{1}\right) & =(1,0, \ldots, 0)+K \\
\varphi\left(e_{2}\right) & =(0,1, \ldots, 0)+K \\
& \vdots \\
\varphi\left(e_{k}\right) & =(0, \ldots, 0,1)+K
\end{aligned}
$$

It means

$$
\varphi\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)=\left(b_{1} \bmod p^{a_{1}}, \ldots, b_{k} \bmod p^{a_{k}}\right)+K
$$

We use

$$
K=\left\{l \times\left(l_{1} \times p^{a_{1}-1}, \ldots, l_{k} \times p^{a_{k}-1}\right) ; l=0, \ldots, p-1\right\}
$$

to see that $\varphi\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)=(0, \ldots, 0)+K$ if and only if

$$
\left(b_{1} \bmod p^{a_{1}}, \ldots, b_{k} \bmod p^{a_{k}}\right)=l \times\left(l_{1} \times p^{a_{1}-1}, \ldots, l_{k} \times p^{a_{k}-1}\right)
$$

for some $l \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$.

Let $b_{i} \bmod p^{a_{i}}=r_{i}$, then $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)=\left(r_{1}+q_{1} \times p^{a_{1}}, \ldots, r_{k}+q_{k} \times p^{a_{k}}\right)$ and $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}\right)=l \times\left(l_{1} \times p^{a_{1}-1}, \ldots, l_{k} \times p^{a_{k}-1}\right)$, which means that $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$ if and only if

$$
\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)=l \times\left(l_{1} \times p^{a_{1}-1}, \ldots, l_{k} \times p^{a_{k}-1}\right)+\left(q_{1} \times p^{a_{1}}\right) e_{1}+\ldots+\left(q_{k} \times p^{a_{k}}\right) e_{k}
$$

for some integers $l, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}$ and

$$
\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)=\left[\left(l_{1} \times p^{a_{1}-1}, l_{2} \times p^{a_{2}-1}, \ldots, l_{k} \times p^{a_{k}-1}\right), p^{a_{1}} e_{1}, p^{a_{2}} e_{2}, \ldots, p^{a_{k}} e_{k}\right]
$$

Therefore we can form a matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
l_{1} p^{a_{1}-1} & l_{2} p^{a_{2}-1} & \ldots & l_{k} p^{a_{k}-1} \\
p^{a_{1}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & p^{a_{2}} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & p^{a_{k}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and if we denote

$$
\eta_{m}(A)=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{\operatorname{det}\left(A_{j_{1} \ldots j_{m}}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}}\right): 1 \leqslant i_{1}<\ldots<i_{m} \leqslant k+1,1 \leqslant j_{1}<\ldots<j_{m} \leqslant k\right\}
$$

where $A_{j_{1} \ldots j_{m}}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}}$ is a submatrix of $A$ consisting of elements from rows $1 \leqslant i_{1}<\ldots<$ $i_{m} \leqslant k+1$ and columns $1 \leqslant j_{1}<\ldots<j_{m} \leqslant k$, then abelian invariants of a factor group $H$ are $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$ defined by

$$
d_{1}=\eta_{1}(A), d_{2}=\eta_{2}(A) / \eta_{1}(A), \ldots, d_{k}=\eta_{k}(A) / \eta_{k-1}(A)
$$

We know that for any $m=1, \ldots, k$ the number $\eta_{m}(A)$ divides

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1 \ldots m}^{2 \ldots m+1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
p^{a_{1}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & p^{a_{2}} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & p^{a_{m}}
\end{array}\right)=p^{a_{1}+\ldots+a_{m}}
$$

and therefore $\eta_{m}(A)$ does not depend on numbers $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}$, because these numbers are coprime with $p$.

As $a_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant a_{k}$, it is also clear that for $l=1, \ldots, k$ the least power of $p$ in $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{j_{1} \ldots j_{m}}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}}\right)$ has the determinant of the "left upper corner" of $A$, it means

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(A_{1 \ldots m}^{1 \ldots m}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
l_{1} p^{a_{1}-1} & l_{2} p^{a_{2}-1} & \ldots & & l_{m} p^{a_{m}-1} \\
p^{a_{1}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p^{a_{2}} & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & p^{a_{m-1}} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =l_{1} p^{a_{1}-1} \cdot 0+\ldots+l_{m-1} p^{a_{m-1}-1} \cdot 0+l_{m} p^{a_{m}-1} \cdot p^{a_{1}+\ldots+a_{m-1}} \\
& =l_{m} \cdot p^{a_{1}+\ldots+a_{m-1}+\left(a_{m}-1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore $\eta_{m}(A)=p^{\left(a_{1}-1\right)+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{m}}$.
We get $d_{1}=p^{a_{1}-1}$ and for $i=2, \ldots, k$ we have

$$
d_{i}=p^{\left(a_{1}-1\right)+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{i}} / p^{\left(a_{1}-1\right)+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{i-1}}=p^{a_{i}} .
$$

It means that abelian invariants of $H$ are $p^{a_{1}-1}, p^{a_{2}}, \ldots, p^{a_{k}}$, therefore

$$
H \cong Z_{p^{a_{1}-1}} \times Z_{p^{a_{2}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{k}}} .
$$

Using this we get:
Lemma 3.2. Let $G$ be a finite abelian p-group, $G=Z_{p^{a_{1}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{n}}}, K$ be a subgroup of $G,|K|=p$. Then there exist $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ such that

$$
G / K \cong Z_{p^{a_{1}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{i-1}}} \times Z_{p^{a_{i}-1}} \times Z_{p^{a_{i+1}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{n}}}
$$

which means that the group $G / K$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G$.
Proof. Let $G=Z_{p^{a_{1}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{n}}}$. Let us describe subgroups with $p$ elements first. Let $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in K \backslash\{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$. Then $K=\left[\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right]$ and $\operatorname{ord}\left(\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right)=p$. It means

$$
p \times\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } G
$$

and therefore for every $i=1, \ldots, n$

$$
p \times g_{i}=0 \quad \text { in } Z_{p^{a_{i}}} .
$$

It means that either $g_{i}=0$ or $g_{i}=l_{i} \times p^{a_{i}-1}, l_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$.

Now, let $X=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}: g_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. Suppose that $X=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}, i_{1}<i_{2}<$ $\ldots<i_{k}$. Let $Y=\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash X, Y=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n-k}\right\}, j_{1}<j_{2}<\ldots<j_{n-k}$ and $l_{j_{1}}, \ldots, l_{j_{n-k}}=0$. Then $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\left(l_{1} \times p^{a_{1}-1}, \ldots, l_{n} \times p^{a_{n}-1}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
G / K \cong & Z_{p^{a_{1}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{n}}} /\left[\left(l_{1} \times p^{a_{1}-1}, \ldots, l_{n} \times p^{a_{n}-1}\right)\right] \\
\cong & Z_{p^{a_{j_{1}}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{j_{n-k}}}} \\
& \times\left(Z_{p^{a_{i_{1}}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{i_{k}}}} /\left[\left(l_{i_{1}} \times p^{a_{i_{1}}-1}, \ldots, l_{i_{k}} \times p^{a_{i_{k}}-1}\right)\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 3.1 we see that

$$
Z_{p^{a_{i_{1}}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{i_{k}}}} /\left[\left(l_{i_{1}} \times p^{a_{i_{1}}-1}, \ldots, l_{i_{k}} \times p^{a_{i_{k}}-1}\right)\right]
$$

is isomorphic to $Z_{p^{a_{i_{1}-1}}} \times Z_{p^{a_{i_{2}}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{i_{k}}}}$, which finishes the proof.

Theorem 3.3. Let $G$ be a finite abelian $p$-group, $|G|=p^{n}$. Then for any subgroup $H$ of $G$, the factor group $G / H$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G$.

Proof. We shall proceed by induction. If $n=1, G$ has no proper subgroups. Let $|G|=p^{n+1}, H$ be a subgroup of $G$. If $|H|=p$, the result follows from Lemma 3.2.

Let $|H|=p^{k}, k \geqslant 2$. There exist a subgroup $K$ of $H$ such that $|K|=p$. By the isomorphism theorem we know that

$$
G / H \cong(G / K) /(H / K) .
$$

The group $G / K$ is a $p$-group with $p^{n}$ elements and using the induction assumption, the factor group $(G / K) /(H / K)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G / K$, which is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G$ by Lemma 3.2. This means that $G / H$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G$ and this finishes the proof.

Using this we get the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. Then $G$ has EKP.
Proof. The group $G$ is a finite nilpotent group. Sylow subgroups of $G$ are abelian $p$-groups. Combining Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.3 we know that all Sylow subgroups of $G$ have EKP. Hence, $G$ has EKP by Theorem 2.3.

## 4. Finite nilpotent groups

We shall show infinitely many finite non-abelian groups with EKP in this section. We suppose that $p$ is a prime in this section. We will use multiplication for a group operation. Let $G$ be a group, $Z(G)$ be the centre of $G$. Let us start with some well known facts/theorems.

## Theorem 4.1.

(1) Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group. Then $Z(G)$ is nontrivial.
(2) Let $G$ be a group. If $G / Z(G)$ is cyclic, then $G$ is abelian.
(3) Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group, $H \triangleleft G,|H|=p$. Then $H \subseteq Z(G)$.
(4) Let $G$ be a group, $|G|=p^{2}$. Then $G$ is abelian, it means $G$ is either cyclic or $G \cong Z_{p} \times Z_{p}$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $G$ be a non-abelian group, $|G|=p^{3}$. Then there is exactly one normal subgroup of $G$ which has $p$ elements. Morever, this normal subgroup is the center $Z(G)$ and

$$
G / Z(G) \cong Z_{p} \times Z_{p}
$$

Proof. Let $G$ be a non-abelian group. According to Theorem $4.1(2), G / Z(G)$ is not cyclic. We know also that $Z(G)$ is not trivial. It means that $|G / Z(G)|=p^{2}$ and therefore $|Z(G)|=p$.

Now, let $H \triangleleft G,|H|=p$. By Theorem $4.1(3), H \subseteq Z(G)$. But this means that $H=Z(G)$. So $Z(G)$ is the only one normal subgroup of $G$. Moreover, we know that $G / Z(G)$ is not cyclic and it has $p^{2}$ elements, therefore

$$
G / Z(G) \cong Z_{p} \times Z_{p}
$$

by Theorem 4.1 (4).
The following statement is Corollary 5.3.8 in [17].
Corollary 4.3. Suppose that $G$ is a p-group all of whose abelian subgroups are cyclic. Then $G$ is cyclic or a quaternion group.

Hence, as a direct consequence we have:
Theorem 4.4. Let $G$ be a non-abelian group, $|G|=p^{3}$, where $p>2$, or $G \cong D_{4}$ (dihedral 8 element group). Then $G$ has a non-cyclic abelian subgroup $H$, it means a subgroup $H$ such that

$$
H \cong Z_{p} \times Z_{p}
$$

Lemma 4.5. Let $G$ be a non-abelian group, $|G|=p^{3}$.
(1) If $p>2$, then $G$ has EKP.
(2) If $p=2$ and $G \cong D_{4}$, then $G$ has EKP.

Proof. We have to show that a homomorphic image of $G$, it means a factor group of $G$, is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G$. Let $H \triangleleft G$.

If $|H|=p^{2}$, then $|G / H|=p$ and we know that $G$ contains a subgroup with $p$ elements.

If $|H|=p$, then $H=Z(G)$ and by Corollary 4.2 we have

$$
G / H=G / Z(G) \cong Z_{p} \times Z_{p}
$$

By Theorem 4.4, group $D_{4}$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $Z_{2} \times Z_{2}$, a group $G$ with $p^{3}$ elements for an odd prime number $p$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $Z_{p} \times Z_{p}$. This finishes the proof.

Next lemma generalizes the previous one.

Lemma 4.6. Let $P$ be a non-abelian group, $|P|=p^{3}$ for an odd prime number $p$ or $P=D_{4}$. Let $G=Z_{p}^{k} \times P$. Then $G$ has EKP.

Proof. Let $H \triangleleft G$. Let $(a, b) \in H \subseteq Z_{p}^{k} \times P$, it means $a \in Z_{p}^{k}, b \in P$. Let us remind that $Z(P)$ is a cyclic group with $p$ elements. We shall consider three cases:

Case 1. $b \notin Z(P)$ : There is $g \in P$ such that $g b g^{-1} b^{-1} \neq e$. Also $\left(a^{-1}, b^{-1}\right) \in H$ and because $H$ is invariant, also $\left(a, g b g^{-1}\right) \in H$ and finally $\left(e, g b g^{-1} b^{-1}\right) \in H$. Denote $z=g b g^{-1} b^{-1}$. We have that $z$ is a commutator since $z=[g, b]$.

As $P / Z(P) \cong Z_{p} \times Z_{p}$, it is an abelian group. Therefore the commutator subgroup satisfies $[P, P] \subseteq Z(P)$. Group $P$ is not abelian, it means that $[P, P]=Z(P)$, $z \in Z(P)$. We know that $(e, z) \in H$, therefore $\{e\} \times Z(P)=[(e, z)] \triangleleft H$. Clearly, also $\{e\} \times Z(P) \triangleleft G$. Now, $G /(\{e\} \times Z(P)) \cong Z_{p}^{k} \times Z_{p} \times Z_{p}$ and it is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G$. $G /(\{e\} \times Z(P))$ is an abelian group and therefore by Theorem 3.2, factor group $G / H \cong(G /(\{e\} \times Z(P))) /(H /(\{e\} \times Z(P)))$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G /(\{e\} \times Z(P))$ and finally, $G / H$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G$.

In the next two cases we assume that there is no element $(a, b) \in H$ with $b \notin Z(P)$.
Case 2. $b \in Z(P)$ and there exists an element $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in H$ such that for some $l$ we have $b^{l}=b_{1}, a^{l} \neq a_{1}$ : We have also $\left(a_{1}^{-1}, b_{1}^{-1}\right) \in H$, it means $\left(a^{l}, b^{l}\right) \cdot\left(a_{1}^{-1}, b_{1}^{-1}\right)=$ $(c, e) \in H, c \neq e$. Let $K=[c]$. Then $K \triangleleft Z_{p}^{k}$, we see that $K \times\{e\} \triangleleft H$ and also $K \times\{e\} \triangleleft G$. Further, $G /(K \times\{e\}) \cong\left(Z_{p}^{k} / K\right) \times P$. By Lemma 3.2, $Z_{p}^{k} / K$ is isomorphic to $Z_{p}^{k-1}$.

We can proceed by induction. For $k=1$,

$$
K=Z_{p}^{1} \quad \text { and } \quad G /(K \times\{e\}) \cong\left(Z_{p}^{1} / K\right) \times P \cong P
$$

and $P$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G=Z_{p}^{1} \times P$. Therefore

$$
G / H \cong(G /(K \times\{e\})) /(H /(K \times\{e\}))
$$

is isomorphic to a subgroup of $P$ and therefore also isomorphic to a subgroup of $G$.
Now, let the statement be true for all $k^{\prime}<k$, we shall prove that it is true for the number $k$. We know that $Z_{p}^{k} / K$ is isomorphic to $Z_{p}^{m}$ for $m<k$, it means that $G /(K \times\{e\}) \cong Z_{p}^{m} \times P$ and therefore

$$
G / H \cong(G /(K \times\{e\})) /(H /(K \times\{e\})
$$

is isomorphic to a subgroup of $Z_{p}^{m} \times P$ by induction. Finally, we get that $G / H$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G=Z_{p}^{k} \times P$.

Case 3. $b \in Z(P)$ and for an element $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in H$, whenever for some $l$ we have $b^{l}=b_{1}$, then also $a^{l}=a_{1}$ : Let us rename $b$ to $z$. The group $H=[(a, z)]$ in this case. It is clear that $z$ is a generator of the centre $Z(P)$. Let $a=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}\right) \in Z_{p}^{k}$, $X=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, k\} ; l_{i} \neq 1\right\}=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}, Y=\{1, \ldots, k\} \backslash X=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k-m}\right\}$. Now, let $C_{i}=Z_{p}$. Then

$$
\left(Z_{p}^{k} \times P\right) /[(a, z)] \cong C_{j_{1}} \times \ldots \times C_{j_{k-m}} \times\left(\left(C_{i_{1}} \times \ldots \times C_{i_{m}} \times P\right) /\left[\left(\left(l_{i_{1}}, \ldots, l_{i_{m}}\right), z\right)\right]\right)
$$

It is enough to prove that $C_{i_{1}} \times \ldots \times C_{i_{m}} \times P /\left[\left(\left(l_{i_{1}}, \ldots, l_{i_{m}}\right), z\right)\right]$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $C_{i_{1}} \times \ldots \times C_{i_{m}} \times P=Z_{p}^{m} \times P$. To simplify indexing, we shall prove that for $a=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}\right), l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m} \neq 1, Z_{p}^{m} \times P /\left[\left(\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}\right), z\right)\right]$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $Z_{p}^{m} \times P$. As $Z_{p}$ is a cyclic group with $p$ elements, $Z_{p}=\left[l_{i}\right]$, therefore we shall represent $Z_{p}^{m}$ as $\left[l_{1}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[l_{m}\right]$.

If $m=1$, we can consider the map $\varphi:\left[l_{1}\right] \times P \rightarrow P$ given by $\varphi\left(l_{1}^{n}, b\right)=b z^{-n}$. Then $\left(l_{1}^{n}, b\right) \in \operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$ if and only if $b z^{-n}=e$, it means if and only if $b=z^{n}$. Therefore $\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)=\left\{\left(l_{1}^{n}, z^{n}\right) ; n=0, \ldots, p-1\right\}=\left[\left(l_{1}, z\right)\right]$.

It is easy to check that $\varphi$ is a homomorphism (we shall present the proof for more general case later). The group $\left[l_{1}\right] \times P$ has $p^{4}$ elements, $\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$ has $p$ elements and $P$ has $p^{3}$ elements, therefore the map $\varphi$ is surjective and $\left[l_{1}\right] \times P /\left[\left(l_{1}, z\right)\right] \cong P$. It means that $\left[l_{1}\right] \times P /\left[\left(l_{1}, z\right)\right]$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $P$.

Let us consider a general case now. Let $\varphi:\left[l_{1}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[l_{m}\right] \times P \rightarrow\left[l_{2}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[l_{m}\right] \times P$ be given by

$$
\varphi\left(l_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots, l_{m}^{a_{m}}, b\right)=\left(l_{2}^{a_{1}-a_{2}}, l_{3}^{a_{2}-a_{3}}, \ldots, l_{m}^{a_{m-1}-a_{m}}, b z^{-a_{m}}\right)
$$

Then $\left(l_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots, l_{m}^{a_{m}}, b\right) \in \operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$ if and only if

$$
a_{1}-a_{2}=0, a_{2}-a_{3}=0, \ldots, a_{m-1}-a_{m}=0, b z^{-a_{m}}=e
$$

which is true if and only if $a_{1}=\ldots=a_{m}$ and $b=z^{a_{m}}$. Therefore

$$
\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)=\left[\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}, z\right)\right] .
$$

The map $\varphi$ is a homomorphism:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\left(l_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots,\right.\right. & \left.\left.l_{m}^{a_{m}}, c\right) \cdot\left(l_{1}^{b_{1}}, \ldots, l_{m}^{b_{m}}, d\right)\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(l_{1}^{a_{1}+b_{1}}, \ldots, l_{m}^{a_{m}+b_{m}}, c d\right) \\
& =\left(l_{2}^{\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right)-\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right)}, \ldots, l_{m}^{\left(a_{m-1}+b_{m-1}\right)-\left(a_{m}+b_{m}\right)}, c d \cdot z^{-a_{m}-b_{m}}\right) \\
& =\left(l_{2}^{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)}, \ldots, l_{m}^{\left(a_{m-1}-a_{m}\right)+\left(b_{m-1}-b_{m}\right)}, c z^{-a_{m}} d \cdot z^{-b_{m}}\right) \\
& =\left(l_{2}^{a_{1}-a_{2}}, \ldots, l_{m}^{a_{m-1}-a_{m}}, c z^{-a_{m}}\right) \cdot\left(l_{2}^{b_{1}-b_{2}}, \ldots, l_{m}^{b_{m-1}-b_{m}}, d z^{-b_{m}}\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\left(l_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots, l_{m}^{a_{m}}, c\right)\right) \cdot \varphi\left(\left(l_{1}^{b_{1}}, \ldots, l_{m}^{b_{m}}, d\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equalities on the last coordinate are valid because $z$ is an element of the centre of $P$. By counting elements in $\left[l_{1}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[l_{m}\right] \times P, \operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$ and in $\left[l_{2}\right] \times \ldots \times\left[l_{m}\right] \times P$, we see that $\varphi$ is surjective. Therefore $Z_{p}^{m} \times P /[(a, z)] \cong Z_{p}^{m-1} \times P$, which is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G=Z_{p}^{m} \times P$.

We see that in every possible case, $G / H$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G$ and therefore $G$ has EKP.

Using this result and the ideas from the section on abelian groups we get:
Theorem 4.7. Let $G$ be a finite nilpotent group written in the form (2.1). Let each Sylow group $G_{i}$ be (isomorphic to) one of the following groups:
(1) an abelian group,
(2) $Z_{p_{i}}^{k_{i}} \times P_{i}$, where $k_{i} \geqslant 0, p_{i}>2$ and $P_{i}$ is a non-abelian group of order $p_{i}^{3}$,
(3) $Z_{2}^{k_{i}} \times D_{4}$, where $k_{i} \geqslant 0$ and $D_{4}$ is a dihedral 8-element group.

Then $G$ has EKP.
Remark 4.8. Lemma 4.6 does not provide all non-abelian $p$-groups which have EKP. Direct computation in GAP (see [9]) shows that for example there are 6 nonabelian groups of order $3^{4}=81$ which have EKP (GAP identifications returned by IdSmallGroup () of these groups are [81,6], [81,7], [81,8], [81,9], [81,12], [81,13]), but only 2 of them are of the form $Z_{3} \times P$, where $P$ is a non-abelian group of order $3^{3}$. There are 4 non-abelian groups of order 81 which do not have EKP (GAP id's of these groups are $[81,3],[81,4],[81,10],[81,14])$.
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