Mathematica Bohemica

Heghine Ghumashyan; Jaroslav Guričan Endomorphism kernel property for finite groups

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 147 (2022), No. 3, 347-358

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151012

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project $\mathit{DML-CZ}$: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://dml.cz

ENDOMORPHISM KERNEL PROPERTY FOR FINITE GROUPS

HEGHINE GHUMASHYAN, Yerevan, JAROSLAV GURIČAN, Bratislava

Received October 28, 2020. Published online August 10, 2021. Communicated by Wiesław Kubiś

Abstract. A group G has the endomorphism kernel property (EKP) if every congruence relation θ on G is the kernel of an endomorphism on G. In this note we show that all finite abelian groups have EKP and we show infinite series of finite non-abelian groups which have EKP.

Keywords: endomorphism kernel property; nilpotent group; p-group

MSC 2020: 08A35, 20D15, 20K01, 20K27, 20K30

1. Introduction

The concept of the (strong) endomorphism kernel property for an universal algebra has been introduced by Blyth, Fang and Silva in [1] and [3] as follows.

Definition 1.1. An algebra A has the *endomorphism kernel property* (EKP) if every congruence relation θ on A different from the universal congruence $\iota_A = A \times A$ is the kernel of an endomorphism on A.

Let $\theta \in \operatorname{Con}(A)$ be a congruence on A. A mapping $f \colon A \to A$ is said to be compatible with θ if $a \equiv b(\theta)$ implies $f(a) \equiv f(b)(\theta)$, it means if it preserves the congruence θ . An endomorphism of A is called strong if it is compatible with every congruence $\theta \in \operatorname{Con}(A)$.

The notion of compatibility of functions with congruences has been studied in various contexts by many authors. We refer to the monograph [16] for an overview. Compatible functions are sometimes called "congruence preserving functions" or "functions with substitution property".

DOI: 10.21136/MB.2021.0171-20

347

The first author was supported by the NSP of the Slovak Republic, SAIA. The second author was supported by VEGA grant No. 1/0333/17 of the Slovak Republic.

Definition 1.2. An algebra A has the strong endomorphism kernel property (SEKP) if every congruence relation θ on A different from the universal congruence ι_A is the kernel of a strong endomorphism on A.

If the algebra A has two or more nullary operations and corresponding elements are different in A, the universal congruence ι_A cannot be the kernel of an endomorphism and that is the reason why the universal congruence ι_A is excluded from the definition of both EKP and SEKP. It is not necessary to exclude it for algebras with one-element subalgebras, like groups.

In the original paper [1] Blyth, Fang and Silva proved that finite Boolean algebras, finite chains as bounded distributive lattices possess EKP, finite bounded distributive lattice has EKP if and only if it is a product of chains. They also proved a full characterisation of finite de Morgan algebras having EKP. EKP for finite Stone algebras has been studied by Gaitan and Cortes in [8], by Guričan in [10]. The main approach in papers [1] and [8] lies in regarding algebras in question as Ockham algebras and using the duality theory of Priestley and Urquhart. Another papers concerning this topic are e.g. [11], [15].

Blyth and Silva considered the case of Ockham algebras and in particular of MS-algebras in [3]. For instance, a Boolean algebra has SEKP if and only if it has exactly two elements. A full characterization of MS-algebras having SEKP is provided in [3]. A full characterization of finite distributive double p-algebras and finite double Stone algebras having SEKP was proved by Blyth, Fang and Wang in [2]. SEKP for distributive p-algebras and Stone algebras has been studied and fully characterized by Fang and Fang in [5]. Semilattices with SEKP were fully described by Fang and Sun in [6]. Guričan and Ploščica described unbounded distributive lattices with SEKP in [13]. Halušková described monounary algebras with SEKP in [14]. Double MS-algebras with SEKP were described by Fang in [4]. Guričan proved in [12] that all finite relative Stone algebras have SEKP. Finite abelian groups with SEKP were described by Fang and Sun in [7].

2. Preliminaries

We shall start with an obvious characterization of EKP.

Theorem 2.1 ([1]). Algebra A has EKP if and only if every homomorphic image of A is isomorphic to a subalgebra of A.

It means that a group G has EKP if and only if every homomorphic image of G, it means every factor group of a group G, is isomorphic to a subgroup of G. We shall consider only nilpotent groups throughout this paper.

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_k^{a_k}$, where p_1, \dots, p_k are pairwise different prime numbers. Then G is nilpotent if and only if

$$(2.1) G \cong G_1 \times G_2 \times \ldots \times G_k,$$

where G_i is (isomorphic to) a Sylow p_i -subgroup of G for every $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$, it means that $|G_1| = p_1^{a_1}, ..., |G_k| = p_k^{a_k}$.

We shall use the following well known theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let G be a finite nilpotent group written in this way as a product of its Sylow p_i -groups G_i ,

$$G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \ldots \times G_k$$
.

Let H be a subgroup of G. Then there exist subgroups H_i of G_i , i = 1, ..., k, such that

$$H = H_1 \times H_2 \times \ldots \times H_k$$
.

Moreover, if $H \triangleleft G$, then $H_i \triangleleft G_i$ for i = 1, ..., k.

Using this decomposition, the factor group G/H (in the case when $H \triangleleft G$) can be written as a product of factor groups in the form

$$G/H \cong G_1/H_1 \times \ldots \times G_k/H_k$$
.

Combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we get:

Theorem 2.3. Let each of Sylow subgroups G_1, \ldots, G_k of a finite nilpotent group G (written in the form (2.1)) have EKP. Then also G has EKP.

Proof. Homomorphic image of G is isomorphic to a factor group of G. Using Theorem 2.1, it is enough to prove that for any normal subgroup H of G, the factor group G/H is isomorphic to a subgroup of G.

Let G be a finite nilpotent group, $|G| = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_k^{a_k}$, where p_1, \dots, p_k are pairwise different prime numbers. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \ldots \times G_k$$

where G_i , i = 1, ..., k are isomorphic to Sylow subgroups of G. Let $H \triangleleft G$. By Theorem 2.2 we know that

$$G/H \cong G_1/H_1 \times \ldots \times G_k/H_k$$

for suitable normal subgroups H_i of G_i , $i=1,\ldots,k$. For any $i=1,\ldots,k$, the group G_i is a Sylow subgroup of G and therefore G_i/H_i is isomorpic to a subgroup of G_i by Theorem 2.1. Therefore the product $G_1/H_1 \times \ldots \times G_k/H_k$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G_1 \times G_2 \times \ldots \times G_k$. Hence, G has EKP.

3. Finite abelian groups

Let us consider finite abelian groups now. Every abelian group is nilpotent. Let us start with a special case of homomorphic images of a finite abelian p-group. Cyclic group with n elements will be denoted by Z_n . Let p be a prime number. By a structure theorem for finite abelian groups a finite abelian p-group G can be uniquely written as $G \cong Z_{p^{a_1}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_n}}$, $a_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant a_n$. Numbers p^{a_1}, \ldots, p^{a_n} are called abelian invariants of a p-group G. We shall use additive notation for a group operation in this section, it means that for the nth power of a group element g we shall write $n \times g$. The subgroup generated by elements a_1, \ldots, a_n will be denoted by $[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$.

Lemma 3.1. Let
$$k \ge 1$$
, $a_1 \le ... \le a_k$ and $l_1, ..., l_k \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$. Then

$$H = Z_{p^{a_1}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_k}} / [(l_1 \times p^{a_1 - 1}, \ldots, l_k \times p^{a_k - 1})]$$

is isomorphic to $Z_{p^{a_1-1}} \times Z_{p^{a_2}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_k}}$.

Proof. We shall calculate abelian invariants of a group H. Let \mathbb{Z} be the group of integers, $K = [(l_1 \times p^{a_1-1}, \ldots, l_k \times p^{a_k-1})], e_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ be a k-tuple with just one 1 on the ith coordinate.

Let $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z}^k \to H$ be a homomorphism given by:

$$\varphi(e_1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0) + K,$$

 $\varphi(e_2) = (0, 1, \dots, 0) + K,$
 \vdots
 $\varphi(e_k) = (0, \dots, 0, 1) + K.$

It means

$$\varphi(b_1,\ldots,b_k)=(b_1 \bmod p^{a_1},\ldots,b_k \bmod p^{a_k})+K.$$

We use

$$K = \{l \times (l_1 \times p^{a_1 - 1}, \dots, l_k \times p^{a_k - 1}); \ l = 0, \dots, p - 1\}$$

to see that $\varphi(b_1,\ldots,b_k)=(0,\ldots,0)+K$ if and only if

$$(b_1 \bmod p^{a_1}, \dots, b_k \bmod p^{a_k}) = l \times (l_1 \times p^{a_1-1}, \dots, l_k \times p^{a_k-1})$$

for some $l \in \{0, ..., p-1\}$.

Let $b_i \mod p^{a_i} = r_i$, then $(b_1, \ldots, b_k) = (r_1 + q_1 \times p^{a_1}, \ldots, r_k + q_k \times p^{a_k})$ and $(r_1, \ldots, r_k) = l \times (l_1 \times p^{a_1-1}, \ldots, l_k \times p^{a_k-1})$, which means that $(b_1, \ldots, b_k) \in \ker(\varphi)$ if and only if

$$(b_1,\ldots,b_k) = l \times (l_1 \times p^{a_1-1},\ldots,l_k \times p^{a_k-1}) + (q_1 \times p^{a_1})e_1 + \ldots + (q_k \times p^{a_k})e_k$$

for some integers l, q_1, \ldots, q_k and

$$\ker(\varphi) = [(l_1 \times p^{a_1-1}, l_2 \times p^{a_2-1}, \dots, l_k \times p^{a_k-1}), p^{a_1}e_1, p^{a_2}e_2, \dots, p^{a_k}e_k].$$

Therefore we can form a matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} l_1 p^{a_1 - 1} & l_2 p^{a_2 - 1} & \dots & l_k p^{a_k - 1} \\ p^{a_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & p^{a_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & p^{a_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

and if we denote

$$\eta_m(A) = \gcd\{\det(A_{i_1...i_m}^{i_1...i_m}): 1 \le i_1 < ... < i_m \le k+1, 1 \le j_1 < ... < j_m \le k\},$$

where $A_{j_1...j_m}^{i_1...i_m}$ is a submatrix of A consisting of elements from rows $1 \leq i_1 < ... < i_m \leq k+1$ and columns $1 \leq j_1 < ... < j_m \leq k$, then abelian invariants of a factor group H are $d_1, ..., d_k$ defined by

$$d_1 = \eta_1(A), \ d_2 = \eta_2(A)/\eta_1(A), \dots, \ d_k = \eta_k(A)/\eta_{k-1}(A).$$

We know that for any m = 1, ..., k the number $\eta_m(A)$ divides

$$\det(A_{1...m}^{2...m+1}) = \det\begin{pmatrix} p^{a_1} & 0 & \dots & 0\\ 0 & p^{a_2} & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & p^{a_m} \end{pmatrix} = p^{a_1 + \dots + a_m}$$

and therefore $\eta_m(A)$ does not depend on numbers l_1, \ldots, l_k , because these numbers are coprime with p.

As $a_1 \leq \ldots \leq a_k$, it is also clear that for $l = 1, \ldots, k$ the least power of p in $\det(A_{j_1 \ldots j_m}^{i_1 \ldots i_m})$ has the determinant of the "left upper corner" of A, it means

$$\det(A_{1...m}^{1...m}) = \det\begin{pmatrix} l_1 p^{a_1-1} & l_2 p^{a_2-1} & \dots & l_m p^{a_m-1} \\ p^{a_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p^{a_2} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & p^{a_{m-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= l_1 p^{a_1-1} \cdot 0 + \dots + l_{m-1} p^{a_{m-1}-1} \cdot 0 + l_m p^{a_m-1} \cdot p^{a_1+\dots+a_{m-1}}$$

$$= l_m \cdot p^{a_1+\dots+a_{m-1}+(a_m-1)}$$

and therefore $\eta_m(A) = p^{(a_1-1)+a_2+...+a_m}$.

We get $d_1 = p^{a_1-1}$ and for i = 2, ..., k we have

$$d_i = p^{(a_1-1)+a_2+...+a_i}/p^{(a_1-1)+a_2+...+a_{i-1}} = p^{a_i}.$$

It means that abelian invariants of H are $p^{a_1-1}, p^{a_2}, \ldots, p^{a_k}$, therefore

$$H \cong Z_{p^{a_1-1}} \times Z_{p^{a_2}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_k}}.$$

Using this we get:

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a finite abelian p-group, $G = Z_{p^{a_1}} \times ... \times Z_{p^{a_n}}$, K be a subgroup of G, |K| = p. Then there exist $1 \le i \le n$ such that

$$G/K \cong Z_{p^{a_1}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{i-1}}} \times Z_{p^{a_{i-1}}} \times Z_{p^{a_{i+1}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_n}},$$

which means that the group G/K is isomorphic to a subgroup of G.

Proof. Let $G = Z_{p^{a_1}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_n}}$. Let us describe subgroups with p elements first. Let $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in K \setminus \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$. Then $K = [(g_1, \ldots, g_n)]$ and $\operatorname{ord}((g_1, \ldots, g_n)) = p$. It means

$$p \times (g_1, \dots, g_n) = 0 \quad \text{in } G$$

and therefore for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$

$$p \times g_i = 0$$
 in $Z_{p^{a_i}}$.

It means that either $g_i = 0$ or $g_i = l_i \times p^{a_i - 1}$, $l_i \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$.

Now, let $X = \{i \in \{1, ..., n\}: g_i \neq 0\}$. Suppose that $X = \{i_1, ..., i_k\}, i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_k$. Let $Y = \{1, ..., n\} \setminus X$, $Y = \{j_1, ..., j_{n-k}\}, j_1 < j_2 < ... < j_{n-k}$ and $l_{j_1}, ..., l_{j_{n-k}} = 0$. Then $(g_1, ..., g_n) = (l_1 \times p^{a_1-1}, ..., l_n \times p^{a_n-1})$ and

$$G/K \cong Z_{p^{a_1}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_n}} / [(l_1 \times p^{a_1-1}, \ldots, l_n \times p^{a_n-1})]$$

$$\cong Z_{p^{a_{j_1}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{j_{n-k}}}}$$

$$\times (Z_{p^{a_{i_1}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{i_k}}} / [(l_{i_1} \times p^{a_{i_1}-1}, \ldots, l_{i_k} \times p^{a_{i_k}-1})]).$$

Using Lemma 3.1 we see that

$$Z_{p^{a_{i_1}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{i_k}}}/[(l_{i_1} \times p^{a_{i_1}-1}, \ldots, l_{i_k} \times p^{a_{i_k}-1})]$$

is isomorphic to $Z_{p^{a_{i_1}-1}} \times Z_{p^{a_{i_2}}} \times \ldots \times Z_{p^{a_{i_k}}}$, which finishes the proof. \Box

Theorem 3.3. Let G be a finite abelian p-group, $|G| = p^n$. Then for any subgroup H of G, the factor group G/H is isomorphic to a subgroup of G.

Proof. We shall proceed by induction. If n=1, G has no proper subgroups. Let $|G|=p^{n+1}$, H be a subgroup of G. If |H|=p, the result follows from Lemma 3.2. Let $|H|=p^k$, $k\geqslant 2$. There exist a subgroup K of H such that |K|=p. By the isomorphism theorem we know that

$$G/H \cong (G/K)/(H/K)$$
.

The group G/K is a p-group with p^n elements and using the induction assumption, the factor group (G/K)/(H/K) is isomorphic to a subgroup of G/K, which is isomorphic to a subgroup of G by Lemma 3.2. This means that G/H is isomorphic to a subgroup of G and this finishes the proof.

Using this we get the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. Let G be a finite abelian group. Then G has EKP.

Proof. The group G is a finite nilpotent group. Sylow subgroups of G are abelian p-groups. Combining Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.3 we know that all Sylow subgroups of G have EKP. Hence, G has EKP by Theorem 2.3.

4. Finite nilpotent groups

We shall show infinitely many finite non-abelian groups with EKP in this section. We suppose that p is a prime in this section. We will use multiplication for a group operation. Let G be a group, Z(G) be the centre of G. Let us start with some well known facts/theorems.

Theorem 4.1.

- (1) Let G be a finite p-group. Then Z(G) is nontrivial.
- (2) Let G be a group. If G/Z(G) is cyclic, then G is abelian.
- (3) Let G be a finite p-group, $H \triangleleft G$, |H| = p. Then $H \subseteq Z(G)$.
- (4) Let G be a group, $|G| = p^2$. Then G is abelian, it means G is either cyclic or $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Corollary 4.2. Let G be a non-abelian group, $|G| = p^3$. Then there is exactly one normal subgroup of G which has p elements. Morever, this normal subgroup is the center Z(G) and

$$G/Z(G) \cong Z_p \times Z_p$$
.

Proof. Let G be a non-abelian group. According to Theorem 4.1(2), G/Z(G) is not cyclic. We know also that Z(G) is not trivial. It means that $|G/Z(G)| = p^2$ and therefore |Z(G)| = p.

Now, let $H \triangleleft G$, |H| = p. By Theorem 4.1 (3), $H \subseteq Z(G)$. But this means that H = Z(G). So Z(G) is the only one normal subgroup of G. Moreover, we know that G/Z(G) is not cyclic and it has p^2 elements, therefore

$$G/Z(G) \cong Z_p \times Z_p$$

by Theorem 4.1(4).

The following statement is Corollary 5.3.8 in [17].

Corollary 4.3. Suppose that G is a p-group all of whose abelian subgroups are cyclic. Then G is cyclic or a quaternion group.

Hence, as a direct consequence we have:

Theorem 4.4. Let G be a non-abelian group, $|G| = p^3$, where p > 2, or $G \cong D_4$ (dihedral 8 element group). Then G has a non-cyclic abelian subgroup H, it means a subgroup H such that

$$H \cong Z_p \times Z_p$$
.

Lemma 4.5. Let G be a non-abelian group, $|G| = p^3$.

- (1) If p > 2, then G has EKP.
- (2) If p = 2 and $G \cong D_4$, then G has EKP.

Proof. We have to show that a homomorphic image of G, it means a factor group of G, is isomorphic to a subgroup of G. Let $H \triangleleft G$.

If $|H| = p^2$, then |G/H| = p and we know that G contains a subgroup with p elements.

If |H| = p, then H = Z(G) and by Corollary 4.2 we have

$$G/H = G/Z(G) \cong Z_p \times Z_p$$
.

By Theorem 4.4, group D_4 has a subgroup isomorphic to $Z_2 \times Z_2$, a group G with p^3 elements for an odd prime number p has a subgroup isomorphic to $Z_p \times Z_p$. This finishes the proof.

Next lemma generalizes the previous one.

Lemma 4.6. Let P be a non-abelian group, $|P| = p^3$ for an odd prime number p or $P = D_4$. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^k \times P$. Then G has EKP.

Proof. Let $H \triangleleft G$. Let $(a,b) \in H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^k \times P$, it means $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^k, b \in P$. Let us remind that $\mathbb{Z}(P)$ is a cyclic group with p elements. We shall consider three cases:

Case 1. $b \notin Z(P)$: There is $g \in P$ such that $gbg^{-1}b^{-1} \neq e$. Also $(a^{-1},b^{-1}) \in H$ and because H is invariant, also $(a,gbg^{-1}) \in H$ and finally $(e,gbg^{-1}b^{-1}) \in H$. Denote $z = gbg^{-1}b^{-1}$. We have that z is a commutator since z = [g,b].

As $P/Z(P) \cong Z_p \times Z_p$, it is an abelian group. Therefore the commutator subgroup satisfies $[P,P] \subseteq Z(P)$. Group P is not abelian, it means that [P,P] = Z(P), $z \in Z(P)$. We know that $(e,z) \in H$, therefore $\{e\} \times Z(P) = [(e,z)] \triangleleft H$. Clearly, also $\{e\} \times Z(P) \triangleleft G$. Now, $G/(\{e\} \times Z(P)) \cong Z_p^k \times Z_p \times Z_p$ and it is isomorphic to a subgroup of G. $G/(\{e\} \times Z(P))$ is an abelian group and therefore by Theorem 3.2, factor group $G/H \cong (G/(\{e\} \times Z(P)))/(H/(\{e\} \times Z(P)))$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G/(\{e\} \times Z(P))$ and finally, G/H is isomorphic to a subgroup of G.

In the next two cases we assume that there is no element $(a,b) \in H$ with $b \notin Z(P)$. $Case \ 2. \ b \in Z(P)$ and there exists an element $(a_1,b_1) \in H$ such that for some l we have $b^l = b_1, \ a^l \neq a_1$: We have also $(a_1^{-1}, b_1^{-1}) \in H$, it means $(a^l, b^l) \cdot (a_1^{-1}, b_1^{-1}) = (c,e) \in H, \ c \neq e$. Let K = [c]. Then $K \triangleleft Z_p^k$, we see that $K \times \{e\} \triangleleft H$ and also $K \times \{e\} \triangleleft G$. Further, $G/(K \times \{e\}) \cong (Z_p^k/K) \times P$. By Lemma 3.2, Z_p^k/K is isomorphic to Z_p^{k-1} . We can proceed by induction. For k = 1,

$$K = \mathbb{Z}_p^1$$
 and $G/(K \times \{e\}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p^1/K) \times P \cong P$

and P is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^1 \times P$. Therefore

$$G/H \cong (G/(K \times \{e\}))/(H/(K \times \{e\}))$$

is isomorphic to a subgroup of P and therefore also isomorphic to a subgroup of G.

Now, let the statement be true for all k' < k, we shall prove that it is true for the number k. We know that Z_p^k/K is isomorphic to Z_p^m for m < k, it means that $G/(K \times \{e\}) \cong Z_p^m \times P$ and therefore

$$G/H \cong (G/(K \times \{e\}))/(H/(K \times \{e\}))$$

is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p^m \times P$ by induction. Finally, we get that G/H is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^k \times P$.

Case 3. $b \in Z(P)$ and for an element $(a_1,b_1) \in H$, whenever for some l we have $b^l = b_1$, then also $a^l = a_1$: Let us rename b to z. The group H = [(a,z)] in this case. It is clear that z is a generator of the centre Z(P). Let $a = (l_1,\ldots,l_k) \in Z_p^k$, $X = \{i \in \{1,\ldots,k\};\ l_i \neq 1\} = \{i_1,\ldots,i_m\},\ Y = \{1,\ldots,k\} \setminus X = \{j_1,\ldots,j_{k-m}\}.$ Now, let $C_i = Z_p$. Then

$$(Z_p^k \times P)/[(a,z)] \cong C_{j_1} \times \ldots \times C_{j_{k-m}} \times ((C_{i_1} \times \ldots \times C_{i_m} \times P)/[((l_{i_1},\ldots,l_{i_m}),z)]).$$

It is enough to prove that $C_{i_1} \times \ldots \times C_{i_m} \times P/[((l_{i_1},\ldots,l_{i_m}),z)]$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $C_{i_1} \times \ldots \times C_{i_m} \times P = Z_p^m \times P$. To simplify indexing, we shall prove that for $a = (l_1,\ldots,l_m),\ l_1,\ldots,l_m \neq 1,\ Z_p^m \times P/[((l_1,\ldots,l_m),z)]$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $Z_p^m \times P$. As Z_p is a cyclic group with p elements, $Z_p = [l_i]$, therefore we shall represent Z_p^m as $[l_1] \times \ldots \times [l_m]$.

If m = 1, we can consider the map $\varphi \colon [l_1] \times P \to P$ given by $\varphi(l_1^n, b) = bz^{-n}$. Then $(l_1^n, b) \in \ker(\varphi)$ if and only if $bz^{-n} = e$, it means if and only if $b = z^n$. Therefore $\ker(\varphi) = \{(l_1^n, z^n); n = 0, \dots, p - 1\} = [(l_1, z)]$.

It is easy to check that φ is a homomorphism (we shall present the proof for more general case later). The group $[l_1] \times P$ has p^4 elements, $\ker(\varphi)$ has p elements and P has p^3 elements, therefore the map φ is surjective and $[l_1] \times P/[(l_1, z)] \cong P$. It means that $[l_1] \times P/[(l_1, z)]$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of P.

Let us consider a general case now. Let $\varphi: [l_1] \times ... \times [l_m] \times P \to [l_2] \times ... \times [l_m] \times P$ be given by

$$\varphi(l_1^{a_1},\ldots,l_m^{a_m},b)=(l_2^{a_1-a_2},l_3^{a_2-a_3},\ldots,l_m^{a_{m-1}-a_m},bz^{-a_m}).$$

Then $(l_1^{a_1}, \ldots, l_m^{a_m}, b) \in \ker(\varphi)$ if and only if

$$a_1 - a_2 = 0$$
, $a_2 - a_3 = 0$, ..., $a_{m-1} - a_m = 0$, $bz^{-a_m} = e$,

which is true if and only if $a_1 = \ldots = a_m$ and $b = z^{a_m}$. Therefore

$$\ker(\varphi) = [(l_1, \dots, l_m, z)].$$

The map φ is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{split} \varphi((l_1^{a_1},\ldots,l_m^{a_m},c)\cdot(l_1^{b_1},\ldots,l_m^{b_m},d)) \\ &= \varphi(l_1^{a_1+b_1},\ldots,l_m^{a_m+b_m},cd) \\ &= (l_2^{(a_1+b_1)-(a_2+b_2)},\ldots,l_m^{(a_{m-1}+b_{m-1})-(a_m+b_m)},cd\cdot z^{-a_m-b_m}) \\ &= (l_2^{(a_1-a_2)+(b_1-b_2)},\ldots,l_m^{(a_{m-1}-a_m)+(b_{m-1}-b_m)},cz^{-a_m}d\cdot z^{-b_m}) \\ &= (l_2^{a_1-a_2},\ldots,l_m^{a_{m-1}-a_m},cz^{-a_m})\cdot(l_2^{b_1-b_2},\ldots,l_m^{b_{m-1}-b_m},dz^{-b_m}) \\ &= \varphi((l_1^{a_1},\ldots,l_m^{a_m},c))\cdot \varphi((l_1^{b_1},\ldots,l_m^{b_m},d)). \end{split}$$

The equalities on the last coordinate are valid because z is an element of the centre of P. By counting elements in $[l_1] \times \ldots \times [l_m] \times P$, $\ker(\varphi)$ and in $[l_2] \times \ldots \times [l_m] \times P$, we see that φ is surjective. Therefore $Z_p^m \times P/[(a,z)] \cong Z_p^{m-1} \times P$, which is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G = Z_p^m \times P$.

We see that in every possible case, G/H is isomorphic to a subgroup of G and therefore G has EKP.

Using this result and the ideas from the section on abelian groups we get:

Theorem 4.7. Let G be a finite nilpotent group written in the form (2.1). Let each Sylow group G_i be (isomorphic to) one of the following groups:

- (1) an abelian group,
- (2) $Z_{p_i}^{k_i} \times P_i$, where $k_i \geqslant 0$, $p_i > 2$ and P_i is a non-abelian group of order p_i^3 ,
- (3) $Z_2^{k_i} \times D_4$, where $k_i \geqslant 0$ and D_4 is a dihedral 8-element group.

Then G has EKP.

Remark 4.8. Lemma 4.6 does not provide all non-abelian p-groups which have EKP. Direct computation in GAP (see [9]) shows that for example there are 6 non-abelian groups of order $3^4 = 81$ which have EKP (GAP identifications returned by IdSmallGroup() of these groups are [81,6], [81,7], [81,8], [81,9], [81,12], [81,13]), but only 2 of them are of the form $Z_3 \times P$, where P is a non-abelian group of order 3^3 . There are 4 non-abelian groups of order 81 which do not have EKP (GAP id's of these groups are [81,3], [81,4], [81,10], [81,14]).

A c k n o w l e d g e m e n t. We thank the anonymous referee for the detailed review and suggestions which helped to improve our presentation.

References

[1]	T. S. Blyth, J. Fang, H. J. Silva: The endomorphism kernel property in finite distributive lattices and de Morgan algebras. Commun. Algebra 32 (2004), 2225–2242.
[2]	T. S. Blyth, J. Fang, LB. Wang: The strong endomorphism kernel property in distributive double p-algebras. Sci. Math. Jpn. 76 (2013), 227–234.
[3]	T. S. Blyth, H. J. Silva: The strong endomorphism kernel property in Ockham algebras.
[4]	Commun. Algebra 36 (2008), 1682–1694. J. Fang: The strong endomorphism kernel property in double MS-algebras. Stud. Log.
[=]	105 (2017), 995–1013. Zbl MR doi
[5]	G. Fanq, J. Fanq: The strong endomorphism kernel property in distributive p-algebras.
	Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 37 (2013), 491–497.
[6]	J. Fang, ZJ. Sun: Semilattices with the strong endomorphism kernel property. Algebra
	Univers. 70 (2013), 393–401. zbl MR doi
[7]	J. Fang, ZJ. Sun: Finite abelian groups with the strong endomorphism kernel property.
[0]	Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser. 36 (2020), 1076–1082.
[8]	H. Gaitán, Y. J. Cortés: The endomorphism kernel property in finite Stone algebras. JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. 14 (2009), 51–64.
[9]	JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. 14 (2009), 51–64. GAP Group: GAP - Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.10.2. Available
[9]	at https://www.gap-system.org/.
[10]	J. Guričan: The endomorphism kernel property for modular p-algebras and Stone lat-
	tices of order n. JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. 25 (2012), 69–90.
[11]	J. Guričan: A note on the endomorphism kernel property. JP J. Algebra Number Theory
F 1	Appl. 33 (2014), 133–139.
[12]	J. Guričan: Strong endomorphism kernel property for Brouwerian algebras. JP J. Alge-
[19]	bra Number Theory Appl. 36 (2015), 241–258. L Christian M. Plaššian Theorem and amount in the bornel property for madrian a class.
[13]	J. Guričan, M. Ploščica: The strong endomorphism kernel property for modular p-algebras and distributive lattices. Algebra Univers. 75 (2016), 243–255.
[14]	E. Halušková: Strong endomofphism kernel property for monounary algebras. Math.
[++]	Bohem. 143 (2018), 161–171. Zbl MR doi
[15]	E. Halušková: Some monounary algebras with EKP. Math. Bohem. 145 (2020), 401–414. zbl MR doi
[16]	K. Kaarli, A. F. Pixley: Polynomial Completeness in Algebraic Systems. Chapman &
	Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2001. zbl MR doi
[17]	H. Kurzweil, B. Stellmacher: The Theory of Finite Groups: An Introduction. Universi-
	text. Springer, New York, 2004.

Authors' addresses: Heghine Ghumashyan, European University, 10 Davit Anhaght Street, Yerevan 0037, Armenia and Comenius University Bratislava, Šafárikovo námestie 6, 814 99 Bratislava, Slovakia, e-mail: hgumashyan@mail.ru; Jaroslav Guričan, Comenius University Bratislava, Šafárikovo námestie 6, 814 99 Bratislava, Slovakia, e-mail: gurican@fmph.uniba.sk.