Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

José del Carmen Alberto-Domínguez; Gerardo Acosta; Gerardo Delgadillo-Piñón

Totally Brown subsets of the Golomb space and the Kirch space

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 63 (2022), No. 2, 189-219

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151085

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project $\mathit{DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library } \texttt{http://dml.cz}$

Totally Brown subsets of the Golomb space and the Kirch space

José del Carmen Alberto-Domínguez, Gerardo Acosta, Gerardo Delgadillo-Piñón

Abstract. A topological space X is totally Brown if for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and every nonempty open subsets U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n of X we have $\operatorname{cl}_X(U_1) \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(U_2) \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(U_n) \neq \emptyset$. Totally Brown spaces are connected. In this paper we consider the Golomb topology τ_G on the set \mathbb{N} of natural numbers, as well as the Kirch topology τ_K on \mathbb{N} . Then we examine subsets of these spaces which are totally Brown. Among other results, we characterize the arithmetic progressions which are either totally Brown or totally separated in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . We also show that (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) and (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) are aposyndetic. Our results generalize properties obtained by A. M. Kirch in 1969 and by P. Szczuka in 2010, 2013 and 2015.

Keywords: arithmetic progression; Golomb topology; Kirch topology; totally Brown space; totally separated space

Classification: 11B25, 54D05, 11A41, 11B05, 54A05, 54D10

1. Introduction

We denote by \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{N} the sets of integers and of natural numbers, respectively. We define $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_b = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq b\}$ for each $b \in \mathbb{N}$. In this paper we consider arithmetic progressions in both \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} . Namely, for each $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

(1)
$$P(a,b) = \{b + an : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = b + a\mathbb{N}_0.$$

If $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ we also define

(2)
$$P_F(a,b) = \{b + az : z \in \mathbb{Z}\} = b + a\mathbb{Z}$$
 and $M(a) = \{an : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

In [9] H. Furstenberg consider the family

(3)
$$\mathcal{B}_F = \{ P_F(a, b) \colon (a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z} \}$$

which is a base of a topology τ_F in \mathbb{Z} . The topological space (\mathbb{Z}, τ_F) , known as the *Furstenberg space*, is metrizable and each member of \mathcal{B}_F is open and closed in (\mathbb{Z}, τ_F) , so this space is zero-dimensional and then hereditarily disconnected.

The purpose of this paper is to consider in \mathbb{N} both the Golomb topology τ_G as well as the Kirch topology τ_K , and present new properties of these spaces. We characterize the arithmetic progressions which are totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) , and show that the basic members of (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) are totally Brown. We also consider other totally Brown subsets of either (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) or (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) as well as properties that involve the closure of a set with respect to these spaces. We characterize the arithmetic progressions which are totally separated in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

The paper is organized in six sections. After this Introduction we consider in Section 2 some notions and results from number theory and from general topology that we use in the paper. In Section 3 we define both Brown and totally Brown spaces. We note that totally Brown spaces are Brown spaces and that Brown spaces are connected. We also give an example of a Brown space which is not totally Brown, and present new properties of Brown and totally Brown spaces. Some of these are similar to known properties of connected spaces. In Theorem 3.6 we show that totally Brown T_2 spaces are aposyndetic.

In Section 4 we present a study of arithmetic progressions in both \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} . We focus on the problem of characterizing the intersection of finitely many arithmetic progressions, and on decomposing an arithmetic progression in \mathbb{N} as the union of other arithmetic progressions which are mutually disjoint. Our principal results from this section are Theorems 4.10, 4.12, 4.14 and the ones presented in Subsection 4.3.

In Section 5 we consider the Golomb space (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) and show new properties of it. For example, in [14, Theorem 1, page 169] A. M. Kirch showed that (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is not locally connected at 1. In the paper nothing is said about the local connectedness of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) at a point distinct to 1. By Corollary 5.3 we infer that (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is not locally connected at each of its points. In Subsection 5.2 we present several results that involve the closure in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) of arithmetic progressions. By its importance in the rest of the paper, the main result of this subsection is Theorem 5.9.

In Subsection 5.3 we describe totally Brown subsets of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . The principal result of this subsection is Theorem 5.12 in which we show that for an arithmetic progression in \mathbb{N} , being totally Brown and being connected are equivalent. One consequence of this result is Corollary 5.15 in which we show that an arithmetic progression is either totally separated or totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) and no matter which is the case, its closure in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is always totally Brown (Theorem 5.16). In Corollary 5.13 we show that (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is aposyndetic.

In Section 6 we consider the Kirch space (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) and show new properties of it. For example, this space is totally Brown and aposyndetic. Many results from Section 5 remain valid in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) . Others differ, like Theorem 6.2. We calculate in Theorem 6.4 the closure in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) of an arithmetic progression and in Subsection 6.1 we present subsets of \mathbb{N} that are totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) . The main result of this subsection is Theorem 6.9, in which we show that the members of the base of (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) are totally Brown.

2. Notions and terminology

For the sets P(a,b), $P_F(a,b)$ and M(a) defined in (1) and (2) we have $P(a,b) \subset \mathbb{N}_b$, M(a) = P(a,a), $M(1) = \mathbb{N}$ and

$$(4) P(a,b) = P_F(a,b) \cap \mathbb{N}_b.$$

Hence $P(a,b) \subset P_F(a,b)$. The symbol \mathbb{P} denotes the set of prime numbers. We consider that $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{N}$. Given nonzero integers a and b, the symbols $\langle a,b \rangle$ and [a,b] denote the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of a and b, respectively. Note that $\langle a,b \rangle$, $[a,b] \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\langle a,b \rangle [a,b] = |ab|$. If $a,b,c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\langle b,c \rangle = 1$, then $\langle a,bc \rangle = \langle a,b \rangle \langle a,c \rangle$.

For nonzero integers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$, the symbol $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k]$ denotes the least common multiple of a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k , respectively. We say that a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k are relatively prime in pairs if $\langle a_i, a_j \rangle = 1$ for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ with $i \neq j$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ the symbol a|b mean that b = ac for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the symbol $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ means that m|(a-b). We say that $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ is square-free if it is not divided by the square p^2 of any $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Equivalently a is square-free if its standard prime decomposition is of the form $\prod_{i=1}^k p_i$. It is known that if $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_2$ are square-free and $\langle a, b \rangle \neq 1$, then both $\langle a, b \rangle$ and [a, b] are square-free. Moreover ab is square-free if and only if both a and b are square-free and $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$.

Theorem 2.1. If $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ is square-free and $b \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}$ so that [a,b] = bq, q|a and $\langle q,b \rangle = 1$.

PROOF: Let $A = \{ p \in \mathbb{P} \colon p | a \text{ and } p \nmid b \}$. Define q = 1 if $A = \emptyset$ and $q = \prod_{p \in A} p$ if $A \neq \emptyset$.

Note that $x \in P_F(a, b)$ if and only if a|(x - b), i.e., $x \equiv b \pmod{a}$ and $x \in P(a, b)$ if and only if a|(x - b) and $x \geq b$, i.e., $x \equiv b \pmod{a}$ and $x \in \mathbb{N}_b$.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subset X$. Then the symbols $\operatorname{cl}_X(A)$ and $\operatorname{int}_X(A)$ denote the closure and the interior of A in (X, τ) , respectively. If $A \subset Y \subset X$, then $\operatorname{cl}_Y(A) = Y \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(A)$. If we like to specify the topology τ

on X we write $\operatorname{cl}_{(X,\tau)}(A)$ and $\operatorname{int}_{(X,\tau)}(A)$, respectively. We say that $x \in X$ is an indiscrete point of X if $\{U \in \tau \colon x \in U\} = \{X\}$. The topological space (X,τ) is said to be

- 1) indiscrete if $\tau = \{\emptyset, X\};$
- 2) T_2 or Hausdorff if for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exist $U, V \in \tau$ so that $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$;
- 3) $T_{2(1/2)}$ or Urysohn if for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exist $U, V \in \tau$ so that $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $\operatorname{cl}_X(U) \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(V) = \emptyset$;
- 4) regular if for each $x \in X$ and every closed subset C of X with $x \notin C$, there exist $U, V \in \tau$ so that $x \in U, C \subset V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$; and X is T_3 if X is regular and for each $x \in X$ the one-point-set $\{x\}$ is closed in X;
- 5) hereditarily disconnected if X does not contain any connected subset of cardinality larger than one;
- 6) totally separated if for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exist $U, V \in \tau$ so that $x \in U$, $y \in V$, $X = U \cup V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$;
- 7) connected im kleinen at $x \in X$ if for each $U \in \tau$ with $x \in U$, there is a connected subset V of X such that $x \in \text{int}_X(V) \subset V \subset U$;
- 8) almost connected im kleinen at $x \in X$ if for each $U \in \tau$ with $x \in U$, there is a closed and connected subset V of X such that $\operatorname{int}_X(V) \neq \emptyset$ and $V \subset U$;
- 9) locally connected at $x \in X$ if for each $U \in \tau$ with $x \in U$, there is $V \in \tau$ connected so that $x \in V \subset U$; and locally connected if X is locally connected at each of its points.

Urysohn spaces, also called completely Hausdorff spaces, are Hausdorff spaces. The Bing space (B, τ_B) defined in Section 3, the Golomb space (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) defined in Section 5 and the Kirch space (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) defined in Section 6, are all Hausdorff spaces which are not Urysohn. Note that X is hereditarily disconnected if and only if the connected component of any point $x \in X$ is the one-point-set $\{x\}$, while X is totally separated if and only if the quasi-component of any point $x \in X$ is the one-point-set $\{x\}$. By [7, Theorem 6.1.22, page 356] totally separated spaces are hereditarily disconnected. A space which is hereditarily disconnected but not totally separated is presented in [17, Example 72, page 91]. If X is compact and Hausdorff then, by [7, Theorem 6.1.23, page 357], each hereditarily disconnected space is totally separated. Being totally separated is hereditary. Though the notions are not equivalent, in the literature both totally separated spaces as well as hereditarily disconnected spaces have been called totally disconnected.

If X is locally connected at $x \in X$, then X is connected im kleinen at x. A space which is connected im kleinen at some point y but not locally connected at y is shown in [17, Examples 119 and 120, page 139].

For notions and results related with number theory and not defined here, we refer the reader to [8]. For those related with general topology, we refer the reader to [7].

3. Totally Brown spaces

In this section we present some properties of the spaces described in the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. We say that X is

- 1) a Brown space if for every nonempty open subsets U and V of X we have $\operatorname{cl}_X(U) \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(V) \neq \emptyset$;
- 2) a totally Brown space if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and each nonempty open subsets U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n of X we have $\operatorname{cl}_X(U_1) \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(U_2) \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(U_n) \neq \emptyset$.

Brown spaces were introduced in [5, page 77], while totally Brown spaces appear in [2, page 424] under the name of superconnected spaces. We prefer the given name in Definition 3.1 since the notion of a superconnected space has appear in the literature with different meanings. For example, in [6] X is said to be superconnected if it is connected and every subset which contains a nonempty open subset is open, while in [15] a space has this property if it contains no disjoint nonempty open sets.

Note that

totally Brown
$$\implies$$
 Brown \implies connected.

The fact that Brown spaces are connected appear in [5, Proposition 7, page 77]. In the same proposition it is shown that every space with an indiscrete point is a Brown space and that a Brown space X is regular if and only if X is indiscrete. Clearly nondegenerate Brown spaces are not Urysohn, so each nondegenerate connected Urysohn space is neither Brown nor totally Brown.

We now present a Brown space (B, τ_B) which is not totally Brown. Let

$$B = \{(r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \colon r_2 \ge 0\}.$$

For every $x = (r_1, r_2) \in B$ and each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$U_{i}(x) = \{x\} \cup \left\{ (r,0) \in B : \left| r - \left(r_{1} - \frac{r_{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \right| < \frac{1}{i} \right\}$$
$$\cup \left\{ (r,0) \in B : \left| r - \left(r_{1} + \frac{r_{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \right| < \frac{1}{i} \right\}.$$

If $x = (r_1, r_2) \in B$ and $r_2 > 0$, then the set $U_i(x)$ consists of x together with all members of B on the x-axis whose distance from a vertex of the equilateral

triangle, with one vertex at x and the other two on the x-axis, is less than 1/i. If $r_2 = 0$, then $U_i(x)$ consists of all members of B on the x-axis whose distance from x is less than 1/i. For each $x \in B$, let

$$\mathcal{B}_x = \{U_i(x) \colon i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It is straightforward to see that the collection $\{\mathcal{B}_x \colon x \in B\}$ generates a topology τ_B on B. The topological space (B, τ_B) is called the *Bing space* and was defined in [4] by R. H. Bing.

It is known that (B, τ_B) is a Hausdorff space. Moreover, the closure of the set $U_i(x)$ consists of all points of B whose distance from the line passing through the point x and forming an angle of 60° with the x-axis is not larger than $\sqrt{3}/(2i)$, as well as of all points of B whose distance from the line passing through the point x and forming an angle of 120° with the x-axis is not larger than $\sqrt{3}/(2i)$, see [17, Example 75, page 93] for a picture of such closure. Hence, for each $x_1, x_2 \in V$ and all $i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\operatorname{cl}_B(U_{i_1}(x_1)) \cap \operatorname{cl}_B(U_{i_2}(x_2)) \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that (B, τ_B) is a Brown space. Hence (B, τ_B) is a Hausdorff space which is not Urysohn. Such space is not totally Brown. For example, if $x_1 = (1,0), x_2 = (2,0)$ and $x_3 = (3,0)$, then

$$\operatorname{cl}_B(U_2(x_1)) \cap \operatorname{cl}_B(U_2(x_2)) \cap \operatorname{cl}_B(U_2(x_3)) = \emptyset.$$

Let X be a topological space and $Y \subset X$. Then Y is totally Brown in X if and only if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and each nonempty open subsets O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_n of Y we have

$$Y \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(O_1) \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(O_2) \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(O_n) \neq \emptyset.$$

Similarly Y is Brown in X if and only if for every nonempty open subsets U and V of Y we have

$$Y \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(U) \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(V) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $f: X \to Y$ be a continuous function. In [2, Proposition 2.1, page 424] it is claimed that if X is totally Brown, then f(X) is totally Brown too and that if $C \subset X$ is both dense and totally Brown in X, then X is totally Brown. These results remain valid for Brown spaces.

We now present other properties of Brown and of totally Brown spaces. Some of them are similar to known properties of connected spaces, like the following three. **Theorem 3.2.** Let τ_1 and τ_2 be two topologies on X so that $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$. If $C \subset X$ is totally Brown (Brown, respectively) in (X, τ_2) , then C is totally Brown (Brown, respectively) in (X, τ_1) .

PROOF: The result follows from the fact that $\operatorname{cl}_{(X,\tau_2)}(A) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{(X,\tau_1)}(A)$ for each $A \subset X$.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, if (X, τ_2) is totally Brown (Brown, respectively), then (X, τ_1) is totally Brown (Brown, respectively).

Theorem 3.3. Let X be a topological space and $B, Y \subset X$ so that $Y \subset B \subset \operatorname{cl}_X(Y)$. If Y is totally Brown (Brown, respectively) in X, then B is totally Brown (Brown, respectively) in X.

PROOF: Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ as well as n nonempty open subsets O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_n of B. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ let U_i be an open subset of X so that $O_i = B \cap U_i$. Then $U_i \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(Y) \neq \emptyset$ so $Y \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and since Y is totally Brown in X, we infer that

$$\emptyset \neq Y \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{X}(Y \cap U_{i})\right) \subset B \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{X}(B \cap U_{i})\right) = B \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{X}(O_{i})\right).$$

This shows that B is totally Brown in X. If Y is Brown in X, proceeding as before we obtain that B is Brown in X.

Corollary 3.4. Let X be a topological space and $Y \subset X$. If Y is totally Brown (Brown, respectively) in X, then $\operatorname{cl}_X(Y)$ is totally Brown (Brown, respectively) in X. In particular, if Y is both dense and totally Brown (Brown, respectively) in X, then X is totally Brown (Brown, respectively).

A subset A of a topological space X is a closed domain if $A = \operatorname{cl}_X(\operatorname{int}_X(A))$. Closed domains sets are also called regular closed sets. In Section 5 we show that the Golomb space (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is totally Brown and contains subspaces which are not Brown, so being Brown and being totally Brown is not hereditary. By the following result, Brown and totally Brown spaces are hereditary with respect to closed domains.

Theorem 3.5. If X is totally Brown (Brown, respectively) and U is a nonempty open subset of X, then $\operatorname{cl}_X(U)$ is totally Brown (Brown, respectively) in X. In particular if $A \subset X$ is a nonempty closed domain, then A is totally Brown (Brown, respectively) in X.

PROOF: Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ as well as n nonempty open subsets O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_n of $\operatorname{cl}_X(U)$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ let U_i be an open subset of X so that $O_i = \operatorname{cl}_X(U) \cap U_i$. Then $U \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and then

$$\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{X}(U \cap U_{i}) = \operatorname{cl}_{X}(U) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{X}(U \cap U_{i})\right)$$
$$\subset \operatorname{cl}_{X}(U) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{X}(\operatorname{cl}_{X}(U) \cap U_{i})\right) = \operatorname{cl}_{X}(U) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{X}(O_{i})\right).$$

This shows that $\operatorname{cl}_X(U)$ is totally Brown in X. If X is Brown, proceeding as before we obtain that $\operatorname{cl}_X(U)$ is Brown in X.

Let X be a topological space and $a, b \in X$ with $a \neq b$. We say that X is a posyndetic at a with respect to b if there exists a closed and connected subset M of X such that $a \in \operatorname{int}_X(M)$ and $b \notin M$. If for each $x \in X \setminus \{a\}$, X is a posyndetic at a with respect to x, we say that X is a posyndetic at a. Finally, we say that X is a posyndetic if X has this property at each of its points. This notion was defined by F.B. Jones in [12]. If X is X is an and connected im kleinen at X is a posyndetic at X is a posy

Theorem 3.6. If X is totally Brown (Brown, respectively) and T_2 , then X is aposyndetic.

PROOF: Fix $a \in X$ and let $b \in X \setminus \{a\}$. Since X is T_2 there exist open subsets U and V of X such that $a \in U$, $b \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Using this and Theorem 3.5, the set $M = \operatorname{cl}_X(U)$ is a closed and connected subset of X such that $a \in \operatorname{int}_X(M)$ and $b \notin M$. Hence X is aposyndetic at a.

In [1] more properties of totally Brown and of Brown spaces are presented.

4. A study of arithmetic progressions

4.1 Elementary properties. In this section we write a systematic study of the arithmetic progressions P(a,b) and $P_F(a,b)$ defined in (1) and (2). We start with the following results, from which it follows that an arithmetic progression in \mathbb{N} is uniquely determined by its initial term and the common difference of successive members.

Proposition 4.1. Let $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$. If $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $P_F(a, b) = P_F(c, b)$ if and only if a = c. Moreover if $b \in \mathbb{N}$, then P(a, b) = P(c, b) if and only if a = c.

PROOF: Assume that $P_F(a,b) = P_F(c,b)$. Then $a+b \in P_F(a,b) = P_F(c,b)$, so c|[(a+b)-b], i.e., c|a. Similarly $c+b \in P_F(c,b) = P_F(a,b)$ and then a|[(c+b)-b],

i.e., a|c. Hence a=c. This shows the first part. The proof of the second part is similar.

Corollary 4.2. Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then P(a, b) = P(c, d) if and only if a = c and b = d.

PROOF: Assume that P(a,b) = P(c,d). Since $b \in P(a,b) = P(c,d)$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that b = cn + d. Similarly $d \in P(c,d) = P(a,b)$, so there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ so that d = am + b. Hence b = cn + d = cn + am + b, so am + cn = 0 and then n = m = 0 so b = d and, by Proposition 4.1, a = c.

The next result is the core property to show that the family \mathcal{B}_F defined in (3) is a base of the Furstenberg topology τ_F on \mathbb{Z} .

Theorem 4.3. For each $(a,b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$ the following properties are satisfied:

- 1) $P_F(a,b)$ is infinite, $b \in P_F(a,b)$ and $P_F(1,b) = \mathbb{Z}$;
- 2) if $c \in P_F(a, b)$, then $P_F(a, c) = P_F(a, b)$;
- 3) if $c \in \mathbb{N}$, then $P_F(ac, b) \subset P_F(a, b) \cap P_F(c, b)$.

Similarly, we have the next result.

Theorem 4.4. For each $(a,b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ the following properties are satisfied:

- 1) P(a,b) is infinite, $b \in P(a,b)$ and $P(1,b) = \mathbb{N}_b$;
- 2) if $c \in P(a,b)$, then $P(a,c) \subset P(a,b)$;
- 3) if $c \in \mathbb{N}$, then $P(ac, b) \subset P(a, b) \cap P(c, b)$.
- **4.2 Intersection of arithmetic progressions.** Given $k \in \mathbb{N}_2$, it is important to detect when the intersection of k arithmetic progressions is nonempty and, in such case, what we obtain as such intersection. The following result is proved in [13, Theorem 3.12, page 60], and is an extension of the Chinese Remainder theorem.

Theorem 4.5. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the simultaneous congruences

(5)
$$x \equiv b_1 \pmod{a_1}$$
 $x \equiv b_2 \pmod{a_2}$... $x \equiv b_k \pmod{a_k}$

have a solution if and only if $\langle a_i, a_j \rangle | (b_i - b_j)$ for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ with $i \neq j$. When this condition is satisfied, the general solution forms a single congruence class mod $[a_1, a_2, ..., a_k]$.

Note that x is a solution of the simultaneous congruences (5) if and only if $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i)$. Using this and Theorem 4.5 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.6. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$;
- 2) $\langle a_i, a_j \rangle | (b_i b_j)$ for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ with $i \neq j$;
- 3) $P_F(a_i, b_i) \cap P_F(a_j, b_j) \neq \emptyset$ for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ with $i \neq j$;
- 4) the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P_F(a_i, b_i)$ contains an arithmetic progression in \mathbb{Z} .

Take notice that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if the members of the family $\{P_F(a_i, b_i): i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}\}$ have nonempty intersections by pairs. Theorem 4.6 remains valid for arithmetic progressions in \mathbb{N} , see [2, Theorem 1.1, page 424] and for k = 2, compare with part 2 of [17, Examples 60 and 61, page 82].

Theorem 4.7. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$;
- 2) $\langle a_i, a_j \rangle | (b_i b_j)$ for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ with $i \neq j$;
- 3) $P(a_i, b_i) \cap P(a_j, b_j) \neq \emptyset$ for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ with $i \neq j$;
- 4) the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i)$ contains an arithmetic progression in \mathbb{N} .

If $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$ are relatively prime in pairs, then assertion 2) of Theorems 4.6 and 4.7 is valid, so assertion 1) of such theorems is valid too. Let $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 4.6,

(6)
$$P_F(a,b) \cap P_F(c,d) \neq \emptyset$$
 if and only if $\langle a,c \rangle | (b-d)$.

Moreover if $b, d \in \mathbb{N}$ then by Theorem 4.7,

(7)
$$P(a,b) \cap P(c,d) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{if and only if } \langle a,c \rangle | (b-d).$$

In particular if $\langle a, c \rangle = 1$, then $P_F(a, b) \cap P_F(c, d) \neq \emptyset$ and $P(a, b) \cap P(c, d) \neq \emptyset$.

Corollary 4.8. If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\langle a, c \rangle = 1$, then $P(a, b) \cap M(c) \neq \emptyset$.

Corollary 4.9. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $b \neq c$ and $\max\{b, c\} < a$. Then $P(a, b) \cap P(a, c) = \emptyset$.

PROOF: Let $d = \max\{b, c\}$ and $e = \min\{b, c\}$. If $P(a, b) \cap P(a, c) \neq \emptyset$ then, by (7), $\langle a, a \rangle | (b - c)$, so a | (d - e) and then $a \leq d - e < d$, a contradiction with the fact that d < a. Hence $P(a, b) \cap P(a, c) = \emptyset$.

In the next result we analyze the intersection of finitely many arithmetic progressions. We show that, when such intersection is nonempty, it is another arithmetic progression.

Theorem 4.10. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$ then for each $t \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i)$, we have

(8)
$$P_F([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k], t) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i)$$

and, if a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k are relatively prime in pairs, then

(9)
$$P_F(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k, t) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i).$$

If $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$ then for each $t \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i)$, we have

(10)
$$P([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k], t) = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i)\right) \cap \mathbb{N}_t$$

and, if a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k are relatively prime in pairs, then

(11)
$$P(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k, t) = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i)\right) \cap \mathbb{N}_t.$$

PROOF: Let $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$. Fix $t \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i)$. Then $a_i | (t - b_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Let

$$z \in P_F([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k], t)$$
 and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}.$

Note that $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k] | (z-t)$, so $a_i | (z-t)$. Hence $a_i | [(z-t) + (t-b_i)]$, i.e., $a_i | (z-b_i)$, and then $z \in P_F(a_i, b_i)$. This shows that $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i)$ so the left side of (8) is contained in its right side. To prove the reverse inclusion, let $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i)$. Given $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ we know that $a_i | (z-b_i)$ and since $a_i | (t-b_i)$, we have $a_i | [(z-b_i) - (t-b_i)]$, i.e., $a_i | (z-t)$. This implies that $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k] | (z-t)$ and then $z \in P_F([a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k], t)$. Hence (8) is satisfied. If a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k are relatively prime in pairs then $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k] = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$, so (9) follows from this and (8).

Now assume that $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$. Fix $t \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i)$. Then $t \geq b_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, so $\mathbb{N}_t \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathbb{N}_{b_i}$ and

by (4) and (8),

$$P([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k], t) = P_F([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k], t) \cap \mathbb{N}_t$$

$$= \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathbb{N}_{b_i}\right) \cap \mathbb{N}_t = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b_i) \cap \mathbb{N}_{b_i}\right) \cap \mathbb{N}_t$$

$$= \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i)\right) \cap \mathbb{N}_t = \bigcap_{i=1}^k [P(a_i, b_i) \cap \mathbb{N}_t].$$

Hence (10) is satisfied. The proof of (11) is like the proof of (9).

Corollary 4.11. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$P_F([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k], b) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b)$$

and if a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k are relatively prime in pairs, then

(12)
$$P_F(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k, b) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(a_i, b).$$

Moreover if $b \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$P([a_1, \dots, a_k], b) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b)$$

and if a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k are relatively prime in pairs, then

$$P(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k, b) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b).$$

Let $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 4.10 if $b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that $P_F(a, b) \cap P_F(c, d) \neq \emptyset$ then for each $t \in P_F(a, b) \cap P_F(c, d)$, we have

$$P_F([a,c],t) = P_F(a,b) \cap P_F(c,d).$$

Moreover if $b, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P(a, b) \cap P(c, d) \neq \emptyset$ then for every $t \in P(a, b) \cap P(c, d)$, we have

(13)
$$P([a,c],t) = P(a,b) \cap P(c,d) \cap \mathbb{N}_t.$$

Hence,

(14)
$$P([a,c],t) \subset P(a,b) \cap P(c,d).$$

The inclusion in (14) might be proper. Take, for example, P(2,3) and P(6,1). Clearly $13 \in P(6,1) \cap P(2,3)$ and by (14),

$$P(6,13) = P([6,2],13) \subset P(6,1) \cap P(2,3).$$

Note that $7 \in [P(6,1) \cap P(2,3)] \setminus P(6,13)$. By (13)

$$P(6,13) = P(6,1) \cap P(2,3) \cap \mathbb{N}_{13} = P(6,1) \cap P(2,3) \cap P(1,13).$$

Now we show that the nonempty intersection of finitely many arithmetic progressions in \mathbb{N} is another arithmetic progression in \mathbb{N} .

Theorem 4.12. For each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ let $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset.$$

If $z \in \mathbb{N}$, then

(15)
$$P([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k], z) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i)$$

if and only if $z = \min \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i) \right)$.

PROOF: Let $a = [a_1, a_2, ..., a_k]$. Assume first that $z = \min \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i) \right)$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i) \subset \mathbb{N}_z$. Using this and (10) we have

$$P(a,z) = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P(a_i,b_i)\right) \cap \mathbb{N}_z = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P(a_i,b_i).$$

Now assume that $z \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that (15) holds. Since $z \in P(a, z)$ we have $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i)$ and if $t \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i)$, then $t \in P(a, z)$ so $t \ge z$. Hence, $z = \min\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i)\right)$.

Notice that $7 = \min(P(6,1) \cap P(2,3))$ so, by Theorem 4.12,

$$P(6,7) = P(6,1) \cap P(2,3).$$

If $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $P(a, b) \cap P(c, d) \neq \emptyset$ then, by Theorem 4.12,

$$P([a,c],z) = P(a,b) \cap P(c,d) \qquad \text{if and only if } z = \min(P(a,b) \cap P(c,d)).$$

Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k] = \min\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k M(a_i)\right)$ and $M(a_i) = P(a_i, a_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, by Corollary 4.11 and Theorem 4.12 we have the following result.

Theorem 4.13. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^k M(a_i) \neq \emptyset$ and

(16)
$$M([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k]) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k M(a_i).$$

In particular, if a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k are relatively prime in pairs, then

$$(17) M(a_1a_2\cdots a_k) = M(a_1)\cap M(a_2)\cap \cdots \cap M(a_k).$$

As an application of Corollary 4.11 we have the following result (compare (19) with (3.1) of [21, page 15]).

Theorem 4.14. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and assume that $a = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$ is the standard prime decomposition of a. If $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

(18)
$$P_F(a,b) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(p_i^{\alpha_i},b) \quad \text{and} \quad M(a) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k M(p_i^{\alpha_i}).$$

Moreover, if $b \in \mathbb{N}$, then

(19)
$$P(a,b) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P(p_i^{\alpha_i}, b).$$

PROOF: Assume first that $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ with $i \neq j$ the equality $\langle p_i, p_j \rangle = 1$ implies that $\langle p_i^{\alpha_i}, p_j^{\alpha_j} \rangle = 1$, so $p_1^{\alpha_1}, p_2^{\alpha_2}, ..., p_k^{\alpha_k}$ are relatively prime in pairs and then $[p_1^{\alpha_1}, p_2^{\alpha_2}, ..., p_k^{\alpha_k}] = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$. Since $b \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(p_i^{\alpha_i}, b)$, by (12) and (17),

$$P_F(a,b) = P_F(p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}, b) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k P_F(p_i^{\alpha_i}, b)$$

and

$$M(a) = M(p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k M(p_i^{\alpha_i}).$$

Hence (18) holds. If $b \in \mathbb{N}$ then, proceeding as before we obtain (19).

The following result generalizes [18, Lemma 4.1, page 904].

Theorem 4.15. Let $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that a | c. If $b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ are such that $P_F(a, b) \cap P_F(c, d) \neq \emptyset$, then $P_F(c, d) \subset P_F(a, b)$. Moreover if $b, d \in \mathbb{N}$, $P(a, b) \cap P(c, d) \neq \emptyset$ and b < a, then $P(c, d) \subset P(a, b)$.

PROOF: To show the first part let $b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $P_F(a, b) \cap P_F(c, d) \neq \emptyset$. By (6), $\langle a, c \rangle | (b - d)$. Since a | c we infer that $\langle a, c \rangle = a$, so a | (b - d). Let $y \in P_F(c, d)$. Then c | (y - d) and since a | c we have a | (y - d). This implies that a | [(y - d) - (b - d)], i.e., a | (y - b), so $y \in P_F(a, b)$. Hence $P_F(c, d) \subset P_F(a, b)$. To show the second part let $b,d \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $P(a,b) \cap P(c,d) \neq \emptyset$ and b < a. Let $y \in P(c,d)$. Proceeding as in the first part, we infer that a|(y-b). Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that y-b=ax. If $x \leq -1$, then $ax \leq -a$. Hence $y-b \leq -a$ and then $0 \leq y \leq b-a$, so $a \leq b$, a contradiction. Hence $x \geq 0$ and then $y \in P(a,b)$.

Corollary 4.16. Let $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that a|c. If $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $P_F(c, b) \subset P_F(a, b)$. Moreover, if $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and b < a, then $P(c, b) \subset P(a, b)$.

Corollary 4.17. Let $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$. If $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $P_F(ac, b) \subset P_F([a, c], b)$. Moreover $P_F(ac, b) = P_F([a, c], b)$ if and only if $\langle a, c \rangle = 1$. If $b \in \mathbb{N}$ then $P(ac, b) \subset P([a, c], b)$ and P(ac, b) = P([a, c], b) if and only if $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$.

Theorem 4.18. Let $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $P_F(c, d) \subset P_F(a, b)$, then a|c. On the other hand, if $b, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P(c, d) \subset P(a, b)$, then a|c.

PROOF: Since $d, c + d \in P_F(c, d)$ we have $d, c + d \in P_F(a, b)$, so a|(d - b) and a|[(c + d) - b)]. Hence a|[(c + d - b) - (d - b)], i.e., a|c.

4.3 Decompositions of arithmetic progressions. Without proof, in several papers of P. Szczuka (also known as P. Szyszkowska), like [18, page 902], [19, page 878], [22, page 1010] and [24, page 93], an arithmetic progression in \mathbb{N} is decomposed as the union of pairwise disjoint arithmetic progressions in \mathbb{N} . In the next result, we present the precise meaning of such decomposition and give a proof.

Theorem 4.19. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $t \in \mathbb{N}_2$,

(20)
$$P(a,b) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{a^{t-1}-1} P(a^t, ak+b)$$

and the members of the family $\mathcal{F} = \{P(a^t, ak + b) : k \in \{0, 1, \dots, a^{t-1} - 1\}\}$ are pairwise disjoint.

PROOF: Let $A = \{0, 1, \dots, a^{t-1} - 1\}$. Fix $t \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and let x in the right side of (20). Then there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k_0 \in A$ so that $x = a^t m + (ak_0 + b)$. Since t > 1 we have $t - 1 \ge 1$ so $a^{t-1}m + k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfies

$$x = a(a^{t-1}m) + (ak_0 + b) = a(a^{t-1}m + k_0) + b \in P(a, b).$$

This shows that the right side of (20) is a subset of its left side. Now assume that x is in the left side of (20). Let $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k \in A$ be such that x = al + b and $l = a^{t-1}m + k$. Then

$$x = al + b = a(a^{t-1}m + k) + b = a^t m + (ak + b) \in P(a^t, ak + b).$$

Hence x is in the right side of (20) and such equality is satisfied.

To show the second part, let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k_1, k_2 \in A$ be such that $k_1 \neq k_2$ and $a^t n_1 + (ak_1 + b) = a^t n_2 + (ak_2 + b)$. Then $a^{t-1}(n_1 - n_2) = k_2 - k_1$. Hence $k_2 \equiv k_1 \pmod{a^{t-1}}$. Now, since k_1 and k_2 are distinct members of the set A, which is a complete residue system modulo a^{t-1} , the integers k_1 and k_2 are not congruent modulo a^{t-1} . From this contradiction, we infer that the members of \mathcal{F} are pairwise disjoint.

Now, given an arithmetic progression P(a,b) with $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$, and $x,y \in P(a,b)$ with $x \neq y$, we decompose P(a,b) as the union of two disjoint sets U and V so that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

Theorem 4.20. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y \in P(a, b)$ with x < y. Write x = am + b, y = an + b with $0 \le m < n$. Then $P(a, b) = U \cup V$, where

(21)
$$U = \bigcup_{k=0}^{m} P(a^{n+1}, ak+b) \quad \text{and} \quad V = \bigcup_{k=m+1}^{a^{n}-1} P(a^{n+1}, ak+b).$$

Moreover, $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and the members of the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ P(a^{n+1}, ak + b) \colon k \in \{0, 1, \dots, a^n - 1\} \}$$

are pairwise disjoint. In particular, $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

PROOF: Since $0 \le m < n < 2^n \le a^n$ we have $0 \le m < m + 1 \le n \le a^n - 1$, so

$$x = am + b = a^{n+1}(0) + am + b \in P(a^{n+1}, am + b) \subset U$$

and

$$y = an + b = a^{n+1}(0) + an + b \in P(a^{n+1}, an + b) \subset V.$$

Applying (20) with t = n + 1, which belongs to \mathbb{N}_2 , we infer that

$$P(a,b) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{a^{t-1}-1} P(a^t, ak+b) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{a^n-1} P(a^{n+1}, ak+b)$$
$$= \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^m P(a^{n+1}, ak+b)\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=m+1}^{a^n-1} P(a^{n+1}, ak+b)\right) = U \cup V.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 4.19, the members of the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ P(a^t, ak + b) \colon k \in \{0, 1, \dots, a^{t-1} - 1\} \}$$
$$= \{ P(a^{n+1}, ak + b) \colon k \in \{0, 1, \dots, a^n - 1\} \}$$

are pairwise disjoint. In particular, $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Corollary 4.21. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$, $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y \in P(a, b)$ with $x \neq y$. Then there exist U and V so that $P(a, b) = U \cup V$, $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

5. The Golomb space

In both [10, page 663] and [11, page 179], S. W. Golomb showed that the family

$$\mathcal{B}_G = \{ P(a,b) \colon (a,b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \langle a,b \rangle = 1 \}$$

is a base for a topology τ_G in \mathbb{N} . In [17, Examples 60 and 61, page 82] this topology is called the relatively prime integer topology. However as it is now more popular in general topology, we call τ_G the *Golomb topology* and refer to the topological space (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) as the *Golomb space*. Clearly

$$\tau_G = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{U \subset \mathbb{N} : \text{ for each } b \in U \text{ there is } a \in \mathbb{N}$$
 so that $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$ and $P(a, b) \subset U\}.$

In this section we present new properties of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . Let $b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $b \neq c$. Take $a \in \mathbb{P}$ with $\max\{b, c\} < a$. Then $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle a, c \rangle = 1$, so P(a, b) and P(a, c) are open subsets in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) that contain b and c, respectively. By Corollary 4.9, $P(a, b) \cap P(a, c) = \emptyset$. This implies that (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is Hausdorff, so it is not superconnected in the sense of [15].

If $p \in \mathbb{P}$, then $\langle p, i \rangle = 1$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ so each P(p, i) is open in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . Moreover

$$\mathbb{N} \setminus M(p) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p-1} P(p,i),$$

so M(p) is closed in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

5.1 Totally separated subsets of the Golomb space. In this subsection we show that the members of the base \mathcal{B}_G whose common difference of successive members is greater than one are totally separated. We then derive some consequences of this fact. The following result was proved differently in [2, Proposition 3.2, page 427].

Theorem 5.1. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$. Then P(a, b) is totally separated. In particular, P(a, b) is hereditarily disconnected.

PROOF: Let $x, y \in P(a, b)$ with $x \neq y$. Assume, without loss of generality, that x < y. Write x = am + b, y = an + b with $0 \le m < n$ and consider the sets U and V defined in (21). By Theorem 4.20 we have $P(a, b) = U \cup V$, $U \cap V = \emptyset$, $x \in U$ and $y \in V$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $\langle a^{n+1}, ak + b \rangle \neq 1$, there is $p \in \mathbb{P}$ so that $p|a^{n+1}$

and p|(ak+b). Then p|a and p|b. Since this contradicts the fact that $\langle a,b\rangle=1$ we infer that $\langle a^{n+1},ak+b\rangle=1$, and then both U and V are open in (\mathbb{N},τ_G) . \square

If $A \subset Y \subset \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{N}}(A)$ the interior of A in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) and by $\operatorname{int}_Y(A)$ the interior of A in the subspace Y of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

Theorem 5.2. If $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$, then P(a, b) is neither connected im kleinen nor almost connected im kleinen at each of its points.

PROOF: Let us assume that P(a, b) is either connected im kleinen or almost connected im kleinen at $c \in P(a, b)$. We divide the proof in two cases. Assume first that $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$. Since $\langle a, c \rangle = 1$ and P(a, c) is an open subset of P(a, b) that contains c, there is a connected subset C of P(a, c) so that $\inf_{P(a,b)}(C) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\inf_{\mathbb{N}}(C) \neq \emptyset$ and since nonempty open subsets of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) are infinite, the set C is infinite. This contradicts the fact that, by Theorem 5.1, P(a, c) is hereditarily disconnected.

Now assume that a = 1. Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be so that c < p. Then $\langle p, c \rangle = 1$ and since P(p,c) is an open subset of P(a,b) that contains c, there is a connected subset D of P(p,c) so that $\operatorname{int}_{P(a,b)}(D) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{N}}(D) \neq \emptyset$ and since nonempty open subsets of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) are infinite, the set D is infinite. This contradicts the fact that, by Theorem 5.1, P(p,c) is hereditarily disconnected.

Corollary 5.3. The Golomb space (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is neither connected im kleinen nor almost connected im kleinen at each of its points. In particular, (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is not locally connected.

PROOF: Since $\mathbb{N} = P(1,1)$ the result follows from Theorem 5.2.

As we mention in Section 1, by Corollary 5.3 we infer that (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is not locally connected at each of its points.

5.2 The closure in the Golomb topology. We present in this subsection several results that involve the closure of an arithmetic progression with respect to the Golomb space. If $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(A)$ the closure of A in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . The next result shows that the closure in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) of P(a, b) might contain members of P(a, b) which are natural numbers less than b.

Theorem 5.4. If $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, then $P_F(a, b) \cap \mathbb{N} \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a, b))$.

PROOF: Let $x \in P_F(a,b) \cap \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ be so that x = az + b. Let W be an open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) with $x \in W$. Take $c \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\langle c, x \rangle = 1$ and $P(c, x) \subset W$. Since $\langle c, a \rangle | az$ we have $\langle c, a \rangle | (x - b)$ so, by (7), $P(c, x) \cap P(a, b) \neq \emptyset$ and then $x \in \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a,b))$.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $P(a^n, b) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a^n, b))$. In the next result we show that $M(a) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a^n, b))$. Note that if $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$, then $\langle a^n, b \rangle = 1$, so $P(a^n, b) \in \mathcal{B}_G$.

Theorem 5.5. If $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, then

(22)
$$M(a) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a^n, b))$$
 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover, for each nonempty open subset U of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) , there is $c \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $M(c) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(U)$.

PROOF: Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $c \in M(a)$ and W be an open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) with $c \in W$. Take $d \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\langle d, c \rangle = 1$ and $P(d, c) \subset W$. Assume that $\langle d, a^n \rangle \neq 1$ and let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be so that p|d and $p|a^n$. Hence p|a, so p|d and p|c and then $\langle d, c \rangle \neq 1$, a contradiction. Therefore $\langle d, a^n \rangle = 1$. This implies, by (7), that $P(d, c) \cap P(a^n, b) \neq \emptyset$ and then $W \cap P(a^n, b) \neq \emptyset$ so $c \in \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a^n, b))$. This shows (22).

Now assume that U is a nonempty open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . Let $b \in U$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\langle c, b \rangle = 1$ and $P(c, b) \subset U$. Applying (22) we obtain that $M(c) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(c, b)) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(U)$.

Corollary 5.6. For each $b \in \mathbb{N}$ the arithmetic progression P(1,b) is dense in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) , i.e., $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(1,b)) = \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 5.7. For any finite collection $\{P(a_i,b_i): i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}\}$ of arithmetic progressions in \mathbb{N} , we have

(23)
$$M([a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k]) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^k \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a_i, b_i)).$$

In particular, $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a_i,b_i)) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, for each $c \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

(24)
$$M(c) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a_i, b_i))\right) \neq \emptyset.$$

PROOF: Let us assume that $a = [a_1, a_2, ..., a_k]$ and $c \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 5.5, $M(a_i) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a_i, b_i))$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. Using this and (16) we have

$$\emptyset \neq M(a) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} M(a_i) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a_i, b_i)).$$

Moreover

$$\emptyset \neq M([c,a]) = M(c) \cap M(a) \subset M(c) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a_i,b_i))\right).$$

The next result is part 10 of [17, Examples 60 and 61, page 83]. The proof that we present is different.

Theorem 5.8. If $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$, then

(25)
$$\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(p^n,b)) = M(p) \cup [P_F(p^n,b) \cap \mathbb{N}]$$
 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF: Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorems 5.4 and 5.5 the right side of (25) is contained in its left side. To show the other inclusion take $x \in \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(p^n, b))$ and assume that $x \notin M(p)$. If $\langle p^n, x \rangle \neq 1$, then there is $q \in \mathbb{P}$ so that $q|p^n$ and q|x. Then q|p so q = p and then p|x contradicting the fact that $x \notin M(p)$. Then $\langle p^n, x \rangle = 1$, so $P(p^n, x) \in \mathcal{B}_G$. Hence $P(p^n, x) \cap P(p^n, b) \neq \emptyset$ and by (7), we have $p^n|(x - b)$ implying that $x \in P_F(p^n, b) \cap \mathbb{N}$.

If in Theorem 5.8 we assume that $\langle p, b \rangle = 1$, then $\langle p^n, b \rangle = 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $P(p^n, b) \in \mathcal{B}_G$.

By (23) the intersection of the closures in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) of finitely many arithmetic progressions is always nonempty. Note that the intersection of such arithmetic progressions might be empty, but when this is not the case, the next result calculates the intersection of such closures just as the closure of the intersection of the progressions.

Theorem 5.9. Let $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$. Then

(26)
$$\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P(a_i, b_i)\right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a_i, b_i)).$$

PROOF: Clearly the left side of (26) is contained in its right side, so to show the reverse inclusion, let b be a member of the right side of (26) and W be an open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) with $b \in W$. Take $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$ and $P(a, b) \subset W$. Then

(27)
$$P(a,b) \cap P(a_i,b_i) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for every } i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}.$$

Since $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$, by Theorem 4.7, we have

(28)
$$P(a_i, b_i) \cap P(a_j, b_j) \neq \emptyset$$
 for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ with $i \neq j$.

Combining (27) and (28) we infer, applying again Theorem 4.7, that

$$P(a,b) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P(a_i,b_i)\right) \neq \emptyset,$$

so
$$W \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i)\right) \neq \emptyset$$
 and then b is in the left side of (26).

The following result appears with a different proof in [2, Lemma 2.2, page 425].

Theorem 5.10. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and assume that $a = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$ is the standard prime decomposition of a. If $b \in \mathbb{N}$, then

(29)
$$\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a,b)) = \mathbb{N} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} [M(p_i) \cup P_F(p_i^{\alpha_i}, b)] \right).$$

PROOF: Combining (19), (25) and (26) we have

$$\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a,b)) = \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P(p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}},b)\right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}},b))$$
$$= \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} [M(p_{i}) \cup (P_{F}(p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}},b) \cap \mathbb{N})] = \mathbb{N} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} [M(p_{i}) \cup P_{F}(p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}},b)]\right).$$

5.3 Totally Brown subsets of the Golomb space. In this subsection we describe some subsets of \mathbb{N} which are totally Brown, and hence connected in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . We will use the following families of arithmetic progressions:

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ M(a) : a \in \mathbb{N} \}$$
 and $\mathcal{P} = \{ P(a,b) : (a,b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \}.$

Note that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}$ and by (16), \mathcal{M} is closed under finite intersections. The following result shows that the union of any collection \mathcal{A} of arithmetic progressions in \mathbb{N} , that contains at least one member of \mathcal{M} , is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . When the family \mathcal{A} is countable this result was proved slightly different in [2, Proposition 2.3, page 425]. As a particular case we obtain [20, Lemma 3.2, page 431] which claims that $M(p) \cup P(p, 1)$ is connected in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

Theorem 5.11. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}$ be such that $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$. Then $W = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$ is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . In particular, any union of members of \mathcal{M} is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

PROOF: Let $c \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $M(c) \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence $M(c) \subset W$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ as well as n nonempty open subsets O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_n of W. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, let U_i be an open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) so that $O_i = W \cap U_i$ and take $b_i \in O_i$. Then there exist $a_i, c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle = 1$, $P(a_i, b_i) \subset U_i$ and $b_i \in P(c_i, d_i) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $P(c_i, d_i) \subset W$ and $P(c_i, d_i) \cap P(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Combining

(24) and (26) we have

$$\begin{split} \emptyset \neq M(c) \cap \bigg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} [\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(c_{i}, d_{i})) \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a_{i}, b_{i}))] \bigg) \\ &= M(c) \cap \bigg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(c_{i}, d_{i}) \cap P(a_{i}, b_{i})) \bigg) \subset W \cap \bigg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(W \cap U_{i}) \bigg) \\ &= W \cap \bigg(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(O_{i}) \bigg). \end{split}$$

Now we characterize the arithmetic progressions P(a, b) that are totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . To do this for each $a \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$\Theta(a) = \{ p \in \mathbb{P} \colon p|a \}.$$

Note that $\Theta(a) = \emptyset$ if and only if a = 1. In [18, Theorem 3.3, page 901] it is shown that P(a,b) is connected in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) if and only if $\Theta(a) \subset \Theta(b)$. From the results that we have presented, the proof of 3) implies 4) in the next result is simpler than the one that appears in [18, Theorem 3.3, page 901]. Clause 7) of the next result was also proved in [2, Corollary 2.4, page 425].

Theorem 5.12. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1) P(a,b) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) ;
- 2) P(a,b) is Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) ;
- 3) P(a,b) is connected in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) ;
- 4) $\Theta(a) \subset \Theta(b)$.

In particular

- 5) M(c) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) for every $c \in \mathbb{N}$;
- 6) if $\langle a,b\rangle=1$, then P(a,b) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N},τ_G) if and only if a=1;
- 7) (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is totally Brown.

PROOF: We have seen that totally Brown spaces are Brown and that Brown spaces are connected so 1) implies 2) and 2) implies 3). Now assume that P(a,b) is connected in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . If $\Theta(a) \not\subset \Theta(b)$ then $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and there is $p \in \Theta(a) \setminus \Theta(b)$. Then $\langle p, b \rangle = 1$ so, by Theorem 5.1, P(p,b) is totally separated. Since p|a we have $P(a,b) \subset P(p,b)$ so P(a,b) is totally separated too. Since this contradicts the fact that P(a,b) is connected, we infer that $\Theta(a) \subset \Theta(b)$, so 3) implies 4).

Now assume that $\Theta(a) \subset \Theta(b)$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ as well as n nonempty open subsets O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_n of P(a, b). For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, let U_i be an open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) so that $O_i = P(a, b) \cap U_i$ and take $b_i \in O_i$. Then $a|(b - b_i)$ and there

is $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle = 1$ and $P(a_i, b_i) \subset U_i$. Note that $P(a, b) \cap P(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\langle a, a_i \rangle \neq 1$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be such that p|a and $p|a_i$. Then p|b and since $a|(b-b_i)$ we have $p|b_i$. Since this contradicts the fact that $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle = 1$, we infer that $\langle a, a_i \rangle = 1$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and then $\langle a, a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \rangle = 1$. This implies, by Corollary 4.8, that $P(a, b) \cap M(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 5.5 and (26) we have

$$\emptyset \neq P(a,b) \cap M(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) \subset P(a,b) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n M(a_i)\right)$$

$$\subset P(a,b) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a_i,b_i))\right)$$

$$= P(a,b) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \left[\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a,b)) \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a_i,b_i))\right]\right)$$

$$= P(a,b) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a,b) \cap P(a_i,b_i))\right) \subset P(a,b) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a,b) \cap U_i)\right)$$

$$= P(a,b) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(O_i)\right).$$

This shows that P(a,b) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . Hence 4) implies 1) and this completes the proof that assertions 1), 2), 3) and 4) are equivalent. To show 5) let $c \in \mathbb{N}$. Since M(c) = P(c,c) and $\Theta(c) \subset \Theta(c)$, by 3) implies 1), M(c) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

To show 6) assume that $\langle a,b\rangle=1$. Then $\Theta(a)\cap\Theta(b)=\emptyset$. If P(a,b) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N},τ_G) then, by 1) implies 4), we have $\Theta(a)\subset\Theta(b)$ so $\Theta(a)=\emptyset$ and then a=1. Conversely, if a=1, then $\emptyset=\Theta(a)\subset\Theta(b)$ so by 4) implies 1) the set P(a,b) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N},τ_G) . This shows 6). Finally, since $\mathbb{N}=M(1)$ by 5) (\mathbb{N},τ_G) is totally Brown.

Corollary 5.13. The Golomb space (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is a posyndetic.

PROOF: Since (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is totally Brown and T_2 , the result follows from this and Theorem 3.6.

Corollary 5.14. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then P(a, b) is totally separated if and only if $\Theta(a) \not\subset \Theta(b)$.

PROOF: Assume first that P(a,b) is totally separated. Then P(a,b) is not connected in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) and, by Theorem 5.12, $\Theta(a) \not\subset \Theta(b)$. Now assume that $\Theta(a) \not\subset \Theta(b)$ and let $p \in \Theta(a) \setminus \Theta(b)$. Then $\langle p, b \rangle = 1$ and since p|a we have $P(a,b) \subset P(p,b)$. By Theorem 5.1, P(p,b) is totally separated and then P(a,b) is totally separated too.

Corollary 5.15. For each $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ the arithmetic progression P(a, b) is either totally separated or totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

By Corollaries 5.3 and 5.13, (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is aposyndetic at each of its points and connected im kleinen at none of its points.

We mention another application of Theorem 5.12. Given $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q = \prod_{p \in \Theta(a)} p$. Note that q is square-free and if $\Theta(a) \subset \Theta(b)$ then P(a,b) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) and $P(a,b) \subset M(q)$. If a = 1, then P(a,b) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) and $P(a,b) \subset M(a)$. This shows that the members of \mathcal{P} which are totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) are subsets of members of \mathcal{M} of the form M(q) with q = 1 or q square-free, which are totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) too.

By 7) of Theorem 5.12, (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is connected. By (25)

$$\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(4,3)) = M(2) \cup [P_F(4,3) \cap \mathbb{N}] = M(2) \cup P(4,3).$$

Hence $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P_G(4,3))$ is a nonempty proper closed subset of \mathbb{N} that contains a nonempty open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) , namely P(4,3). Moreover $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(4,3))$ is not open in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . Then (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is not superconnected in the sense of [6].

It is worth to compare Corollary 5.3 with the comment mentioned in [18, page 901] in which it is said that "we can easily see that every base of the topology τ_G contains some disconnected arithmetic progressions". Then it is claimed that by this comment and the equivalence between 3) and 4) of Theorem 5.12, the space (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is not locally connected.

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$. We have seen that M(p) is closed in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . By 5) of Theorem 5.12, M(p) is also connected in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . Clearly $\operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{N}}(M(p)) = \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}$ be such that $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 5.11 and Corollary 3.4 both $W = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$ and $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(W)$ are totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . Since (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) is totally Brown, by Theorem 3.5 for any nonempty open subset U of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) , the set $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(U)$ is totally Brown.

Despite the fact that, by Theorem 5.1, some arithmetic progressions are not totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) , the next result shows that its closure is always totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

Theorem 5.16. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}$ be such that $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$. If $W = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$, then $B = \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(W)$ is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . In particular, for each $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a, b))$ is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

PROOF: Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ as well as n nonempty open subsets O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_n of B. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ let U_i be an open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) so that $O_i = B \cap U_i$ and let $b_i \in O_i$. Take $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle = 1$ and $P(a_i, b_i) \subset U_i$. Since $b_i \in \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(W)$ we have $W \cap P(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$ so there exist $c_i, d_i, e_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $e_i \in P(c_i, d_i) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $e_i \in P(a_i, b_i)$. Hence $P(c_i, e_i) \cap P(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$ and $P(c_i, e_i) \cap P(a_i, b_i) \subset \mathcal{A}$

 $W \cap P(a_i, b_i)$. We also have

$$\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(c_i, e_i) \cap P(a_i, b_i)) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(c_i, e_i)) \cap P(a_i, b_i))$$
$$\subset \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(W) \cap U_i) = \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(O_i).$$

Applying (23) with the finite collection

$$\{P(c_1, e_1)\} \cup \{P(c_i, e_i) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\} \cup \{P(a_i, b_i) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

of arithmetic progressions in \mathbb{N} , as well as (26), we have

$$\emptyset \neq \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(c_1, e_1)) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} [\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(c_i, e_i)) \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a_i, b_i))] \right)$$

$$\subset B \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(c_i, e_i) \cap P(a_i, b_i)) \right) \subset B \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(O_i) \right).$$

This shows that B is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

Corollary 5.17. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$. Then P(a, b) is totally separated and $\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{N}}(P(a, b))$ is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

PROOF: The result follows from Theorems 5.1 and 5.16.

By Theorems 4.12 and 5.9 the intersection of the closure of finitely many members of \mathcal{P} is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) . By Theorem 5.16 the union of the closure of finitely many members of \mathcal{P} is also totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) .

6. The Kirch space

In [14] A. M. Kirch considered the family

$$\mathcal{B}_K = \{ P(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}_G \colon a \text{ is square-free} \},$$

which is a base of a topology τ_K on \mathbb{N} so that $\tau_K \subset \tau_G$, and showed that the topological space (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) is connected, locally connected and Hausdorff. In [17, Examples 60 and 61, page 82] this topology is called the prime integer topology. However we call τ_K the *Kirch topology* and refer to the topological space (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) as the *Kirch space*. Clearly

$$\tau_K = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{U \subset \mathbb{N} : \text{ for each } b \in U \text{ there exists } a \in \mathbb{N}_2$$

such that $P(a,b) \in \mathcal{B}_K$ and $P(a,b) \subset U\}.$

The fact that $\tau_K \subset \tau_G$ implies, by Theorem 3.2, that (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) is totally Brown. Moreover if $C \subset \mathbb{N}$ is totally Brown (Brown, connected, respectively) in (\mathbb{N}, τ_G) , then C is totally Brown (Brown, connected, respectively) in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) . Since (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) is totally Brown and T_2 , by Theorem 3.6, (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) is aposyndetic.

The inclusion $\tau_K \subset \tau_G$ also implies that for each $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_G)}(A) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(A).$$

Hence many results presented in Subsection 5.2 remain valid in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) . Particularly all the results from Theorem 5.4 to Corollary 5.7 are valid in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) . Hence we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. Let $a, b, a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

- 1) $P_F(a,b) \cap \mathbb{N} \subset \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a,b));$
- 2) $M(a) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a^n,b))$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- 3) for each nonempty open subset U of (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) , there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M(c) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N}, \tau_K)}(U)$;
- 4) $\operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(1,b)) = \mathbb{N};$
- 5) for any finite collection $\{P(a_i,b_i): i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}\}$ of arithmetic progressions in \mathbb{N} , we have $M([a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k]) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^k \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a_i,b_i))$ and, in particular, $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a_i,b_i)) \neq \emptyset$;
- 6) $M(c) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a_i,b_i))\right) \neq \emptyset$ for each $c \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assertion 4) of Theorem 6.1 is [23, Remark 4.1, page 676]. Concerning equality (25) its right side is contained in its left side, considering the closure in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) . However the proper way to obtain $\operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(p^n,b))$ is presented in the next result (compare the first part with [23, Theorem 4.4, page 676] and the second part with [23, Corollary 4.5, page 678]).

Theorem 6.2. Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Then

(30)
$$\operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(p^n,b)) = M(p) \cup [P_F(p,b) \cap \mathbb{N}]$$
 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In particular, $\operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N}, \tau_K)}(P(p^n, b)) = \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N}, \tau_K)}(P(p, b))$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF: Take $x \in \text{cl}_{(\mathbb{N}, \tau_K)}(P(p^n, b))$ and consider that $x \notin M(p)$. Then $\langle p, x \rangle = 1$. This implies, since P(p, x) is an open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) that contains x, that $P(p, x) \cap P(p^n, b) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, by (7), p|(x - b), so $x \in P_F(p, b) \cap \mathbb{N}$. This shows that x is in the right side of (30).

Now take $x \in P_F(p,b) \cap \mathbb{N}$ and let W be an open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) with $x \in W$. Then there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}_2$ square-free so that $\langle c, x \rangle = 1$ and $P(c, x) \subset W$. Hence either $\langle c, p^n \rangle = 1$ or $\langle c, p^n \rangle = p$. In any case since p|(x-b) by (7) we have $P(c, x) \cap P(p^n, b) \neq \emptyset$. Then $W \cap P(p^n, b) \neq \emptyset$. This shows that $x \in \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N}, \tau_K)}(P(p^n, b))$, so $P_F(p, b) \cap \mathbb{N} \subset \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N}, \tau_K)}(P(p^n, b))$. By 2) of Theorem 6.1 we

have $M(p) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(p^n,b))$. Hence the right side of (30) is contained in its left side.

The same proof of Theorem 5.9 applies in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) . Hence we have the following result.

Theorem 6.3. Let $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i, b_i) \neq \emptyset$. Then

(31)
$$\operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k P(a_i,b_i)\right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a_i,b_i)).$$

The following result appears in both [3, Lemma 1, page 4] and [23, Theorem 4.6, page 678]. Our proof is shorter than the one presented in [23], though slightly different than the one that appears in [3].

Theorem 6.4. If $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and $a = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$ is the standard prime decomposition of a, then

(32)
$$\operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a,b)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(p_i^{\alpha_i},b)).$$

PROOF: The result follows from (19) and (31).

We are ready to present the formula for the closure in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) of an arithmetic progression in \mathbb{N} .

Theorem 6.5. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and assume that $a = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$ is the standard prime decomposition of a. If $b \in \mathbb{N}$, then

(33)
$$\operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a,b)) = \mathbb{N} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k [M(p_i) \cup P_F(p_i,b)]\right).$$

PROOF: By (30) and (32)

$$\operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a,b)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(p_i^{\alpha_i},b)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k [M(p_i) \cup (P_F(p_i,b) \cap \mathbb{N})]$$
$$= \mathbb{N} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k [M(p_i) \cup P_F(p_i,b)]\right).$$

Let $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and assume that $a = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$ is the standard prime decomposition of a. Define $c = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k = \prod_{p \in \Theta(a)} p$ and for each $b \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$A_b = \{d \le c : \text{ for each } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ either } p_i | d \text{ or } d \equiv b \pmod{p_i} \}.$$

Let $x \in \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a,b))$. Consider $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ so that x = cn + d and $d \leq c$. Given $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ we have $p_i | c$ and, by (33),

$$cn + d \in M(p_i) \cup P_F(p_i, b).$$

Hence either $p_i|d$ or $d \equiv b \pmod{p_i}$, so $d \in A_b$ and since $x \in P(c,d)$, it follows that $x \in \bigcup_{d \in A_b} P(c,d)$.

Now assume that $x \in \bigcup_{d \in A_b} P(c,d)$. Let $d \in A_b$ be so that $x \in P(c,d)$. Given $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$ we have $p_i|c$ and, since $d \in A_b$ either $p_i|d$ or $d \equiv b \pmod{p_i}$. In the first case both c and d belong to $M(p_i)$, so $x \in M(p_i)$. In the second case we have $p_i|c$, $p_i|(d-b)$ and c|(x-d), so $p_i|[(x-d)+(d-b)]$, i.e., $p_i|(x-b)$. Hence $x \in \mathbb{N} \cap P_F(p_i,b)$. This shows that

$$x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} [M(p_i) \cup (P_F(p_i, b) \cap \mathbb{N})] = \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N}, \tau_K)}(P(a, b)).$$

Therefore we have the following result which is [23, Theorem 4.7, page 680].

Theorem 6.6. Let $a \in \mathbb{N}_2$ and assume that $a = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$ is the standard prime decomposition of a. Define $c = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$. Then for each $b \in \mathbb{N}$

(34)
$$\operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a,b)) = \bigcup_{d \in A_b} P(c,d).$$

By (34) the right side of (33) is the union of finitely many arithmetic progressions in N, all with the same common difference of successive members.

Theorem 6.7. Let $a, b, c_1, d_1, c_2, d_2, \ldots, c_n, d_n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $P(c_i, d_i) \in \mathcal{B}_K$ and $P(a, b) \cap P(c_i, d_i) \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Then there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$,

$$\emptyset \neq M(d) \cap P(a,b) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a,b) \cap P(c_i,d_i)).$$

PROOF: For every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ let $b_i \in P(a, b) \cap P(c_i, d_i)$. Then $a|(b_i - b)$, $c_i|(b_i - d_i)$ and, by (10),

$$P([a, c_i], b_i) \subset P(a, b) \cap P(c_i, d_i).$$

Since $P(c_i, d_i) \in \mathcal{B}_K$, each $c_i \in \mathbb{N}_2$ is square-free and $\langle c_i, d_i \rangle = 1$. Applying Theorem 2.1, there exists $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[a, c_i] = aq_i, q_i|c_i$ and $\langle q_i, a \rangle = 1$. Let $d = q_1q_2 \cdots q_n$. Since $\langle q_i, a \rangle = 1$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ we have $\langle d, a \rangle = 1$. Then, by Corollary 4.8, $M(d) \cap P(a, b) \neq \emptyset$.

Fix $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and let $z \in M(d) \cap P(a, b)$ and U be an open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) such that $z \in U$. Take $x \in \mathbb{N}_2$ square-free so that $\langle x, z \rangle = 1$ and

 $P(x,z) \subset U$. Since a|(z-b) and $a|(b_i-b)$ we have $a|[(z-b)-(b_i-b)]$, i.e., $a|(z-b_i)$. From $\langle x,z\rangle=1$, $q_i|d$ and d|z it follows that $\langle x,q_i\rangle=1$. Hence

$$\langle x, [a, c_i] \rangle = \langle x, aq_i \rangle = \langle x, a \rangle \langle x, q_i \rangle = \langle x, a \rangle,$$

so $\langle x, [a, c_i] \rangle | (z - b_i)$. Therefore, by (7),

$$\emptyset \neq P(x,z) \cap P([a,c_i],b_i) \subset U \cap P(a,b) \cap P(c_i,d_i).$$

This shows that $z \in \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N}, \tau_K)}(P(a, b) \cap P(c_i, d_i))$.

6.1 Totally Brown subsets of the Kirch space. In this subsection we describe subsets of the Kirch space which are totally Brown. By Theorem 3.2 the results presented in Theorems 5.11 and 5.16 remain valid in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) . By the same reason, the implication 4) implies 1) in Theorem 5.12 is valid in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) , so we have the following result.

Theorem 6.8. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\Theta(a) \subset \Theta(b)$. Then P(a, b) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) .

By 4) of Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.8 for each $b \in \mathbb{N}$ the arithmetic progression P(1,b) is totally Brown and dense in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) . Note that if $P(a,b) \in \mathcal{B}_K$ then, since $a \neq 1$ and $\langle a,b \rangle = 1$ we have $\Theta(a) \not\subset \Theta(b)$. The following result generalizes [18, Theorem 3.5, page 901].

Theorem 6.9. If $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, then P(a, b) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) .

PROOF: Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ as well as n nonempty open subsets O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_n of P(a, b). For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ let U_i be an open subset of (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) with $O_i = P(a, b) \cap U_i$ and take $d_i \in O_i$. Then there exists $c_i \in \mathbb{N}_2$ square-free such that $\langle c_i, d_i \rangle = 1$ and $P(c_i, d_i) \subset U_i$. Note that $P(c_i, d_i) \in \mathcal{B}_K$ and $P(a, b) \cap P(c_i, d_i) \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Then, by Theorem 6.7, there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$,

$$\emptyset \neq M(d) \cap P(a,b) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a,b) \cap P(c_i,d_i)).$$

Thus

$$\emptyset \neq M(d) \cap P(a,b) = P(a,b) \cap M(d) \cap P(a,b)$$

$$\subset P(a,b) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a,b) \cap P(c_i,d_i))\right)$$

$$\subset P(a,b) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(P(a,b) \cap U_i)\right) = P(a,b) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{cl}_{(\mathbb{N},\tau_K)}(O_i)\right).$$

This shows that P(a, b) is totally Brown in (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) .

By Theorem 6.9 it follows that (\mathbb{N}, τ_K) is locally connected. We can also show that if $P(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}_K$ and $c \in P(a, b)$, then P(a, b) is locally connected at c.

A description of totally Brown subsets of the Szczuka space (\mathbb{N}, τ_S), defined by P. Szczuka in [19], where τ_S is called the common division topology on \mathbb{N} , is made in [1]. In the same paper there is also a description of totally Brown subsets of the Rizza space (\mathbb{N}, τ_R), defined by G. B. Rizza in [16], where τ_R is called the division topology on \mathbb{N} .

Acknowledgement. The results presented in this paper are part of the Doctoral Dissertation of the first author, under the direction of the other two. The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her suggestions that helped to improve the paper.

References

- [1] Alberto-Domínguez J. C., Acosta G., Madriz-Mendoza M., The common division topology on N, accepted in Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.
- [2] Banakh T., Mioduszewski J., Turek S., On continuous self-maps and homeomorphisms of the Golomb space, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 59 (2018), no. 4, 423–442.
- [3] Banakh T., Stelmakh Y., Turek S., *The Kirch space is topologically rigid*, Topology Appl. **304** (2021), Paper No. 107782, 16 pages.
- [4] Bing R. H., A connected countable Hausdorff space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 474.
- [5] Clark P. L., Lebowitz-Lockard N., Pollack P., A note on Golomb topologies, Quaest. Math. 42 (2019), no. 1, 73–86.
- [6] Dontchev J., On superconnected spaces, Serdica 20 (1994), no. 3-4, 345-350.
- [7] Engelking R., General Topology, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [8] Fine B., Rosenberger G., Number Theory. An Introduction via the Density of Primes, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [9] Furstenberg H., On the infinitude of primes, Amer. Math. Monthly 62 (1955), 353.
- [10] Golomb S. W., A connected topology for the integers, Amer. Math. Monthly 66 (1959), 663–665.
- [11] Golomb S. W., Arithmetica topologica, General Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proc. Sympos., Prague, 1961, Academic Press, New York, Publ. House Czech. Acad. Sci., Praha, 1962, 179–186 (Italian).
- [12] Jones F.B., Aposyndetic continua and certain boundary problems, Amer. J. Math. 63 (1941), 545–553.
- [13] Jones G. A., Jones J. M., Elementary Number Theory, Springer Undergraduate Mathematics Series, Springer, London, 1998.
- [14] Kirch A. M., A countable, connected, locally connected Hausdorff space, Amer. Math. Monthly 76 (1969), 169–171.
- [15] Nanda S., Panda H. K., The fundamental group of principal superconnected spaces, Rend. Mat. (6) 9 (1976), no. 4, 657–664.
- [16] Rizza G. B., A topology for the set of nonnegative integers, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (5) 2 1993, 179–185.

- [17] Steen L. A., Seebach J. A., Jr., Counterexamples in Topology, Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 1995.
- [18] Szczuka P., The connectedness of arithmetic progressions in Furstenberg's, Golomb's, and Kirch's topologies, Demonstratio Math. 43 (2010), no. 4, 899–909.
- [19] Szczuka P., Connections between connected topological spaces on the set of positive integers, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 11 (2013), no. 5, 876–881.
- [20] Szczuka P., The Darboux property for polynomials in Golomb's and Kirch's topologies, Demonstratio Math. 46 (2013), no. 2, 429–435.
- [21] Szczuka P., Regular open arithmetic progressions in connected topological spaces on the set of positive integers, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 49(69) (2014), no. 1, 13–23.
- [22] Szczuka P., The closures of arithmetic progressions in the common division topology on the set of positive integers, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 12 (2014), no. 7, 1008–1014.
- [23] Szczuka P., The closures of arithmetic progressions in Kirch's topology on the set of positive integers, Int. J. Number Theory 11 (2015), no. 3, 673–682.
- [24] Szyszkowska P., Szyszkowski M., Properties of the common division topology on the set of positive integers, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 33 (2018), no. 1, 91–98.

J. D. C. Alberto-Domínguez:

DIVISIÓN ACADÉMICA DE CIENCIAS BÁSICAS,

Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, C.P. 86690, Cunduacán, Tabasco, México

E-mail: jcad040688@gmail.com

G. Acosta:

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, D.F. 04510, Ciudad, México

E-mail: gacosta@matem.unam.mx

G. Delgadillo-Piñón:

DIVISIÓN ACADÉMICA DE CIENCIAS BÁSICAS.

Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, C.P. 86690, Cunduacán, Tabasco, México

E-mail: gerardo.delgadillo@ujat.mx

(Received February 18, 2021, revised December 15, 2021)