

Igor Protasov

Selectors of discrete coarse spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 63 (2022), No. 2, 261–267

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151089>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

Selectors of discrete coarse spaces

IGOR PROTASOV

Abstract. Given a coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) with the bornology \mathcal{B} of bounded subsets, we extend the coarse structure \mathcal{E} from $X \times X$ to the natural coarse structure on $(\mathcal{B} \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \times (\mathcal{B} \setminus \{\emptyset\})$ and say that a macro-uniform mapping $f: (\mathcal{B} \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \rightarrow X$ (or $f: [X]^2 \rightarrow X$) is a selector (or 2-selector) of (X, \mathcal{E}) if $f(A) \in A$ for each $A \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ ($A \in [X]^2$, respectively). We prove that a discrete coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) admits a selector if and only if (X, \mathcal{E}) admits a 2-selector if and only if there exists a linear order “ \leq ” on X such that the family of intervals $\{[a, b]: a, b \in X, a \leq b\}$ is a base for the bornology \mathcal{B} .

Keywords: bornology; coarse space; selector

Classification: 54C65

1. Introduction

The notion of selectors comes from *topology*. Let X be a topological space, $\exp X$ be the set of all nonempty closed subsets of X endowed with some (initially, the Vietoris) topology, \mathcal{F} be a nonempty subset of $\exp X$. A continuous mapping $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ is called an \mathcal{F} -selector of X if $f(A) \in A$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$. The question on selectors of topological spaces was studied in a plenty of papers, we mention only [1], [4], [7], [6].

Formally, coarse spaces, introduced independently and simultaneously in [8] and [13], can be considered as asymptotic counterparts of uniform spaces. But actually this notion is rooted in *geometry* and *geometric group theory*, see [13, Chapter 1] and [5, Chapter 4]. At this point, we need some basic definitions.

Given a set X , a family \mathcal{E} of subsets of $X \times X$ is called a *coarse structure* on X if

- each $E \in \mathcal{E}$ contains the diagonal $\Delta_X := \{(x, x): x \in X\}$ of X ;
- if $E, E' \in \mathcal{E}$ then $E \circ E' \in \mathcal{E}$ and $E^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}$, where $E \circ E' = \{(x, y): \exists z ((x, z) \in E, (z, y) \in E')\}$, $E^{-1} = \{(y, x): (x, y) \in E\}$;
- if $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\Delta_X \subseteq E' \subseteq E$ then $E' \in \mathcal{E}$.

Elements $E \in \mathcal{E}$ of the coarse structure are called *entourages* on X .

For $x \in X$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}$ the set $E[x] := \{y \in X : (x, y) \in \mathcal{E}\}$ is called the *ball of radius E centered at x* . Since $E = \bigcup_{x \in X} (\{x\} \times E[x])$, the entourage E is uniquely determined by the family of balls $\{E[x] : x \in X\}$. A subfamily $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is called a *base* of the coarse structure \mathcal{E} if each set $E \in \mathcal{E}$ is contained in some $E' \in \mathcal{E}'$.

The pair (X, \mathcal{E}) is called a *coarse space*, see [13], or a *ballean*, see [8], [11].

In this paper, all coarse spaces under consideration are supposed to be *connected*, that is, for any $x, y \in X$, there is $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such $y \in E[x]$. A subset $Y \subseteq X$ is called *bounded* if $Y = E[x]$ for some $E \in \mathcal{E}$, and $x \in X$. The family \mathcal{B}_X of all bounded subsets of X is a bornology on X . We recall that a family \mathcal{B} of subsets of a set X is a *bornology* if \mathcal{B} contains the family $[X]^{<\omega}$ of all finite subsets of X and \mathcal{B} is closed under finite unions and taking subsets. A bornology \mathcal{B} on a set X is called *unbounded* if $X \notin \mathcal{B}$. A subfamily \mathcal{B}' of \mathcal{B} is called a *base* for \mathcal{B} if for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ such that $B \subseteq B'$.

Each subset $Y \subseteq X$ defines a *subspace* $(Y, \mathcal{E}|_Y)$ of (X, \mathcal{E}) , where $\mathcal{E}|_Y = \{E \cap (Y \times Y) : E \in \mathcal{E}\}$. A subspace $(Y, \mathcal{E}|_Y)$ is called *large* if there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $X = E[Y]$, where $E[Y] = \bigcup_{y \in Y} E[y]$.

Let $(X, \mathcal{E}), (X', \mathcal{E}')$ be coarse spaces. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is called *macro-uniform* if for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists $E' \in \mathcal{E}'$ such that $f(E(x)) \subseteq E'(f(x))$ for each $x \in X$. If f is a bijection such that f and f^{-1} are macro-uniform, then f is called an *asymorphism*. If (X, \mathcal{E}) and (X', \mathcal{E}') contain large asymorphic subspaces, then they are called *coarsely equivalent*.

For a coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) , we denote by X^b the set of all nonempty bounded subsets of X , so $(X^b = \mathcal{B} \setminus \{\emptyset\})$ and by \mathcal{E}^b the coarse structure on X^b with the base $\{E^b : E \in \mathcal{E}\}$, where

$$(A, B) \in E^b \Leftrightarrow A \subseteq E[B], \quad B \subseteq E[A],$$

and say that (X^b, \mathcal{E}^b) is the *hyperballean* of (X, \mathcal{E}) . For hyperballeans see [2], [3], [9], [10].

We say that a macro-uniform mapping $f : X^b \rightarrow X$ (or $f : [X]^2 \rightarrow X$) is a *selector* (or *2-selector*) of (X, \mathcal{E}) if $f(A) \in A$ for each $A \in X^b$ ($A \in [X]^2$, respectively). We note that a selector is a macro-uniform retraction of X^b to $[X]^1$ identified with X .

We recall that a coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) is *discrete* if, for each $E \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists a bounded subset B of (X, \mathcal{E}) such that $E[x] = \{x\}$ for each $x \in X \setminus B$. Every bornology \mathcal{B} on a set X defines the discrete coarse space $X_{\mathcal{B}} = (X, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}})$, where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a coarse structure with the base $\{E_B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$, $E_B[x] = B$ if $x \in B$ and $E_B[x] = \{x\}$ if $x \in X \setminus B$. On the other hand, every discrete coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) coincides with $X_{\mathcal{B}}$, where \mathcal{B} is the bornology of bounded subsets of (X, \mathcal{E}) .

Our goal is to characterize discrete coarse spaces which admit selectors. After exposition of results, we conclude with some comments and open problems.

2. Results

Let “ \leq ” be a linear order on a set X . We say that (X, \leq) is

- *right (left) well-ordered* if every subset Y of X has the minimal (maximal) element;
- *right (left) bounded* if X has the maximal (minimal) element;
- *bounded* if X is left and right bounded.

Every linear order “ \leq ” on X defines the bornology \mathcal{B}_{\leq} on X such that the family $\{[a, b]: a, b \in X, a \leq b\}$, where $[a, b] = \{x \in X: a \leq x \leq b\}$, is a base for \mathcal{B}_{\leq} . Clearly, $X \in \mathcal{B}_{\leq}$ if and only if (X, \leq) is bounded.

We say that a bornology \mathcal{B} on a set X has an interval base if there exists a linear order “ \leq ” on X such that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{\leq}$.

Theorem 1. *For a bornology \mathcal{B} on a set X and the discrete coarse space $X_{\mathcal{B}}$, the following statements are equivalent*

- (i) $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ admits a selector;
- (ii) $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ admits a 2-selector;
- (iii) \mathcal{B} has an interval base.

PROOF: If $X \in \mathcal{B}$ then we have nothing to prove: every mapping $f: \mathcal{B} \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow X$ (or $f: [X]^2 \rightarrow X$) such that $f(A) \in A$ is a selector (2-selector, respectively) and we take an arbitrary linear order “ \leq ” on X such that (X, \leq) is bounded. In what follows, $X \notin \mathcal{B}$ so $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ is unbounded. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is evident.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) We take a 2-selector f of $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ and define a binary relation “ \prec ” on X as follows: $a \prec b$ if and only if either $a = b$ or $f(\{a, b\}) = a$.

We use the following key observation.

- (*) *For every $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}$ such that if $z \in X \setminus C$ then either $b \prec z$ for each $b \in B$ or $z \prec b$ for each $b \in B$.*

Indeed, we take $C \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subseteq C$ and if $A, A' \in [X]^2$ and $(A, A') \in E_B^{\downarrow}$ then $(f(A), f(A')) \in E_C$.

We take and fix distinct $l, r \in X$ such that $l \prec r$ and use Zorn’s lemma to choose a maximal by inclusion subset A of X such that $A = L \cup R$, $L \cap R = \emptyset$, R is right well-ordered by “ \prec ” with the minimal element r , L is left well-ordered by “ \prec ” with the maximal element l and $x \prec y$ for all $x \in L, y \in R$.

By the maximality of A and (*), A is unbounded in $X_{\mathcal{B}}$. For $a, b \in A$, $a \prec b$, we denote $[a, b]_A = \{x \in A: a \prec x \prec b\}$. Applying (*) with $B = [a, b]_A$, we see that $[a, b]_A$ is bounded in $X_{\mathcal{B}}$.

We consider three cases.

Case 1: Assume that L and R are unbounded in $X_{\mathcal{B}}$. We define some auxiliary mapping $h: X \rightarrow A$. For $x \in A$, we put $h(x) = x$. For $x \in X \setminus A$, we use $(*)$ with $B = \{r, x\}$ to find the minimal element $c \in R$ such that $x \prec y$ for each $y \in A$, $c \prec y$. If $c \neq r$ then we put $h(x) = c$. Otherwise, we use $(*)$ to choose the maximal element $d \in L$ such that $y \prec x$ for each $y \in L \cup \{r\}$, $y \prec d$. We put $h(x) = d$.

We take arbitrary $a, b \in A$ such that $a \prec l \prec r \prec b$. If $h(x) \in [a, b]$ then, by the construction of h , we have $a \prec x \prec b$. Applying $(*)$ with $B = [a, b]_A$, we conclude that $h^{-1}([a, b]_A)$ is bounded in $X_{\mathcal{B}}$. In particular, $h^{-1}(c)$ is bounded in $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ for each $c \in A$.

Now we are ready to define the desired linear order “ \leq ” on X . If $h(x) \prec h(y)$ and $h(x) \neq h(y)$ then we put $x < y$. If $c \in R$ then we endow $h^{-1}(c)$ with a right well-order “ \leq ”. If $c \in L$ then we endow $h^{-1}(c)$ with a left well-order “ \leq ”.

It remains to verify that the family $\{[a, b]: a, b \in X, a \leq b\}$ is a base for \mathcal{B} . Let $a, b \in A$ and $a \leq b$. We have shown that $h^{-1}([a, b]_A) \in \mathcal{B}$, hence $[a, b] \in \mathcal{B}$. If $a, b \in X$ and $a \leq b$ then we take $a' \in A$, $b' \in A$ such that $a' < a$, $b < b'$. Since $[a', b'] \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $[a, b] \in \mathcal{B}$. On the other hand, if Y is a bounded subset of $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ then we apply $(*)$ with $B = Y \cup \{l, r\}$ to find $a \in L$, $b \in R$ such that $h(B) \subseteq [a, b]_A$, hence $B \subseteq [a, b]$.

Case 2: Assume that L is bounded and R is unbounded in $X_{\mathcal{B}}$. Since $L \in \mathcal{B}$, by $(*)$, the set $C = \{x \in X: x < y \text{ for each } y \in R\}$ is bounded in $X_{\mathcal{B}}$. We use arguments from Case 1 to define \leq on $X \setminus C$. Then we extend “ \leq ” to X so that (C, \leq) is bounded and $x \prec y$ for all $x \in C$, $y \in X \setminus C$.

Case 3: Assume that L is unbounded and R is bounded in $X_{\mathcal{B}}$. Since $R \in \mathcal{B}$, by $(*)$, the set $D = \{x \in X: y \prec x \text{ for each } y \in L\}$ is bounded in $X_{\mathcal{B}}$. We use arguments from Case 1 to define “ \leq ” on $X \setminus D$. Then we extend “ \leq ” to X so that (D, \leq) is bounded and $x \prec y$ for all $x \in X \setminus D$, $y \in D$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) We take a linear order “ \prec ” on X witnessing that \mathcal{B} has an interval base. We define a 2-selector $f: [X]^2 \rightarrow X$ by $f(\{x, y\}) = x$ if and only if $x \prec y$. Then we take the linear order “ \leq ” on X defined in the proof (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). To define a selector s of $X_{\mathcal{B}}$, we denote $X_l = \{x \in X: x \leq l\}$, $X_r = \{x \in X: r \leq x\}$. By the construction of “ \leq ”, X_l is right well-ordered and X_r is left well-ordered. We take an arbitrary $Y \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. If $Y \cap X_l \neq \emptyset$ then we take the maximal element $a \in Y \cap X_l$ and put $s(Y) = a$. Otherwise, we choose the minimal element $b \in Y \cap X_r$ and put $s(Y) = b$.

To see that s is macro-uniform, we take an interval $[a, b]$ in (X, \leq) and $Y, Z \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that $Y \setminus [a, b] = Z \setminus [a, b]$, $Y \cap [a, b] \neq \emptyset$, $Z \cap [a, b] \neq \emptyset$. If $s(Y) \notin [a, b]$ then $s(Y) = s(Z)$. If $s(Y) \in [a, b]$ then $s(Z) \in [a, b]$. □

An ordinal α endowed with the reverse ordering is called the *antiordinal* of α .

Corollary 2. *If $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ has a selector then \mathcal{B} has an interval base with respect to some linear order “ \leq ” on X such that (X, \leq) is the ordinal sum of an antiordinal and an ordinal.*

PROOF: We take the linear order from the proof of Theorem 1 and note that X_l is an antiordinal, X_r is ordinal and (X, \leq) is the ordinal sum of X_l and X_r . \square

Corollary 3. *If a bornology \mathcal{B} on a set X has a base linearly ordered by inclusion then the discrete coarse space $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ admits a selector.*

PROOF: Since \mathcal{B} has a linearly ordered base, we can choose a base $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ well-ordered by inclusion. We show that \mathcal{B} has an interval base and apply Theorem 1.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let $\mathcal{D}_\alpha = B_{\alpha+1} \setminus B_\alpha$. We endow each \mathcal{D}_α with an arbitrary right well-order “ \leq ”. If $x \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, $y \in \mathcal{D}_\beta$ and $\alpha < \beta$, we put $x < y$. Then $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{\leq}$. \square

Remark 4. Let (X, \leq) be the ordinal sum of the antiordinal of ω and the ordinal ω_1 . Then the interval bornology \mathcal{B}_{\leq} does not have a linearly ordered base. Indeed, let $X = L \cup R$, $L = \{l_n : n < \omega\}$, $l_n < l_m$ if and only if $m < n$, $R = \{r_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$, $r_\alpha < r_\beta$ if and only if $\alpha < \beta$, and $l_n < r_\alpha$ for all n, α . Assuming that \mathcal{B}_{\leq} has a linearly ordered base, we choose a base \mathcal{B}' of \mathcal{B}_{\leq} well-ordered by inclusion and denote $\mathcal{B}'_n = \{A \in \mathcal{B}' : \min A = l_n\}$. By the choice of R , there exists $m \in \omega$ such that \mathcal{B}'_m is cofinal in \mathcal{B}_{\leq} , but $l_{m+1} \notin A$ for each $A \in \mathcal{B}'_m$ and we get a contradiction.

Theorem 5. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a coarse space with the bornology \mathcal{B} of bounded subsets. If f is a 2-selector of (X, \mathcal{E}) then f is a 2-selector of $X_{\mathcal{B}}$.*

PROOF: Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $A, A' \in [X]^2$ and $(A, A') \in E_B^b$. Since f is a 2-selector of (X, \mathcal{E}) , there exists $F \in \mathcal{E}$, $F = F^{-1}$ such that $(f(A), f(A')) \in F$.

If $A \cap B = \emptyset$ then $A = A'$. If $A \subseteq B$ then $A' \in B$, so $(f(A), f(A')) \in E_B$.

Let $A = \{b, a\}$, $A' = \{b', a\}$, $b \in B$, $b' \in B$ and $a \in X \setminus B$. If $a \in F[\{b, b'\}]$ then $f(A), f(A') \in F[\{b, b'\}]$. If $a \notin F[\{b, b'\}]$ then either $f(A) = f(A') = a$ or $f(A), f(A') \in \{b, b'\}$.

In all considered cases, we have $(f(A), f(A')) \in E_{F[B]}$. Hence, f is a 2-selector of $X_{\mathcal{B}}$. \square

Remark 6. Every metric space (X, d) has the natural coarse structure \mathcal{E}_d with the base $\{E_r : r > 0\}$, $E_r = \{(x, y) : d(x, y) \leq r\}$. Let \mathcal{B} denote the bornology of bounded subsets of (X, \mathcal{E}_d) . By Corollary 3, the discrete coarse space $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ admits

a 2-selector. We show that (X, \mathcal{E}_d) could not admit a 2-selector, so the conversion of Theorem 5 does not hold.

Let $X = \mathbb{Z}^2$, $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $y = (y_1, y_2)$, $d(x, y) = \max\{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|\}$. We suppose that there exists a 2-selector f of (X, \mathcal{E}_d) and choose a natural number n such that if $A, A' \in [X]^2$ and $(A, A') \in E_1^b$ then $(f(A), f(A')) \in E_n$, so $d(f(A), f(A')) \leq n$. We denote $S_n = \{x \in X : d(x, 0) = n\}$. For $x \in S_n$, let $A_x = \{x, -x\}$. Then we can choose $x, y \in S_n$ such that $d(x, y) = 1$, $f(A_x) = x$, $f(A_y) = -y$, but $d(x, -y) > n$.

3. Comments

1. Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space and let \mathcal{F}_X denote the set of all nonempty closed subsets of X endowed with the Hausdorff–Bourbaki uniformity. Given a subset \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{F}_X , a uniformly continuous mapping $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ is called an \mathcal{F} -selector if $f(A) \in A$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$. If $\mathcal{F} = [X]^2$ then f is called a 2-selector.

In contrast to the topological case, the problem of uniform selections is much less studied. Almost all known results are concentrated around uniformizations of Michael’s theorem, for references see [12].

Given a discrete uniform space, how can one detects whether X admits a 2-selector? This question seems very difficult even in the case of a countable discrete metric space X . To demonstrate the obstacles for a simple characterization, we consider the following example.

We take a family $\{C_n : n < \omega\}$ of pairwise nonintersecting circles of radius 1 on the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Then we inscribe a regular n -gon M_n in C_n and denote by X the set of all vertices of $\{M_n : n < \omega\}$. It is easy to verify that X does not admit a 2-selector.

2. Given a group G with the identity e , we denote by \mathcal{E}_G a coarse structure on G with the base

$$\{(x, y) \in G \times G : y \in Fx\} : F \in [G]^{<\omega}, e \in F\}$$

and say that (G, \mathcal{E}_G) is the *finitary coarse space* of G . It should be noticed that finitary coarse spaces of groups (in the form of Cayley graphs) are used in *geometric group theory*, see [5]. We note that the bornology of bounded subsets of (G, \mathcal{E}_G) is the set $[G]^{<\omega}$. Applying Theorem 1 and Theorem 5, we conclude that if (G, \mathcal{E}_G) admits a 2-selector then G must be countable.

Problem 1. Characterize countable groups G such that the finitary coarse space (G, \mathcal{E}_G) admits a 2-selector.

3. Every connected graph $\Gamma[\mathcal{V}]$ with the set of vertices \mathcal{V} can be considered as the metric space (\mathcal{V}, d) ,

Problem 2. Characterize graphs $\Gamma[\mathcal{V}]$ such that the coarse space of (\mathcal{V}, d) , whenever d is the path metric on the set of vertices \mathcal{V} , admits a 2-selector.

Acknowledgment. I thank the referee for critical remarks to the initial version of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Artico G., Marconi U., Pelant J., Rotter L., Tkachenko M., *Selections and suborderability*, Fund. Math. **175** (2002), no. 1, 1–33.
- [2] Dikranjan D., Protasov I., Protasova K., Zava N., *Balleans, hyperballeans and ideals*, Appl. Gen. Topology **2** (2019), 431–447.
- [3] Dikranjan D., Protasov I., Zava N., *Hyperballeans of groups*, Topology Appl. **263** (2019), 172–198.
- [4] Engelking R., Heath R. W., Michael E., *Topological well-ordering and continuous selections*, Invent. Math. **6** (1968), 150–158.
- [5] de la Harpe P., *Topics in Geometric Group Theory*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, The University Chicago Press, Chicago, 2000.
- [6] van Mill J., Pelant J., Pol R., *Selections that characterize topological completeness*, Fund. Math. **149** (1996), no. 2, 127–141.
- [7] van Mill J., Wattel E., *Selections and orderability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), no. 3, 601–605.
- [8] Protasov I., Banach T., *Ball Structures and Colorings of Graphs and Groups*, Mathematical Studies Monograph Series, 11, VNTL Publishers, L'viv, 2003.
- [9] Protasov I., Protasova K., *On hyperballeans of bounded geometry*, Eur. J. Math. **4** (2018), no. 4, 1515–1520.
- [10] Protasov I., Protasova K., *The normality of macrocubes and hyperballeans*, Eur. J. Math. **7** (2021), no. 3, 1274–1279.
- [11] Protasov I., Zarichnyi M., *General Asymptology*, Mathematical Studies Monograph Series, 12, VNTL Publishers, L'viv, 2007.
- [12] Przesławski K., Yost D., *Continuity properties of selectors in Michael's theorem*, Michigan Math. J. **36** (1989), no. 1, 113–134.
- [13] Roe J., *Lectures on Coarse Geometry*, University Lecture Series, 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2003.

I. Protasov:

TARAS SHEVCHENKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF KYIV,
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND CYBERNETICS,
ACADEMIC GLUSHKOV PR. 4D, 03680 KYIV, UKRAINE

E-mail: i.v.protasov@gmail.com

(Received January 4, 2021, revised February 17, 2021)