

Jan Mařík; Clifford E. Weil
Multipliers of classes of derivatives

Real Anal. Exchange 17 (1) (1991/92), 35–36

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/502144>

Terms of use:

© Michigan State University Press, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

Jan Mařík and Clifford E. Weil, Mathematics Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1027, U.S.A.

Multipliers of Classes of Derivatives

The origins of this work go back to the fact, published in 1921 by Wilcosz, that the product of two derivatives need not be a derivative. This suggests the problem of finding all derivatives whose product with each derivative is again a derivative. This problem was solved by Richard Fleissner in 1977. In this paper we solve a similar problem but for several subsets of the class of all derivatives.

Notation. Set $I = [0, 1]$ and let \mathbb{R} be the real line. Let

$$D = \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \text{for some } F : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \ x \in I \text{ implies } F'(x) = f(x)\}.$$

Let $A \subset D$. Then $M(A) = \{g \in D : fg \in D \text{ for all } f \in A\}$.

To define the classes of derivatives to be consider, we first introduce some additional notation.

Notation. Let $J \subset I$ be a closed and nondegenerate interval, let $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable and let $p \in (0, \infty)$. Then $\|f\|_{J,p} = \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J |f|^p\right)^{1/p}$. Also $\|f\|_{J,\infty}$ is the usual L^∞ -norm of f on J . If a and b are the endpoints of J , we also write $\|f\|_{a,b,p}$ for $\|f\|_{J,p}$ even if $b < a$.

Note that the norm of the function identically 1 on J is 1.

Proposition 1. *Let f and J be as above and let $0 < p < q \leq \infty$. Then $\|f\|_{J,p} \leq \|f\|_{J,q}$.*

Now we define some of the classes to be investigated.

Definition. Let $p \in (0, \infty)$. Then

$$S_p = \{g \in D : x \in I \text{ implies } \lim_{x \rightarrow y, x \in I} \|g - g(y)\|_{y,x,p} = 0\}$$

and

$$T_p = \{g \in D : x \in I \text{ implies } \limsup_{x \rightarrow y, x \in I} \|g\|_{y,x,p} < \infty\}.$$

Note that g is continuous at y if and only if $\lim_{x \rightarrow y, x \in I} \|g - g(y)\|_{y,x,\infty} = 0$. So we should think of the condition defining S_p as saying that g is continuous at y in the L^p -norm. The meaning of the condition defining T_p is not so clear.

But observe that $\limsup_{x \rightarrow y, x \in I} \|g\|_{y,x,\infty} < \infty$ simply means that there is a neighborhood of y on which g is bounded. Thus we may think of $g \in T_p$ as meaning that the derivative g is locally bounded in L^p -norm on I .

Proposition 2. *Let $p, q \in (0, \infty)$ with $p < q$. Then $S_q \subset S_p$, $T_q \subset T_p$ and $S_p \subset T_p$.*

The first two inclusions in the above proposition motivate the following definition of the remaining classes to be studied.

Definition. For $p \in [0, \infty)$ let

$$\underline{S}_p = \{g \in D : y \in I \text{ implies } \lim_{x \rightarrow y, x \in I} \|g - g(y)\|_{y,x,q} = 0 \text{ for some } q \in (p, \infty)\}$$

and

$$\underline{T}_p = \{g \in D : y \in I \text{ implies } \limsup_{x \rightarrow y, x \in I} \|g\|_{y,x,q} < \infty \text{ for some } q \in (p, \infty)\}.$$

For $p \in (0, \infty]$ let $\overline{S}_p = \bigcap_{q \in (0,p)} S_q$ and $\overline{T}_p = \bigcap_{q \in (0,p)} T_q$. Finally let $S_0 = D \cap C_{ap}$ (the approximately continuous functions), let $T_0 = D$, let $S_\infty = M(T_1)$ and let $T_\infty = bD$ (the bounded functions in D).

the

Proposition 3. *Let $p, q \in (0, \infty)$ with $p < q$. Then $\underline{S}_p \subset S_p \subset \overline{S}_p$, $\underline{T}_p \subset T_p \subset \overline{T}_p$, $\underline{S}_p \subset \underline{T}_p$, $\overline{S}_q \subset \overline{T}_p$, $\overline{S}_q \subset \underline{S}_p$, and $\overline{T}_q \subset \underline{T}_p$.*

So for $p \in (0, \infty)$ we have

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} S_0 & \supset & \underline{S}_0 & \supset & \cdots & \supset & \overline{S}_p & \supset & S_p & \supset & \underline{S}_p & \supset & \cdots & \supset & \overline{S}_\infty & \supset & S_\infty \\ \cap & & \cap & & & & \cap & & \cap & & \cap & & & & \cap & & \cap \\ T_0 & \supset & \underline{T}_0 & \supset & \cdots & \supset & \overline{T}_p & \supset & T_p & \supset & \underline{T}_p & \supset & \cdots & \supset & \overline{T}_\infty & \supset & T_\infty \end{array}$$

We are now ready to state the main theorems.

Theorem 1. *Let $S_1 \subset A \subset D$. Then $M(A) = M(D)$.*

For the second theorem we introduce some standard notation.

Notation. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then p' denotes the unique number in $(0, \infty)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Also $1' = \infty$ and $\infty' = 1$.

Theorem 2. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then $M(S_p) = \underline{T}_{p'}$ and $M(T_p) = S_{p'}$. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then $M(\overline{S}_p) = \overline{T}_{p'}$ and $M(\underline{T}_p) = \overline{S}_{p'}$. Let $p \in (1, \infty]$. Then $M(\overline{S}_p) = \underline{T}_{p'}$ and $M(\overline{T}_p) = \underline{S}_{p'}$.*