

EQUADIFF 6

Jozef Kačur

Method of Rothe in evolution equations

In: Jaromír Vosmanský and Miloš Zlámal (eds.): Equadiff 6, Proceedings of the International Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications held in Brno, Czechoslovakia, Aug. 26 - 30, 1985. J. E. Purkyně University, Department of Mathematics, Brno, 1986. pp. 23--34.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700142>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

METHOD OF ROTHE IN EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

J. KAČUR

*Institute of Applied Mathematics, Comenius University
Mlynská dolina, 842 15 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia*

The aim of this paper is to present Rothe's method (also called method of lines, or the method of semidiscretization) as an efficient theoretical tool for solving a broad scale of evolution problems. Using time discretization, evolution problems are approximated by corresponding elliptic problems by means of which an approximate solution for the original evolution problem is constructed. By a relatively simple technique convergence of the approximate solution to the solution of the original evolution problem is proved. Thus, unlike some abstract methods for the analysis of existence and uniqueness problems for evolution equations, Rothe's method has a strong numerical aspect. At the same time it gives a first good insight into the structure of the solution of the investigated evolution problems.

Rothe's method introduced by E. Rothe in 1930 has been used and developed by many authors, e.g. O.A. Ladyženskaja; T.D. Ventcel; A.M. Il'in, A.S. Kalašnikov, O.A. Olejnik; Š.J. Ibragimov; P.P. Mosolov; K. Rektorys [10] in linear and quasilinear parabolic problems. Nonlinear and abstract parabolic problems has been studied by J. Kačur [2]–[6]; J. Nečas [9]; A.G. Kartsatos, E.M. Parrott [7], [8] etc. Linear and quasilinear hyperbolic equations has been considered by J. Jerome; E. Martensen; M. Pultar; J. Kačur, etc. A modification of Rothe's method (discretization in x -variable) has been used by V.N. Faddeeva; W. Walter; C. Corduneanu, etc. Time and space discretization for solving evolution problems has been employed by many authors, e.g. R. Glowinski, J.L. Lions, R. Trémoilières [1]; M. Zlámal [11]; A. Ženíšek [12]; H.W. Alt, S. Luckhaus etc., using similar technique to that of Rothe's method. For the more complete references we refer the reader to [6].

Efficiency of Rothe's method we demonstrate in solving:

- I. A nonlinear parabolic problems;
- II. Variational inequalities;
- III. Higher order equations.

I. A nonlinear parabolic problems.

Let V be a reflexive B-space with its dual V^* and let H be a Hilbert space. Let $\|\cdot\|, |\cdot|$ be the norms in V, H , respectively. We assume that $V \cap H$ is a dense set in V and H . By $\langle f, v \rangle$ we denote the duality for $f \in V^*$ and $v \in V$. Scalar product in H we denote by (\cdot, \cdot) . Let S_t be the interval $[-q, t]$ for $t \in [0, T] \equiv I, q \geq 0$.

An operator $F : L_\infty(S_T, H) \rightarrow L_\infty(S_T, H)$ is a Volterra operator iff $u(s) = v(s)$ a.e. in S_t implies $F(u)(t) = F(v)(t)$ for any $t \in S_T$. We assume $A : V \rightarrow V^*$ to be a coercive maximal monotone operator. Consider the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{du(t)}{dt} + Au(t) = f(t, F(u)(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I, u = \phi \text{ in } S_0$$

where $\phi : S_0 \rightarrow H$ is a given Lipschitz continuous function

($\phi \in \text{Lip}(S_0 \rightarrow H)$) and $f \in \text{Lip}(I \times H \rightarrow H)$. Coerciveness of A we assume in the form

$$(1.2) \quad \langle Au, u \rangle \geq \|u\|p(\|u\|) - C_1 \|u\|^2 - C_2 \quad \forall u \in V$$

where $p : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $p(s) \rightarrow \infty$ for $s \rightarrow \infty$. Lipschitz continuity of f is expressed in the form

$$(1.3) \quad |f(t, v) - f(t', v')| \leq C(|t - t'| + |t - t'| \|v\| + |v - v'|) \quad \forall t, t' \in I,$$

$\forall v, v' \in H$. We assume that F maps $\text{Lip}(S_T \rightarrow H)$ into $\text{Lip}(S_T \rightarrow H)$ and

$$(1.4) \quad \|F(u) - F(v)\|_{C(S_T, H)} \leq C \|u - v\|_{C(S_T, H)}$$

$$(1.5) \quad |F(u)(t) - F(u)(t')| \leq |t - t'| L(\|u\|_{C(S_T, H)}) \left(1 + \left\| \frac{du}{dt} \right\|_{L_\infty(S_t, H)}\right)$$

$\forall t, t' \in S_T, t' < t$ and $u \in \text{Lip}(S_T \rightarrow H)$ where $L : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is cont.f.

Solving (1.1) we apply Rothe's method in the following way: Let n be a positive integer, $h = Tn^{-1}$, $t_i = ih$. Successively for $i=1, \dots, n$ we look for the solution $u_i \equiv u_{i,n} \in V \cap H$ of the elliptic equation

$$(1.6) \quad \left(\frac{u - u_{i-1,n}}{h}, v\right) + \langle Au, v \rangle = (f(t_i, F(\tilde{u}_{i-1})(t_i)), v) \quad \forall v \in V \cap H$$

where $u_0 = \phi(0)$ and $\tilde{u}_{i-1} \in \text{Lip}(S_T \rightarrow H)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{u}_{i-1} \equiv \tilde{u}_{i-1,n} = \begin{cases} \phi & \text{on } S_0 \\ \phi(0) & \text{on } [0, h] \\ u_{j-1} + (t - t_{j-1})h^{-1}(u_j - u_{j-1}), & t_j \leq t \leq t_{j+1} \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{i-1} \\ \text{on } [t_i, T] \end{array} \right. \quad \text{for } j=1, \dots, i-1$$

The existence of $u_i \in V \cap H$ is assured by the following argument. The element $u_{i-1}h^{-1} + f(t_i, F(\tilde{u}_{i-1})(t_i))$ is in H and the operator $A_h : V \cap H \rightarrow V^* + H$ defined by $[A_h u, v] = \frac{1}{h}(u, v) + \langle Au, v \rangle$ is a coercive maximal monotone. Hence theory of monotone operators guarantee the existence of u_i . Uniqueness of u_i is a consequence of strict monotonicity of A_h . By means of $u_i = u_{i,n}$ we construct Rothe's function $u_n(t)$ and the corresponding step function $\bar{u}_n(t)$

$$(1.7) \quad u_n(t) = u_{i-1} + (t-t_{i-1})h^{-1}(u_i - u_{i-1}), \quad t_{i-1} \leq t \leq t_i, \quad i=1, \dots, n$$

$$(1.8) \quad \bar{u}_n(t) = u_i \quad \text{for } t_{i-1} < t \leq t_i, \quad i=1, \dots, n, \quad \bar{u}_n(0) = u_0.$$

Then (1.6) can be rewritten in the form

$$(1.9) \quad \left(\frac{du_n}{dt}, v \right) + \langle A\bar{u}_n(t), v \rangle = (f_n(t, F(\tilde{u}_{n-1})(t)), v) \quad \forall v \in V \cap H$$

where $f_n(t, v) = f(t_i, v)$ for $t_{i-1} < t \leq t_i$, $i=1, \dots, n$. First, we prove a priori estimates for $\{u_n\}$ (see Lemmas 1, 2, 3) and then we take the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.9). We obtain

Theorem 1. Let $A : V \rightarrow V^*$ be maximal monotone and let $A\phi(0) \in H$. If (1.2) - (1.5) are satisfied then there exists the unique solution u of (1.1) in the following sense: $u \in L_\infty(I, V)$, $u \in \text{Lip}(I \rightarrow H)$, $\frac{du}{dt} \in L_\infty(I, H)$ and $Au \in L_\infty(I, H)$. Moreover, the estimate

$$\|u_n - u\|_{C(I, H)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{n}$$

takes place where $\{u_n\}$ is from (1.7).

A priori estimates we obtain in the following way.

Lemma 1. The estimate $|u_i| \leq C$ takes place for all n , $i=1, \dots, n$.

Proof. We put $u = u_i$, $v = hu_i$ into (1.6). We sum it up for $i=1, \dots, j$. Using (1.2) - (1.4) we estimate

$$|u_j|^2 \leq C_1 + C_2 \sum_{i=1}^j \max_{1 \leq k \leq i} |u_k|^2 h$$

and hence

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq j} |u_k|^2 \leq C_1 + C_2 \sum_{i=1}^j \max_{1 \leq k \leq i} |u_k|^2 h .$$

Thus Gronwall's Lemma implies the required result.

Lemma 2. The estimates

$$(1.10) \quad \left| \frac{u_i - u_{i-1}}{h} \right| \leq C, \quad ||u_i|| \leq C$$

hold for all $n, i=1, \dots, n$.

Proof. We subtract (1.6) for $u=u_j, v=\delta_h u_j \equiv \frac{u_j - u_{j-1}}{h}$ from (1.6) for $u=u_{j-1}, v=\delta_h u_{j-1}$. Owing to the monotonicity of A and (1.3) - (1.5) we obtain

$$(1.11) \quad |\delta_h u_j| \leq |\delta_h u_{j-1}| + C(h + \max_{1 \leq k \leq j} |\delta_h u_k| h)$$

because of Lemma 1. From (1.6) for $i=1, u=u_1, v=\delta_h u_1$ we conclude

$$|\delta_h u_1| \leq C$$

since $u_0 = \phi(0)$ and $Au_0 \in H$. Thus successively from (1.11) we obtain

$$|\delta_h u_j| \leq C_1 + C_2 \sum_{i=1}^j \max_{1 \leq k \leq i} |\delta_h u_k| h$$

which similarly as above implies $|\delta_h u_i| \leq C$. Using this estimate and (1.10) in (1.6) for $u=u_i, v=u_i$ we obtain $||u_i|| \leq C$ because of (1.2).

As a consequence of (1.10) and (1.6) for $u=u_i$ we have

$$| \langle Au_i, v \rangle | \leq C|v| \quad \forall n, i=1, \dots, n .$$

The previous a priori estimates can be rewritten in the form

$$(1.12) \quad \left| \frac{du_n(t)}{dt} \right| \leq C, \quad ||u_n(t)||_{V \cap H} \leq C, \quad | \langle A\bar{u}_n(t), v \rangle | \leq C|v|$$

$$(1.13) \quad |u_n(t) - u_n(t')| \leq C|t - t'|, \quad |u_n(t) - \bar{u}_n(t)| \leq \frac{C}{n} .$$

Lemma 3. There exists an $u \in L_\infty(I, V), u \in \text{Lip}(I \rightarrow H)$ with $\frac{du}{dt} \in L_\infty(I, H)$ such that

$$||u_n - u||_{C(I, H)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{n}, \quad \frac{du_n}{dt} \rightarrow \frac{du}{dt} \quad \text{in } L_2(I, H) \quad \text{and} \quad A\bar{u}_n(t) \rightarrow Au(t) \quad \text{in } V^*$$

(also in H) $\forall t \in I$.

Proof. Subtract (1.9) for $n=r$ from (1.9) for $n=s$ where

$$v = \bar{u}_r(t) - \bar{u}_s(t) . \quad \text{Using (1.12) and (1.13) we estimate}$$

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} |u_r - u_s|^2 \leq C \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} + \|\tilde{u}_{r-1} - u_r\|_{C(S_t, H)}^2 + \|\tilde{u}_{s-1} - u_s\|_{C(S_t, H)}^2 + \|u_r - u_s\|_{C(S_t, H)}^2 \right).$$

Integrating (1.14) over $(0, t)$ and taking into account the estimate

$$\|\tilde{u}_{r-1} - u_r\|_{C(S_t, H)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{r^2} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \left| \frac{du_r(\tau)}{d\tau} \right|^2 \leq \frac{C}{r^2}$$

we conclude $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $C(I, H)$ and the estimate $\|u_n - u\|_{C(I, H)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{n}$.

Hence and from (1.12), (1.13) we obtain

$$\bar{u}_n(t) \rightarrow u(t), \quad \|\bar{A}\bar{u}_n(t)\|_* \leq C, \quad (\|\bar{A}\bar{u}_n(t)\| \leq C) \text{ and}$$

$$\langle \bar{A}\bar{u}_n(t), \bar{u}_n(t) - u(t) \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Hence maximal monotonicity of A implies $\bar{A}\bar{u}_n(t) \rightarrow Au(t)$ in V^* (moreover in H).

Proof of Theorem 1. We integrate (1.9) over (τ_1, τ_2) and take the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Owing to Lemma 3 we conclude that u is a solution of (1.1) since $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in I$ are arbitrary. Uniqueness follows from (1.1) by standard arguments.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 holds true also when $A : V \rightarrow V^*$ is nonstationary under the following assumptions :

$$A(t) : V \rightarrow V^* \text{ is maximal monotone } \forall t \in I;$$

$$A(t)u = \nabla \phi(t, u), \text{ i.e. } A(t) \text{ are potential } (\phi : I \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$$

$$\langle A(t)u, u \rangle \geq \|u\| p(\|u\|), \quad p(t) \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } t \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dt} A(t)u \right\|_* + \left\| \frac{d^2}{dt^2} A(t)u \right\|_* \leq C_1 + C_2 p(\|u\|).$$

For the proof it suffices to combine the techniques used in the proof of Theorem 1 with those used in [3].

Remark 2. A modification of Theorem 1 with m -accretive operators

$$A(t) : D \subset V \rightarrow V^* \quad (t \in I) \text{ satisfying}$$

$$\|A(t)v - A(t')v\| \leq |t - t'| L(\|u\|) (1 + \|A(t)v\|)$$

and with the right hand side $f = G(t, u_t)$ (at fixed t the operator G transforms the values of $u_t(s) = u(t + s)$, $s \in [-q, 0]$ into H) satisfying Lipschitz like condition has been obtained by A.G. Kartsatos and M.E. Parrott in [7].

II. Variational inequalities .

Let ϕ be a proper ($\phi: V \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$, $\phi \not\equiv \infty$), convex and lower semi-continuous (l.s.c.) function on V . We assume $A: V \rightarrow V^*$ to be a bounded maximal monotone operator. Consider the variational inequality

$$(2.1) \quad \left(\frac{du(t)}{dt}, v-u(t) \right) + \langle Au(t), v-u(t) \rangle + \phi(v) - \phi(u(t)) \geq \\ (f(t, F(u)(t)), v-u(t)) \quad \forall v \in V \cap H, \text{ a.e. } t \in I, u = \phi \text{ on } S_0$$

where ϕ and F are the same as in Section I. We use the approximation scheme

$$(2.2) \quad (\delta_h u_i, v-u_i) + \langle Au_i, v-u_i \rangle + \phi(v) - \phi(u_i) \geq (f(t_i, F(\tilde{u}_{i-1})(t_i)), v-u_i), \forall v \in V \cap H$$

where \tilde{u}_{i-1} is the same as in Section I. It is elliptic variational inequality with respect to u_i provided u_1, \dots, u_{i-1} are known. Coerciveness of A is assumed in the form: There exists $v_0 \in V$ with $\phi(v_0) < \infty$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad (\langle Au, u-v_0 \rangle + \phi(u)) \cdot \|u\|^{-1} \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } \|u\| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, existence of $u_i \in V \cap H$ satisfying (2.2) is guaranteed by the well-known results from elliptic variational inequalities. Here, instead of $Au_0 \in H$ we assume: There exists $z_0 \in H$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad (z_0, v-u_0) + \langle Au_0, v-u_0 \rangle + \phi(v) - \phi(u_0) \geq (f(0, F(\tilde{u}_0)(0)), v-u_0) \\ \forall v \in V \cap H \text{ where } u_0 = \phi(0)$$

Since we have less possibilities in the test function v than in Section I, we assume

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \text{either } \phi(0) < \infty, \text{ or} \\ |F(u)(t) - F(u)(t^*)| \leq C|t-t^*| \left\| \frac{du}{dt} \right\|_{L^\infty(S_t, H)} \end{cases}$$

for $u \in \text{Lip}(S_T \rightarrow H)$. Let us put $i=j$, $v=u_{j-1}$ into (2.2) and then $i=j-1$, $v=u_j$. Adding these inequalities the values with ϕ are eliminated and we are in the same situation as in the case of equations. Thus, we obtain the same a priori estimates (except of $|\langle Au_i, v \rangle| \leq C|v|$) as in Section I. Since $\bar{u}_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ we have $\phi(u(t)) \leq \liminf \phi(\bar{u}_n(t))$ (ϕ is also weakly l.s.c. on V). From this information and from

$$(2.6) \quad \langle A\bar{u}_n(t), \bar{u}_n(t) - v \rangle \leq \phi(v) - \phi(\bar{u}_n(t)) + \left(\frac{d\bar{u}_n(t)}{dt}, v - \bar{u}_n(t) \right) - \\ (f(t, F(\tilde{u}_{n-1})(t)), v - \bar{u}_n(t))$$

for $v=u(t)$ we conclude $\limsup \langle A\bar{u}_n(t), \bar{u}_n(t) - u(t) \rangle \leq 0$. Since A is a pseudomonotone operator we obtain

$$\langle Au(t), u(t) - v \rangle \leq \liminf \langle A\bar{u}_n(t), \bar{u}_n(t) - v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Then integrating (2.6) and taking \liminf in (2.6) we obtain the solution of (2.1) by the same arguments as in Section I.

Theorem 2. Let $A : V \rightarrow V^*$ be a bounded maximal monotone operator. If (1.3), (1.4), (2.3)-(2.5) are satisfied then there exists the unique solution of (2.1) with the same properties as in Theorem 1.

Remark 3. Theorem 2 holds true also in the case of the operator A being nonstationary under the assumptions of Remark 1.

Similarly, the following types of evolution variational inequalities can be solved

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a)} \quad & \left(\frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2}, v - \frac{du(t)}{dt} \right) + b(t; \frac{du(t)}{dt}, v - \frac{du(t)}{dt}) + a(t; u(t), v - \frac{du(t)}{dt}) + \\ & \phi(v) - \phi\left(\frac{du(t)}{dt}\right) \geq (f(t), v - \frac{du(t)}{dt}) \quad u(0) = U_0, \quad \frac{du(0)}{dt} = U_1; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b)} \quad & \left(\frac{du(t)}{dt}, v - \frac{du(t)}{dt} \right) + a(t; u(t), v - \frac{du(t)}{dt}) + \phi(v) - \phi\left(\frac{du(t)}{dt}\right) \geq \\ & (f(t), v - \frac{du(t)}{dt}) \quad u(0) = U_0; \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{c)} \quad a(t; u(t), v - \frac{du(t)}{dt}) + \phi(v) - \phi\left(\frac{du(t)}{dt}\right) \geq (f(t), v - \frac{du(t)}{dt}), \quad u(0) = U_0$$

$$\forall v \in V \cap H, \text{ a.e. } t \in I.$$

Here V, H are Hilbert spaces and $b(t; u, v), a(t; u, v)$ are continuous bilinear forms in $u, v \in V$ ($t \in I$). We use the approximation scheme

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a}_1) \quad & \frac{1}{h} (\delta_h u_i - \delta_h u_{i-1}, v - \delta_h u_i) + b(t_i; \delta_h u_i, v - \delta_h u_i) + a(t_i; u_i, v - \delta_h u_i) + \\ & \phi(v) - \phi(\delta_h u_i) \geq (f(t_i), v - \delta_h u_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{b}_1) \quad (\delta_h u_i, v - \delta_h u_i) + a(t_i; u_i, v - \delta_h u_i) + \phi(v) - \phi(\delta_h u_i) \geq (f(t_i), v - \delta_h u_i)$$

$$\text{c}_1) \quad a(t_i; u_i, v - \delta_h u_i) + \phi(v) - \phi(\delta_h u_i) \geq (f(t_i), v - \delta_h u_i)$$

$$\forall v \in V \cap H, \quad i=1, \dots, n. \text{ If we express } u_i = u_0 + \delta_h u_i h + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \delta_h u_j h$$

$$\text{and } a(t_i; u_i, v) = ha(t_i; \delta_h u_i, v) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} ha(t_i; \delta_h u_j, v) + a(t_i; u_0, v)$$

in $a_1), b_1), c_1)$ then we obtain the elliptic variational inequalities

with respect to $\delta_n u_i$. We shall assume

$$(2.7) \quad a(t; u, v) = a(t; v, u) ;$$

$$(2.8) \quad a(t; u, u) + \alpha |u|^2 \geq C \|u\|^2 \quad (\alpha \geq 0) ;$$

$$(2.9) \quad b(t; u, u) \geq -C |u|^2 ;$$

$$(2.10) \quad \left| \frac{d^p}{dt^p} a(t; u, v) \right| \leq C \|u\| \|v\| \quad (p=1,2 \text{ in the cases a), b) } \\ p=1 \text{ in the case c)) ;$$

$$(2.11) \quad \left| \frac{d}{dt} b(t; u, v) \right| \leq C \|u\| \|v\|$$

We assume that there exist $s_0 \in H$, $z_0 \in H$ such that

$$(2.12)_a \quad (s_0, v - U_1) + b(0; U_1, v - U_1) + a(0; U_0, v - U_1) + \phi(v) - \phi(U_1) \geq \\ (f(0), v - U_1) ;$$

$$(2.12)_b \quad (z_0, v - z_0) + a(0; v - z_0) + \phi(v) - \phi(z_0) \geq (f(0), v - z_0) ;$$

$$(2.12)_c \quad a(0; U_0, v - z_0) + \phi(v) - \phi(z_0) \geq (f(0), v - U_0)$$

$\forall v \in V \cap H$. By the same way as above (see also [5]) we obtain

Theorem 3. If (2.7) - (2.12) are satisfied and if $f, \frac{df}{dt}, \frac{d^2 f}{dt^2} \in L_2(I, V^*)$

(or $f, \frac{df}{dt} \in L_2(I, H)$ in a), b)) then there exists the unique solution of a), b), c), respectively, with the following properties:

$$u \in C(I, V), \quad \frac{du}{dt} \in L_\infty(I, V), \quad \|u_n - u\|_{C(I, V)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{n}$$

where $\{u_n\}$ is the corresponding sequence of Rothe's function. Moreover, in the cases a), b) we have

$$\frac{du}{dt} \in C(I, H), \quad \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} \in L_\infty(I, H), \quad \left| \frac{du_n(t)}{dt} - \frac{du(t)}{dt} \right|^2 \leq \frac{C}{n} \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Remark 4. In the fact in the cases a), b) a perturbed symmetry of $a(t; u, v)$ can be assumed. Let $a_0(t; u, v)$ be continuous bilinear form in $u, v \in V$ ($t \in I$) satisfying $|a_0(t; u, v)| \leq C \|u\| \|v\|$. It suffices to assume $a(t; u, v) + a_0(t; u, v)$ is symmetric.

Remark 5. In the cases of variational inequalities a), b) a more general problem (corresponding to problem (2.1)) with a right hand side $f(t, F(u)(t))$ can be considered. If (1.3), (1.4), (2.5) are satisfied then Theorem 3 holds true.

Remark 5. Using time and space discretization the variational inequalities a), b) have been solved in [1]. A special case of (2.1) (A is asymptotically linear, $\phi \equiv \phi_K$ - indicatrix of the closed convex set K in V) have been solved in [12].

III. Higher order evolution equations.

In this section we apply Rothe's method to the equations of the form

$$(3.1) \quad G(t) \frac{d^m w(t)}{dt^m} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} A_k(t) \frac{d^k w(t)}{dt^k} = g(t, w, \dots, \frac{d^{m-1} w}{dt^{m-1}})$$

$$\frac{d^k w(0)}{dt^k} = w_k, \quad k=0, \dots, m-1, \quad \text{where } A_k(t) \in \mathcal{L}(V, V^*), \quad G(t) \in \mathcal{L}(H, H)$$

($\in \mathcal{L}(V, V^*)$), $g \in \text{Lip}(I \times [V]^m \rightarrow H)$ and V, H being Hilbert spaces with $V \cap H$ dense in V and H . The equations of type (3.1) include the governing equations of quasistatic and dynamic problems of viscoelastic plates and shallow shells (see [13]). We assume that either i) $A_{m-1}(t)$ is V -elliptic, or ii) $A_{m-2}(t)$ is V -elliptic. Operator $G(t)$ is supposed to be symmetric and H -elliptic. Using transformation

$$u = \frac{d^{m-1} w}{dt^{m-1}} \quad \text{in the case i), or } u = \frac{d^{m-2} w}{dt^{m-2}} \quad (m \geq 2) \quad \text{in the case ii)}$$

the equation (3.1) can be reduced to the form

$$E)_i \quad G(t) \frac{du(t)}{dt} + A(t) u(t) = f(t, u, F(u)(t)) \quad \text{or}$$

$$E)_{ii} \quad G(t) \frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + B(t) \frac{du(t)}{dt} + A(t) u(t) = f(t, u, \frac{du}{dt}, F(u)(t))$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad F(u)(t) = \left(\int_0^t u \, ds, \dots, \int_0^t (t-s)^{p-1} u(s) \, ds \right) \quad \begin{matrix} (p=m-2 \text{ in } i), \\ (p=m-3 \text{ in } ii) \end{matrix}$$

The problem $E)_i$ has been considered in Section I. Now, we formulate Problem 3.1 which includes the problem $E)_{ii}$.

Let $V, V_1; H, H_1$ be Hilbert spaces and let $\langle u, v \rangle_V, \langle x, y \rangle_H$ be the continuous pairings between $u \in V_1, v \in V$ and $x \in H_1, y \in H$, respectively. Let $a(t; u, v), b(t; u, v)$ be the same as in Section I and let $G(t; u, v)$ be a continuous bilinear form for $u, v \in H$. Consider the operators $f_V \in \text{Lip}(I \times V \rightarrow V_1), f_H \in \text{Lip}(I \times V \times H \rightarrow H_1)$ and Volterra type operators $F_V: \text{Lip}(S_T \rightarrow V) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(S_T \rightarrow V), F_H: \text{Lip}(S_T \rightarrow H) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(S_T \rightarrow H)$.

Problem 3.1. To find $u \in C(I, V \cap H)$ with $\frac{du}{dt} \in L_\infty(I, V \cap H), \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} \in C(I, H)$

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} \in L_\infty(I, H) \text{ such that}$$

$$(3.3) \quad G(t; \frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2}, v) + b(t; \frac{du(t)}{dt}, v) + a(t; u(t), v) =$$

$$\langle f_V(t, F(u)(t)), v \rangle_V + \langle f_H(t, F_V(u)(t), F_H(\frac{du}{dt})(t)), v \rangle_H$$

holds for all $v \in V \cap H$ and $u = \phi, \frac{du}{dt} = \psi$ on S_0 where $\phi \in \text{Lip}(S_0 \rightarrow V), \psi \in \text{Lip}(S_0 \rightarrow H)$ are given functions.

To solve Problem 3.1 we use the approximation scheme

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{h} G(t_i; \delta_h u_i - \delta_h u_{i-1}, v) + b(t_i; \delta_h u_i, v) + a(t_i; u_i, v) = \\ \langle f_V(t_i, F(\tilde{u}_{i-1})(t_i)), v \rangle_V + \langle f_H(t_i, F_V(\tilde{u}_{i-1})(t_i), F_H(\delta_h \tilde{u}_{i-1})(t_i)), v \rangle_H$$

$\forall v \in V \cap H$ where \tilde{u}_{i-1} is the same as in Section I and $\delta_h \tilde{u}_{i-1}$ is constructed by means of $\psi, \delta_h u_1, \dots, \delta_h u_{i-1}$ by the same way as \tilde{u}_{i-1} . Similarly as above (3.4) can be transformed to the elliptic equation with respect to $\delta_h u_i$ provided $\delta_h u_1, \dots, \delta_h u_{i-1}$ are known.

The solution of Problem 3.1 and the convergence of our approximation scheme we obtain under the following assumptions

$$(3.5) \quad G(t; u, v) = G(t; v, u);$$

$$(3.6) \quad C_1 |u|^2 \leq G(t; u, u) \leq C_2 |u|^2;$$

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \frac{d}{dt} G(t; u, v) \right| \leq C |u| |v|;$$

$$(3.8) \quad \|F_R(u) - F_R(v)\|_{C(S_T, R)} \leq C \|u - v\|_{C(S_T, R)} \quad \text{for } R = V, H;$$

$$(3.9) \quad \|F_R(u)(t) - F_R(u)(t')\|_R \leq |t - t'| L(\|u\|)_{C(S_T, R)} (1 + \left\| \frac{du}{dt} \right\|_{L_\infty(S_T, R)})$$

where $L: R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ is continuous, $t, t' \in I$, $t' < t$ and $F(u)$ is from (3.2). Analogously to (2.12) we assume:

There exists $s_0 \in H$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad G(0; s_0, v) + b(0; \psi(0), v) + a(0; \phi(0), v) = \langle f_V(0, 0), v \rangle_V + \\ \langle f_H(0, F_V(\tilde{\psi})(0), F_H(\tilde{\psi})(0)), v \rangle_H \quad \forall v \in V \cap H.$$

Theorem 4. Suppose $f_V \in \text{Lip}(I \times V \rightarrow V_1)$, $f_H(I \times V \times H \rightarrow H_1)$ (see (1.3)) and $\psi(0) \in V$. If (3.5) - (3.10) are satisfied then there exists the unique solution of Problem 3.1. Moreover, the estimates

$$(3.11) \quad \|u_n - u\|_{C(I, V)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{n}, \quad \left\| \frac{du_n}{dt} - \frac{du}{dt} \right\|_{L_\infty(I, H)}^2 \leq \frac{C}{n}$$

hold where $\{u_n\}$ is the corresponding sequence of Rothe's functions.

By a similar technique used in Sections I and II, successively we obtain a priori estimates

$$|u_i| \leq C, \quad |\delta_h u_i| \leq C$$

and then

$$|\delta_h^2 u_i| \leq C, \quad \|\delta_h u_i\| \leq C, \quad \|u_i\| \leq C.$$

Similarly as in Lemma 3 a priori estimates (3.11) can be proved. Then taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in approximation scheme (3.4) we conclude Theorem 4.

Example . Problem 3.1 can be interpreted in the following way .

We put $V = \dot{W}_2^2(\Omega)$, $V_1 = W_2^{-2}$, $H = L_2(\Omega) = H_1$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Consider

$$a(t;u,v) = \sum_{|i|,|j| \leq 2} \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x,t) D^i u D^j v \, dx \quad \text{for } u,v \in \dot{W}_2^2(\Omega) \quad ;$$

$$b(t;u,v) = \sum_{|i|,|j| \leq 2} \int_{\Omega} b_{ij}(x,t) D^i u D^j v \, dx \quad (\text{or } b(t;u,v) = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx);$$

$$\langle f_V(t, F(u)(t)), v \rangle_V = \int_{\Omega} \Delta v \int_0^t (t-s)^p \Delta u(s) \, ds \, dx \quad (p \geq 1);$$

$$\langle f_H(t, F_V(u)(t), F_H\left(\frac{du}{dt}\right)(t)), v \rangle_H = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} v \Delta u(\omega(t)) \, dx & \in \text{Lip}(S_T \rightarrow S_T) \\ & \omega(t) \leq t \quad ; \\ \int_{\Omega} v \int_{-\eta}^{\omega(t)} K(s,t) \Delta u(s) \, ds \, dx \quad ; \\ \int_{\Omega} v \int_{-\eta}^{\omega(t)} K(s,t) \frac{du(s)}{ds} \, ds \, dx \quad . \end{cases}$$

Bilinear form $G(t;u,v)$ can be interpreted in the following way .

$$1) \quad H = L_2(\Omega) = H_1 \quad , \quad G(t;u,v) = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx \quad .$$

Then the first term in (3.1) is of the form $\frac{d^m w}{dt^m}$;

$$2) \quad H = L_{2,\alpha}(\Omega) = \{u; \int_{\Omega} \alpha u^2 \, dx < \infty\} \quad , \quad H_1 = L_{2, \frac{1}{\alpha}}(\Omega) \quad , \quad \langle u,v \rangle_H = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx$$

where $\alpha(x) > 0$, $\alpha \in L_1(\Omega)$. We consider $C_{1\alpha}(x) \leq g(x,t) \leq C_{2\alpha}(x)$

Then $G(t;u,v) = \int_{\Omega} g(x,t) uv \, dx$ ($u,v \in L_{2,\alpha}(\Omega)$) generates a degenerate first term in (3.1) in the form $g(x,t) \cdot \frac{d^m w}{dt^m}$;

3) $H = V = \dot{W}_2^2$, $H_1 = V_1 = W_2^{-2}$. Then $G(t;u,v)$ generates the first term in (3.1) in the form $G(t) \frac{d^m w}{dt^m}$ where $G(t) \in \mathcal{L}(V, V^*)$ is a symmetric, V -elliptic operator .

References

- [1] R. Glowinski, J.L. Lions, R. Tremolières : Analyse numérique des des inéquations variationnelles . Dunod, Paris 1976 .
- [2] J. Kačur : The Rothe method and nonlinear parabolic equations of arbitrary order . Theory of nonlinear operators - Summer school - Neuendorf 1972 . Akademie-Verlag . Berlin 1974, 125 - 131 .
- [3] — : Application of Rothe's method to nonlinear evolution equations . Mat. Časopis Sloven. Akad. Vied 25, 1975 , 63 - 81 .
- [4] — : Method of Rothe and nonlinear parabolic boundary value problems of arbitrary order . Czech. Math. J., 28 103 , 1978

- [5] — : On an approximate solution of variational inequalities .
Math. Nachr. , 123 , 1985 , 63 - 82 .
- [6] — : Method of Rothe in Evolution Equations . TEUBNER-TEXTE zur
Mathematik , Leipzig , to appear .
- [7] A.G. Kartsatos , M.E. Parrott : A method of lines for a nonlinear
abstract functional differential equations . Trans . Am. Mth. Soc.
V-286 , N-1 , 1984 , 73 - 91 .
- [8] — : Functional evolution equations involving time dependent
maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces . Nonlinear analysis
Theory , Methods and Applications . Vol.8 , 1984 , 817-833 .
- [9] J. Nečas : Applications of Rothe's method to abstract parabolic
equations . Czech. Math. J. 24 , 1974 , 496-500 .
- [10] K. Rektorys : On application of direct variational methods to the
solution of parabolic boundary value problems of arbitrary order
in the space variables . Czech. Math. J. ,21, 1971 , 318-339 .
- [11] M. Zlámal : Finite element solution of quasistationary nonlinear
magnetic fields . RAIRO , Anal. Num., V-16, 1982, 161-191 .
- [12] A. Ženíšek : Approximation of parabolic variational inequalities .
Aplikace matematiky, to appear .
- [13] J. Brilla : New functional spaces and linear nonstationary prob-
lems of mathematical physics . EQUADIFF 5 - Proceedings of the con-
ference held in Bratislava, 1981 . TEUBNER-TEXTE zur Mathematik ,
Band 47 , Leipzig , 1982 .