

# EQUADIFF 6

---

Miroslav Bartušek

On properties of oscillatory solutions of non-linear differential equations of the  $n$ -th order

In: Jaromír Vosmanský and Miloš Zlámal (eds.): Equadiff 6, Proceedings of the International Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications held in Brno, Czechoslovakia, Aug. 26 - 30, 1985. J. E. Purkyně University, Department of Mathematics, Brno, 1986. pp. [109]--113.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700177>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

# ON PROPERTIES OF OSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS OF NON-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF THE $n$ -TH ORDER

M. BARTUŠEK

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Fac. of Science, University of J. E. Purkyně  
Janáčkovo nám. 2a, 662 95 Brno, Czechoslovakia*

Consider the differential equation

$$(1) \quad y^{(n)} = f(t, y, \dots, y^{(n-1)}), \quad n \geq 2$$

where  $f : D \rightarrow R$  is continuous,  $D = R_+ \times R^n$ ,  $R_+ = [0, \infty)$ ,  $R = (-\infty, \infty)$ , there exists a number  $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$  such that

$$(2) \quad (-1)^\alpha f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) x_1 \geq 0 \quad \text{in } D.$$

**Definition.** The solution of (1) defined on  $R_+$  is called proper if  $y$  is not trivial in any neighbourhood of  $\infty$ . The solution of (1) defined on  $[0, b)$  is called non-continuable if either  $b = \infty$  or  $b < \infty$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |y^{(i)}(t)| = \infty$ .

The solution  $y$  of (1) defined on  $[0, b)$ ,  $b \leq \infty$  is called oscillatory if there exists a sequence of its zeros tending to  $b$  and  $y$  is not trivial in any left neighbourhood of  $b$ .

Denote the set of all oscillatory solutions of (1), defined on  $[0, b)$  by  $0_{[0, b)}$ . Let  $0_{[0, \infty)} = 0$  and  $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ .

Many papers (see e.g. [6]) are devoted to the study of conditions under which oscillatory solutions exist. But the problem of behaviour of such solutions for  $n > 2$  is not solved in a suitable way. We touch some problems concerning the behaviour of oscillatory solutions.

**I. Definition.** The point  $c \in [0, b)$  is called H-point of  $y$  if there exist sequences  $\{t_k\}_1^\infty$ ,  $\{\bar{t}_k\}_1^\infty$  of numbers of  $[0, b)$  such that  $(t_k - c)(\bar{t}_k - c) > 0$ ,  $y(t_k) = 0$ ,  $y(\bar{t}_k) \neq 0$ ,  $k \in N$ .

In [4] some properties of zeros of  $y \in 0_{[0, \infty)}$  were studied for the linear case of (1). Especially, it was shown, that every zero of  $y^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  is simple in some neighbourhood of  $+\infty$ . This result is generalized in [1] for the equation (1) if the interval  $(0, b)$  does not contain H-points. Moreover, the following statement was proved:

**Theorem 1.** *Let either  $n = 2n_0$ ,  $n_0 + \alpha$  be odd, or  $n$  be odd and let  $y \in 0_{[0, b)}$ . Then there exist at most two H-points in the interval  $[0, b)$ .*

If there exist two ones  $c_1 < c_2$ , then  $y(t) \equiv 0$  on  $[c_1, c_2]$ .

If  $n = 2n_0$ ,  $n_0 + \alpha$  is even the statement of the theorem 1 is not valid as it is shown by the following

**Theorem 2.** Let  $n = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ . There exist continuous functions  $f : D \rightarrow R$  with the property (2),  $y \in C^1_{[0, \infty)}$  and a sequence  $\{\tau_k\}_1^\infty$  of numbers such that  $\tau_k \in R_+$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_k = \infty$  and  $\tau_k$  is the H-point of  $y$ .

*Proof.* In [5] it is shown that there exist continuous function  $a : R_+ \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$  and numbers  $b \in R_+$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that the differential equation  $y'' = a(t)|y(t)|^\lambda \text{sgn } y(t)$  has an oscillatory solution on  $[0, b)$  and  $y(t) \equiv 0$  on  $[b, \infty)$ .

Let  $\tau \in [0, b)$  be an arbitrary zero of  $y'$  and denote  $h = b - \tau$ . Define  $\bar{a} : R_+ \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$  and  $\bar{y} : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  in the following way:  $\bar{a}, \bar{y}$  are periodic on  $[\tau, \infty)$  with the period  $2h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}(t) &= a(t), \bar{y}(t) = y(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, b) \\ \bar{a}(t) &= a(2b - t), \bar{y}(t) = y(2b - t) \quad \text{for } t \in [b, b + h]. \end{aligned}$$

From this, according to  $y'(\tau) = 0$  we get that  $\bar{a} \in C^0(R_+)$ ,  $\bar{y} \in C^1(R_+)$ . By use of substitutions  $t \rightarrow x$ ,  $x = 2(b + ih) - t$ ,  $t \in [b + (i - 1)h, b + ih]$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  can be proved that  $\bar{y}$  is a solution of  $y'' = \bar{a}(t)|y(t)|^\lambda \text{sgn } y(t)$ . As  $b$  is H-point of  $y$  and  $\bar{y}$ , too, we can put  $\tau_k = b + 2kh$ ,  $k \in N$ . The theorem is proved.

II. Let  $n_0$  be the entire part of  $\frac{n}{2}$ . Put for  $y \in C^{n_0}(R_+)$ ,  $m \in N$

$$J_m(t; y) = \int_0^t \int_0^t \dots \int_0^{\tau_2} y(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_m, \quad J_0(t; y) = y(t), \quad t \in R_+$$

$$(3) \quad Z(t; y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-n_0-1} (-1)^{\alpha+i} \binom{n-i}{n} \frac{n}{2(n-i)} J_{2i}(t; [y^{(i)}]^2).$$

The following Lemma was proved in [1]:

**Lemma.** Let  $y$  be a solution of (1) defined on  $R_+$  and let either  $n = 2n_0$ ,  $n_0 + \alpha$  be odd or  $n$  be odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(n-1)}(t; y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} (-1)^{\alpha+i} y^{(n-i-1)}(t) y^{(i)}(t) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{n_0+\alpha} \binom{n_0}{n-2n_0} [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2, \\ Z^{(n)}(t; y) &= (-1)^\alpha y^{(n)}(t) y(t) + \\ &+ (-1)^{n_0+\alpha} [y^{(n_0)}(t)]^2 (n - 2n_0 - 1) \geq 0, \quad t \in R_+. \end{aligned}$$

In the present part we shall study the asymptotic behaviour of proper oscillatory solutions of (1) under the assumptions

$$(4) \quad n = 2n_0 + 1, \quad n_0 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition. Let  $y \in 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^{(n-1)}(t; y) = c$ . Then  $y \in 0^1$  ( $y \in 0^2$ ) if  $c = \infty$  ( $c < \infty$ ).

It is shown in [1] that for  $y \in 0^1$   $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y(t)| = \infty$  holds. The behaviour of  $y \in 0^2$  is different.

Theorem 3. Let (4) be valid and let continuous functions  $g, g_1: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  exist such that  $g(x) > 0$  in some neighbourhood of  $x = 0$ ,  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) > 0$  and

$$(5) \quad g(|x_1|) \leq |f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq g_1(|x_1|) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}$$

holds. Let  $y \in 0^2$ . Then  $c = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(i)}(t) = 0$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$  and  $y^{(n-1)}$  is bounded.

Proof. Let  $M \in (0, \infty)$  be a number such that  $M_1 = \min_{M \leq x < \infty} g(x) > 0$ .

Let  $D_1 = \{t : t \in \mathbb{R}_+, |y(t)| \leq M\}$ ,  $D_2 = \mathbb{R}_+ - D_1$ ,  $y_1(t) = y(t)$  for  $t \in D_1$ ,  $y_1(t) = 0$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ - D_1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . It is clear (by use of (5)) that  $y_1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $y_1^{(n)} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . According to Lemma and (5)

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \infty > z^{(n-1)}(\infty; y) - z^{(n-1)}(0; y) &= \int_0^\infty (-1)^\alpha y^{(n)}(t) y(t) dt \geq \\ &\geq \int_0^\infty g(|y(t)|) |y(t)| dt \geq \int_0^\infty g(|y_2(t)|) |y_2(t)| dt \geq \\ &\geq M_1 \int_0^\infty |y_2(t)| dt; \\ \int_0^\infty |y_2^{(n)}(t)| dt &\leq \frac{1}{M} \int_0^\infty |y_2^{(n)}(t)| y_2(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{M} \int_0^\infty |y^{(n)}(t)| y(t) dt < \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $y_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $y_2^{(n)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and according to [3, v, §4] and (5)

$$(7) \quad |y^{(i)}(t)| \leq K < \infty, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Let  $\{t_k\}_1^\infty, \{\tau_k\}_1^\infty$  be sequences, such that  $0 \leq t_k < \tau_k < t_{k+1}$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$ ,  $y(t_k) = 0$ ,  $y'(\tau_k) = 0$ ,  $y(t) \neq 0$  on  $(t_k, \tau_k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by use of (6) and (7)

$$\infty > \int_0^\infty g(|y(t)|) |y(t)| dt \geq \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{t_k}^{\tau_k} g(|y(t)|) |y(t)| |y'(t)| dt \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\tau_k} |y(\tau_k)| g(s) ds.$$

Thus  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$  and according to Kolmogorov-Horny Theorem ([4], p. 167) and (7) we can conclude that

$$(8) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(i)}(t) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2.$$

Let  $c \neq 0$ . By integration and by use of Lemma we get the existence of  $\bar{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$(9) \quad |Z(t; y)| \geq \frac{|c|}{4(n-1)!} t^{n-1}, \quad t \in [\bar{t}, \infty).$$

As according to (8)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(n_0)}(t) = 0$ , it follows from (3) that

$$|Z(t; y)| \leq A(t)t^{n-1}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t) = 0$$

which contradicts to (9). The theorem is proved.

III. This paragraph contains some remark concerning the existence of proper oscillatory solutions of (1). The case  $\alpha = 0$  was investigated in [7].

Definition. The equation (1) has Property  $A_0$  if every proper solution of (1) is oscillatory for  $n$  even and is either oscillatory or

$$(10) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(i)}(t) = 0,$$

$i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  for  $n$  odd. The equation (1) has Property  $A_1$  if every proper solution is either oscillatory or (10) holds for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

The following theorem gives us sufficient conditions for the existence of proper oscillatory solutions if  $\alpha = 1$ .

Theorem 4. Let  $\alpha = 1$  and both  $n, n_0$  be even ( $n$  be odd). Let (1) have Property  $A_0$  (Property  $A_1$ ). Let continuous functions  $h: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\omega: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  exist such that  $\omega$  is non-decreasing,  $\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\omega(t)} = \infty$  and

$$(11) \quad |f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq h(t)\omega\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|\right) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}$$

hold. Then every non-continuable solution  $y$  of (1), satisfying  $Z^{(n-1)}(0; y) > 0$  is oscillatory and proper.

Proof. Let  $y$  be a non-continuable solution of (1) for which

$$(12) \quad Z^{(n-1)}(0; y) > 0.$$

According to the assumptions of Theorem and [6, Th. 12.1]  $y$  is either proper or  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(i)}(t) = 0$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . As by virtue of Lemma the function  $Z^{(n-1)}(0; y)$  is non-decreasing, we can conclude that  $y$  is proper.

Further, in both cases, it follows from Lemma of Kiguradze ([5], Lemma 14.1) that in case of  $y$  be non-oscillatory  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z^{(n-1)}(t; y) = 0$  holds. Thus we get the contradiction to (12) and Lemma. The theorem is proved.

Remark 1. The conditions, under which (1) has Property  $A_0$  or  $A_1$  were studied by many authors, see e.g. [6].

2. For the linear case of (1) the existence of oscillatory solutions from the set  $0^2$  was proved in [5].

#### References

- [1] BARTUŠEK, M., *On properties of oscillatory solutions of ordinary differential inequalities and equations*, Dif. Urav. (to appear, in Russian).
- [2] BARTUŠEK, M., *On oscillatory solution of the differential equation of the  $n$ -th order*, Arch. Math. (to appear).
- [3] BECKENBACH E.F., BELLMAN, R., *Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1961.
- [4] ELIAS, U., *Oscillatory solutions an Extremal points for a linear differential equation*, Arch. Ration Mech. and Anal., 71, No 2, 177-198, 1979.
- [5] HEIDEL, J.W., *Uniqueness, continuation, and nonoscillation for a second order nonlinear differential equation*, Pacif. J. Math., 1970, 32, No 3, 715-721.
- [6] KIGURADZE, I.T., *Some singular boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations (in Russian)*, Tbilisi Univ. Pres, Tbilisi 1975.
- [7] KIGURADZE, I.T., *On asymptotic behaviour of solutions of nonlinear non-autonomous ordinary differential equations*, Colloq. math. soc. I. Bolgai, 30. Qualitative theory of diff. eq., Szeged, 1979, pp. 507-554.
- [8] KIGURADZE I.T., *On vanishing at infinity of solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Czech. Math. J. 33 (108), 1983, 613-646.