

EQUADIFF 6

Teimuraz A. Chanturia

On oscillation of solutions of linear ordinary differential equations

In: Jaromír Vosmanský and Miloš Zlámal (eds.): Equadiff 6, Proceedings of the International Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications held in Brno, Czechoslovakia, Aug. 26 - 30, 1985. J. E. Purkyně University, Department of Mathematics, Brno, 1986. pp. [431]--434.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700188>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON OSCILLATION OF SOLUTIONS OF LINEAR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

T. A. CHANTURIA
I. N. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics
University str. 2, 380043 Tbilisi, USSR

Section A

Consider the linear differential equation

$$(1) \quad u^{(n)} + p(t)u = 0$$

where $n \geq 3$ and the function $p : R_+ \rightarrow R$ is locally integrable. In addition, we assume that p satisfies one of the following inequalities

$$(2) \quad p(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in R_+$$

or

$$(3) \quad p(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in R_+.$$

A nontrivial solution of the equation (1) is said to be *oscillatory* if it has infinitely many zeros and *nonoscillatory* - otherwise.

The equation (1) is said to be *oscillatory* if it has an oscillatory solution and *nonoscillatory* if all its nontrivial solutions are nonoscillatory.

The equation (1) has *property A* if for even n each nontrivial solution of this equation is oscillatory and for odd n either oscillatory or satisfying the condition

$$(4) \quad |u^{(i)}(t)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow +\infty \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1).$$

The equation (1) has *property B* if for even n each nontrivial solution of this equation is either oscillatory, or satisfying condition (4) or the condition

$$(5) \quad |u^{(i)}(t)| \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow +\infty \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1)$$

and for odd n either oscillatory, or satisfying the condition (5).

Let $l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. The equation (1) is said to be $(l, n-1)$ *conjugate* (at a neighborhood of $+\infty$) if for any $t_0 \geq 0$ there exist $t_2 > t_1 \geq t_0$ and a nontrivial solution of this equation such that

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(i)}(t_1) &= 0 \quad (i = 0, \dots, l-1), \\ u^{(i)}(t_2) &= 0 \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-l-1). \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise the equation (1) is said to be $(l, n-1)$ *disconjugate* (at a neighborhood of $+\infty$).

With regard to the presence of properties A and B, oscillation of equations and $(n/2, n/2)$ conjugacy of equations of even order, see [1 - 5].

In what follows we study the connection of $(l, n - l)$ conjugacy with oscillation as well as with the presence of property A or B.

THEOREM 1. Let the inequality (2) (the inequality (3)) hold. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- the equation (1) has property A (property B);
- for any $l \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ such that $l + n$ is odd (even), the equation (1) is $(l, n - l)$ conjugate;
- the equation (1) is $(n - 1, 1)$ conjugate ($((1/2)(3 + (-1)^n), n - 1/2(3 + (-1)^n))$ conjugate).

Define the numbers l_n^* and l_{*n} by the following equalities.

$$(6) \quad l_n^* = \begin{cases} n/2 - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ n/2 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ n - 1/2 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ n + 1/2 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

$$(7) \quad l_{*n} = \begin{cases} n - 1 - l_n^* & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ n - l_n^* & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 2. Let the inequality (2) (the inequality (3)) hold. Then the equation (1) is oscillatory if and only if it is $(l_n^*, n - l_n^*)$ conjugate ($(l_{*n}, n - l_{*n})$ conjugate).

To prove this assertion the following statements are used:

LEMMA 1. Let the inequality (2) (the inequality (3)) hold, p be not trivial in any neighborhood of $+\infty$, $l \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and $l + n$ is odd (even). Then the equation (1) is $(l, n - l)$ disconjugate if and only if there exists a solution of this equation such that

$$(8_\ell) \quad \begin{aligned} u^{(i)}(t)u(t) &> 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0 \quad (i = 0, \dots, l - 1), \\ (-1)^{i+l} u^{(i)}(t)u(t) &> 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0 \quad (i = l, \dots, n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY. Let the inequality (2) (the inequality (3)) hold, $l \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and $l + n$ is odd (even). Then the equation (1) has the solution satisfying the condition (8_ℓ) if and only if the equation $u^{(n)} + (-1)^n \cdot p(t)u = 0$ has the solution satisfying $(8_{n - \ell})$.

LEMMA 2. Let the inequality (2) (the inequality (3)) hold, $l \in \{2, \dots, [n/2]\}$, $l + n$ is odd (even) and there exists a solution of the equation (1) satisfying the condition (8_ℓ) . Then the equation $u^{(n)} - p(t)u = 0$ has a solution satisfying the condition $(8_{\ell - 1})$.

By means of theorems 1 and 2 and by the results of [4,5] we can derive the sufficient conditions under which the equation (1) is $(l, n - 1)$ conjugate.

THEOREM 3. Let $l \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and either the inequality (2) hold and $n + l$ is odd, or the inequality (3) hold and $n + l$ is even. Then the equation (1) is $(l, n - l)$ conjugate if one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

- 1) $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t \int_t^{+\infty} \delta^{n-2} |p(s)| ds > (n - 1)!$;
- 2) $n + l$ is odd and $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t \int_t^{+\infty} \delta^{n-2} p(s) ds > M_n^*$;
- 3) n and l are even and $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^2 \int_t^{+\infty} \delta^{n-3} |p(s)| ds > 1/2M_n^*$;
- 4) n and l are odd and $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t \int_t^{+\infty} \delta^{n-2} |p(s)| ds > M_{*n}$,

where M_n^* and M_{*n} are the largest local maximum of the polynomials

$$(9) \quad P_n^*(x) = -x(x - 1) \dots (x - n + 1)$$

and

$$(10) \quad P_{*n}(x) = x(x - 1) \dots (x - n + 1),$$

respectively.

THEOREM 4. Let the inequality (2) hold. The equation (1) is $(l_n^*, n - l_n^*)$ conjugate if one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

- 1) $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t \int_t^{+\infty} \delta^{n-2} p(s) ds > l_n^*!(n - l_n^*)!$;
- 2) $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \ln t \int_t^{+\infty} \delta^{n-1} [p(s) - m_n^* \delta^{-n}]_+ ds = +\infty$,
 $\int_1^{+\infty} t^{n-1} [p(t) - m_n^* t^{-n}]_- \ln^2 t dt < +\infty$;
- 3) $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{l_n^*} \int_t^{+\infty} \delta^{n-l_n^*-1} p(s) ds > m_n^*/l_n^*$;
- 4) $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{l_n^*-1} \int_t^{+\infty} \delta^{n-l_n^*} p(s) ds > m_n^*/l_n^* - 1$

where l_n^* is defined by the equality (6) and m_n^* is the least local maximum of the polynomial (9).

THEOREM 5. Let the inequality (3) hold. The equation (1) is $(l_{*n}, n - l_{*n})$ conjugate if one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

$$1) \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t \int_t^{+\infty} s^{n-2} |p(s)| ds > l_{*n}!(n - l_{*n})! ;$$

$$2) \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \ln t \int_t^{+\infty} s^{n-1} [p(s) + m_{*n} s^{-n}]_- ds = +\infty ;$$

$$\int_1^{+\infty} t^{n-1} [p(t) + m_{*n} t^{-n}]_+ \ln^2 t dt < +\infty ;$$

3) $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{l_{*n}} \int_t^{+\infty} s^{n-l_{*n}-1} |p(s)| ds > m_{*n}/l_{*n} ;$$

4) $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{l_{*n}-1} \int_t^{+\infty} s^{n-l_{*n}} |p(s)| ds > m_{*n}/l_{*n} - 1$$

where l_{*n} is defined by the equality (7) and m_{*n} is the least local maximum of the polynomial (10).

In the case when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and p is nonnegative or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and p is nonpositive Theorems 3 - 5 precise certain results of I. Glazman ([1], Theorems 9, 11 and 12). In order to verify this fact it suffice to take into consideration that for any positive integer m

$$\frac{[(m-1)!]^2}{2m-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2m-k} C_{m-1}^{k-1} \right)^{-2} = \frac{[(2m-1)!]^2}{(2m-1)[(m-1)!]^2} \geq (2m-1)! \geq (m!)^2$$

and, in addition, if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $l_n^* = n/2$, $m_n^* = [(n-1)!!]^2 2^{-n}$ and if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $l_{*n} = n/2$, $m_{*n} = [(n-1)!!]^2 2^{-n}$.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] Glazman I.M. *Direct methods of qualitative spectral analysis of singular differential operators*. Moscow, Fizmatgiz, 1963 (Russian).
- [2] Kiguradze I.T. *Some singular boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations*. Tbilisi, Univ. Press, 1975 (Russian).
- [3] Reid W.T. *Sturmian theory for ordinary differential equations*. New York etc., Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [4] Chanturia T.A. *On oscillation of solutions of high order linear differential equations*. Reports of sem. of I.N.Vekua Inst. of Appl. Math., 1982, v. 16, 3 - 72 (Russian).
- [5] Chanturia T.A. *On the integral comparison theorems of Hille type for high order differential equations*. Bull Acad. Sci. Georgian SSR, 1985, v. 117, N2, 241 - 244 (Russian).