

Mihály Pituk

Convergence to equilibria in a differential equation with small delay

In: Miroslav Krbeč and Jaromír Kuben (eds.): Proceedings of Equadiff 10, Czechoslovak International Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications, Prague, August 27-31, 2001, [Part 1] Invited Lectures. Masaryk University, Brno, 2002. CD-ROM issued as a complement to the journal edition *Mathematica Bohemica* 2002/2. pp. 153--158.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700318>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Convergence to Equilibria in a Differential Equation with Small Delay

Mihály Pituk

Department of Mathematics and Computing
University of Veszprém
P. O. Box 158, 8201 Veszprém
Hungary
Email: pitukm@almos.vein.hu

Abstract. Consider the delay differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = g(x(t), x(t-r)), \quad (*)$$

where $r > 0$ is a constant and $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitzian. It is shown that if r is small, then the solutions of (*) have the same convergence properties as the solutions of the ordinary differential equation obtained from (*) by ignoring the delay.

MSC 2000. 34K25, 34K12

Keywords. Delay differential equation, equilibrium, convergence

Consider the delay differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = g(x(t), x(t-r)), \quad (1)$$

where $r > 0$ is a constant and $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Lipschitz type condition

$$|g(x_1, y_1) - g(x_2, y_2)| \leq K|x_1 - x_2| + L|y_1 - y_2| \quad \text{for } (x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2, i = 1, 2, \quad (2)$$

where $K, L > 0$ are constants.

Supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research Grant No. T 031935.

We may view K as the supremum of $|\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y)|$ and L as the supremum of $|\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, y)|$, where the supremum is taken over all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Under the above hypothesis, for every continuous initial function $\phi[-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, Eq. (1) has a unique solution x on $[-r, \infty)$ with initial values

$$x(t) = \phi(t) \quad \text{for } -r \leq t \leq 0. \quad (3)$$

It is of interest to compare the behavior of the solutions of (1) with the ordinary differential equation obtained from (1) by ignoring the delay. This equation, given by

$$\dot{x} = g(x, x), \quad (4)$$

has the same equilibria as (1). The set of equilibria E for (4) is given by

$$E = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid g(x, x) = 0\}.$$

Suppose that $E \neq \emptyset$ and let

$$S = \sup E \quad \text{and} \quad I = \inf E.$$

Using the fact that the solutions of the ordinary differential equation (4) are monotone, it is easy to show that every solution of (4) converges, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, to some equilibrium if and only if both conditions (B^+) and (B^-) below hold.

(B^+) Either $S = \infty$ or $S < \infty$ and $g(x, x) < 0$ for $x > S$.

(B^-) Either $I = -\infty$ or $I > -\infty$ and $g(x, x) > 0$ for $x < I$.

In this paper, we shall show that under the smallness condition

$$Lre^{Kr} < \frac{1}{e}, \quad (5)$$

the same conclusion is true for the solutions of the delay differential equation (1).

Theorem 1. *Suppose conditions (2) and (5) hold. Then every solution of (1) converges to some equilibrium if and only if conditions (B^+) and (B^-) hold.*

Theorem 1 is a consequence of a more general result concerning differential equations with distributed delays [9]. We shall describe the main ideas of the proof.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on some previous results [5,9], formulated in Theorems 2-4 below, showing that under the smallness condition (5) the delay differential equation (1) is asymptotically equivalent to some scalar ordinary differential equation. (For further related results, see [1,2,4,7,10] and the references therein.)

Theorem 2. *Suppose conditions (2) and (5) hold. Then, for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, Eq. (1) has a unique solution \bar{x} on $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that*

$$\bar{x}(0) = x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \leq 0} |\bar{x}(t)|e^{\mu t} < \infty,$$

where $\mu = L + 1/r$.

The solution \bar{x} of (1) from Theorem 2 will be called the *special solution* (passing through $(0, x_0)$) and will be denoted by $\bar{x} = \bar{x}(0, x_0)$. The following theorem states that every solution of (1) is asymptotically equivalent to some special solution as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, for every solution x of (1) on $[-r, \infty)$, there exists a unique special solution \bar{x} of (1) such that*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} |x(t) - \bar{x}(t)|e^{\mu t} < \infty,$$

where μ has the meaning from Theorem 2. Specially,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t) - \bar{x}(t)| = 0.$$

The next result states that the special solutions of (1) satisfy an ordinary differential equation.

Theorem 4. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, the special solutions of (1) satisfy the ordinary differential equation*

$$\dot{x} = h(x), \tag{6}$$

where $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$h(x) = g(x, \bar{x}(0, x)(-r)) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, h is Lipschitzian and Eqs. (1) and (6) have the same equilibria.

We are in a position to give an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.

Sufficiency. Theorem 3 implies that in order to prove the convergence of all solutions of (1), it suffices to restrict ourselves to the special solutions. By Theorem 4, the special solutions of (1) satisfy the ordinary differential equation (6). Since the solutions of (6) are monotone, the boundedness of the solutions of (6) (which coincide with the special solutions of (1)) implies their convergence to equilibria. Consequently, it suffices to show that if conditions (B^+) and (B^-) are satisfied, then all (special) solutions of (1) are bounded. This will be shown in Lemmas 1 and 2 below.

Lemma 1. *Suppose conditions (2), (5) and (B^+) hold. Then every solution of (1) is bounded from above.*

Before we present the proof of Lemma 1, we summarize some facts from the oscillation theory of linear delay differential equations (see [3] and/or [9]).

Consider the linear delay differential equation

$$\dot{y}(t) = -Ky(t) - Ly(t-r). \tag{7}$$

The *fundamental solution* v of (7) is the unique solution of (7) with initial values

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } -r \leq t < 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Together with v , consider the solution u of (7) with initial values $u(t) = 1$ for $-r \leq t \leq 0$. If (5) holds, then both solutions u and v are positive for $t \geq 0$. Moreover, u is a *dominant solution* of (7) in the sense that for every solution y of (7) on $[-r, \infty)$ there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$|y(t)| < Mu(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq -r. \quad (8)$$

Furthermore, u and v satisfy the identity

$$u(t) + (K + L) \int_0^t v(t - s) ds = 1 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Using these facts, we can easily prove the lemma.

Let x be a solution of (1) and (2). Rewrite Eq. (1) in the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = -Kx(t) - Lx(t - r) + f(x(t), x(t - r)),$$

where f is defined by

$$f(x, y) = g(x, y) + Kx + Ly \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

and satisfies the monotonicity condition

$$f(x_1, y_1) \leq f(x_2, y_2) \quad \text{whenever } x_1 \leq x_2 \text{ and } y_1 \leq y_2 \quad (10)$$

(see (2)). By the variation-of-constants formula [6], for $t \geq 0$,

$$x(t) = y(t) + \int_0^t v(t - s)f(x(s), x(s - r)) ds, \quad (11)$$

where y is the solution of (7) with initial condition $y(t) = \phi(t)$ for $-r \leq t \leq 0$. Let $M > 0$ be a constant satisfying (8). By assumption (B^+) , there exists a constant C such that

$$C > M \quad \text{and} \quad g(C, C) \leq 0. \quad (12)$$

Clearly, for $-r \leq t \leq 0$,

$$x(t) = \phi(t) = y(t) < Mu(t) = M < C.$$

We shall show that $x(t) < C$ for all $t > 0$. Otherwise, there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that $x(t) < C$ for $-r \leq t < t_1$ and $x(t_1) = C$. By virtue of (8)–(12), this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} C = x(t_1) &= y(t_1) + \int_0^{t_1} v(t_1 - s)f(x(s), x(s - r)) ds \\ &< Mu(t_1) + \int_0^{t_1} v(t_1 - s)f(C, C) ds \\ &< Cu(t_1) + \int_0^{t_1} v(t_1 - s)(g(C, C) + KC + LC) ds \\ &\leq C \left[u(t_1) + (K + L) \int_0^{t_1} v(t_1 - s) ds \right] = C, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

By a similar argument, one can prove

Lemma 2. *Suppose conditions (2), (5) and (B^-) hold. Then every solution of (1) is bounded from below.*

Necessity. Suppose for contradiction that every solution of (1) converges to some equilibrium and one of the conditions (B^+) and (B^-) fails. Then either

$$(C^+) \quad S < \infty \text{ and } g(x, x) > 0 \text{ for } x > S,$$

or

$$(C^-) \quad I > -\infty \text{ and } g(x, x) < 0 \text{ for } x < I.$$

Consider case (C^+) . Choose a constant $k > S$ and consider the solution x of (1) with initial condition $x(t) = k$ for $-r \leq t \leq 0$. By the variation-of-constants formula, for all $t \geq 0$, x satisfies (11), where y is the solution of the linear equation (7) with initial values $y(t) = k$ for $-r \leq t \leq 0$. In view of the linearity of (7), $y(t) = ku(t)$ for all $t \geq -r$. Hence

$$x(t) = ku(t) + \int_0^t v(t - s)f(x(s), x(s - r)) ds \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Let $k_1 \in (S, k)$ be fixed. Evidently, for $-r \leq t \leq 0$, $x(t) = k > k_1$, and a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 1 shows that $x(t) > k_1$ for all $t > 0$. Hence $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \geq k_1 > S$. Since S is the largest equilibrium of (1), this shows that x cannot converge to any equilibrium, which is a contradiction.

In case (C^-) , we can obtain a contradiction in a similar way.

Remark. Theorem 1 is closely related to the results of Smith and Thieme [12] (see also [11, Chap. 6]). In the usual phase space $C = C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R})$ for Eq. (1), they have introduced a special ordering (the so-called exponential ordering) and they have shown that under the smallness condition (5) the semiflow generated by the solutions of (1) is strongly order preserving. The theory of monotone dynamical systems [11] implies that under some additional compactness assumption "most

of the solutions” converge to equilibria. More precisely, there exists an open and dense set of initial functions in C corresponding to solutions of (1) which converge to an equilibrium. The conclusion of Theorem 1 is stronger, since we have obtained the convergence of all solutions.

Note that the monotonicity condition of Smith and Thieme [12] can be guaranteed under weaker conditions than (5) (see [11, Chap. 6, Remark 2.2]). However, Krisztin *et al.* [8] have shown that in this case Eq. (1) may have a nonconstant periodic solution. In this sense the stronger condition (5) is necessary for the validity of Theorem 1.

References

1. O. Arino and M. Pituk: *More on linear differential systems with small delays*. J. Differential Equations **170** (2001), 381–407.
2. R. D. Driver: *Linear differential systems with small delays*. J. Differential Equations **21** (1976), 149–167.
3. I. Györi: *Interaction between oscillations and global asymptotic stability in delay differential equations*. Differential Integral Equations **3** (1990), 181–200.
4. I. Györi and M. Pituk: *Stability criteria for linear delay differential equations*. Differential Integral Equations **10** (1997), 841–852.
5. I. Györi and M. Pituk: *Special solutions of neutral functional differential equations*. J. Ineq. Appl. **6** (2001), 99–117.
6. J. Hale: *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New-York 1977.
7. J. Jarník and J. Kurzweil: *Ryabov’s special solutions of functional differential equations*. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. **11** (1975), 198–218.
8. T. Krisztin, H.-O. Walther, and J. Wu: *Shape Smoothness and Invariant Stratification of an Attracting Set for Delayed Positive Feedback*. Fields Institute Monograph Series, Vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI 1999.
9. M. Pituk: *Convergence to equilibria in scalar non-quasi-monotone functional differential equations*, manuscript.
10. Yu. A. Ryabov: *Certain asymptotic properties of linear systems with small time lag* (Russian). Trudy Sem. Teor. Differencial. Uravnenii s Otklon. Argumentom. Univ. Druzby Narodov Patrica Lumumby **3** (1965), 153–164.
11. H. L. Smith: *Monotone Dynamical Systems: An Introduction to the Theory of Competitive and Cooperative Systems*. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI 1995.
12. H. L. Smith and H. Thieme: *Monotone semiflows in scalar non-quasi-monotone functional differential equations*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **150** (1990), 289–306.