A. A. Ivanov

Fixed point theorems for metric space mappings

In: Josef Novák (ed.): General topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra IV, Proceedings of the fourth Prague topological symposium, 1976, Part B: Contributed Papers. Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, Praha, 1977. pp. 173--177.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700629

Terms of use:

© Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://project.dml.cz

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR METRIC SPACE MAPPINGS

A.A. IVANOV

Leningrad

1. Let (X,d) be a complete metric space, $T: X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping (not necessarily continuous) such that

$$d(T^px, T^py) \leq ad(x, y)$$

where p=p(x), $0 \le 0 \le 1$. Then [2] T has a unique fixed point ξ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x = \xi$ for each $x \in X$. The following theorem is a direct generalization of this result.

Theorem 1.

Let (X,d) be a complete metric space, $T\colon X{\longrightarrow} X$ be a mapping such that

$$d(T^{p}x,T^{p}y) \leq \omega(d(x,y))$$

Then T has a unique fixed point ξ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x = \xi$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $d_0 = \max_{0 \le K \le p} d(x, T^K x)$ where p = p(x).

$$d(T^{p}_{x}, T^{np+k}_{x}) \leq \omega(d(x, T^{(n-1)p+k}_{x}))$$

and so

$$\begin{split} &d(x, T^{np+k}x) \leq d(x, T^{p}x) + d(T^{p}x, T^{np+k}x) \leq \\ &\leq d_{o} + \omega \big(d(x, T^{(n-1)p+k}x)\big). \end{split}$$

Therefore for 0 < K < D

$$d(x,T^{p+k}x) \leq d_0 + \omega(d_0) = d_1$$

$$d(x, T^{2p+k}x) \leq d_0 + \omega(d_1) = d_2$$

$$d(x,T^{np+k}x) \le d_0 + \omega(d_{n-1}) = d_n$$

Since $\gamma-\omega(\gamma)$ is unbounded for $\gamma-\infty$ there exists $\gamma_0>0$ such that $d_0\leqslant \gamma_0-\omega(\gamma_0)$. Since ω is nondecreasing $d_0+\omega(\gamma)\leqslant \gamma_0$ for each $\gamma\leqslant \gamma_0$. Then

$$d_0 \leqslant \mathbf{v}_0$$

$$d_1 = d_0 + \omega(d_0) \leqslant \mathbf{v}_0$$

$$d_n = d_0 + \omega(d_{n-1}) \leq v_0$$

and therefore the sequence $(\nabla^n x)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded. The further reasoning is a slight modification of [2]. It is interesting to note that the conditions of nondecreasing of function ω and unboundedness of $\gamma - \omega(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \to \infty$ are essential, what follows from the examples.

Example 1.

Let
$$X = \{\ln n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
, $d(x,y) = |x-y|$

$$\omega(x) = \ln \frac{1+e^x}{2}$$
, $\rho(\ln n) = n$

$$T(\ln n) = \ln(n+1)$$
.

It is easy to see that \top satisfies all conditions of the theorem 1 but one, $\nu-\omega(\nu)$ is bounded for $\nu-\omega(\nu)<\nu$

Example 2.
Let
$$X = \{n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
, $d(x,y) = |x-y|$
 $T(n) = n+1$.

Consider a integer value function λ

$$\lambda(1) = 0$$

$$2^{2^{\lambda(x)-1}} \le x < 2^{2^{\lambda(x)}} \qquad \text{for} \quad x \geqslant 2$$

Let

$$\overline{d}(x,y)=2^{-2^{-(y-x)+\lambda(x)}}$$
 for $y>x$

$$d(x,y) = \min_{\substack{x = x_0 \\ y = x_n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \overline{d}(x_i, x_{i+1})$$

where $x=x_0< x_1< \ldots < x_n=y$. It is easy to see that (X,d) is a complete metric space. Let

$$\omega(\mathcal{X}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{X}^{2} & \text{for } 0 < \mathcal{X} < 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{X} & \text{for } \mathcal{X} \geqslant 1 \end{array}, p(x) = \max_{0 \le z \le y(x)} \left\{ 2^{2\lambda(x)} - z \right\} \right\}$$

where $y(x)=2^{2^{n(x)}}$,

Then \top satisfies all conditions of the theorem 1 but one, ω is

not nondecreasing.

2. In [3] the fixed point theorem has been proved for $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the inequalities

$$dd(x,y) + \beta d(Tx,Ty) + \gamma [d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)] + \theta [d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)] > 0$$

 $d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)] > 0$
 $d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx) > 0$
 $d(x,Tx) + d(y,Tx)$

There exists the following converse of this result. Theorem 2.

Let (X,d) be a complete metric space, $T: X \longrightarrow X$ be a mapping

- 1) there exists $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x = q(x)$ for each $x\in X$ and is a fixed point for $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x = q(x)$ for each $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x = q(x)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x = q(x)$ for each $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x = q$
- such that $n > n_0 \implies T^n(U_n(A)) \subset U_e(A)$.
 - 3) there exists a neighbourhood $\mathcal U$ of $\mathcal J_T$ such that $\forall \varepsilon \exists n_0 \ \forall x \in \mathcal{U} \ (n \geqslant n_0 \Longrightarrow \mathsf{T}^n x \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}(\mathsf{J}_{\mathsf{T}})).$

Then there exist a metric \widetilde{d} equivalent to the metric d on X and numbers α , β , γ , δ , such that (X,\widetilde{d}) is a complete metric space

$$\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma < \min(0, -2\delta) \tag{1}$$

$$\beta + \gamma + \delta < 0 \tag{2}$$

$$a\widetilde{d}(x,y) + \beta\widetilde{d}(Tx,Ty) + \gamma [\widetilde{d}(x,Tx) + \widetilde{d}(y,Ty)] +$$
(3)

$$+\delta[\widetilde{d}(x,Ty)+\widetilde{d}(y,Tx)]>0$$

for each x, y from X.

Proof. Let us consider d^{\times} which has been defined in [5].

It proves to be pseudometric under the conditions of our theorem. $d(x,y) = d^*(x,y) + d(q(x), q(y))$. It easy to see that \widetilde{d} is a metric on X equivalent to the metric a and (X, \tilde{a}) is a complete metric space. Let $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = -6$, $\gamma = -6$, $\delta = 8$, $\kappa = \frac{1}{20}$ being a number such that [5]

$$d^*(Tx,Ty) \leq \kappa d^*(x,y)$$
.

These numbers satisfy (1), (2) and we need to check only the condition (3)

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{d}}(x,y) + \widetilde{\mathrm{pd}}(Tx,Ty) + \gamma [\widetilde{\mathrm{d}}(x,Tx) + \widetilde{\mathrm{d}}(y,Ty)] + \delta [\widetilde{\mathrm{d}}(x,Ty) + \widetilde{\mathrm{d}}(y,Tx)]$$

=
$$ad^*(x,y) + \beta d^*(Tx,Ty) + \gamma[d^*(x,Tx) + d^*(y,Ty)] +$$

+ $\delta[d^*(x,Ty) + d^*(y,Tx)] + (a+\beta+2\gamma)d(q(x),q(y)).$

Substitute d=1 , $\beta=-6$, $\gamma=-6$, $\delta=8$ and use inequalities $d^*(x,Tx) \leqslant d^*(x,Ty) + d^*(Tx,Ty)$ $d^*(y,Ty) \leqslant d^*(y,Tx) + d^*(Tx,Ty)$ $d^*(Tx,Ty) \leqslant \frac{1}{20} \ d^*(x,y).$

Then

$$d^{*}(x,y) - 6d^{*}(Tx,Ty) - 6\left[d^{*}(x,Tx) + d^{*}(y,Ty)\right] + 8\left[d^{*}(x,Ty) + d^{*}(y,Tx)\right] + 11d(q(x),q(y)) \geqslant d^{*}(x,y) - \frac{6}{20}d^{*}(x,y) - \frac{6}{20}d^{*}(x,y) + \frac{1}{20}d^{*}(x,y) + d^{*}(y,Tx) + \frac{1}{20}d^{*}(x,y)\right] + \\ + 8\left[d^{*}(x,Ty) + d^{*}(y,Tx)\right] + 11d(q(x),q(y)) = \frac{2}{5}d^{*}(x,y) + \\ + 2d^{*}(x,Ty) + 2d^{*}(y,Tx) + 11d(q(x),q(y)) \geqslant 0$$

3. Iterative test(for contractive mappings) is conclusive [6] for (X,d) provided for each contractive selfmap T, if T has a fixed point ξ , then the sequence $(T^n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges for each x (necessarily to the fixed point ξ). There are examples of metric spaces for which iterative test is not conclusive [1]. It is known that for each dense in R set iterative test is conclusive. On the other hand the following result can be proved.

Theorem 3.

For each dense in \mathbb{R}^2 countable set iterative test is not conclusive.

Proof. Let $X_0 = \{(x,y) | y \neq 0\} \cup \{(0,0)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ Consider co-ordinates u,v defined by equalities

$$\frac{x^{2}}{1+u^{2}} + \frac{y^{2}}{u^{2}} = 1$$

$$\frac{x^{2}}{v^{2}} - \frac{y^{2}}{1-v^{2}} = 1$$
, $-1 < v < 1$

and define a mapping $T: X_0 \longrightarrow X_0$ setting $T(u,v) = (\frac{u}{2},v)$

It is a contractive mapping on X_O . For each M on y-axis the sequence $(T^nM)_{n=0}^\infty$ converges but for M which does not belong to y-axis the sequence $(T^nM)_{n=0}^\infty$ does not converge. Therefore on

(X,d) iterative test is not conclusive.

Let X be a dense in \mathbb{R}^2 countable set. Then x -axis can be choosen such that there is no point of X on x -axis but (0,0) and therefore we can suppose $X \subset X_0$. Let $T \colon X_0 \longrightarrow X_0$ be the above defined mapping. In general case $T(X) \not\subset X$ but we can change T in a suitable manner and find $T' \colon X_0 \longrightarrow X_0$ such that $T'(X) \subset X$.

4. The detailed proof can be found in [4].

References

- 1. Bryant J., Guseman L.F., Extention of contractive mapping and Edelstein's iterative test - Canad. Math. Bull., 1973, 16, N 2, 185-192.
- 2. Guseman L.F. Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1970, 26, 615-618.
- 3. Иванов А.А. Неравенства и теоремы о неподвижных точках. Math.Balk., 1974, 4, 283-287.
- 4. Иванов А.А. Неподвижные точки отображений метрических пространств. Зап. науч. семинаров ЛОМИ, Исследования по топологии, 1976, 66.
- 5. Meyers P.F., A converse to Banach's contraction theorem. J.Res. Nat.Bur.Standards, 1967, 71B, 73-76.
- 6. Nadler S.B. A note on iterative test of Edelstein Canad. Math. Bull., 1972, 15, N 2, 381-386.