

Toposym 4-B

M. van der Vel

The fixed point property of superextensions

In: Josef Novák (ed.): General topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra IV, Proceedings of the fourth Prague topological symposium, 1976, Part B: Contributed Papers. Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, Praha, 1977. pp. [477]--480.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700697>

Terms of use:

© Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

THE FIXED POINT PROPERTY OF SUPEREXTENSIONS

M. van de Vel
Amsterdam.

Recent results have shown that superextensions are surprisingly nice spaces (e.g. VAN MILL [6], VERBEEK [8]). As another example of this nice behaviour we now give (an outline of) a proof that the superextension of a connected normal T_1 space has the fixed point property.

We assume throughout that X is a *connected normal T_1 space*.

1. CONVEX SETS AND PARTIAL ORDERINGS ON $\lambda(X)$.

$\lambda(X)$ consists of all *maximal linked systems* of closed subsets in X . If $A \subset X$ is closed, then

$$A^+ = \{M \in \lambda(X) \mid A \in M\}.$$

The collection of all A^+ , with $A \subset X$ closed, is a closed subbase for the $\lambda(X)$ -topology. A nonempty set $C \subset \lambda(X)$ is called *convex* if it equals an intersection of subbasic closed sets. We let $K(\lambda(X))$ denote the subspace of $H(\lambda(X))$ (the hyperspace of $\lambda(X)$), consisting of all convex sets in $\lambda(X)$.

Example. If $M, N \in \lambda(X)$, then the convex set

$$I(M, N) = \cap \{P^+ \mid P \in M \cap N\}$$

is called the *interval joining M and N* . (BROUWER and SCHRIJVER [2])

- Theorem.* (i) the interval map $I : \lambda(X) \times \lambda(X) \rightarrow H(\lambda(X))$ is continuous;
- (ii) for each $M \in \lambda(X)$ there is a dense topological partial order on $\lambda(X)$, \leq_M , such that for each $N \in \lambda(X)$, $I(M, N)$ equals the set of all \leq_M -predecessors of N ;

- (iii) A closed set $C \subset \lambda(X)$ is convex iff for each $M \in \lambda(X)$ there exists a \leq_M -smallest element in C , denoted by $p(M, C)$. The resulting map
- $$p: \lambda(X) \times K(\lambda(X)) \rightarrow \lambda(X)$$
- is continuous.

This map p will be called *the nearest point map* of $\lambda(X)$. As a consequence of the above theorem we have the following

Corollary. The subspace $K(\lambda(X))$ of $H(\lambda(X))$ is compact, and it is densely ordered by inclusion.

2. PSEUDO-CONTRACTIONS OF $\lambda(X)$

Let $J \subset K(\lambda(X))$ be a maximal linearly ordered space w.r.t. inclusion. Then J is compact and, as $K(\lambda(X))$ is densely ordered, J also connected. The restriction

$$p^*: \lambda(X) \times J \rightarrow \lambda(X)$$

of the nearest point map p is a "pseudo-contraction" of $\lambda(X)$. In fact, J contains some singleton $\{M_0\} \subset \lambda(X)$ as well as $\lambda(X)$ itself by maximality. By the very definition of the map p , $p^*(-, \{M_0\}) = \text{constant map onto } M_0$, and $p^*(-, \lambda(X)) = \text{identity}$

Theorem. $\lambda(X)$ is acyclic.

3. RETRACTING $\lambda(X)$ ONTO BASICAL NEIGHBOURHOODS.

If $C \subset \lambda(X)$ is convex, then the restriction

$$p(-, C) : \lambda(X) \rightarrow \lambda(X)$$

of the nearest point map is easily seen to be a retraction of $\lambda(X)$ onto C . Using the normality of X , it can be proved that $\lambda(X)$ is *locally convex* in the sense that each point of $\lambda(X)$ has a neighbourhood base consisting of convex sets.

Combining this with the above acyclicity result yields the following.

Theorem. $\lambda(X)$ is an lc-space (BEGLE [1]).

In particular, $\lambda(X)$ is an acyclic Lefschetz space (terminology of BROWDER [3]) and it consequently has the fixed point property.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

- (i) VAN MILL has proved that $\lambda(X)$ is an AR (compact metric) if X is a metric continuum (see [6]). This result can also be obtained through the above techniques: X being compact and metric, it follows that $J \subset K(\lambda(X)) \subset H(\lambda(X))$ are metrizable. Hence J is homeomorphic to the unit interval, and the nearest point map p yields an ordinary contraction $\lambda(X) \times J \rightarrow \lambda(X)$. Retracting $\lambda(X)$ onto basic neighbourhoods, and applying partial realisation techniques as in DUGUNDJI [4] then shows that $\lambda(X)$ is an AR.
- (ii) If X is a T_2 -continuum with a normal binary subbase (definitions to be found in VERBEEK [8]), then X is a retract of $\lambda(X)$ (VAN MILL [5]). Consequently, X has the fixed point property.
- (iii) In a forthcoming paper, [7], of VAN MILL and the author, a general notion of *convexity relative to closed subbases* has been introduced. Among other things, a technique has been developed, proving that the hyperspace of convex sets is compact, not only in $\lambda(X)$ with its canonical subbase, but also in spaces which carry a normal binary subbase. A nearest point map can also be constructed on such spaces, and the above presented ideas can now be applied directly on spaces with a normal binary subbase.

REFERENCES

- [1] E.G. BEGLE, *Locally connected spaces and generalised manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. 64 (1942), 553-574
- [2] A.E. BROUWER, A. SCHRIJVER, *A characterisation of supercompactness with an application to tree-like spaces*, Report Mathematical Centre ZW 34/74, Amsterdam, 1974
- [3] F.E. BROWDER, *Fixed point theorems on infinite dimensional manifolds*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 119 (1965), 179-194
- [4] J. DUGUNDJI, *Absolute neighbourhood retracts and local connectedness in arbitrary metric spaces*. Comp. Math. 13 (1958), 229-246

- [5] J. VAN MILL, *On supercompactness and superextensions*, Report 37, Free University of Amsterdam, 1975.
- [6] J. VAN MILL, *The superextension of the unit interval is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.* (to appear in *Fund. Math.*)
- [7] J. VAN MILL and M. VAN DE VEL, *Subbases, convex sets and hyperspaces* (to appear)
- [8] A. VERBEEK, *Superextensions of topological spaces*, Math. Centre tracts 41, Mathematisch Centrum Amsterdam, 1972.