

Toposym 3

Václav Koutník

On some convergence closures generated by functions

In: Josef Novák (ed.): General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proceedings of the Third Prague Topological Symposium, 1971. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1972. pp. 249--252.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700748>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON SOME CONVERGENCE CLOSURES GENERATED BY FUNCTIONS

V. KOUTNÍK

Praha

0. In this note we shall consider several convergences defined on a given closure space and investigate relations between corresponding convergence closures and their modifications.

Let L be a set. Let \mathfrak{Q} be a set of pairs $(\{x_n\}, x)$ where $x_n \in L$, $n \in N$, and $x \in L$ satisfying the following axioms

(\mathcal{L}_0) If $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}$, $(\{x_n\}, y) \in \mathfrak{Q}$ then $x = y$.

(\mathcal{L}_1) If $x_n = x$, $n \in N$, then $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}$.

(\mathcal{L}_2) If $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}$ and $\{n_i\}$ is any subsequence of $\{n\}$, then $(\{x_{n_i}\}, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}$.

Then \mathfrak{Q} is called a *convergence* on L . For each $A \subset L$ let $\lambda A = \{x \mid x \in L, \exists \{x_n\}, x_n \in A, n \in N, \exists (\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}\}$. Then (L, λ) is a T_1 -closure space. It is denoted by $(L, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$ and called a *convergence space* [4]. Note that in general $\lambda^2 A \neq \lambda A$ and hence a convergence space may not be a topological space. To each convergence \mathfrak{Q} there corresponds convergence \mathfrak{Q}^* inducing the same convergence closure and satisfying the Urysohn axiom

(\mathcal{L}_3) If each subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of a sequence $\{x_n\}$ contains a subsequence $\{x_{n_{i_j}}\}$ converging to a point x , then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ itself converges to x .

Let $(L, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$ be a convergence space. The finest topology coarser than λ is called a *topological modification* of λ and denoted by λ^{ω_1} . Recall that a convergence space $(L, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$ is called a *Fréchet space* if $\lambda^{\omega_1} = \lambda$, i.e., if (L, λ) is a topological space. A topological space (P, u) is called a *sequential space* if there exists a convergence closure μ for P such that $\mu^{\omega_1} = u$, i.e., if u is a topological modification of some convergence closure. If (P, u) is a closure space we shall denote by $C(u)$ ¹⁾ the set of all continuous real-valued functions on (P, u) .

A convergence space $(L, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$ is called *sequentially regular* [4] if for each point $x \in L$ and each sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of L such that $x \in L - \lambda \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n)$ there is a function $f \in C(\lambda)$ such that $\{f(x_n)\}$ does not converge to $f(x)$.

¹⁾ We write simply $C(u)$ instead of $C((P, u))$ because we shall consider different closures for the same given set P .

Let (L, Ω, λ) be a convergence space such that $C(\lambda)$ separates the points of L . The finest sequentially regular convergence closure for L coarser than λ is called a *sequentially regular modification of λ* and denoted by $\hat{\lambda}$ [3]. The finest completely regular topology for L coarser than λ is called a *completely regular modification of λ* and denoted by $\tilde{\lambda}$ [3]. The following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &< \hat{\lambda} < \hat{\lambda}^{\omega_1} < \tilde{\lambda}, \\ \lambda &< \lambda^{\omega_1} < \tilde{\lambda}, \\ \tilde{\hat{\lambda}} &= \tilde{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

1. Let (P, u) be a closure space and suppose that $C(u)$ separates the points of P . Clearly (P, u) is a separated space.

Consider the following convergences on P :

\mathfrak{P} : $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{P}$ if for each neighborhood U of x we have $x_n \in U$ for nearly all $n \in N$,

$\mathfrak{P}_{C(u)}$: $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{P}_{C(u)}$ if $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to $f(x)$ for each $f \in C(u)$.

Denote π and $\pi_{C(u)}$ the corresponding convergence closures. \mathfrak{P} is the usual convergence on P . The convergence space (P, \mathfrak{P}, π) was called a *convergence space associated with (P, u)* in [3] and π is called a *sequential modification of u* in [1]. The convergence $\mathfrak{P}_{C(u)}$ was introduced by J. Novák in [5] who pointed out that there are interesting relations between the closures u , π , $\pi_{C(u)}$ and their modifications. Let us define still another convergence

$\mathfrak{P}_{C(\pi)}$: $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{P}_{C(\pi)}$ if $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to $f(x)$ for each $f \in C(\pi)$.

Denote $\pi_{C(\pi)}$ the corresponding convergence closure. We shall show in Example 1 that generally $C(\pi) \neq C(u)$.

Lemma 1. $\pi < \pi_{C(\pi)} < \pi_{C(u)}$.

Proof. Let $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{P}$. By definition of $C(\pi)$ and $\mathfrak{P}_{C(\pi)}$ we have $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{P}_{C(\pi)}$. Since $\pi < u$ we have $C(u) \subset C(\pi)$ and hence $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{P}_{C(u)}$.

Proposition 1. *The convergence spaces $(P, \mathfrak{P}_{C(\pi)}, \pi_{C(\pi)})$ and $(P, \mathfrak{P}_{C(u)}, \pi_{C(u)})$ are sequentially regular.*

Proof. The assertion follows immediately from the definition of sequential regularity and from definitions of $\mathfrak{P}_{C(\pi)}$ and $\mathfrak{P}_{C(u)}$.

In view of Corollary 3 in [3] we have

Corollary 1. *The following are equivalent*

- (a) $\pi_{C(\pi)} = \pi_{C(u)}$.
- (b) $\pi_{C(\pi)}^{\omega_1} = \pi_{C(u)}^{\omega_1}$.
- (c) $\tilde{\pi}_{C(\pi)} = \tilde{\pi}_{C(u)}$.

The question arises whether $\pi_{C(\pi)} = \pi_{C(u)}$ does not always hold. The following example shows that we may have $\pi_{C(\pi)} \neq \pi_{C(u)}$.

Example 1. Let $P = [0, 1]$. For $x \neq 0$ let $U_n = P \cap (x - 1/n, x + 1/n)$ be the usual local base at x and let the local base at 0 consist of sets $U_{n,S} = \{0\} \cup ((0, 1/n) - S)$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|S| \leq \aleph_0$. Denote u the corresponding topology. Clearly $(P, \mathfrak{B}_{C(u)}, \pi_{C(u)})$ is the interval $[0, 1]$ with the usual topology. On the other hand 0 is π -isolated and therefore also $\pi_{C(\pi)}$ -isolated. Hence $\pi_{C(\pi)} \neq \pi_{C(u)}$. Note that both spaces $(P, \mathfrak{B}_{C(\pi)}, \pi_{C(\pi)})$ and $(P, \mathfrak{B}_{C(u)}, \pi_{C(u)})$ are Fréchet spaces.

Proposition 2. *If $C(\pi) = C(u)$ then $\pi_{C(\pi)} = \pi_{C(u)}$.*

Proof. $C(\pi) = C(u)$ implies that $\mathfrak{B}_{C(\pi)} = \mathfrak{B}_{C(u)}$.

Corollary 2. *If (P, u) is a convergence or a sequential space then $\pi_{C(\pi)} = \pi_{C(u)}$.*

The condition in Proposition 2 is not necessary as the following example shows.

Example 2. Let $P = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_{mn}) \cup (x_0)$. The points x_{mn} are isolated and the local base at x_0 consists of sets $U_{k,r} = \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=r(m)}^{\infty} (x_{mn}) \cup (x_0)$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and r is any mapping of \mathbb{N} into itself. Denote u the corresponding topology. The space (P, u) is normal. The spaces (P, \mathfrak{B}, π) , $(P, \mathfrak{B}_{C(\pi)}, \pi_{C(\pi)})$, and $(P, \mathfrak{B}_{C(u)}, \pi_{C(u)})$ are all discrete and hence $\pi_{C(\pi)} = \pi_{C(u)}$ while $C(\pi) \neq C(u)$.

Proposition 3. *If $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{B}$ whenever $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to $f(x)$ for each $f \in C(u)$ then $\pi_{C(\pi)} = \pi_{C(u)}$.*

Proof. The condition implies $\mathfrak{B}_{C(u)} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ and the assertion follows by Lemma 1.

Corollary 3. *If (P, u) is completely regular then $\pi_{C(\pi)} = \pi_{C(u)}$.*

Again the condition in Proposition 3 is not necessary as the following example shows.

Example 3. Let $P = [0, 1]$. For $x \neq 0$ let $U_n = P \cap (x - 1/n, x + 1/n)$ be the usual local base at x and let the local base at 0 consist of sets $V_n = [0, 1/n) - \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (1/m)$. Denote u the corresponding topology. We have $(\{1/m\}, 0) \notin \mathfrak{B}$ while $\{f(1/m)\}$ converges to $f(0)$ for each $f \in C(u)$. However, (P, u) is a Fréchet space and hence $\pi_{C(\pi)} = \pi_{C(u)}$ by Corollary 2.

Problem 1. What is the necessary and sufficient condition for the equality $\pi_{C(\pi)} = \pi_{C(u)}$?

2. Now let us characterize the convergence closures $\pi_{C(\pi)}$ and $\pi_{C(u)}$.

Theorem 1. $\pi_{C(\pi)} = \hat{\pi}$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 $\pi_{C(\pi)}$ is a sequentially regular convergence closure coarser than π . On the other hand let λ be a sequentially regular convergence closure for P coarser than π . To complete the proof we must show that $\pi_{C(\pi)} < \lambda$. Let $A \subset P$ and $x \in \pi_{C(\pi)}A$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of A which $\mathfrak{F}_{C(\pi)}$ -converges to x . Hence $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to $f(x)$ for each $f \in C(\pi)$. Since $\pi < \lambda$ it follows that $\{g(x_n)\}$ converges to $g(x)$ for each $g \in C(\lambda)$. Because λ is sequentially regular $\{x_n\}$ \mathfrak{Q}^* -converges to x by Lemma 2 in [3]. Therefore $x \in \lambda A$.

Let (P, u) be a closure space and let w be the weak topology for P [2]. We shall denote by $(P, \mathfrak{F}_w, \pi_w)$ the convergence space associated with (P, w) , i.e., π_w is the sequential modification of w .

Theorem 2. $\pi_{C(u)} = \pi_w$.

Proof. If $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{F}_{C(u)}$ then $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to $f(x)$ for each $f \in C(u)$ and hence for each w -neighborhood U of x we have $x_n \in U$ for nearly all $n \in N$. Therefore $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{F}_w$. On the other hand if $(\{y_n\}, y) \in \mathfrak{F}_w$ then clearly $\{f(y_n)\}$ converges to $f(y)$ for each $f \in C(u)$ so that $(\{y_n\}, y) \in \mathfrak{F}_{C(u)}$.

Since (P, w) is a completely regular space it follows that if v is any of the closures $u, \pi, \pi_{C(\pi)}, \pi_{C(u)}$ or their modifications then we have $\pi < v < w$.

3. Finally let us consider the relations between the convergence closures $\pi, \pi_{C(\pi)}, \pi_{C(u)}$ and the closure u .

If (P, u) is just a closure space then the only statement we can make is the obvious $\pi < u$.

If (P, u) is a topological space then clearly $\pi < \pi^{o1} < u$. However, both $\pi_{C(u)} \not\leq u$ (Example 2) and $u \not\leq \pi_{C(u)}$ (Example 3) may occur. The same holds for $\pi_{C(\pi)}$.

Finally, if (P, u) is a completely regular space, then $\tilde{\pi}_{C(u)} < u$. It follows from Lemma 6 and Theorem 6 in [3] that $\tilde{\pi}_{C(u)} = u$ if and only if $C(\pi) = C(u)$.

References

- [1] E. Čech: Topological spaces. Academia, Praha, 1966.
- [2] L. Gillman and M. Jerison: Rings of continuous functions. D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1960.
- [3] V. Koutník: On sequentially regular convergence spaces. Czechoslovak Math. J. 17 (92) (1967), 232–247.
- [4] J. Novák: On convergence spaces and their sequential envelopes. Czechoslovak Math. J. 15 (90) (1965), 74–100.
- [5] J. Novák: On some topologies defined by a class of real-valued functions. General Topology and its Applications 1 (1971), 247–251.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE CZECHOSLOVAK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,
PRAHA