

Toposym 3

Andrzej Kirkor

On mild and wicked embeddings

In: Josef Novák (ed.): General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proceedings of the Third Prague Topological Symposium, 1971. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1972. pp. 243--244.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700783>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON MILD AND WICKED EMBEDDINGS

A. KIRKOR

Warszawa

A subset A of a triangulated space X is said to be *tame* iff there is an auto-homeomorphism of X mapping A onto a polyhedron in X . Otherwise A is said to be *wild* in X [1]. It is well-known that every tame subset of X is a deformation retract of a neighborhood in X (which may be taken arbitrarily small) [4]. However, as simple polyhedra as the arc, the simple closed curve, the disk, the sphere and the ball may be so embedded in some 3-manifolds that they are deformation retracts of no neighborhood [2]. This gives rise to the following definition. A subset A of a topological space X is *mildly embedded* in X (for short — is *mild* in X) iff A is a deformation retract of a neighborhood in X . Otherwise, A is said to be *wickedly embedded* in X (is *wicked* in X). It is clear that a wicked topological polyhedron in a triangulated space must be wild.

Obviously enough, if A and X are AR-spaces, then A is mild in X . But, if A or X is only an ANR-space, the situation is quite different as we have already mentioned. Perhaps the most interesting case is the one where X is the Euclidean n -dimensional space E^n or the n -sphere S^n , and A is an m -dimensional manifold with $1 \leq m \leq n$. It has been proved [2] that a topological $(n - 1)$ -sphere is always mild in S^n and there are some indications to support the conjecture that such is the case of any ANR-set which is homotopy equivalent to S^m with $0 \leq m < n$. On the other hand, any orientable closed surface of positive genus may be wicked in S^3 [3].

It can be proved that an orientable closed surface is mild in S^3 if it can be homeomorphically approximated by unknotted surfaces in the sense that each of them bounds a cube with handles in every complementary domain.

Thus, one is led to ask the following questions.

1. Let A be an ANR-subset of S^n which is homotopy equivalent to S^m (or simply, $A \underset{\text{top}}{=} S^m$). Is A mild in S^n ?

If $m = 1$ and $n = 3$, the answer is yes.

2. Do there exist wicked embeddings of an m -manifold in S^n for $1 < m \leq n$ and $n > 3$?

3. Does there exist a sufficient condition for mild embedding of an $(n - 1)$ -manifold in S^n ($n > 3$) similar to the one for closed orientable surfaces in S^3 ?

References

- [1] *R. H. Fox and E. Artin*: Some wild cells and spheres in 3-space. *Ann. of Math.* 49 (1948), 979–990.
- [2] *A. Kirkor*: Every topological $(n - 1)$ -sphere is a deformation retract of an open neighborhood in the n -sphere. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* 17 (1969), 801–807.
- [3] *A. Kirkor*: A positional characterization of the 2-sphere. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* 18 (1970), 437–442.
- [4] *E. C. Zeeman*: Seminar on combinatorial topology. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 1963.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES