Jack Segal A new dimension type

In: (ed.): General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proceedings of the second Prague topological symposium, 1966. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1967. pp. 323--325.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700846

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1967

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## A NEW DIMENSION TYPE

## J. SEGAL

Seattle

In 1910 M. Fréchet [3] introduced the concept of dimension type in analogy with the theory of cardinal numbers in order to compare topological spaces. The Fréchet dimension type of a topological space X is said to be less than or equal to the Fréchet dimension type of a topological space Y if and only if X can be topologically embedded in Y. In this case we write  $dX \leq dY$ . A shortcoming of Fréchet dimension type is that many spaces are not comparable due to the need to be able to embed one space in the other. I modified this condition in [11] to obtain a new dimension type called quasi dimension type. Many more spaces are comparable with respect to quasi dimension type and yet many properties of Fréchet dimension type are preserved.

For two topological spaces X and Y, we say X is quasi embeddable in Y, if, for each covering  $\alpha$  of X, there is a closed  $\alpha$ -map of X into Y. A continuous function  $f: X \to Y$  is an  $\alpha$ -map if there is a covering  $\beta$  of Y such that  $f^{-1}[\beta]$  refines  $\alpha$ . We use "covering" to mean "open covering". We say the quasi dimension type of a topological space X is less than or equal to the quasi dimension type of a topological space Y if and only if X is quasi embeddable in Y. In this case we write  $qX \leq qY$ . If  $qX \leq qY$ and  $qY \leq qX$ , then qX = qY. Note that quasi dimension type is a topological invariant and is monotone on closed subsets (i.e., if X a closed subset of Y, then  $qX \leq \leq qY$ ). Given a compact metric space X, a space Y and a map  $f: X \to Y$  into Y and a number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we say that f is an  $\varepsilon$ -mapping of X into Y provided the diameter diam  $f^{-1}(y) < \varepsilon$ , for each y in Y. For such spaces  $qX \leq qY$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $\varepsilon$ -mapping of X into Y.

In 1926 C. Kuratowski [5] showed that there are  $2^{c}$  Fréchet dimension types represented by subsets of the real line. In [11] I showed that there are only denumerably many quasi dimension types represented by subsets of the real line. Furthermore, I completely determined the partial ordering of these types and gave a topological characterization of the linear sets having a given type. In [12] a snake-like continuum is constructed with  $2^{c}$  quasi dimension types represented by its subsets.

In 1930 C. Kuratowski [6] characterized 1-dimensional polyhedra which are embeddable in the plane  $R^2$  as those which do not contain either of the two primitive skew curves  $K_1$  or  $K_2$ . The polyhedron  $K_1$  is the 1-skeleton of a tetrahedron with the midpoints of a pair of non-adjacent edges joined by a segment and  $K_2$  is the complete graph on five vertices. He also described the secondary skew curves  $K_3$  and  $K_4$  which are non-polyhedral 1-dimensional Peano continua. In 1937 S. Claytor [1] showed that a Peano continuum which is not a 2-sphere is embeddable in  $R^2$  if and only if it does not contain any one of the four skew curves  $K_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, 4. Although Claytor's result applies to polyhedra, it makes use of  $K_3$  and  $K_4$  and it seemed desirable to have a polyhedral version which does not consider objects other than compact polyhedra. S. Mardešić and I did this in [7] by replacing  $K_3$  and  $K_4$  by a polyhedron L called the "spiked disc." It consists of a disc and an arc which have only one point in common and this point is an interior point of the disc and an end-point of the arc. We then proved the following theorem.

**Theorem.** For a polyhedron P the following three statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $dP \leq dR^2$ .
- (b)  $qP \leq qR^2$ .
- (c) P does not contain  $K_1, K_2, L$  or  $S^2$ .

This is proved by showing (a) and (b) are equivalent to (c). This theorem is related to the following problem.

For each pair of positive integers (n, m),  $n \leq m$ , consider the following statement: If an *n*-dimensional polyhedron P quasi embeds in  $R^m$ , then it embeds in  $R^m$ . For which pairs (n, m) is the statement true? The previous theorem shows that the statement is true in the case (2,2). T. Ganea [4] has shown that the statement is true for  $(n, 2n), n \neq 2$ . However, Mardešić and I [8] modified an example of P. M. Rice [10] to obtain polyhedra which show that the statement is false for the case  $(n, n), n \ge 4$ .

In order to exhibit such polyhedra P we use the fact that for every  $n \ge 4$ there exists a combinatorial *n*-manifold M with boundary  $\partial M$  having the following properties

- (1) M is contractible,
- (2)  $\pi_1(\partial M) \neq 1$ , (3)  $M \times I \approx I^{n+1}$

(see [9] and [2]). We define P as the cone  $C(\partial M)$  over  $\partial M$  and show it quasi embeds but fails to embed in  $R^n$  (see [8]).

## References

- [1] S. Claytor: Peanian continua not imbeddable in a spherical surface. Annals of Math. 38 (1937), 631-646.
- [2] M. L. Curtis: Cartesian products with intervals. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 819-820.
- [3] M. Fréchet: Les dimensions d'un ensemble abstrait. Math. Ann. 68 (1910), 145-168.
- [4] T. Ganea: Comment on imbedding of polyhedra in Euclidean spaces. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. 7 (1959), 27-32.

- [5] C. Kuratowski: Sur la puissance de l'ensemble des "nombres de dimension" au sens de M. Fréchet. Fund. Math. 8 (1926), 201-208.
- [6] C. Kuratowski: Sur le problème des courbes gauches en topologie. Fund. Math. 15 (1930), 271-283.
- [7] S. Mardešić and J. Segal: A note on polyhedra embeddable in the plane. Duke Math. J. 33 (1966), 633-638.
- [8] S. Mardešić and J. Segal: e-mappings and generalized manifolds. Michigan Math. J. (to appear).
- [9] B. Mazur: A note on some contractible 4-manifolds. Annals of Math. 73 (1961), 221-228.
- [10] P. M. Rice: A note on manifold-like polyhedra. Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 375-376.
- [11] J. Segal: Quasi dimension type, I. Types in the real line. Pacific J. of Math. (to appear).
- [12] J. Segal: Quasi dimension type, II. Types in 1-dimensional continua. Pacific J. of Math. (to appear).