

# Toposym 1

---

Karol Borsuk

Concerning the dimension of ANR-sets

In: (ed.): General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proceedings of the symposium held in Prague in September 1961. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague, 1962. pp. [115]--118.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700909>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1962

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

# CONCERNING THE DIMENSION OF ANR-SETS

K. BORSUK

Warszawa

I shall understand here by *ANR*-sets only compact absolute neighbourhood retracts. These sets constitute a class of spaces which is much more general than the class of all finite polytopes. However, the *ANR*-sets have topological properties similar in many respects to topological properties of polytopes.

In the present communication I intend to give a simple theorem exhibiting a further analogy between the dimensional properties of *ANR*-sets and of polytopes.

It is a very elementary fact, that a family of  $n$ -dimensional disjoint sets lying in an  $n$ -dimensional polytope is at most countable. An analogous statement for arbitrary  $n$ -dimensional compacta is not true. For instance, the Cartesian product  $Q^n \times C$  of the  $n$ -dimensional ball  $Q^n$  with the Cantor discontinuum  $C$  is an  $n$ -dimensional compactum which contains a family of  $2^{\aleph_0}$   $n$ -dimensional disjoint balls of the form  $Q^n \times (x)$ , with  $x \in C$ .

For *ANR*-spaces an analogous phenomenon is impossible. In fact, we have the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $X$  ba an *ANR*-set and let  $\{K_\alpha\}$  be a family of  $n$ -dimensional *ANR*-sets lying in  $X$  and indexed by  $\alpha$  which runs over an uncountable set  $A$ . If for every two distinct indices  $\alpha, \alpha' \in A$  the dimension of the common part of  $K_\alpha$  and  $K_{\alpha'}$  is less than  $n$ , then the dimension of  $X$  is greater than  $n$ .*

In order to prove this theorem, let us assume that  $X$  is a subset of the Hilbert space  $H^\omega$ . Since  $X$  is an *ANR*-set

- (1) *There exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $X$  in  $H^\omega$  and a retraction  $r : U \rightarrow X$  of  $U$  to  $X$ .*

Since  $\dim K_\alpha$  is equal to  $n$ , there exists in  $K_\alpha$  an infinite  $n$ -dimensional chain such that its boundary lies in a compactum  $B_\alpha \subset K_\alpha$ , and there exists a positive number  $\varepsilon_\alpha$  such that the boundary of this chain is not homologous to zero in the generalized ball

$$Q_\alpha = E_{x \in K_\alpha} [\varrho(x, B) < \varepsilon_\alpha].$$

By an infinite chain in  $K_\alpha$  we understand here a sequence  $\{K_{\alpha,i}\}$  of  $n$ -dimensional chains lying in  $K_\alpha$ , with coefficients belonging to arbitrary Abelian groups, in general depending on  $i$ , and with maximal diameter of simplexes converging to zero when  $i$

tends to infinity. By the boundary of this chain we understand the infinite cycle  $\{\partial K_{\alpha,i}\}$ .

Hence

$$(2) \quad \{\partial K_{\alpha,i}\} \text{ lies in } B_{\alpha} \subset K_{\alpha} \text{ and } \{\partial K_{\alpha,i}\} \sim 0 \text{ in } Q_{\alpha} = E \left[ \varrho(x, B_{\alpha}) < \varepsilon_{\alpha} \right].$$

In general, the positive number  $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$  depends on  $\alpha$ . However, since  $\alpha$  runs over the uncountable set  $A$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon_{\alpha} > \varepsilon$  for an uncountable set of indices  $\alpha$ . Consequently, if we replace  $A$  by its suitably chosen subset, we can assume that

$$(3) \quad \varepsilon_{\alpha} > \varepsilon > 0 \text{ for every } \alpha \in A.$$

The compacta  $K_{\alpha}$  may be considered as points of the space  $2^X$  consisting of all non-empty subcompacta of  $X$ . Since  $2^X$  is compact and since  $A$  is uncountable, we infer easily that there exists an index  $\beta$  in  $A$  and a sequence  $\{\alpha_m\}$  of distinct indices such that

$$(4) \quad \lim K_{\alpha_m} = K \text{ and } \alpha_m \neq \beta \text{ for } m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since  $K_{\beta}$  is an *ANR*-set, we infer that

$$(5) \quad \text{There exists a neighbourhood } V \text{ of } K \text{ in } H^{\omega} \text{ and a retraction } s \text{ of } V \text{ to } K_{\beta}.$$

Now we see easily that there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for the index  $\gamma = \alpha_{n_0}$  every segment  $\overline{x s(x)}$  (in  $H^{\omega}$ ) with  $x \in K_{\gamma}$  lies in  $U \cap V$  and that the diameter of the set  $r(\overline{x s(x)})$  is  $< \varepsilon$ :

$$\overline{x s(x)} \subset U \cap V \text{ and } \delta[r(\overline{x s(x)})] < \varepsilon \text{ for every } x \in K_{\gamma}.$$

Setting

$$f_t(x) = r[(1-t)x + t s(x)] \text{ for every } 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

we see easily that the family of functions  $\{f_t\}$  is a homotopical deformation of the set  $K_{\gamma}$  in the space  $X$  to the set  $K_{\beta}$ .

By (2) and (3), there exists in  $K_{\gamma}$  an infinite  $n$ -dimensional chain  $\{K_{\gamma,i}\}$  such that the infinite cycle  $\{\partial K_{\gamma,i}\}$  lies in a compactum  $B_{\gamma} \subset K_{\gamma}$  and it is not homologous to zero in the ball

$$Q_{\gamma} = E \left[ \varrho(x, B_{\gamma}) < \varepsilon \right].$$

Let us consider the compactum

$$M = \bigcup_{x \in B_{\gamma}} (\overline{x s(x)}).$$

Since the diameter of the set  $r(\overline{x s(x)})$  is smaller than  $\varepsilon$  and since  $r(x) = x \in B_{\gamma}$ , we infer that  $r(M) \subset Q_{\gamma}$ .

Evidently  $f_t(x) \in r(M) \subset Q_{\gamma}$  for every point  $x \in B_{\gamma}$ . We conclude that there exists in the space  $X$  an infinite  $(n+1)$ -dimensional chain  $\{\lambda_i\}$  such that

$$\partial \lambda_i = K_{\gamma,i} - s(K_{\gamma,i}) - \mu_i,$$

where  $\{\mu_i\}$  is an infinite  $n$ -dimensional chain lying in  $Q_\gamma$ . It follows that the sequence  $\{K_{\gamma,i} - s(K_{\gamma,i}) - \mu_i\}$  is an infinite  $n$ -dimensional cycle lying in the compactum  $K_\beta \cup K_\gamma \cap r(M)$  and that this cycle is homologous to zero in  $X$ . Moreover, if we apply the hypothesis that  $\dim(K_\beta \cap K_\gamma) < n$ , we see easily that this cycle is not homologous to zero in its carrier  $K_\beta \cup K_\gamma \cup r(M)$ . However the existence of a such infinite cycle implies that the dimension of the space  $X$  is greater than  $n$ . Thus the proof of the theorem is concluded.

The following **problems** remain open:

**1.** *Is the theorem true if the notion of ANR-sets is understand in the more general sense, without the hypothesis of compactness?*

**2.** *Does the theorem remain true if we replace the hypothesis that the uncountable family of sets  $\{K_\alpha\}$  consists of ANR-sets, by the weaker hypothesis, that  $K_\alpha$  are arbitrary  $n$ -dimensional compacta?*

Now I shall present two applications of this theorem: the first to the problem of existence of universal absolute retracts, and the second — to the theory of  $r$ -neighbours.

We understand by an universal  $n$ -dimensional *AR*-set every  $n$ -dimensional *AR*-set which topologically contains every other  $n$ -dimensional *AR*-set. Since 1-dimensional *AR*-sets coincide with the dendrites, that is with locally connected continua which do not contain any simple closed curve, the problem of existence of an 1-dimensional *AR*-set was solved many years ago by T. WAŻEWSKI ([2]), who constructed a dendrite containing topologically every other dendrite. However the question of existence of  $n$ -dimensional universal *AR*-sets, for  $n > 1$ , has remained open. By a remark due to K. SIEKLUCKI, our theorem would allow to solve this problem for  $n = 2$  in the negative sense, in case we can construct an uncountable family of 2-dimensional *AR*-sets with the property that none of them topologically contains any 2-dimensional closed subset of another.

I shall give the idea of a construction of such a family. Consider an arbitrary sequence  $\{n_k\}$  of natural numbers greater than 1, and let  $P_1 = \Delta$  be a triangle in Euclidean 3-space  $E^3$ . By  $T_1$  we understand the triangulation of  $P_1$  consisting of the triangle  $\Delta$  and all its sides and vertices. Consider a system of  $n_1$  triangles  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n_1}$  lying in the interior of the triangle  $\Delta$  and satisfying the following two conditions:

1. The barycenter  $b_\Delta$  of  $\Delta$  is the common vertex of  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n_1}$ .
2.  $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j = (b_\Delta)$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Now let  $\varepsilon_1$  be a positive number and let  $\overline{a_\Delta b_\Delta}$  be a segment of length  $\varepsilon_1$ , perpendicular to the triangle  $\Delta$ . Consider the system of  $3n_1$  triangles  $\Delta'_1, \dots, \Delta'_{3n_1}$  which are spanned by the point  $a_\Delta$  and by all sides of the triangles  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{n_1}$ . Let us denote by  $P_2$  the polytope

$$R(\Delta, n_1, \varepsilon_1) = \Delta - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_1} \Delta_i \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{3n_1} \Delta'_j.$$

Next consider a triangulation  $T_2$  of this polytope and replace each of the triangles  $T_2$  by the polytope  $R(\Delta', n_2, \varepsilon_2)$  where  $\varepsilon_2$  is a sufficiently small positive number. Thus we obtain a polytope  $P_3$ . By iterating this procedure, we obtain a sequence  $\{P_k\}$  of 2-dimensional polytopes in  $E^3$  and it is easy to prove that, by a suitable choice of the triangulations  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  and of the numbers  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$ , the sequence  $\{P_k\}$  converges to a 2-dimensional *AR*-set, which we denote by  $P(\{n_k\})$ .

Now let us consider a sequence  $\{w_n\}$  of all rational numbers and let us assign to every real number  $t$  the increasing sequence  $\{n_k(t)\}$  consisting of all the integers  $n$  for which  $w_n < t$ . Setting

$$\Phi(t) = P(\{n_k(t)\}),$$

one obtains a family consisting of  $2^{\aleph_0}$  two-dimensional *AR*-sets with the property that, for  $t \neq t'$ , none of the 2-dimensional closed subsets of  $\Phi(t)$  is topologically included in  $\Phi(t')$ . By the preceding theorem, we see at once that none of the 2-dimensional *ANR*-sets could topologically contain all the sets  $\Phi(t)$ . Consequently a 2-dimensional universal *AR*-set does not exist.

The other application of our theorem concerns the theory of  $r$ -neighbours. (See [1].) We say that a space  $X$  is  $r$ -smaller than a space  $Y$  (in symbols:  $X < r Y$ ) provided  $X$  is homeomorphic to a retract of  $Y$ , but  $Y$  is not homeomorphic to a retract of  $X$ . If  $X < r Y$ , but no space  $Z$  satisfies the condition  $X < r Z < r Y$ , then we say that  $X$  is an  $r$ -neighbour of  $Y$  on the left. It is easy to show that if  $X$  is an  $r$ -neighbour on the left of the Euclidean 3-cube  $Q^3$ , then  $X$  must be a 2-dimensional *AR*-set, which topologically contains all of the sets  $\Phi(t)$ . However, by our theorem, this is impossible. Consequently the cube  $Q^3$  has no  $r$ -neighbours on the left.

*Added in proof.* The problem 2 is positively solved recently by K. SIEKLICKI.

## References

- [1] K. Borsuk: Concerning the classification of topological spaces from the stand-point of the theory of retracts. Fundam. Math. 46 (1959), 321–330.
- [2] T. Ważewski: Sur les courbes de Jordan ne renfermant aucune courbe simple fermée de Jordan. Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. 2 (1923), 49–170.