

Toposym 1

Věra Šedivá
Non- F -spaces

In: (ed.): General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proceedings of the symposium held in Prague in September 1961. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague, 1962. pp. 311--315.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700915>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1962

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

NON- F -SPACES

VĚRA ŠEDIVÁ-TRNKOVÁ

Praha

I. In this note some theorems about topological spaces, in which the closure of a set is not always a closed set, are shown. The topology u on a set $\overset{\circ}{P}$ is a mapping, which assigns a set $uM \subset P$ to every set $M \subset P$ and satisfies the following axioms: $u\emptyset = \emptyset$, $u(X) = (X)$, $u(M_1 \cup M_2) = uM_1 \cup uM_2$. The condition $u(uM) = uM$, called axiom F , is not required in general; thus we distinguish among F -spaces (i. e. spaces, satisfying F -axiom) and non- F -spaces. Non- F -spaces were called “gestufte Räume” by F. Hausdorff. In non- F -spaces neighbourhoods of sets and interiors of sets are defined as follows: a set U is a neighbourhood of a set M if $M \cap u(P - U) = \emptyset$; $\text{Int } M = \{x \in M; M \text{ is neighbourhood of } x\}$. The non- F -topology problems have been dealt with by some Czech mathematicians. E. Čech defined on a topological space (P, u) a new topology \tilde{u} , called the F -reduction of u such that \tilde{u} satisfies axiom F and $\{P - uM; M \subset P\}$ is an open base for it. Therefore the neighbourhoods of points in (P, \tilde{u}) are interiors of neighbourhoods in (P, u) . Evidently, \tilde{u} is finer than u and the equality $u = \tilde{u}$ holds if and only if u is an F -topology.

Theorem 1. *Let (P, v) be an F -space. Then there exists a non- F -topology u on P such that $\tilde{u} = v$ if and only if v is not maximal (i. e. there exists an infinite set $M \subset P$ such that $vM \neq P$).*

Proof in [17].

II. For the greater part of non-artificially constructed non- F -spaces the F -reduction is discrete. It refers especially to the spaces of real functions with a topology, defined by means of convergence of sequences of functions at each point. Let $D(Q)$ denote some set of real function on an F -space Q ; we say that a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of points of $D(Q)$ converges to $f \in D(Q)$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in Q$. On $D(Q)$ the topology u is defined in such a way that the closure uM of $M \subset D(Q)$ is the set of all limit-points of all sequences of points of M . This topology u shall be considered on a set of all (or all bounded) real continuous functions on some F -space Q (denoted by $C(Q)$) and on a set of all characteristic functions on some set Q (denoted by $\chi(Q)$). We recall that a space $(D(Q), \tilde{u})$ is discrete if and only if for every $f \in D(Q)$ there exists $H_f \subset D(Q)$ such that every $g \in D(Q)$, $g \neq f$ is a limit point of some sequence of points of H_f , but no sequence of points of H_f converges to f (this follows immediately from the definition of the F -reduction of a topology).

Theorem 2. *Let Q contain a countable dense subset. Then $(C(Q), \tilde{u})$ is discrete if and only if $(C(Q), u)$ is a non- F -space.*

Proof in [15].

For non-separable Q this theorem does not hold. There exists even a compact Hausdorff space Q , for which $(C(Q), u)$ is a non- F -space but $(C(Q), \tilde{u})$ is not discrete. The example of this space is contained in [15].

Theorem 3. *Let Q be a normal space, containing a discrete, normally imbedded subset,¹⁾ the power of which is \aleph^{\aleph_0} (\aleph denotes an arbitrary cardinal number) and a dense subset, the power of which is $\leq 2^{\aleph}$. Then $(C(Q), \tilde{u})$ is discrete.*

Proof in [15].

Theorem 4. *Let Q contain a countable dense metrizable subset; let every point of Q have a complete collection of neighbourhoods such that each neighbourhood from this collection is dense-in-itself, normal, non-meager space. Let $R \subset C(Q)$ be a ring of functions such that*

- (a) $uR = C(Q)$,
- (b) if $A \subset Q$ is closed, $x \in Q$, $x \notin A$, then there exists $f \in R$ such that $f(x) = 0$, $f(y) = 1$ for all $y \in A$.

Then for every $f \in C(Q)$ there exists $H_f \subset R$ such that $uH_f = C(Q) - (f)$.

Proof in [18].

III. Theorem 2 leads to one problem (which as far as I know, has not yet been fully solved) when $(C(Q), u)$ is an F -space and when it is not. Two theorems follow concerning this:

Theorem 5. *If for every $f \in C(Q)$ the set $f(Q)$ is countable, then $(C(Q), u)$ is an F -space.*

This theorem follows immediately from the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *If for every $f \in C(Q)$ the set $f(Q)$ is countable, then also a set $g(Q)$ is countable, where g is any continuous mapping on Q in a separable metric space.*

Proofs of this proposition 1 and of the theorem 5 are in [18].

Theorem 6. *If there exists $f \in C(Q)$ such that $f(Q)$ contains a dense-in-itself, non-meager closed part, then $(C(Q), u)$ is a non- F -space.*

Proof in [18].

IV. Definition. Let N be the set of all natural numbers, $\alpha, \beta \in N^N$. We write $\alpha < \beta$ if $\alpha(x) < \beta(x)$ for all $x \in N$ except a finite number.

Let ϱ be the smallest power of an unbounded chain in this order of N^N .

We call a set $M \subset N^N$ a hereditary unbounded system if for every infinite $A \subset M$ and every $\alpha \in N^N$ there exists $\beta \in M$ such that $\alpha(x) < \beta(x)$ for an infinite number of $x \in A$.

Let τ be the smallest power of a hereditary unbounded system.

¹⁾ I. e. every bounded real continuous function can be extended on the whole Q .

Theorem 7. *Let Q be a set. Then $(\chi(Q), u)$ is a non- F -space if and only if $\text{card } Q \cong \tau$.*

Proof in [18].

V. Let (P, u) be a space, u^* the F -topology, which we get from a topology u by iterating the closure operator. Following E. Čech we call this topology the F -modification of u . Consequently, the F -modification u^* of u is the finest F -topology from all F -topologies, coarser than u .

Theorem 8. *Let (P, v) be an F -space. Then v is not an F -modification of any non- F -topology on P if and only if v satisfies the condition \mathcal{D}_x for every $x \in P$.*

Condition \mathcal{D}_x : If $A \subset P$, $x \in vA - A$, then there exists $B \subset A$ such that $x \in vB$, $x \notin v(B - A - (x))$.

This condition has a very simple form for regular spaces: If $A \subset P$, $x \in vA - A$, then there exists $B \subset A$ such that $vB - B = (x)$.

The proof of theorem 8 is contained in [17].

Such an F -space, the topology of which is an F -modification of no non- F -topology, is called a strong F -space. Immediately from theorem 8 it follows that every metric space is a strong F -space. The product of an uncountable number of intervals $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ is not a strong F -space. This is implied by the following theorems:

Theorem 9. *If (P, v) is a product of an uncountable number of F -space, each of which contains at least two points, then there exists an uncountable number of topologies u on P such that $u^* = v$, the order²⁾ of u is 2 and for every $x \in P$ there exists $H_x \subset P$ such that $x \in u(uH_x) - uH_x$.*

Theorem 10. *Let (P_λ, v_λ) ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) be F -spaces, satisfying the first axiom of countability. Let $2 \leq \text{card } P_\lambda \leq \text{card } \Lambda > \aleph_0$. Let (P, v) be the product of the spaces (P_λ, v_λ) . Then there exist $2^{\text{card } P}$ different topologies u on P such that $u^* = v$, \tilde{u} is discrete and the order of u is 2.*

If (P, v) is a product of F -spaces (P_λ, v_λ) , $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $2 \leq \text{card } P_\lambda \leq \text{card } \Lambda > \aleph_0$, then there exists [17] a disjoint system $\{A_x; x \in P\}$ of dense subsets of P and such that if all (P_λ, v_λ) satisfy the first axiom of countability, then every A_x satisfies (a) from the following proposition:

Proposition 2. Let (P, v) be an F -space. Let there exist the collection $\{A_x; x \in P\}$ of subsets of P such that

- (a) $x \in vA_x - A_x$ and if $B \subset A_x$, $x \in vB$, then $x \in v(vB - A_x - (x))$,
- (b) for $y \in vA_x - A_x - (x)$ there exists a neighbourhood Y of y such that $Y \cap A_x \cap A_y = \emptyset$.

Then there exists a topology u on P , the order of which is 2, $u^* = v$ and for every $x \in P$ there exists $H_x \subset P$ such that $x \in u(uH_x) - uH_x$.

If in addition,

²⁾ If we define for $M \subset P$: $u^1 M = uM$, $u^\beta M = u(\bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} u^\gamma M)$, then the order of topology u is the smallest ordinal number α such that $u^{\alpha+1} M = u^\alpha M$ for all $M \subset P$.

(c) $vA_x = P$ for every $x \in P$

then \tilde{u} is discrete.

The proofs of proposition 2, theorems 9 and 10 are contained in [17].

VI. In this section the T_1 -axiom for spaces is not assumed. If (Q, v) is an F -space and f its mapping onto a set P , usually a quotient-topology on P is defined as a finest F -topology for which f is a continuous mapping. If we substitute the word “ F -topology” in this definition through “topology” only, we get a new notion of the quotient-topology. Evidently the “old-quotient-topology” is the F -modification of the “new quotient-topology”.³⁾

Theorem 11. *If (P, u) is a space, then there exists an F -space (Q, v) and a mapping f of (Q, v) onto P such that (P, u) is a quotient space (“new” of course). It is possible to choose $Q \supset P$ and such that the subspace $Q - P \subset\subset (Q, v)$ is discrete and the subspace $P \subset\subset (Q, v)$ is homeomorphic with (P, \tilde{u}) .*

Proof in [17].

VII. Three theorems follow about F -modification of topology u (defined by means of convergence of functions at each point) on a set $\chi(Q)$ of all characteristic functions on some set Q :

Theorem 12. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $(\chi(Q), u)$ is not regular,⁴⁾
- (b) $(\chi(Q), u^*)$ is not regular,
- (c) $\text{card } Q \geq \aleph_1$.

Proof in [18].

Theorem 13. *Let $\text{card } Q \geq \aleph_1$ (c. f. IV). Then for every $f \in \chi(Q)$ there exists a countable set $A \subset \chi(Q)$ and a closed subset T of $(\chi(Q), u)$ such that $f \notin T$ and if U is a neighbourhood of f in $(\chi(Q), u)$, then $T \cap u(U \cap A) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof in [15].

Let σ be the smallest power of a system \mathcal{A} of subsets of some countable infinite set A such that if $B \subset A$ is infinite, then there exists $C \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $B - C$ and $B \cap C$ are infinite.

Theorem 14. *The space $(\chi(Q), u^*)$ is countably compact if and only if $\text{card } Q < \sigma$.*

Proof in [18].

VIII. Finally, I would like to give a summary of directions of recent non- F -topology research. We could roughly divide papers about non- F -topology into three groups. The first group is composed of studies of properties of a set of ordinal numbers, which we get by iterating the closure-operator (papers [4], [5], [9]); the second group is a study of topologies, defined by means of various convergences of sequences,

³⁾ This definition has been communicated to me by M. KATĚTOV.

⁴⁾ The definition of regularity is the same for non- F -spaces as well as for F -spaces; if U is a neighbourhood of x , then there exists a neighbourhood of x , the closure of which is contained in U .

especially convergences of sequences of functions (papers [3], [6], [7], [8], [11], [12], [15], [16], [18]) and last but not least are studies of “pure theory” of non- F -spaces (papers [1], [2], [3], [10], [13], [14], [17]).

References

- [1] *E. Čech*: Topologické prostory. Praha 1959.
- [2] *E. Čech*: Topologické prostory. Časopis pěst. mat. 66 (1937), 225–264.
- [3] *F. Hausdorff*: Gestufte Räume. Fundam. math. 25 (1935), 486–502.
- [4] *V. Jarník*: Sur un problème de M. Čech. Věstník Král. čes. spol. nauk, tř. matemat. přírodověd., 1938.
- [5] *L. Kopřiva*: Zum Problem der Iteration in der Topologie. Práce Brněn. Českosl. Akad. Věd, 29 (1957), 256–276.
- [6] *L. Mišík*: Poznámky k U -axiomu v topologických grupách. Mat. fyz. časop. 6, No 2 (1956), 78–84.
- [7] *M. Neubauer*: Sur les espaces des fonctions continues. Fundam. math. 31 (1938), 269–278.
- [8] *J. Novák*: Sur les espaces (L) et sur les produits cartesiens (L). Spisy vyd. přírod. fak. MU, Brno 1939.
- [9] *J. Novák*: On a problem of E. Čech. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), 211–214.
- [10] *J. Novák*: Induction partiell stetiger Funktionen. Math. Annalen 118 (1942), 449–461.
- [11] *J. Novák* and *L. Mišík*: O L -priestoroch spojitéch funkcí. Mat. fyz. sborník SAV 1 (1951), 1–17.
- [12] *B. Pospíšil*: Sur les fonctions continues. Fundam. Math. 31 (1938), 262–268.
- [13] *J. Seitz*: O jednom problému prof. Čecha. Časop. pěst. mat. 75 (1950), 43–44.
- [14] *V. Šedivá*: Několik příkladů topologických prostorů, nespňujících axiom F . Časop. pěst. mat. 84 (1959), 461–466.
- [15] *V. Šedivá*: On pointwise convergence of sequences of continuous functions. CMUC 1, 2 (1960), 43–51.
- [16] *V. Trnková*: On convergence of sequences of functions. CMUC 2, 3 (1961), 1–12.
- [17] *V. Trnková*: Non- F -spaces. It will be sent to Czech. Math. J.
- [18] *V. Trnková*: On pointwise convergence of sequences of functions. It will be sent to Czech. Math. J.