

# Toposym 1

---

Leonard Gillman

Remote points in  $\beta R$

In: (ed.): General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proceedings of the symposium held in Prague in September 1961. Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague, 1962. pp. 175--176.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700929>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1962

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## REMOTE POINTS IN $\beta R$

L. GILLMAN

Rochester

The results presented here were obtained jointly with N. J. FINE.<sup>1)</sup>

It seems natural to conjecture that each point  $p$  in  $\beta R$  is a limit point of a suitable increasing or decreasing sequence in  $R$  — that is, that  $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta R} D$  for some discrete subset  $D$  of  $R$  that is closed in  $R$ . (As usual,  $\beta X$  denotes the Stone-Čech compactification of  $X$ , and  $R$  denotes the real line.) However, this is false. In fact (as was pointed out by W. F. EBERLEIN several years ago), it is easy to find a point in  $\beta R$  that is not a limit point of any closed subset of  $R$  that has finite Lebesgue measure.

Our present question is: does there exist a point in  $\beta R$  that is not in the closure of any discrete subset of  $R$  (closed or not). The problem seems surprisingly difficult. If we assume the continuum hypothesis [CH], then we can find such a “remote” point. (But we do not know whether [CH] is necessary.) An equivalent formulation in terms of subsets of  $R$  itself is: [CH] there exists a “z-ultrafilter” on  $R$  no member of which is nowhere dense. (A z-ultrafilter is a maximal family of zero-sets with the finite intersection property. On the real line, zero-sets — i. e., sets of zeros of continuous real-valued functions — are the same as closed sets.)

More generally, we have:

**Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular (Hausdorff) space such that (a) the set of all isolated points does not admit a Ulam 2-valued measure, and (b) there exists a family  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\aleph_1$  dense open sets such that every dense open set in  $X$  contains a member of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $X$  admits an unbounded continuous real-valued function if and only if there exists a z-ultrafilter  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $X$  such that  $\bigcap \mathcal{A}$  is void and every dense open set contains a member of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

Hypothesis (a) holds, in particular, if the cardinal of the set of isolated points of  $X$  is smaller than the first strongly inaccessible cardinal. In the proof of necessity, this hypothesis is not used at all. (Other portions of the theorem may also be stated more generally.)

Our result about remote points in  $\beta R$  follows easily from [CH] and the theorem. If we consider the subspace consisting of the rationals and one remote point, we get:

---

<sup>1)</sup> The complete article appears in the Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 13 (1962), 29—36.

**Corollary.** [CH] *There exists a countable, completely regular space without isolated points, one of whose points is not a limit point of any discrete set.*

Finally, it can be shown [CH] that the set of all remote points is actually dense in  $\beta R - R$ .