Miroslav Hušek Decompositions of adjoint situations

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 4th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1976. pp. 11--13.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701036

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://project.dml.cz

FOURTH WINTER SCHOOL (1976)

DECOMPOSITIONS OF ADJOINT SITUATIONS

by

M. HUŠEK

As it is known, reflections and coreflections are special cases of adjunctions. The (adjoint) composition of reflections is again a reflection and similarly for coreflections. Clearly, the composition of a reflection and a coreflection (or in the converse order) need not be either reflection or coreflection. What are the adjunctions which can be expressed as such compositions?

Theorem 1. Any adjunction (η, ε) : $F \rightarrow G$: (A,B) is a composition of a full monoreflection $(l_{\mathfrak{B}}, \psi)$: $J_{2} \rightarrow G$: $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{B})$ and a full epireflection $(g, l_{\mathfrak{A}})$: $R \rightarrow J_{1}: (A,\mathcal{L})$. The ψ is epi iff ε is epi (i.e., iff G is faithful) and g is mono iff η is mono (i.e., iff F is faithful).

An adjunction (η, ε) : $F \longrightarrow G$ is called normal, if ηG is iso (i.e., if $GF \eta = \eta GF$ or if $F \eta$ is iso or if $G \varepsilon F$ is iso, etc.).

Moreover, we can prove that (η, ε) is not normal iff no prolongation of $1_{\mathfrak{H}} \longrightarrow GF$ contains an iso (by a prolongation of the η we mean any left-continuous functor \mathscr{H} on ordinal numbers into functors $\mathfrak{H} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ with $\mathscr{H}(\omega, \omega + 1) = (GF)^n \eta \mathscr{H}(\beta)$ for an $n < \omega_0$, $\beta = \infty - n$; the same for ε .

Theorem 2. An adjunction is isomorphic to a composition of a full coreflection, an aquivalence and a full reflection iff it is normal. The coreflection is mono iff the counit is mono, and the reflection is epi iff the unit is epi.

— As in the case of epireflective hulls one may now prove the existence of adjoint hulls if we restrict ourselves to adjunctions with the unit being epi (thus the adjunctions are normal).

Denote by FUNC the metacategory the objects of which are functors into categories with cointersections and terminal objects, and morphisms $G \longrightarrow G'$ are pairs $\langle K, H \rangle$ with: HG = G'K, if G is full and faithful in A from the left, then G' is full and faithfull in KA from the left, and H [epirefl G [Q]] \subset epirefl G'[Q']. (For the existence of epireflective hulls it suffices that the category has cointersections and is cowell-powered.) Denote by ADJ the full sub-metacategory of FUNC generated by those functors which are right adjoints (if $G: Q \longrightarrow B$ are objects of ADJ, \mathcal{C}_1 are the corresponding categories epireflective in B_1 and coreflective in Q_1 , then $\langle K,H \rangle: G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ iff $HG_1 = G_2K$ and $H/\mathcal{C}_1 = K/\mathcal{C}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$).

Theorem 3. ADJ is reflective in FUNC.

The condition on preserving epireflective hulls cannot be omitted (in that case the "reflection" has not the extension property); it is fulfilled if H is right continuous on complete categories. If we omit the condition on preserving "full and faithful left objects", then the "reflection" has the extension property but not unique. We may change the equality HG = G'K to a transformation $\tau : HG \longrightarrow G'K$ (then morphisms are triples $\langle K, H, \tau \rangle$); in this case we also loss unicity.