

Reinhard Börger

A generalization of component categories

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 7th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1979. pp. 15–18.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701139>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library <http://dml.cz>

A GENERALIZATION OF COMPONENT CATEGORIES

Reinhard Börger

Component categories have been investigated by several authors (see [2], [4]), for topological functors $G : \underline{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$, where \mathbf{Ens} is the category of sets. We give a generalization to arbitrary functors $G : \underline{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$, following an idea of Pumplün and Holmann (unpublished) and we are led to a generalization of a Galois correspondence given by Maranda. [3]. The results are part of my thesis.

Theorem: Let $G : \underline{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ be a functor, and $\underline{A} \subset \underline{X}$ a full subcategory such that $G|_{\underline{A}}$ is pointwise non-void, i.e. $G(A) \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in \text{Ob}(\underline{A})$. Then there is a functor $Q_{\underline{A}} : \underline{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ens}$ and a natural transformation $\zeta_{G, \underline{A}} : G \rightarrow Q_{G, \underline{A}}$ with the following properties:

- (i) For all $A \in \text{Ob}(\underline{A})$ the cardinality of $G(A)$ is 1.
- (ii) If $\alpha : G \rightarrow P$ is a natural transformation, such that $\alpha(A)$ is of cardinality 1 for all $A \in \text{Ob}(\underline{A})$, then there is a unique natural transformation $\xi : Q_{G, \underline{A}} \rightarrow P$ with $\xi \zeta_{G, \underline{A}} = \alpha$.
- (iii) For all $X \in \text{Ob}(\underline{X})$, $\zeta_{G, \underline{A}}(X)$ is onto.

Definition: Let $\alpha : G \rightarrow P$ be a natural transformation, such that $\alpha(X)$ is onto for all $X \in \text{Ob}(\underline{X})$. Then $\text{Connect}(\alpha)$ denotes the full subcategory of \underline{X} generated by all \underline{X} -objects A where $P(A)$ is a singleton.

Now the above theorem can be interpreted as a Galois adjunction between Connect and $\zeta_{G, -}$, considered as meta-functors between the meta-category of all full subcategories \underline{A} of \underline{X} with $G|_{\underline{A}}$ pointwise non-void and the meta-category of all pointwise surjective natural transformations with domain G .

Definition: Let $G : \underline{A} \rightarrow \text{Ens}$ be a functor. A full subcategory $\underline{A} \subset \underline{X}$ is called a G -component category, iff $\underline{A} = \text{Connect}(\zeta_{G, \underline{A}})$ (or, equivalently, iff there exist a functor P and a natural transformation $\alpha : G \rightarrow P$, such that \underline{A} is the full subcategory generated by all objects $A \in \text{Ob}(\underline{X})$ where $P(A)$ is a singleton).

Corollary: If $\underline{A} \subset \underline{X}$ is a full subcategory and $G : \underline{X} \rightarrow \text{Ens}$ is a functor with $G|_{\underline{A}}$ pointwise non-void, then $\text{Connect}(\zeta_{G, \underline{A}})$ is the smallest G -component category containing \underline{X} .

Theorem: Let $G : \underline{X} \rightarrow \text{Ens}$ be a mono-fibration (i.e. any injective map $m : U \rightarrow G(X)$ has an initial lifting to an \underline{X} -morphism $\bar{m} : \bar{U} \rightarrow X$). Let M denote the class of all G -initial liftings of injective maps and let $\underline{A} \subset \underline{X}$ be a full and replete subcategory with $G|_{\underline{A}}$ pointwise non void. Let \underline{A} contain all $A \in \text{Ob}(\underline{X})$ for which $P(A)$ is a singleton. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) \underline{A} is a G -component category.
- (ii) \underline{A} is strongly locally M -coreflective in the sense of [1], i.e. for any $X \in \text{Ob}(\underline{X})$ there is a family $(u_i : Z_i \rightarrow X)_{i \in I}$, all Z_i are in \underline{A} , such that for any $f : A \rightarrow X$ with $A \in \text{Ob}(\underline{A})$ there is a unique pair (i, h) with $i \in I$, $h : A \rightarrow Z_i$, and $u_i h = f$.
- (iii) \underline{A} fulfills the following conditions:

- 1) If $A \in \text{Ob}(\underline{A})$, $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an \underline{X} -morphism, $G(f)$ is onto, then $B \in \text{Ob}(\underline{A})$.
- 2) Let $X \in \text{Ob}(\underline{X})$, $(m_i : A_i \rightarrow X)_{i \in I}$, $I \neq \emptyset$ be a family of G -initial morphisms, such that $G(m_i)$ is onto one for all $i \in I$. If now $\bigcap \{G(m_i)[A_i]\} \neq \emptyset$, $\bigcup \{G(m_i)[A_i]\} = G(X)$ then $X \in \text{Ob}(\underline{A})$.

This characterization leads to a general investigation of full replete strongly coreflective subcategories of an arbitrary category.

Definition: Let \underline{X} be a category.

- (i) If $A \in \text{Ob}(\underline{X})$, $(Y, (X_i \xrightarrow{m_i} Y)_{i \in I})$ is a sink, A is called locally uniquely projective with respect to $(Y, (X_i \xrightarrow{m_i} Y)_{i \in I})$, iff for any \underline{X} -morphism $f : A \rightarrow Y$ there is a unique pair (i, h) with $i \in I$, $h m_i = f$. Equivalently, we say $(Y, (X_i \xrightarrow{m_i} Y)_{i \in I})$ is locally uniquely coextendable with respect to A .
- (ii) If $\underline{A} \subset \underline{X}$ is a full subcategory let $p_1^{\text{loc}}(\underline{A})$ denote the conglomerate of all locally coextendable sinks with respect to all $\underline{A} \in \text{Ob}(\underline{A})$.
- (iii) If S is a conglomerate of \underline{X} -sinks, let $c_1^{\text{loc}}(S)$ denote the full subcategory of \underline{X} generated by all locally uniquely projective objects with respect to all S -sinks.
- (iv) If M is a class of \underline{X} -morphisms, let \hat{M} denote the conglomerate of all sinks $(Y, (X \xrightarrow{m_i} Y_i)_{i \in I})$ with $m_i \in M$ for all $i \in I$.
- (v) Let \underline{A} be a full replete subcategory of \underline{X} and M a class of morphisms. \underline{A} is called strongly locally M -coreflective, if for any $Y \in \text{Ob}(\underline{X})$ there is a sink $(Y, (X_i \xrightarrow{m_i} Y)_{i \in I}) \in p_1^{\text{loc}}(\underline{A}) \cap \hat{M}$ with $X_i \in \text{Ob}(\underline{A})$ for all $i \in I$. \underline{A} is called strongly locally coreflective, iff \underline{A} is strongly locally \underline{X} -coreflective.
- As p_1^{loc} and c_1^{loc} form a Galois correspondence, we look at the full subcategories closed under the correspondence. We get the following

Theorem: Let X be a category, $A \subset X$ a full subcategory, M a class of X -morphisms.

- (i) If $A = p_1^{loc} c_1^{loc} (A)$, then A is closed under the formation of connected colimits.
- (ii) If A is strongly locally M -coreflective, then $A = p_1^{loc} (c_1^{loc} (A) \cap \hat{M})$.
- (iii) If A has locally coorthogonal (E, M) -factorizations (see [5]), then $A = p_1^{loc} (c_1^{loc} (A) \cap \hat{M})$ implies that A is strongly locally M -coreflective.

References:

- [1] R. Börger, W. Tholen: Abschwächungen des Adjunktionsbegriffs. Math. Z. **119** (1976), 19-45.
- [2] H. Herrlich, Topologische Reflexionen und Coreflexionen, Springer Lecture Notes 78 (1968).
- [3] J.M. Maranda, Injective Structures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1969), 98-135.
- [4] G. Preuß, Allgemeine Topologie, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1972).
- [5] W. Tholen, Semitopological functors I. To appear in J. Pure Appl. Alg.

Fachbereich Mathematik
Fernuniversität
Postfach 940
D-5800 Hagen
West-Germany