Jan de Vries On the existence of G-compactifications

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 7th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1979. pp. 92–94.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701156

Terms of use:

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

SEVENTH WINTER SCHOOL (1979)

ON THE EXISTENCE OF G-COMPACTIFICATIONS Jan de Vries Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam

A topological transformation group (ttg) is a triple $\langle G, X, \pi \rangle$ where G is a topological group, X is a topological space and π is an action of G on X, that is, $\pi: G \times X \to X$ is a continuous mapping such that (i) $\forall x \in X: \pi(e,x) = x$ (e denotes the identity element of G), (ii) $\forall (s,t,x) \in G \times G \times X: \pi(t,\pi(s,x)) = \pi(ts,x)$. A ttg $\langle G,X,\pi \rangle$ will also be called a G-space. Using the notation $\pi^{t}x := \pi(t,x)$ for $(t,x) \in G \times X$, we have $\pi^{e} = 1_{X}$ and $\pi^{t} \circ \pi^{S} = \pi^{tS}$. So $t \mapsto \pi^{t}$ defines a homomorphism of G into the group of all autohomeomorphisms of X.

If $\langle G, X, \pi \rangle$ is a G-space and if there exists a G-space $\langle G, Y, \sigma \rangle$ such that Y is a compact Hausdorff space and X is (homeomorphic with) a dense subset of Y such that $\sigma^{t}|_{X} = \pi^{t}$ for every t ϵ G, then $\langle G, Y, \sigma \rangle$ is called a G-compactification of $\langle G, X, \pi \rangle$. A necessary condition for $\langle G, X, \pi \rangle$ to have a G-compactification is, that X is a Tychonoff space. The question is, whether of this condition is sufficient. For R-spaces, this question occurs in [2], and for general G-spaces in [3] in the context of reflection of G-spaces in the category of compact Hausdorff G-spaces. The following result, which has just been published [4], provides a partial solution to this problem:

<u>THEOREM 1.</u> If G is locally compact then every G-space $\langle G, X, \pi \rangle$ with X a Tychonoff space has a G-compactification.

Another partial solution is included in Theorem 3 below, which is joint work of H. LUDESCHER (Timisoara, Romania) and myself. It is a consequence of the following theorem, in which the following notation will be used: $\pi_x t :=$ $\pi(t,x) = \pi^t x$ for $(t,x) \in G \times X$; so $\pi_y: G \rightarrow X$ is continuous for all $x \in X$.

<u>THEOREM 2</u>. (BROOK, [1]). Let $\langle G, X, \pi \rangle$ be a G-space in which X is a Tychonoff space, and suppose that there exists a uniformity \emptyset for X (i.e. compatible with the topology of X) such that the following conditions are satisfied: (i) $\{\pi_X : x \in X\}$ is \emptyset -equicontinuous at e; (ii) $\forall t \in G: \pi^t: X \Rightarrow X$ is \emptyset -uniformly continuous. Then $\langle G, X, \pi \rangle$ has a G-compactification.

<u>Proof</u> (outline). Let W^* be the weakest uniformity on X such that every W-uniformly continuous function from X to the interval [0,1] is W^* -uniformly

continuous. Then $(\emptyset^* \text{ is compatible with the topology of X, and } (X, \emptyset^*) \text{ is pre$ $compact, i.e. the completion X* of X w.r.t. <math>(\emptyset^* \text{ is a compact Hausdorff space.}$ Using (ii), it is easily seen that each $\pi^t: X \to X$ is \emptyset^* -uniformly continuous, hence has a (uniformly) continuous extension $\sigma^t: X^* \to X^*$. Using condition (i), it is not difficult to show that the mapping $\sigma: (t,z) \mapsto \sigma^t z: G \times X^* \to X^*$ is continuous. Then $\langle G, X^*, \sigma \rangle$ is a G-compactification of $\langle G, X, \pi \rangle$.

<u>THEOREM 3</u>. Let $\langle G, X, \pi \rangle$ be a G-space with X a Tychonoff space, and suppose that there exists a uniformity U for X such that $\{\pi^{t}: t \in G\}$ is U-equicontinuous at every point of X. Then $\langle G, X, \pi \rangle$ has a G-compactification.

. Proof (outline). For $\alpha \in U$, define

 $G(\alpha) := \{ (x,y) \in X \times X : (\pi^{t}x, \pi^{t}y) \in \alpha \text{ for all } t \in G \},\$

and let V be the uniformity, generated by $\{G(\alpha) \colon \alpha \in U\}$. Then by U-equicontinuity, V is compatible with the topology of X. Moreover, $\{\pi^t \colon t \in G\}$ is V-uniformly equicontinuous on X. Using this property of V, it turns out that the collection of all sets of the form

$$[V,\alpha] := \{ (\pi^{\mathsf{L}} \mathbf{x}, \pi^{\mathsf{S}} \mathbf{y}) : s, t \in G \& ts^{-1} \in V \& (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \alpha \},\$$

V a neighbourhood of e in G and $\alpha \in V$, is a base of a uniformity \emptyset . Then \emptyset turns out to be compatible with the topology of X as well. The following properties of \emptyset and π are now easily established:

(i) $\{\pi_{\mathbf{x}}: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}\}$ is \mathbb{W} -equicontinuous at e (indeed, for every neighbourhood V of e in G and every $\alpha \in V$ we have $(\pi_{\mathbf{x}}t, \mathbf{x}) = (\pi^{t}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) \in [\mathbf{V}, \alpha]$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$, provided $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{V}$); (ii) $\forall t \in G: \pi^{t}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is \mathbb{W} -uniformly continuous (indeed, if V is a neighbourhood of e in G and $\alpha \in V$, then for every $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{G}$ there is a neighbourhood W of e in G such that $tWt^{-1} \subseteq V$, hence for all $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in [\mathbb{W}, \alpha]$ we have $(\pi^{t}\mathbf{x}, \pi^{t}\mathbf{y}) \in [\mathbf{V}, \alpha]$). Now Theorem 2 implies that $\langle G, \mathbf{X}, \pi \rangle$ has a G-compactification.

<u>REMARK.</u> In [3; 7.3.12] a different proof of Theorem 2 has been given. In fact, there we proved that condition (i) in Theorem 2 is sufficient for $\langle G, X, \pi \rangle$ to have a G-compactification $\langle G, Y, \sigma \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{W}(Y) \leq \max\{\mathcal{W}(G), \mathcal{W}(X)\}$; here $\mathcal{W}(Z)$ denotes the (topological) weight of a space Z.

93

- [1] BROOK, R.B., A construction of the greatest ambit, Math. Systems Theory <u>4</u> (1970), 243-248.
- [2] CARLSON, D.H., Extension of dynamical systems via prolongations, Funkcial Ekvac. <u>14</u> (1971), 35-46.
- [3] DE VRIES, J., Topological transformation groups I, a categorial approach, Mathematical Centre Tracts No. 65, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [4] _____, On the existence of G-compactification, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 26 (3) (1978), 275-280.

. •

ŗ