

Zbigniew Lipecki

Extreme extensions of positive operators, II

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 8th Winter School on Abstract Analysis.
Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1980. pp. 113–116.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701190>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic,
1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to
digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this
document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic
delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project
DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library <http://dml.cz>

8TH WINTER SCHOOL ON ABSTRACT ANALYSIS

EXTREME EXTENSIONS OF POSITIVE OPERATORS. II

BY

Z. LIPECKI

The results we present here are taken from the author's papers [6] and [7], the second being a joint work with W. Thomsen (Münster). They extend and complement some results of [5] and [6] (see also [3]).

Throughout X stands for an ordered real vector space, M for its vector subspace, and Y for an order complete real vector lattice. Let $T \in L_+(M, Y)$ and put

$$E(T) = \{S \in L_+(X, Y) : SIM = T\}.$$

Clearly, $E(T)$ is a convex (possibly empty) set.

We continue the discussion of the following three problems:

- (A) Under what conditions $E(T) \neq \emptyset$?
- (B) Under what conditions $\text{extr } E(T) \neq \emptyset$?
- (C) How can the elements of $\text{extr } E(T)$ be described?

The first to deal with (A) was Kantorovič (1937) who proved that the answer is positive provided M majorizes X . The first to deal (essentially) with (B) was Bonsall. He proved that if X has an order unit u , $M = \text{lin } \{u\}$ and $Y = \mathbb{R}$, then $\text{extr } E(T) \neq \emptyset$ ([1], Theorem 3). The following more general result was proved in [5] (see also [3], Theorem 1).

THEOREM 1. $\text{extr } E(T) \neq \emptyset$ provided M majorizes X .

Of course, the assumption that M is majorizing is not necessary. (Take $M = \{0\}$; then $S = 0$ is in $\text{extr } E(T)$.)

A somewhat more complicated example shows that problems (A) and (B) are not equivalent.

EXAMPLE 1 ([7], Example 2). Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a nonatomic probability space. Put $X = L_p(\mu)$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $M = \text{lin} \{1_\Omega\}$. Define $T: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $T(t1_\Omega) = t$. Then $E(T)$ can be identified with the set

$$\{f \in L_q(\mu)_+ : \int_\Omega f d\mu = 1\},$$

where q is the exponent conjugate to p . Hence, as easily seen, $\text{extr } E(T) = \emptyset$.

Not much more seems to be known about problems (A) and (B) in the general setting we are concerned with. For a positive answer to (A) the following condition must hold: $T_e > -\infty$, where $T_e(x) = \inf \{T(z) : x \leq z \in M\}$. This condition is sufficient in spaces with order unit ([4], (ii)) and so in finite-dimensional spaces. Unfortunately, it does not suffice in general as shown by van der Meulen ([2], the Example). We shall give another example to the same effect.

EXAMPLE 2 ([7], Example 1). Let (Ω, Σ, μ) , M and T be as in Example 1. We regard M as a subspace of $L_0(\mu)$, the vector lattice of real-valued μ -measurable functions on Ω . We have $T_e(x) = \text{ess sup } x$ for $x \in L_0(\mu)$, and so $T_e(x) > -\infty$. However, $E(T) = \emptyset$ since, by a well-known theorem of Nikodym, there are no non-zero (linear) functionals on $L_0(\mu)$ which are continuous with respect to the topology of measure convergence, and each positive functional on $L_0(\mu)$ would be continuous.

Next we turn to problem (C). From now on we assume that X is directed by its ordering. In particular, X can be a vector lattice. For $S \in L_+(X, Y)$ and $x \in X$ we put

$$S_m(x) = \inf \{S(v) : \pm x \leq v \in X\}.$$

In case X is a vector lattice, $S_m(x) = S(|x|)$ for $x \in X$.

THEOREM 2 ([61, Theorem 2]). Let $T \in L_+(M, Y)$ and $S \in E(T)$. Then $S \in \text{extr } E(T)$ if and only if

$$\inf \{S_m(x-z) : z \in M\} = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X.$$

For $Y = \mathbb{R}$ this result is due (essentially) to Portenier ([9], Théorème 3.5). In case X is a vector lattice, it was obtained, independently of Portenier, by the author, Plachky and Thomsen ([4], Theorem 3; see also [3], Theorem 2). However, the first to deal with (C) seems to be Bonsall who proved a prototype of Theorem 2 for $M = \text{lin}\{u\}$, where u is an order unit of X , and $Y = \mathbb{R}$ ([11], Theorem 1).

Finally, let us mention some applications of Theorems 1 and 2.

THEOREM 3 ([71, Theorem 1]). Let N be a vector subspace of Y and let $y \in Y$. Then $y \in B_N$, where B_N denotes the band generated by N , if and only if $\inf \{ |y-v| : v \in N \} = 0$.

Denote by $H(X, Y)$ the set of all $S \in L_+(X, Y)$ such that $|S(x)| = S_m(x)$ for each $x \in X$. In case X is a vector lattice, $S \in H(X, Y)$ if and only if S is a vector-lattice homomorphism.

Using Theorems 2, 3 and 1, one easily obtains

COROLLARY ([71, Theorem 3, and [5], Corollary 2). Let M be directed by its ordering and let $T \in H(M, Y)$. Then

$$(a) \text{ extr } E(T) = E(T) \cap H(X, B_{T(M)}).$$

(b) If M majorizes X , then $\text{extr } E(T) = E(T) \cap H(X, Y)$; in particular, $E(T) \cap H(X, Y) \neq \emptyset$.

For M and X being vector lattices Corollary (b) has been obtained, independently and by different methods, by Luxemburg and Schep [8] (Theorems 3.1 and 4.1).

REFERENCES

[1] F. F. Bonsall, Extreme maximal ideals of a partially ordered vector space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 7 (1956), p. 831-837.

[2] U. an der Heiden, Dominated extension of nonnegative linear functionals, *Arch. Math.* 26 (1975), p. 402-406.

[3] Z. Lipecki, Extreme extensions of positive operators, *Abstracta of the 6th Winter School an Abstract Analysis*, p. 63-66.

[4] Z. Lipecki, D. Plachky and W. Thomsen, Extensions of positive operators and extreme points. I, *Colloq. Math.* 42 (1979), p. 279-284.

[5] Z. Lipecki, Extensions of positive operators and extreme points. II, *ibid.* 42 (1979), p. 285-289.

[6] Z. Lipecki, Extensions of positive operators and extreme points. III, *ibid.*, to appear.

[7] Z. Lipecki and W. Thomsen, Extensions of positive operators and extreme points. IV, *ibid.*, to appear.

[8] W. A. J. Luxemburg and A. R. Schep, An extension theorem for Riesz homomorphisms, *Indag. Math.* 41 (1979), p. 145-154.

[9] C. Portenier, Points extrémaux et densité, *Math. Ann.* 209 (1974), p. 83-89.