Ryszard Frankiewicz; Andrzej Gutek On decomposition of spaces on meager sets

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 9th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1981. pp. 25–28.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701221

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

NINTH WINTER SCHOOL ON ABSTRACT ANALYSIS (1981)

On decompositions of spaces on meager sets

Ryszard Frankiewicz and Andrzej Gutek

<u>Definition</u>. A Hausdorff space X is said to be <u>pseudobasically</u> <u>compact</u> iff there exists a pseudobase \mathcal{C} of X and a relation <defined on \mathcal{C} such that

(a) if $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$ and U < V, then $U \subseteq V$ and $U \neq V$,

- (b) if $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and \mathbb{C} is a chain with respect to <, then $\bigcap \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$,
- (c) for each open set $W \subseteq X$ and $V \in \mathcal{C}$ if $W \cap V \neq \emptyset$ then there exists $U \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $U \subseteq W$ and U < V.

The following two lemmas are just simple observations:

Lemma 1. An open subset of a pseudobacically compact space is pseudobasically compact.

Lemme 2. The closure of an open subset of a pseudobasically compact space is pseudobasically compact.

The following is not so trivial:

Lemma 3. A dense G_{ξ} set of a pseudobasically compact space is pseudobasically compact.

<u>Freef</u>. Let X be a pseudobasically compact space and let $\{U_n : n=1,2,\ldots\}$ be a decreasing sequence of open sets of X such that $G = \bigcap \{U_n : n=1,2,\ldots\}$ is dense. Let \mathcal{C} be a pseudobase of X and let (a)-(c) hold for \mathcal{C} . Consider families $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{U \in \mathcal{C} : U \subseteq IntG\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_n = \{U \cap G : U \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } U \subseteq U_n \setminus clIntG\}$ for n=1,2,.... Put $\mathcal{C}_{C} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{C}_{k} : k=0,1,...\}$ and for U,VE \mathcal{C}_{G} rut U < V iff U,VE \mathcal{C}_{e} and U < V or iff U,VE $(\mathcal{C}_{G} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{e})$ and U < V and if VE \mathcal{C}_{L} then UE \mathcal{C}_{L+1} and $\operatorname{Int}(V \setminus V) \neq Q$. The family \mathcal{C}_{G} is a pseudobase of G and (a)-(c) hold for \mathcal{C}_{U} and < ...

Lemma 4. Let X be a pseudobasically compact space and let \mathcal{C} be ε pseudobase of X for which (a)-(c) hold. Then there exists a pseudobase \mathcal{PSC} such that $|\mathcal{O}| = \pi w(X)$ and such that (ε) -(c) hold for \mathcal{O} .

<u>Proof</u>. Observe first, that $\pi_W(X) > \omega$. Suppose that $|\mathcal{L}| > \pi_W(X)$ and let \mathcal{B} be such a pseudobase of X that $|\mathcal{B}| = \pi_W(X)$. For each LESS choose, whenever it is possible, $U_B, V_B \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $U_1 < V_B$ and $U_B \subseteq E \subseteq V_B$. The family

 $\mathcal{O}_{1} = \{ U \in \mathcal{C} : \text{for some BeGs we have } U = U_{B} \text{ or } U = V_{B} \}$ is a pseudobase of X and $|\mathcal{O}_{1}| = \operatorname{Tiw}(\lambda)$.

Suppose that we have constructed \mathcal{O}_k for $k \le n$. For each $P \in \bigcup \{ \mathcal{O}_k : k=1, \ldots, n \}$ and $P \in \mathfrak{G}$ choose $U_{P,B} \in \mathscr{C}$ such that $U_{P,E} \le P$ and $U_{P,D} \subseteq E$ whenever $P \land D \ne \emptyset$. Fut $\mathcal{O}_{n+1} = \{ U \in \mathscr{C} : \text{there exist } P \in \bigcup \{ \mathcal{O}_k : k=1, \ldots, n \} \text{ and } B \in \mathfrak{G} \text{ such that } U = U_{P,D} \}.$

The family $\mathcal{O} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{O}_n : n=1,2,\ldots \}$ is a pseudobase we recuire.n

The following is proved in [2].

Let λ be a pseudobasically compact space and let $\pi_A(\lambda)$ is sublier than the first measurable cardinal. Let \mathcal{F} is a point finite cover of X consisting of measure sets. If for each $\mathcal{A}\subseteq \mathcal{F}$ the union UA has the Baire property, then no non-measure $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ set can be covered by less than 2^{ω} elements of \mathcal{F} .

<u>Incores</u> 1. Let X be a pseudobasically compact space and let $\pi_W(X) \leq c^{\omega}$. If \mathcal{F} is a point finite family of measurements covering X, then there exists ASS such that UA has not the Baire property.

The theorem of [1] can be reformulated as follows:

<u>Theorem 2</u>. If X is a pseudobasically compact space and $\mathfrak{TW}(X) \leq 2^{\omega}$, then for each map $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ having the Baire property, where Y is a space with \mathfrak{S} -disjoint base, there exists ι meager set $F \leq X$ such that $f|X \setminus F$ is continuous.

Using theorems above one can prove easily the following:

<u>Theorem 3</u> (A. Loveau and S.G. Simpson [4]). Let X be a metric space and f: $[\omega]^{\omega} \longrightarrow X$ be such a mapping that the counterimage of any open set is completely Ramsey. Then there exists an infinite subset T of ω such that f([T]^{ω}) is separable.

<u>Theorem 4</u> (Frikry and Solovay [5]). If X is a metric space and f: $[0,1] \longrightarrow X$ is a measurable function, then there exists a subset A of [0,1] such that f(A) is separatle and the Letescue measure of A is equal to 1.

For details we refer our paper [2].

Let $K^+(X)$ denotes the family of all non-void and compact subsets of X. Let $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ denotes the family of all subsets of X having the Baire property. A mapping $F:X \longrightarrow K^+(Y)$ is lower $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ -measurable iff $\{x \in X : F(X) \land U \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$ for each open USY. <u>Theorem 5</u>. Let X be a pseudobasically compact space, let $\pi_W(\lambda) \le 2^{\omega}$ and let Y be a metric space. Let $F: X \longrightarrow K^+(Y)$ be lower $G_2(X)$ -measurable. Then there exists a $G_2(X)$ -measurable function $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ such that $f(X) \in F(X)$.

The theorem above is proved in [3]. We refer to this paper for a detailed ciscussion of selectors theorems.

References

- [1] A. Emeryk, R. Frankiewicz and W. Kulps, <u>On Functions Having the Baire Property</u>, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. 27 (1979), 489-491.
- [2] R. Frankiewicz and A. Gutek, <u>Some remarks on decomposition</u> <u>of spaces on meager sets</u>, to appear in Eull. Acad. Pol. Sci.
- [3] R. Frankiewicz, A. Gutek, Sz. Flewik and J. Roczniak, <u>Some remarks on selectors theorems</u>, to appear in Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci.
- [4] A. Loveau and S.G. Simpson, <u>A separable image theorem for</u> Ramsey mappings, to appear in Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci.
- [5] K. Prikry and R. Solovay, <u>On images of the Lebesgue measu-</u> <u>re</u>, preprint.