

Lev Bukovský; E. Copláková

Rapid ultrafilter need not be Q-point

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Proceedings of the 10th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1982. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 2. pp. [15]--20.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701258>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

RAPID ULTRAFILTER NEED NOT BE Q-POINT

L. Bukovský and E. Copláková

Classifying points in $\beta\omega$ mathematicians have introduced several types of ultrafilters on the set ω of natural numbers. Some of them are interesting also from other point of view. In this note we shall consider two important types of ultrafilters: rapid and Q-point. Both notions are applicable to filters too.

The existence of rapid ultrafilters and Q-points is undecidable in the set theory. The continuum hypothesis implies the existence of Q-points. Every Q-point is a rapid filter [1]. There exists a model of ZFC in which there is no rapid ultrafilter [5]. Of course, there exists an ultrafilter which is not rapid [1]. This is proved by observing that every rapid filter \mathcal{U} has the following property (C): if $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive reals converging to zero then there exists a set $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\sum_{n \in A} a_n < +\infty$.

This property is characteristic for rapid filters.

Proposition. If a filter \mathcal{U} possesses the property (C) then \mathcal{U} is rapid.

Probably this proposition is known. We did not find it in literature, therefore we present a simple proof of it.

The main goal of this note is the following

Theorem A. Let M be a transitive model of ZFC. Then there exists a generic extension N of M such that

- a) cardinals of N are those of M ;
- b) $(2^{\aleph_0})^M = (2^{\aleph_0})^N$;
- c) in N there exists a rapid filter \mathcal{V} such that \mathcal{V} is not a Q-point.

Moreover we can assume that \mathcal{V} is a P-point (and ultrafilter).

By slight modifications of the forcing construction used to prove the theorem A we shall obtain a proof of

Theorem B. Assume that there exists a dominating family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$

of cardinality λ . If MA_μ holds true for every $\mu < \lambda$ then there exists a rapid filter \mathcal{V} such that \mathcal{V} is not a Q-point. Moreover we can assume that \mathcal{V} is an ultrafilter.

§1. Preliminaries. If A is an infinite set of integers then \bar{A} is the counting function of A , i.e. \bar{A} is the unique strictly increasing function from ω onto A . A family of functions $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$ is dominating iff for every $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{F}$ and a $k \in \omega$ such that $g(n) \geq f(n)$ for every $n \geq k$. A filter \mathcal{U} on ω is rapid iff the family $\{\bar{A}; A \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is dominating. Evidently, any extension of a rapid filter is rapid. A filter \mathcal{U} is a Q-point iff for any partition $\mathcal{A} = \{A_n; n \in \omega\}$ of ω , A_n being finite, there exists a set $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $|A \cap A_n| \leq 1$ for every $n \in \omega$. The set A is called a selector for the partition \mathcal{A} .

For the rest of the paper we fix a partition $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n; n \in \omega\}$ of ω such that $|R_n| = n$. E.g. we set $R_n = \{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1), \dots, \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) + n-1\}$. A set $A \subseteq \omega$ will be called growing iff for every $n \in \omega$ there exists a $k \in \omega$ such that $|A \cap R_k| \geq n$. We denote

$$\mathcal{S} = \{A \subseteq \omega; \exists n \forall k |R_k - A| \leq n\}.$$

Evidently, \mathcal{S} is a filter on ω . If A is a selector for \mathcal{R} then $\omega - A \in \mathcal{S}$. A set $A \subseteq \omega$ is growing if and only if $\omega - A \notin \mathcal{S}$. Moreover if a filter \mathcal{V} contains \mathcal{S} as a subset then \mathcal{V} is not a Q-point and each element of \mathcal{V} is a growing set.

Lemma 1. Let $A \subseteq \omega$ be growing, $f \in {}^\omega\omega$. Then there exists a growing set $B \subseteq A$ such that $\bar{B} > f$.

PROOF. Let $b_0 \in A$, $b_0 > f(0)$. If b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k , $k = 1 + \dots + (n-1)$ are already constructed, we choose an integer l such that $|A \cap R_l| \geq n$ and $\min A \cap R_l > f(k+n)$. Now, choose $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{k+n} \in A \cap R_l$.

Evidently the set $B = \{b_k; k \in \omega\}$ is growing and $\bar{B} > f$.

q.e.d.

We shall use the forcing construction as it is explained in [3]. Thus if M is a transitive model of ZFC, P, \leq is a partially ordered set in M and G is an M -generic filter on P then $M[G]$ is the corresponding model of ZFC - the generic extension of M . The complete Boolean algebra containing P as a dense subset is denoted by $RO(P)$. The model $M[G]$ is obtained as the range of the G -interpretation i_G defined on the Boolean-valued model $M^{RO(P)}$. If it is clear which generic filter G is intended, we simply denote the interpretation by i . For a formula φ and Boolean functions $f_1, \dots, f_n \in M^{RO(P)}$, the Boolean value $\|\varphi(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|$ is also defined in [3] (see pp. 152-169). If Q is a notion of forcing in $M[G]$,

we denote by $P * Q$ the iterated forcing (see [3], pp. 232-237).

For a given filter \mathcal{V} on ω , J. Cichon [2] has constructed a forcing $P(\mathcal{V})$ as follows. The set $P(\mathcal{V})$ consists of ordered triples $\langle p, \mathcal{A}, f \rangle$, where for some integer n , the following holds true:

- 1) $p \in {}^n 2$, $\mathcal{A} \in [\mathcal{V}]^{<\omega}$, $f \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$.
- 2) if $X \in \mathcal{A}$, $i \notin X$ and $f(X) \leq i < n$, then $p(i) = 0$.

The order \leq on $P(\mathcal{V})$ is defined in the following way:

$$\langle p, \mathcal{A}, f \rangle \leq \langle p', \mathcal{A}', f' \rangle \equiv p \supseteq p', \mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{A}', f \supseteq f'.$$

One can easily show that $P(\mathcal{V})$ satisfies the countable chain condition.

Now, let us suppose that M is a transitive model of ZFC, $\mathcal{V} \in M$ is a filter. If G is an M -generic filter on $P(\mathcal{V})$ we denote

$$X(\mathcal{V}) = \{n \in \omega; (\exists \langle p, \mathcal{A}, f \rangle \in G) p(n) = 1\}.$$

In [2] it is shown that for each $X \in \mathcal{V}$, $X(\mathcal{V}) - X$ is finite. Moreover, we obtain

Lemma 2. If every element of \mathcal{V} is a growing set then $X(\mathcal{V})$ is also growing.

PROOF. Let us denote

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \{ \langle p, \mathcal{A}, f \rangle \in P(\mathcal{V}); (\exists k) | \{ i \in R_k; p(i) = 1 \} | \geq n \}.$$

It suffices to show that \mathcal{E}_n is a dense subset of $P(\mathcal{V})$.

Thus, assume $\langle p, \mathcal{A}, f \rangle \in P(\mathcal{V})$. Then the set $Y = \bigcap \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ is growing. Let k be such that $|Y \cap R_k| \geq n$ and $\text{dom}(p) \cap R_k = \emptyset$. We denote $p' = p \cup (Y \cap R_k \times \{1\})$. Then $\langle p', \mathcal{A}, f \rangle \leq \langle p, \mathcal{A}, f \rangle$ and $\langle p', \mathcal{A}, f \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_n$.

q.e.d.

The Martin axiom MA and MA_κ is formulated e.g. in [4].

§2. Proof of the theorem A. For to obtain the model N we shall iterate the $P(\mathcal{V})$ -forcing continuum many times. During the iteration we will construct a rapid filter that contains no selector for the partition \mathcal{A} .

For the iteration we need a well-known trick of enumerating all possible functions from ω into ω inside the resulting model N . Similar case of this trick is described with all details e.g. in [6].

Let P be a partially ordered set satisfying the countable chain condition, $|P| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$. Then there exists a function H_P defined on 2^{\aleph_0} such that the range of H_P is the set of all Boolean functions $h \in M^{RO(P)}$ for which $\|h \in {}^\omega \omega\| = 1$. The value $H_P(\xi)$ will be denoted $H(P, \xi)$.

Let F be a fixed map of 2^{\aleph_0} onto $2^{\aleph_0} \times 2^{\aleph_0}$. Let K, L be maps

of 2^{\aleph_0} onto 2^{\aleph_0} such that $F(\xi) = \langle K(\xi), L(\xi) \rangle$. We can assume that $K(\xi) \subseteq \xi$ for every $\xi \in 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Now, by the transfinite induction we shall construct sequences $\{P_\xi; \xi \in 2^{\aleph_0}\}$, $\{\mathcal{V}_\xi; \xi \in 2^{\aleph_0}\}$, $\{G_\xi; \xi \in 2^{\aleph_0}\}$, $\{B_\xi; \xi \in 2^{\aleph_0}\}$ such that

- 3) P_ξ satisfies the countable chain condition, $|P_\xi| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$;
- 4) $P_\xi \subseteq P_\zeta$ for $\xi < \zeta$;
- 5) G_ξ is an M-generic filter on P_ξ ;
- 6) $G_\xi \subseteq G_\zeta$ for $\xi < \zeta$;
- 7) $M[G_\xi] \models "$ \mathcal{V}_ξ is a filter, $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_\xi$ ";
- 8) $M[G_\xi] \models "$ $\mathcal{V}_\zeta \subseteq \mathcal{V}_\xi$ " for $\zeta < \xi$;
- 9) $M[G_\xi] \models "$ $B_\xi - X$ is finite for each $X \in \bigcup \{\mathcal{V}_\zeta; \zeta < \xi\}$ ";
- 10) $M[G_\xi] \models \bar{B}_\xi > i_{G_\xi}(H(P_{K(\xi)}), L(\xi))$.

The construction is simple. We set $P_0 = P(\mathcal{P})$, G_0 is any M-generic filter on P_0 . The set $X(\mathcal{P})$ is growing in $M[G_0]$ by the lemma 2. By the lemma 1 there exists a growing set $B_0 \subseteq X(\mathcal{P})$ such that $\bar{B}_0 > i(H(P_{K(0)}), L(0))$. Let \mathcal{V}_0 denote the filter generated by B_0 and \mathcal{P} - everything inside the model $M[G_0]$.

Similarly, if $P_\xi, \mathcal{V}_\xi, B_\xi$ are already defined, we denote $P_{\xi+1} = P_\xi * P(\mathcal{V}_\xi)$. Let $G_{\xi+1}$ be any M-generic filter on $P_{\xi+1}$ extending G_ξ . By the lemma 1 there exists a growing set $B_{\xi+1} \subseteq X(\mathcal{V}_\xi)$ such that $\bar{B}_{\xi+1} > i(H(P_{K(\xi+1)}), L(\xi+1))$. Let $\mathcal{V}_{\xi+1}$ be the filter generated by $B_{\xi+1}$ and \mathcal{P} , constructed inside the model $M[G_{\xi+1}]$.

For λ limit we denote $P_\lambda = \bigcup \{P_\xi; \xi < \lambda\} * P(\bigcup \{\mathcal{V}_\xi; \xi < \lambda\})$. $G_\lambda, B_\lambda, \mathcal{V}_\lambda$ are defined analogously.

Directly from the construction one can see that 4) - 10) are fulfilled. The condition 3) is fulfilled by the well-known lemma about C.C.C.-iteration (see [3], p. 235 or [6]).

Now, we set $P = \bigcup \{P_\xi; \xi \in 2^{\aleph_0}\}$, $G = \bigcup \{G_\xi; \xi \in 2^{\aleph_0}\}$ and $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{V}_\xi; \xi \in 2^{\aleph_0}\}$. Since P satisfies the countable chain condition, one can easily see that \mathcal{V} is a rapid filter. Since each element of \mathcal{V} is a growing set, \mathcal{V} is not a Q-point.

If we change the construction in such a way, that on every step \mathcal{V}_ξ is an ultrafilter containing B_ξ and extending \mathcal{P} , then the resulting filter \mathcal{V} is an ultrafilter and actually a P-point.

§3. Proof of the theorem B. Let us remind that \mathcal{B} is a basis of the filter \mathcal{V} iff $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and for every $A \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subseteq A$.

Lemma 3. Assume MA_{\aleph_0} holds true. Let \mathcal{V} be a filter such that

- a) each element of \mathcal{V} is a growing set;
- b) \mathcal{V} has a basis of cardinality at most κ .

Then there exists a growing set A such that $A - X$ is finite for each $X \in \mathcal{V}$.

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ be a basis, $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \kappa$. If $X \in \mathcal{B}$ we denote

$$\mathcal{C}_X = \{ \langle p, a, f \rangle \in P(\mathcal{V}); X \in a \}.$$

Evidently \mathcal{C}_X is a dense subset of $P(\mathcal{V})$. By MA_ω there exists a filter G on $P(\mathcal{V})$ such that G is $\{ \mathcal{C}_X; X \in \mathcal{B} \} \cup \{ \mathcal{E}_n; n \in \omega \}$ -generic (the sets \mathcal{E}_n were defined in the proof of the lemma 2). The set $A = X(\mathcal{V})$ is the desired growing set.

q.e.d.

Now, the proof of the theorem B is straightforward. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{ f_\xi; \xi \in \mathcal{A} \}$ be a dominating family. By the lemma 1, there exists a growing set B_0 such that $\overline{B_0} > f_0$. Let us suppose that B_η is defined for $\eta < \xi$. Let \mathcal{V}_ξ be the filter generated by $\{ B_\eta; \eta < \xi \}$. Then by the lemma 3 and the lemma 1, there exists a growing set B_ξ such that $\overline{B_\xi} > f_\xi$ and $B_\xi - B_\eta$ is finite for $\eta < \xi$. The filter \mathcal{V} generated by $\{ B_\xi; \xi \in \mathcal{A} \}$ is rapid. Since each element of \mathcal{V} is a growing set, \mathcal{V} is not a Q-point.

§4. Proof of the proposition. Assume that \mathcal{U} is a non-rapid filter. Then there exists a function $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ such that for each $g > f$ we have $\text{range}(g) \notin \mathcal{U}$. We can assume that f is strictly increasing. We set

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= a_1 = \dots = a_{f(0)} = 1, \\ a_{f(0)+1} &= \dots = a_{f(1)} = 1/\sqrt{2}, \\ &\vdots \\ a_{f(n)+1} &= \dots = a_{f(n+1)} = 1/\sqrt{n+2}, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

If $\overline{A} \not> f$ then for each k there exists an $n \geq k$ such that $\overline{A}(n) < f(n)$. Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_{\overline{A}(i)} \geq (n+1) \cdot a_{\overline{A}(n)} \geq (n+1) a_{f(n)} = \frac{n+1}{\sqrt{n+1}} = \sqrt{n+1}.$$

Therefore $\sum_{n \in A} a_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{\overline{A}(n)} = +\infty$. Hence, if $\sum_{n \in A} a_n < +\infty$ then $\overline{A} > f$ and $A = \text{range}(\overline{A}) \notin \mathcal{U}$.

The authors are grateful to P.Vojtáš for usefull discussions on the topics of the note.

REFERENCES

- [1] CHOQUET G. "Deux classes remarquables d'ultrafiltres sur \mathbb{N} ", Bull. Sci. Math. (2), 92 (1968), 143-153.
- [2] CICHON J. private communication.
- [3] JECH T. "Set Theory", Academic Press, New York 1978.
- [4] MARTIN D.A. and SOLOVAY R.M. "Internal Cohen extensions", Ann. Math. Logic, 2 (1970), 143-178.
- [5] MILLER A. "There are no \mathbb{Q} -points in Laver's model for the Borel conjecture", preprint.
- [6] SOLOVAY R.M. and TENNENBAUM S. "Iterated Cohen extensions and Souslin's problem", Ann. of Math., 94 (1971), 201-245.

KATEDRA MATEMATICKEJ ANALÝZY PF UPJŠ

and

MATEMATICKÝ ÚSTAV SAV

FEBRUÁROVÉHO VÍŤ. 9

041 54 KOŠICE

CZECHOSLOVAKIA