Ryszard Grzaślewicz

On isometric domains of positive operators on orlicz spaces

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Proceedings of the 10th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1982. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 2. pp. [131]–134.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701267

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

ON ISOMETRIC DOMAINS OF POSITIVE OPERATORS ON ORLICZ SPACES

Ryszard Grząślewicz

The purpose of this note is to establish a characterization of L^p-spaces , where $1 \leq p < +\infty$, in class of Orlicz spaces in terms of positive operators acting on them.

Given real Banach space E, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(E)$ the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from E into E. For an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ we define its isometric domain M(T) as

$$\{ f \in E: \ \|Tf\| = \|T\| \, \|f\| \ \}$$

(see [2]) .

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a convex strictly increasing function with $\varphi(0) = 0$. We denote by L_φ the corresponding Orlicz space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, sometimes called the Luxemburg norm of L_φ . That is, L_φ is the linear space of all equivalence classes of Lebesgue measurable functions $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int \varphi(|f(x)|/\alpha) \, dx < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and

$$\|f\| = \inf \{ \alpha > 0: \ \int \varphi(|f(x)|/\alpha) \, dx \leq 1 \ \}$$

As well known, L_φ is a Banach lattice (for details see [3] .

In case $\varphi(t) = t^p$, where $1 < p < \infty$ (i.e. $L_\varphi = L_p$) , M(T) is a linear sublattice of L_φ for every positive operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_\varphi)$ (see [2], Theorem 2) . We shall prove a converse of this result.

Theorem. If M(T) is a linear subspace of L_φ for every positive operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_\varphi)$, then $\varphi(t) = Ct^p$, where $C > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$.

Proof. We may and do assume that $\varphi(1) = 1$. For every $a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L_\varphi$ we put

$$U_{a,b,c,d} \ f = \left(\frac{1}{a-b} \int_a^b f \, dx \right) 1_{[c,d]} \ ,$$

where $1_{[c,d]}$ denotes the characteristic function of the interval $[c,d]$. By Jensen's inequality , $U_{a,b,c,d} \in \mathcal{L}(L_\varphi)$.

Fix $a,b,c > 0$ and put for $\eta, \xi \geq 0$

$$\xi_1 = \| 1_{[0,b]} \| \, / \, \| 1_{[0,c]} \| \ ,$$

$$R_{\eta,\xi} = \eta U_{-a,0,-a,0} + \xi U_{0,b,0,c} \ .$$

Jensen's inequality yields $\| \xi_1 U_{0,b,0,c} \| = 1$. Obviously, $\| R_{\eta,\xi} \| \to \infty$ as $\xi \to \infty$ for fixed η and $\| R_{\eta,\xi} \| \to \infty$ as $\eta \to \infty$ for fixed ξ. We put

$$h_{\eta,\xi}(\beta) = \frac{\| R_{\eta,\xi} f_\beta \|}{\| f_\beta \|}$$

for $\eta, \xi \geq 0$, $\beta \in [0,1]$, where $f_\beta = (1-\beta) 1_{[-a,0]} + \beta 1_{[0,b]}$. Note that $h_{\eta,\xi}(\beta)$ is continuous as a function of β, η, ξ and $\| R_{\eta,\xi} \| = H_{\eta,\xi}$ where

$$H_{\eta,\xi} = \sup_\beta h_{\eta,\xi}(\beta).$$

Indeed, since, obviously, $H_{\eta,\xi} \leq \| R_{\eta,\xi} \|$, we need to show that $\| R_{\eta,\xi} \| \leq H_{\eta,\xi}$. To this end fix a nonnegative $f \in L_\varphi$ and put $A = \frac{1}{a} \int_{-a}^{0} f \, dx$ and $B = \frac{1}{b} \int_{0}^{b} f \, dx$. We may and do assume that $A+B > 0$. Putting $\beta = B/(A+B)$ we have

$$\| R_{\eta,\xi} f_\beta \| = \| (A+B) R_{\eta,\xi} f_\beta \| \leq H_{\eta,\xi} \| (A+B) f_\beta \|.$$

Hence, by Jensen's inequality, $\| R_{\eta,\xi} f_\beta \| \leq H_{\eta,\xi} \| f \|$. Clearly, $H_{\eta,\xi}$ is continuous as a function of η and ξ. For every $\eta, \xi > 0$ there exists $\beta \in [0,1]$ with $h_{\eta,\xi}(\beta) = H_{\eta,\xi}$.

Step I. Suppose that $\inf_\beta h_{1,\xi_1}(\beta) = 1$. Then $h_{1,\xi_1}(\beta) = 1$ for all $\beta \in [0,1]$.

Indeed, in view of the definition of h_{1,ξ_1}, we have

$$(*) \quad \| (1-\beta) 1_{[-a,0]} + \beta \xi_1 1_{[0,c]} \| \geq \| (1-\beta) 1_{[-a,0]} + \beta 1_{[0,b]} \|$$

for all $\beta \in [0,1]$. Note that equality in $(*)$ holds for $\beta = 0$ and 1. Consider now $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_\varphi)$ defined by

$$S = U_{-a,0,-a,0} + 1/\xi_1 \, U_{0,c,0,b}.$$

Observe that $\|S\| = 1$. To this end fix a nonnegative function $f \in L_\varphi$ and put $A = \frac{1}{a} \int_{-a}^{0} f \, dx$ and $B = \frac{1}{c\xi_1} \int_{0}^{c} f \, dx$. We may and do assume that $A+B > 0$. By $(*)$ with $\beta = B/(A+B)$ and Jensen's inequality we get

$$\| Sf \| = \| A 1_{[-a,0]} + B 1_{[0,b]} \| \leq \| A 1_{[-a,0]} + B \xi_1 1_{[0,c]} \| \leq \| f \|.$$

It follows that $1_{[-a,0]}, 1_{[0,c]} \in M(S)$. Since, by assumption $M(S)$ is linear space, in $(*)$ equality holds for all $\beta \in [0,1]$ and we are done.

Step II. There exist $\eta, \xi > 0$ such that $h_{\eta,\xi}$ attains its supremum at least two distinct points (i.e. there exist $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ in $[0,1]$ with $H_{\eta,\xi} = h_{\eta,\xi}(\beta_i)$, $i=1,2$). Suppose, to get a contradiction, that for every pair η, ξ there exists a unique β such that $h_{\eta,\xi}(\beta) = H_{\eta,\xi}$. Thus we can define a function $k: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ by $h_{\eta,\xi}(k(\eta,\xi)) = H_{\eta,\xi}$.

The function k as a function of ξ for fixed η is continuous. Indeed, let $\xi_n \to \xi_0$. We put $\beta_n = k(\eta, \xi_n)$, $n \geq 0$.

Suppose that some subsequence $\{\beta_{n'}\}$ of $\{\beta_n\}$ converges to β'. We have $\|R_{\eta,\xi_{n'}}\| = \|R_{\eta,\xi_{n'}} f_{\beta_{n'}}\| / \|f_{\beta_{n'}}\|$ and $\|R_{\eta,\xi_{n'}}\| \to \|R_{\eta,\xi_0}\|$, so $\|R_{\eta,\xi_0}\| = \|R_{\eta,\xi_0} f_{\beta'}\| / \|f_{\beta'}\|$. By uniqueness of such β we get $\beta' = k(\eta,\xi_0)$. Thus, by compactness of $[0,1]$, we obtain $\beta_n \to \beta_0$. By an analogous argument, the function $k(\cdot,\xi)$ (for fixed ξ) is continuous.

We have $h_{1,\xi_1}(0) = h_{1,\xi_1}(1) = 1$, so $H_{1,\xi_1} > 1$.

Put $\beta_{max} = k(1,\xi_1)$; obviously $h_{1,\xi_1}(\beta_{max}) > 1$. By Step I $\inf_\beta h_{1,\xi_1}(\beta) < 1$. Choose $\beta_{min} \in (0,1)$ with $h_{1,\xi_1}(\beta_{min}) = \inf_\beta h_{1,\xi_1}(\beta)$. There are two possibilities: (a) $0 < \beta_{min} < \beta_{max} < 1$, or (b) $0 < \beta_{max} < \beta_{min} < 1$.

In case (a) consider $k(1,\xi)$ as a function of ξ. We have $k(1,\xi) \neq \beta_{min}$ for all $\xi \in [0,\xi_1]$, because $\|R_{1,\xi} f_{\beta_{min}}\| < \|f_{\beta_{min}}\|$ and $\|R_{1,\xi_1}\| \geq 1$. This contradies the Darboux property of the continuous function $k(1,\cdot)$ on $[0,\xi_1]$, because $k(1,\xi_1) = \beta_{max}$ and $k(1,0) = 0$. In case (b) consider $k(\eta,\xi_1)$ as a function of η. By similar arguments we obtain a contradiction, because $k(1,\xi_1) = \beta_{max}$, $k(0,\xi_1) = 1$ and $k(\eta,\xi_1) \neq \beta_{min}$ for all $\eta \in [0,1]$.

Step III. We have

$$\|(1-\beta)\,1_{[-a,0]} + \beta\,\xi_1\,1_{[0,c]}\| = \|(1-\beta)\,1_{[-a,0]} + \beta\,1_{[0,b]}\|$$

for all $\beta \in [0,1]$. Indeed, by Step II there exist η, ξ, β_1, β_2 such that $\|R_{\eta,\xi} f_\beta\| \leq \|R_{\eta,\xi}\| \|f_\beta\|$ for all $\beta \in [0,1]$ and equality holds for β_1, β_2. Thus $f_{\beta_1}, f_{\beta_2} \in M(R_{\eta,\xi})$. Since, by assumption, $M(R_{\eta,\xi})$ is a linear subspace, we have $\|R_{\eta,\xi} f_\beta\| = \|R_{\eta,\xi}\| \|f_\beta\|$ for all $\beta \in [0,1]$. In particular, for $\beta = 0$ and 1 we obtain $\eta = \|R_{\eta,\xi}\|$ and $\xi = \|R_{\eta,\xi}\|\,\xi_1$. Therefore $R_{1,\xi_1} = R_{\eta,\xi}/\|R_{\eta,\xi}\|$ and $\|R_{1,\xi_1} f_\beta\| = \|f_\beta\|$ for all $\beta \in [0,1]$.

Step IV. Put $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$. We have

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)\,\psi\left(\frac{b}{c\,(a+b)}\right) = \psi\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)\,\psi\left(\frac{1}{a+b}\right)$$

Indeed, for every $g,h \in \mathbb{R}$ with $g < h$ we note that

$$\|1_{[g,h]}\| = 1 / \psi\,(1/(h-g)).$$

Moreover, we have $\xi_1 = \psi(1/c) / \psi(1/b)$. By Step III with $\beta = 1/2$, we get $\|1_{[-a,0]} + \xi_1 1_{[0,c]}\| = 1/\psi\,(1/(a+b))$. It follows that

$$a\,\varphi\left[\psi\left(\frac{1}{a+b}\right)\right] + c\,\varphi\left[\frac{\psi(1/c)}{\psi(1/b)}\,\psi\left(\frac{1}{a+b}\right)\right] = 1$$

which yields the desired equality.

To prove the Theorem, apply Step IV first with $b=1$ and then with $c=1$. Taking into account that $\psi(1) = 1$, we get

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{c\ a+1}\right) = \psi\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)\psi\left(\frac{1}{a+1}\right), \qquad \psi\left(\frac{1}{a+b}\right) = \psi\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)\psi\left(\frac{b}{a+b}\right)$$

It follows that

$$\psi(ts) = \psi(t)\,\psi(s)$$

for all $t,s>0$. Since ψ is , moreover , continuous, $\psi(t) = t^{1/p}$ ([1], 2.1.2) . Hence $\varphi(t) = t^{p}$. In view of the convexity of φ , we have $p \geqslant 1$. Since, as easily seen, the assumption of the Theorem fails for L_1 , we conclude that $p > 1$.

Remark 1. The proof above uses the assumption of the Theorem for a certain family of two-dimensional operators, only.

Remark 2. The Theorem remains valued if we consider L_φ on some measurable subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}$ with $m(\Omega)>0$. Then, in the our proof, we should use instead of the intervals $[-a,0]$, $[0,b]$, $[0,c]$ subsets X,Y,Z of Ω such that $X\cap Y = \emptyset$ and $X\cap Z = \emptyset$. Consequently, $\psi(st) = \psi(s)\psi(t)$ would hold for $t>0$, $s > 1/m(\Omega)$ .It is easy to see that $\psi(t) = t^{1/p}$ for $t>0$, too .

The author wishes to thank Dr. Z.Lipecki whose suggestions improved the text.

REFERENCES

[1] J.Aczel "Vorlesungen über Funktionalgleichungen und ihre Anwendungen" Berlin 1961 .

[2] Grząślewicz R. "Isometric domain of positive operators on L^p-spaces", submitted to Colloq. Math.

[3] Weiss G. "A note on Orlicz spaces", Portugaliae Math. 15 (1956), 35-47.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WROCŁAW
50-370 WROCŁAW, POLAND