Ehrhard Behrends *M*-Structure (a survey)

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Proceedings of the 11th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1984. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 3. pp. [15]–21.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701290

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

M - STRUCTURE (A SURVEY)

Ehrhard Behrends

<u>Abstract</u>: In this talk the basic definitions, some fundamental theorems as well as some directions of applications of M-structure theory have been presented.

1. The basic definitions

The aim of M-structure theory is, roughly speaking, to describe how a given Banach space behaves like a space of continuous functions. This is done by defining objects (subspaces, operators) in arbitrary Banach spaces which in the case of CK-spaces are suitable to characterize these spaces. The fundamental definitions of the theory are due to Cunningham [16] and Alfsen-Effros [1,2].

<u>Definition</u>: Let X be a real Banach space, $J \subset X$ a closed subspace

- (i) J is called an <u>M-summand</u> (resp. <u>L-summand</u>) if there is a closed subspace J^{\perp} such that $X = J \oplus J^{\perp}$ algebraically and $||x + x^{\perp}|| = \max \{ ||x||, ||x^{\perp}|| \}$ (resp. $||x + x^{\perp}|| = ||x|| + ||x^{\perp}||$) for $x \in J$, $x^{\perp} \in J^{\perp}$.
- (ii) J is called an M-ideal if J^{π} , the annihilator of J in X', is an L-summand.
- (iii) An operator $T: X \to X$ is called a <u>multiplier</u> if for every extreme functional p on X there is an $a_T(p) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p \circ T = a_T(p)p$. Z(X) (the <u>centralizer</u> of X) denotes the collection of all multipliers.

Examples:

- 1. {o} and X are always L-summands (M-summands), Z(X) always contains ${\rm I\!R}$ Id .
- 2. If X = CK, then
 - X contains only {o} and X as L-summands
 - the M-summands of X are precisely the subspaces
 - $\{f \mid f \in CK, f \mid_A = o\} =: J_A$, where $A \subset K$ clopen

Behrends

- the M-ideals of X are precisely the subspaces \textbf{J}_{A} , where $\textbf{A} \subset \textbf{K}$ closed
- $h\left(X\right)$ contains just the operators $M_{h} \colon f \mapsto hf$, where h runs through X .
- 3. Let X be the self-adjoint part of a W*-algebra A. Then the M-ideals of X are just the self-adjoint parts of the closed two-sided ideals in A, and the operators in Z(X) are precisely the operators a → z a (z = z*, z in the center of A). In particular, [K(H)]_{sa} is an M-ideal in [B(H)]_{sa} (H Hilbert souce); this has been the first interesting M-ideal in the lucerature (Dixmier [20]).

2. Sche furdamental theorems

Since the beginning of M-structure theory many authors have contributed to this field. Most of the results are concerned with special aspects, and there is no hope to give a survey here (see, howe/er, section 3). There are some frequently used theorems which apply to arbitrary situations, the most important of them (in the author's opinion) are the following:

- The characterization theorem for M-ideals Alfsen and Effros [1,2] have shown that it is possible to characterize M-ideals without using the dual space by means of an intersection property:
 - A closed subspace J of X is an M-ideal iff J \cap B₁ \cap B₂ \cap B₃ $\neq \emptyset$ for every collection of three open balls B₁, B₂, B₃ such that B₁ \cap B₂ \cap B₃ $\neq \emptyset$, B₁ \cap J $\neq \emptyset$ (i=1,2,3).
- The abstract Dauns-Hofmann theorem This theorem (which is also due to Alfsen and Effros; cf. also [21]) relates the notions of multipliers and M-ideals. It states that the multipliers correspond to those bounded functions on the extreme boundary of the dual unit ball which are continuous with respect to a topology defined by means of the Mideals of the space.
- The function module representation theorem.Cunningham [16] has shown that the above example 2, where we described the multiplier of CK-spaces, is typical in the following sense: every X can be regarded as a space of vectorvalued functions over a compact Hausdorff space K such that the $T \in Z(X)$ correspond to the multiplication operators associated with the

continuous functions on K. The L-M-theorem This theorem (due to the author [3]) states the surprising fact that a space X cannot have nontrivial (i.e. different from {o} and X) L-summands and M-summands at the same time. More generally: If one extends the definition of L-summands and M-summands to those of \underline{L}^{P} -summands (where the relevant norm condition is $||x + x^{\perp}||^{P} = ||x||^{P} + ||x^{\perp}||^{P}$) then for at most one p there can exist non-trivial \underline{L}^{P} -summands.

3. Some applications of M-structure theory

I. Approximation theory

On the one hand, M-ideals have interesting approximation theoretical properties (they are proximal in a very strong sense). On the other hand, K(H) is an M-ideal in B(H), and these two facts motivated a number of authors ([15,29,30,31,32]) to approximate operators on a Hilbert space by compact operators. In order to have similar techniques for more Banach spaces the following problem has been of interest: For what Banach spaces X is it true that K(X) is an M-ideal in B(X). This problem is far from being solved, partial answers can be found in [24,26,27,35,36, 45].

One can regard the nice behaviour of K(H) in B(H) also under another viewpoint. Since B(H) is the bidual of K(H) it is interesting to investigate those spaces X such that X is an Mideal in its bidual. Spaces with this property have been treated in [25,37].

II. M-Structure and L¹-preduals

CK-spaces are the most simple examples of spaces for which the dual is an L^{1} -space. These spaces have been studied intensively during the last decade, and it is not surprising that M-structure plays a role in this theory. Using M-structure methods several authors have been able to give new characterizations for known classes of L^{1} -preduals ([22,38,39,40]) or to define and investigate interesting new classes ([17,41,42]).

Recently the author [9] has obtained a theorem that states that all L^1 -preduals share a certain symmetry property, and the formulation and the proof depend heavily-on M-structure methods.

III. Vector-valued Banach-Stone theorems

A Banach space X is called to have the <u>Banach-Stone property</u> if $C(M,X) \cong C(N,X)$ always implies that M and N are homeomorphic (for compact Hausdorff spaces M,N). Clearly \mathbb{R} has the Banach-Stone property by the classical Banach-Stone theorem. Vector-valued Banach-Stone theorems are due to Jerison, Cambern and Sundaresan ([10-14,33,47]). The author has shown that the most far-reaching results can be obtained by using M-structure theory. To be more precise:

- III₁: Suppose that $Z(X) = \mathbb{R}$ Id . Then X has the Banach-Stone property [5] (already this result contains most of the Banach-Stone theorems which have been obtained without using M-structure theory).
- III₂: Suppose that X is a Banach space such that Z(X) is finitedimensional. Then X can uniquely be written as $X = \prod_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}^{i}$, where the X_i are pairwise not isometrically isomorphic and have one-dimensional centralizer. X has the Banach-Stone property iff min $r_{i} = 1$ [4]. $i=1, \ldots k$

(this generalizes all other Banach-Stone theorems).

- IIII₃: There is a method (described in [6,7,8] by which one can obtain Banach-Stone theorems involved one wants to. The precise formulation (which det is on the function module representation theorem) is some at lengthy and is therefore omitted here.
- IIII₄: Finally, it has recently be shown in [8] that in a sense every "reasonable" vector-valued Banach-Stone theorem can be proved by using M-structure methods.

REFERENCES

- [1] E.M. Alfsen-E.G. Effros: Structure in real Banach spaces I Ann. of Math. 96, 1972, 98-128
- [2] E.M. Alfsen-E.G. Effros: Structure in real Banach spaces II Ann. of Math. 96, 1972, 129-173
- [3] E. Behrends: L^P-Struktur in Banachräumen, Studia Math. 55, 1976, 71-85

M-Structure

- [4] E. Behrends: On the Banach-Stone theorem, Math. Annalen 233, 1978, 261-272
- [5] E. Behrends: An application of M-structure to theorems of the Banach-Stone type, in: Notas de Matemática, North Holland Math. Studies 27 (Proceedings on the Paderborn Conference on Functional Analysis 1976), 1977, 29-49
- [6] E. Behrends-U. Schmidt-Bichler: M-structure and the Banach-Stone theorem, Studia Math. 69, 1980, 33-40
- [7] E. Behrends: M-structure and the Banach-Stone-Theorem. Lecture Notes in Math. 736, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1979
- [8] E. Behrends: How to obtain vector-valued Banach-Stone theorems by using M-Structure methods Math. Ann. 261, 1982, 387-398
- [9] E. Behrends: Normal operators and multipliers on complex Banach spaces and a symmetry property of L¹-predual spaces. Preprint
- [10] M. Cambern: A generalized Banach-Stone theorem, Proc. of the Am. Math. Soc. 17, 1966, 396-400
- [11] M. Cambern:On mappings of spaces of functions with values in a Banach space, Duke Math. J. 42, 1975, 91-98
- [12] M. Cambern: Isomorphisms of spaces of continuous vectorvalued functions, Ill. J. of Math. 20, 1976, 1-11
- [13] M. Cambern: The Banach-Stone property and the weak Banach-Stone property in three-dimensional spaces, Proc. of the Am. Math. Soc. 67, 1977, 55-61
- [14] M. Cambern: Reflexive spaces with the Banach-Stone property Revue Roumaine de Math. pures et appl. (to appear)
- [15] C.K. Chui et al.: L-ideals and numerical range preservation Ill. J. of Math. 21, 1977, 365-373
- [16] F. Cunningham: M-structure in Banach spaces, Proc. of the Cambr. Phil. Soc. 63, 1967, 613-629
- [17] F. Cunningham: Square Banach spaces, Proc. of the Cambr. Phil. Soc. 66, 1969, 553-558
- [18] F. Cunningham-E.G. Effros-N.M. Roy: M-structure in dual Banach spaces, Israel J. of Math. 14, 1973, 304-308
- [19] F. Cunningham-N.M. Roy: Extreme functionals on an upper semicontinuous function space, Proc. of the Am. Math. Soc. 42, 1974, 461-465
- [20] J. Dixmier: Les fonctionnelles linéaires sur l'ensemble des opérateurs bornés d'un espace de Hilbert, Ann. of Math. 51, 1950, 387-408

Behrends

- [21] G.A. Elliott-D. Olesen: A simple proof of the Dauns-Hofmann theorem, Math. Scand. 34, 1974, 231-234
- [22] A.J. Ellis et al.: Facial characterizations of complex Lindenstrauss spaces, Trans. of the A M S 268, 1981, 173-186
- [23] R. Evans: A characterization of M-summands, Proc. of the Cambr. Phil. Soc. 76, 1974, 157-159
- [24] H. Fakhoury: Sur les M-ideaux dans les espaces d'opérateurs (Preprint)
- [25] P. Harmand-A. Lima: Banach spaces which are M-ideals in their bidual, to appear in: Trans. of the A M S
- [26] J. Hennefeld: A decomposition of B(X)* and unique Hahn-Banach extensions. Pac. J. 46, 1973, 197-199
- [27] J. Hennefeld: M-ideals, HB-subspaces, and compact operators, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 28, 1979, 927-934
- [28] B. Hirsberg: M-ideals in complex function spaces and algebras Israel J. of Math. 12, 1972, 133-146
- [29] R. Holmes: M-ideals in approximation theory, in: Approximation theory II, Academic Press 1976, 391-396
- [30] R. Holmes-B. Kripke: Best approximation by compact operators Indiana Univ. Math. J. 21, 1971, 255-263
- [31] R. Holmes-B. Scranton-J. Ward: Approximation from the space of compact operators and other M-ideals, Duke Maht. J. 42, 1975, 259-269
- [32] R. Holmes-B. Scranton-J. Ward: Best approximation by compact operators II, Bull. of the Am. Math. Soc. 80, 1974, 98-102
- [33] M. Jerison: The space of bounded maps into a Banach space, Ann. of Math. 52, 1950, 309-327
- [34] Å. Lima: Intersection properteis of balls and subspaces in Banach spaces, Trans. of the Am. Math. Soc. 227, 1977, 1-62
- [35] Å. Lima: Intersection properties of balls in spaces of compact operators. Ann. Inst. Fourier 28, 1978, 35-65
- [36] Å. Lima: M-ideals of compact operators in classical Banach spaces. Math. Scand. 44, 1979, 207-217
- [37] Å. Lima: On M-ideals and best approximation. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 31, 1982, 27-36
- [38] Å. Lima et al.: Intersections of M-ideals and G-spaces (Preprint)

M-Structure

- [39] T.S.S.R.K. Rao: Characterizations of some classes of L¹-Preduals by the Alfsen-Effros structure topology, Israel J. of Math. 42, 1982, 20-32
- [40] A.K. Roy: Convex functions on the dual ball of a complex Lindenstrauss space, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 20, 1979, 529-540
- [41] N.M. Roy: A characterization of square Banach spaces, Israel J. of Math. 17, 1974, 142-148
- [42] N.M. Roy: Contractive projections in square Banach-spaces Proc. of the Am. Math. Soc. 59, 1976, 291-296
- [43] K. Saatkamp: M-ideals of compact operators. Math. Z. 158, 1978 , 253-263
- [44] R.R. Smith, J.D. Ward: M-ideal structure in Banach algebras, J. Func. Anal. 27, 1978 , 337-349
- [45] R.R. Smith, J.D. Ward: M-ideals in B(1^P). Pac. J. 81, 1979 227-237
- [46] R.R. Smith, J.D. Ward: Applications of convexity and M-ideal theory to Quotient Banach Algebras. Quart. J. Math. Oxford 30, 1979, 365-384
- [47] K. Sundaresan: Spaces of continuous functions into a Banach space, Studia Math. 48, 1973, 15-22

Ehrhard Behrends Institut für Mathematik I Freie Universität Berlin Arnimallee 2-6

D-1000 Berlin 33