Ľubomíra Horanská

On sectioning multiples of the nontrivial line bundle over Grassmannians

In: Jan Slovák and Martin Čadek (eds.): Proceedings of the 17th Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1998. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 54. pp. [59]–64.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701615

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

ON SECTIONING MULTIPLES OF THE NONTRIVIAL LINE BUNDLE OVER GRASSMANNIANS

ĽUBOMÍRA HORANSKÁ

1. Introduction

Let $G_{n,k}$ denote the Grassmann manifold of all k-dimensional vector subspaces in the real Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n (n > k \geq 1)$. All oriented k-dimensional vector subspaces in \mathbb{R}^n form the so called oriented Grassmann manifold $\tilde{G}_{n,k}$. One has the obvious double covering $p: \tilde{G}_{n,k} \to G_{n,k}$ (universal, if $n \geq 3$). Identifying each pair $(x,t) \in \tilde{G}_{n,k} \times \mathbb{R}$ with (x', -t) whenever x and x' are two distinct points such that p(x) = p(x'), one obtains the total space of a line bundle $\xi_{n,k}$ over $G_{n,k}$. Since, as is well known, isomorphism classes of line bundles over a CW-complex are in one-to-one correspondence with its first \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomology group and one has $H^1(G_{n,k}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, the line bundle ξ is usually referred to as the nontrivial line bundle over the Grassmann manifold $G_{n,k}$. Without loss of generality, we shall suppose $n \geq 2k$ in the sequel $(G_{n,k})$ is diffeomorphic to $G_{n,n-k}$.

Now fixing n and k and putting $s\xi_{n,k} := \underbrace{\xi_{n,k} \oplus \cdots \oplus \xi_{n,k}}_{s \text{ times}}$, one can naturally ask

the following.

Question 1.1. What is the least s such that the vector bundle $s\xi_{n,k}$ admits a nowhere vanishing section?

Remark. Question 1.1 can easily be generalized to: What is the least integer s_r , for a given r > 0, such that $s_r \xi_{n,k}$ admits r everywhere linearly independent sections? But we will deal only with r = 1 in this note.

Denote $d_{n,k} := k(n-k) = \dim(G_{n,k})$; $d_{n,k}$ will be written simply d throughout (n and k will always be clear from the context). As an easy consequence of the Steenrod obstruction theory, one sees that $(d+1)\xi_{n,k}$ always has a nowhere vanishing section. Hence the solution to the above question must be less than or equal to d+1.

For the special case of $G_{n,1}$, which is nothing but the projective space $\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$, Question 1.1. is readily answered. Indeed, by that what we said above, $n\xi_{n,1}$ possesses a nowhere zero section, but the value of any cross-section of $(n-1)\xi_{n,1}$ must be zero at some point, because the top Stiefel-Whitney class $w_{n-1}((n-1)\xi_{n,1}) = w_1^{n-1}(\xi_{n,1}) \in H^{n-1}(G_{n,1};\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is non-zero.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere

But Question 1.1 can be considered also from a different point of view. Namely, e.g. by Gitler-Handel [6] (or see [9]), the vector bundle $s\xi_{n,k}$ has a nowhere vanishing section if and only if there exists a map $f:G_{n,k}\to G_{s,1}=\mathbb{R}P^{s-1}$ such that the pullback $f^*(\xi_{s,1})$ is precisely $\xi_{n,k}$ or equivalently that $f^*(w_1(\xi_{s,1}))=w_1(\xi_{n,k})$. However (see e.g. [8]), this is equivalent to the existence of a map $\tilde{f}:\tilde{G}_{n,k}\to \tilde{G}_{s,1}=S^{s-1}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{G}_{n,k} & \stackrel{\tilde{f}}{---} & \tilde{G}_{s,1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_{n,k} & \stackrel{f}{---} & G_{s,1} \end{array}$$

commutes, hence to the existence of a map \tilde{f} that is equivariant with respect to the obvious \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on the oriented Grassmann manifolds.

If T is a fixed point free involution on a topological space X, then the least integer q for which there exists an equivariant map from X into S^{q-1} is called the level of (X,T) by Dai and Lam ([4]), which is the same as the genus of (X,T) in the sense of Svarc [16], or up

to 1 the same as the co-index of (X,T) studied by Conner and Floyd [2]. Taking the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action mentioned above in the role of T and denoting s(X) the level of (X,T), we have that the least s such that $s\xi_{n,k}$ admits a nowhere zero section is nothing but $s(\tilde{G}_{n,k})$ and thus Question 1.1 is answered when one can solve the following.

Problem 1.1'. For given n and k, find the level $s(\tilde{G}_{n,k})$.

As we have seen above, $s(\tilde{G}_{n,1}) = s(S^{n-1}) = n$ (and co-index $(S^{n-1}) = n - 1$). Hence we shall confine ourselves to $\tilde{G}_{n,k}$ with $k \ge 2$.

For general n and $k \ge 2$, Question 1.1 seems to be very difficult.

It is true that (see e.g. Conner-Floyd [2;(3.5)])

$$s(\tilde{G}_{n,k}) = 1 + \text{co-ind}(\tilde{G}_{n,k}) \le 1 + \text{cat}(\tilde{G}_{n,k}/\mathbb{Z}_2) = 1 + \text{cat}(G_{n,k}),$$
 (1.2)

where $cat(G_{n,k})$ is the Lyusternik-Shnirel'man category of $G_{n,k}$. But unfortunately $cat(G_{n,k})$ seems to be known only in some special cases. On the other hand, there is no indication that the difference $1 + cat(G_{n,k}) - s(\tilde{G}_{n,k})$ must be small.

Using results of Korbaš and Sankaran [8; Theorem 4(i)], we can formulate the following.

Proposition 1.3. (a) Let
$$l \ge 2$$
. Then $s(\tilde{G}_{2^l+1,2}) = d+1 = 2^{l+1} - 1$. (b) If $n \ge 2k \ge 4$ and $(n,k) \ne (2^l+1,2)$, then $s(\tilde{G}_{n,k}) \le d$.

Now let $\operatorname{ht}(w_1) := \operatorname{height}(w_1) = \sup\{m \mid w_1^m \neq 0\}$, where w_1 is the first Stiefel-Whitney class of $\xi_{n,k}$. The top Stiefel-Whitney class of $s\xi_{n,k}$, $w_s(s\xi_{n,k}) = w_1^s$, is non-zero for $s \leq \operatorname{ht}(w_1)$; the value of $\operatorname{ht}(w_1)$ is known due to Stong [15]. Consequently, there is no nowhere zero section of $s\xi_{n,k}$ if $s \leq \operatorname{ht}(w_1)$, and we obtain the following lower bound for $s(\tilde{G}_{n,k})$.

Proposition 1.4. If $n \ge 2k \ge 4$, then $s(\tilde{G}_{n,k}) > \operatorname{ht}(w_1)$.

In addition to this, we are able to calculate $s(\tilde{G}_{n,k})$ in several low-dimensional cases.

Proposition 1.5. $s(\tilde{G}_{8,3}) = s(\tilde{G}_{6,3}) = s(\tilde{G}_{7,3}) = 8.$

In the situation of Proposition 1.3(b), it seems reasonable to try to

decide whether or not $(d-1)\xi_{n,k}$ has a nowhere vanishing section. On this we prove in §2 the following result.

- **Proposition 1.6.** (a) Let n be even and $k \geq 3$ be odd, $n \geq 2k$. Then $(d-1)\xi_{n,k}$ has a nowhere vanishing section on the (d-1)-skeleton of $G_{n,k}$. Moreover, either the restriction to the (d-2)-skeleton of every such section can be extended to a non-vanishing section on $G_{n,k}$ or the restriction to the (d-2)-skeleton of no such section can be extended to a non-vanishing section on $G_{n,k}$.
- (b) For $G_{8,3}$ the restriction to the 13-skeleton of every nowhere zero section of $14\xi_{8,3}$ existing on the 14-skeleton extends to a nowhere zero section on $G_{8,3}$.

Now let ε denote a trivial line-bundle and span(α) be the largest number of everywhere linearly independent sections of the vector bundle α . As a step towards deciding whether or not span($(d-1)\xi_{n,k}$) ≥ 1 , we can consider a "stable version" of the above problem, namely the question whether or not span($(d-1)\xi_{n,k} \oplus 2\varepsilon$) ≥ 3 . On this we prove in §2 the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7. Let X be a finite CW-complex of dimension $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and λ be any vector bundle of rank m+1 over X. Then $\operatorname{span}(\lambda) \geq 3$ if and only if $w_{m-1}(\lambda) = 0$.

Corollary 1.8. Let $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and k odd be such that $n \geq 2k \geq 4$. Then $\operatorname{span}((d-1)\xi_{n,k} \oplus 2\varepsilon) \geq 3$.

Remark 1.9. By Crabb [3; Prop. 2.4.] or Stolz [14], one knows that (for d > 4) span $((d-1)\xi_{n,k}) \ge 1$ if and only if the cohomotopy Euler class of $(d-1)\xi_{n,k}$ vanishes. However our efforts to compute this Euler class have failed.

2. Proofs of results

Proof of Proposition 1.5. By [8, Theorem 4(ii)] there exists a map $f: G_{8,3} \to G_{8,1}$ such that $f^*(\xi_{8,1}) \cong \xi_{8,3}$. Notice that the vector bundle $8\xi_{8,1}$ is trivial. Indeed, using the well-known description of the stable tangent bundle of the projective space and parallelizability of $\mathbb{R}P^7$, we obtain

$$8\xi_{8,1} \cong TG_{8,1} \oplus \varepsilon \cong T\mathbb{R}P^7 \oplus \varepsilon \cong 7\varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon \cong 8\varepsilon.$$

Therefore $8\xi_{8,3}$ is also trivial and it follows that $s(\tilde{G}_{8,3}) \leq 8$.

On the other hand applying Proposition 1.4 and Stong's result [15], we obtain $s(\tilde{G}_{8,3}) > \text{ht}(w_1) = 7$. This shows that $s(\tilde{G}_{8,3}) = 8$.

Each nowhere zero section of $t\xi_{8,3}$ induces a nowhere zero section of $t\xi_{6,3}$, because there exists an equivariant map $\tilde{G}_{6,3} \to \tilde{G}_{8,3}$ ([8]). Hence $s(\tilde{G}_{6,3}) \leq s(\tilde{G}_{8,3}) = 8$. Also by [15] $\operatorname{ht}(w_1) = 7 < s(\tilde{G}_{6,3})$. Consequently $s(\tilde{G}_{6,3}) = 8$.

The proof for $G_{7,3}$ is similar.

The following proof is based on obstruction theory (Liao [10], Mahowald [11], Milnor and Stasheff [12]).

Proof of Proposition 1.6(a). The vector bundle $(d-1)\xi_{n,k}$ has a nowhere vanishing section on the (d-1)-skeleton of $G_{n,k}$ if and only if the primary obstruction class vanishes. This primary obstruction class is nothing but the Euler class of $(d-1)\xi_{n,k}$ considered with a fixed orientation.

We first show that the Euler class $e((d-1)\xi_{n,k}) \in H^{d-1}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes.

Indeed, $e(8\xi_{6,3}) = 0$, because (see [8]) the vector bundle $8\xi_{6,3}$ is trivial. Now take the remaining Grassmannians considered in 1.6(a). For them one readily verifies that for s such that $3 \le k \le 2^s < n \le 2^{s+1}$ we have $d-3 \ge 2^{s+1}$. Since by Stong [15] $\operatorname{ht}(w_1) = 2^{s+1} - 1$ in each of those cases, we have that the mod 2 reduction of $e((d-3)\xi_{n,k})$, which is precisely w_1^{d-3} , vanishes. Hence $e((d-3)\xi_{n,k}) = 2x$ for some $x \in H^{d-3}(G_{n,k}; \mathbb{Z})$. Finally we have

$$e((d-1)\xi_{n,k}) = e((d-3)\xi_{n,k})e(2\xi_{n,k}) = x2e(2\xi_{n,k}) = 0$$

(for $2e(2\xi_{n,k}) = 0$ see [12; Problem 9.A]).

Now, the secondary obstructions for two non-vanishing sections of the vector bundle $(d-1)\xi_{n,k}$ on $(G_{n,k})_{(d-1)}$ differ by an element of the subgroup $(Sq^2 + w_2)((d-1)\xi_{n,k})H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z})$ in $H^d(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Hence if we show that

$$(Sq^{2} + w_{2}((d-1)\xi_{n,k}))H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z}) = 0,$$

that will prove that either the secondary obstruction for any non-vanishing section of $(d-1)\xi_{n,k}$ on $(G_{n,k})_{(d-1)}$ is zero or the secondary obstruction for any non-vanishing section of $(d-1)\xi_{n,k}$ on $(G_{n,k})_{(d-1)}$ is non-zero, which will then complete the proof of 1.6(a).

To start, first observe that $H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z}_2)=\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$ (see Fuchs [5]). For computing $Sq^2(H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z}))$ we need to recognize those cohomology classes in $H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z}_2)$ which lie in the image of the mod 2 reduction homomorphism $\rho_2:H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z})\to H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z}_2)$. This homomorphism appears in the exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{2\times} H^{d-2}(G_{n,k}; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\rho_2} H^{d-2}(G_{n,k}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{d-1}(G_{n,k}; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{2\times} \dots,$$

where δ is the Bockstein homomorphism associated with the short exact sequence of coefficients $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2 \times} \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \to 0$.

Since $H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z})\cong\mathbb{Z}_2$, we see that $\rho_2:H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z})\to H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is a monomorphism. That means that there exists a unique nonzero class $a\in H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z}_2)$ such that $a\in \mathrm{Im}(\rho_2)=\mathrm{Ker}(\delta)$. However $\rho_2\circ\delta$ is nothing but the Steenrod square Sq^1 , and therefore we have $Sq^1(a)=0$.

The following lemma will also be useful.

Lemma 2.1. Under the hypotheses of 1.6(a), let $y \in H^{d-2}(G_{n,k}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then $(Sq^2 +$ $w_2((d-1)\xi_{n,k})(y) = w_1^2(\xi_{n,k}).y.$

Proof. It is known (Milnor and Stasheff [12]) that $Sq^2(y) = v_2.y$ for all $y \in H^{d-2}(G_{n,k}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $v_2 = w_1^2(G_{n,k}) + w_2(G_{n,k})$ is the second Wu class.

Now, if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $v_2 = 0$ by [1] and $w_2((d-1)\xi_{n,k}) = {d-1 \choose 2}w_1^2(\xi_{n,k}) =$ $w_1^2(\xi_{n,k})$. Hence $(Sq^2 + w_2((d-1)\xi_{n,k}))(y) = w_1^2(\xi_{n,k}).y$.

If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then we have $v_2 = w_1^2(\xi_{n,k})$ by [1] and $w_2((d-1)\xi_{n,k}) =$ $\binom{d-1}{2}w_1^2(\xi_{n,k})=0$. So again $(Sq^2+w_2((d-1)\xi_{n,k}))(y)=w_1^2(\xi_{n,k}).y$.

To complete the proof of Proposition 1.6(a), first observe that by Jaworowski [7] $w_{k-2}w_k^{n-k-1}, w_{k-1}^2w_k^{n-k-2}$ and $w_{k-2}w_k^{n-k-1} + w_{k-1}^2w_k^{n-k-2}$ can be taken as the three non-zero elements in $H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. Now analyse the following four possibilities in $H^d(G_{n,k}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

- (1) $w_1^2 w_{k-2} w_k^{n-k-1} \neq 0$, $w_1^2 w_{k-1}^2 w_k^{n-k-2} \neq 0$;
- $(2) w_1^2 w_{k-2} w_k^{n-k-1} \neq 0, \quad w_1^2 w_{k-1}^2 w_k^{n-k-2} = 0;$ $(3) w_1^2 w_{k-2} w_k^{n-k-1} = 0, \quad w_1^2 w_{k-1}^2 w_k^{n-k-2} \neq 0;$ $(4) w_1^2 w_{k-2} w_k^{n-k-1} = 0, \quad w_1^2 w_{k-1}^2 w_k^{n-k-2} = 0.$

The Cartan and Wu formulae give that in the situation under consideration

$$Sq^{1}(w_{k-2}w_{k}^{n-k-1})=w_{1}w_{k-2}w_{k}^{n-k-1},\ q^{1}(w_{k-1}^{2}w_{k}^{n-k-2})=w_{1}w_{k-1}^{2}w_{k}^{n-k-2}.$$

Hence in case (1), $w_{k-2}w_k^{n-k-1} + w_{k-1}^2w_k^{n-k-2}$ is the unique nonzero element in $\operatorname{Im}(\rho_2)$, and by Lemma 2.1 we have that $(Sq^2 + w_2((d-1)\xi_{n,k}))H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $w_1^2(w_{k-2}w_k^{n-k-1}+w_{k-1}^2w_k^{n-k-2})=0$, and therefore the subgroup in question is trivial.

Similarly one shows in cases (2) and (3) that $(Sq^2 + w_2((d-1)\xi_{n,k}))H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial. Finally, in case (4) the unique nonzero element in $\text{Im}(\rho_2)$ is one of the elements $w_{k-2}w_k^{n-k-1}, w_{k-1}^2w_k^{n-k-2}, w_{k-2}w_k^{n-k-1} + w_{k-1}^2w_k^{n-k-2}$. But since the $(Sq^2 + w_2((d-1)\xi_{n,k}))$ -image of each of them is zero (see Lemma 2.1), we have that the subgroup $(Sq^2 + w_2((d-1)\xi_{n,k}))H^{d-2}(G_{n,k};\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial also in this case. This closes the proof of Proposition 1.6(a).

Proof of Proposition 1.6(b). By Proposition 1.5 span($8\xi_{8,3}$) ≥ 1 . Then of course also $14\xi_{8,3}$ has a nowhere vanishing section whose restriction to $(G_{8,3})_{(14)}$ has its secondary obstruction zero. But then, as we know from the proof of 1.6(a), the secondary obstructions for all nowhere vanishing sections of $14\xi_{8,3}$ on $(G_{8,3})_{(14)}$ vanish. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. The existence of three sections of λ is equivalent to the existence of a section for the associated bundle $V_3(\lambda)$ whose fiber is the Stiefel manifold of orthonormal 3-frames in the fiber of λ . This manifold is (m-3)-connected, and therefore $V_3(\lambda)$ has a section over the (m-2)-skeleton of X.

Then the primary obstruction to extending the above section to the (m-1)-skeleton is nothing but the Stiefel-Whitney class $w_{m-1}(\lambda)$ (note that we have $\pi_{m-2}(V_3(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})) = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

It is clear that span(λ) ≥ 3 implies $w_{m-1}(\lambda) = 0$. On the other hand, $w_{m-1}(\lambda) = 0$ implies that we have a section of $V_3(\lambda)$ on the (m-1)-skeleton of X. Hence we certainly have a section of $V_3(\lambda)$ on X with a finite singularity set. But this set can be removed, since the homotopy group $\pi_{m-1}(V_3(\mathbb{R}^{m+1}))$ is trivial (see Paechter [13]) in our situation. This closes the proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.8. Using Stong's result on the height of w_1 we compute $w_{d-1}((d-1)\xi_{n,k}\oplus 2\varepsilon)=w_1^{d-1}(\xi_{n,k})=0$. Thus we can apply Theorem 1.7 in this case.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Július Korbaš for his guidance and many helpful suggestions and also Peter Zvengrowski for useful comments.

REFERENCES

- V. Bartík, J. Korbaš, Stiefel-Whitney characteristic classes and parallelizability of Grassmann manifolds, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Suppl. 6 (1984), 19-29.
- P. Conner, E. Floyd, Fixed point free involutions and equivariant maps, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (1962), 222-228.
- M. C. Crabb, Z₂-Homotopy Theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 44, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- Z. D. Dai, T. Y. Lam, Levels in algebra and topology, Comment. Math. Helvetici 59 (1984), 376-424.
- D. B. Fuchs, Classical manifolds (Russian), Current Problems in Mathematics. Fundamental Directions (Russian), Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk. SSSR 12, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauch. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1986, 253-314.
- 6. S. Gitler, D. Handel, The projective Stiefel manifolds-I, Topology 7 (1968), 39-46.
- J. Jaworowski, An additive basis for the cohomology of real Grassmannians, Lecture Notes in Math. 1474, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991, 231-234.
- J. Korbaš, P. Sankaran, On continuous maps between Grassmann manifolds, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 101 (1991), 111-120.
- 9. J. Korbaš, P. Zvengrowski, The vector field problem: A survey with emphasis on specific manifolds, Exposition. Math. 12 (1994), 3-30.
- 10. S. D. Liao, On the obstructions of fiber bundles, Annals of Math. 60 (1954), 146-191.
- M. Mahowald, On obstruction theory in orientable fiber bundles, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (1964), 315-349.
- J. W. Milnor, J. D. Stasheff, Characteristic Classes, Annals of Math. Studies 76, Princeton Univ. Press, N.J., 1974.
- 13. G. Paechter, The groups $\pi_r(V_{n,m})$ (I), Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 7 (1956), 249-268.
- 14. S. Stolz, The level of real projective spaces, Comment. Math. Helvetici 64 (1989), 661-674.
- 15. R. E. Stong, Cup products in Grassmannians, Topology Appl. 13 (1982), 103-113.
- A. S. Švarc, The genus of a fibre space (Russian), Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obščestva 11 (1962), 99-126.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Chemical Technology Slovak Technical University Radlinského 9 812 37 Bratislava Slovakia horanska@cvt.stuba.sk