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FURTHER REMARKS ON EXTENDED UMBRAL CALCULUS

A.K. KWAŚNIEWSKI AND E. GRĄDZKA

ABSTRACT. $\psi$-calculus due to Viskov is an extension of classical operator calculus of Rota or equivalently - of umbral calculus of Roman and Rota. This $\psi$-extension relies on the notion of $\partial_\psi$-shift invariance of $\partial_\psi$-delta operators. Main results of Rota's finite operator calculus have been already given their $\psi$-counterparts. By its nature - $\psi$-umbral calculus supplies a simple mathematical underpinning for infinitely many new $\psi$-deformed quantum-like oscillator algebras representations. For the $\psi_n(q) = [n_q]^{-1}$ case [1]-[4] it provides also the natural underpinning for quantum group investigation. Moreover - the other way around - one may formulate $q$-extended finite operator calculus with help of the "quantum q-plane" $q$-commuting variables $A, B : AB - qBA \equiv [A, B]_q = 0$. $\psi$-extension of calculus of Rota to be just announced here might be useful in an algebraic description of "$\psi$-quantum processes" - if any - with various parastatistics [5]. At the same time the reduced incidence algebra $R(L(S))$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\Phi_\psi$ of $\psi$-exponential formal power series. Therefore the $\psi$-extension Rota's operator calculus is a general representation of the algebra structure of $R(L(S))$. This paper is a self-contained extension of the recent note on extended finite operator calculus of Rota and quantum groups [6]. A systematic and detailed development is to be find in [7].

The article is supplemented by the short indicatory glossaries of terms and notation used by Ward, Viskov, Markowsky, Roman on one side and the Rota-oriented notation on the other side.

1. Extended Umbral Calculus in Brief

The very foundations of what we [6, 7] call "$\psi$-extension of Rota finite operator calculus" were led by Viskov [8, 9]. $\psi$-extended umbral calculus is arrived at [8, 9, 10, 11] by considering not only polynomial sequences of binomial type but also of \{s_n\}_{n \geq 1}-binomial type where \{s_n\}_{n \geq 1}-binomial coefficients are defined with help of the generalized factorial $n_s! = s_1s_2...s_n$; $S = \{s_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is an arbitrary sequence with the condition $s_n \neq 0$, $n \in N$.

Then the extension relies on the notion of $\partial_\psi$-shift invariance of $\partial_\psi$-delta operators. Here $\partial_\psi$ denotes the $\psi$-derivative i.e. $\partial_\psi x^n = n_\psi x^{n-1}$; $n \geq 0$; then $\partial_\psi$-linearly extended and $n_\psi$ denotes the $\psi$-deformed number where in conformity with Viskov notation: $n_\psi \equiv \psi_{n-1}(q)\psi_n^{-1}(q)$ hence $n_\psi! \equiv \psi_n^{-1}(q) \equiv n_\psi(n-1)_\psi(n-2)_\psi(n-3)_\psi...2_\psi 1_\psi$;
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0ψ! = 1 and nψk = nψ(n - 1)ψ... (n - k + 1)ψ. We choose to work with Θ - the family of functions sequences (in conformity with Viskov notation) such that:

Θ = {ψ; R ⊃ [a, b] ; q ∈ [a, b] ; ψ(q) : Z → F ; ψ0(q) = 1 ; ψn(q) ≠ 0; ψ−n(q) = 0; n ∈ N}.

With the choice ψn(q) = [R(qn)]−1 and R(x) = l−x l−q we get the well known q-factorial nq! = nq(n−1)q!; 1q! = 0q! = 1 while the ψ-derivative ∂ψ becomes now the Jackson’s derivative (see [11]-[16]) ∂ψ : (ψϕ)(x) = ϕ(x−ψ(xq)) q.

A polynomial sequence {pn}∞n=0 is then of ψ-binomial type if it satisfies the recurrence

Eψ(∂ψ) pn(x) ≡ pn(x + ψ) ≡ ∑∞k=0 \binom{n}{k} p_k(x) p_{n-k}(y) ; where \left(\binom{n}{k}\right)_ψ ≡ \frac{n^k}{k^q}.

Here Eψ(∂ψ) ≡ expψ{y∂ψ} = ∑∞k=0 y/q^k ψ \frac{q^k}{k^q} is a generalized translation operator and ∂ψ-shift invariance is defined accordingly. Namely we work with \sumψ which is the algebra of F-linear operators acting on the algebra P of polynomials. We assume that char F = 0. These operators are ∂ψ-shift invariant operators T, i.e.

∀ α ∈ F; [T; Eψ(∂ψ)] = 0 ; char F = 0.

One then introduces the notion of ∂ψ-delta operator according to:

Definition 1.1. Let Q(∂ψ) : P → P; the linear operator Q(∂ψ) is a ∂ψ-delta operator iff

a) Q(∂ψ) is ∂ψ - shift invariant;
b) Q(∂ψ)(id) = const ≠ 0

The strictly related notion is that of the ∂ψ-basic polynomial sequence:

Definition 1.2. Let Q(∂ψ) : P → P; be the ∂ψ-delta operator. A polynomial sequence \{pn\}_{n≥0}; deg pn = n such that:

1) p0(x) = 1;
2) pn(0) = 0; n > 0;
3) Q(∂ψ) pn = nψpn−1 is called the ∂ψ-basic polynomial sequence of the ∂ψ-delta operator Q(∂ψ).

After that and among many others the important Theorem 1.1 might be proved using the fact that ∀ Q(∂ψ) ∃! invertible S∂ψ ∈ Σψ such that Q(∂ψ) = ∂ψS∂ψ.

Theorem 1.1. (ψ-Lagrange and ψ-Rodrigues formulas.) Let \{pn(x)\}∞n=0 be ∂ψ-basic polynomial sequence of the ∂ψ-delta operator Q(∂ψ):

Q(∂ψ) = ∂ψS∂ψ. Then for n > 0:

1) pn(x) = Q(∂ψ) S−n−1∂ψ x^n ;
2) pn(x) = S−n∂ψ x^n - \frac{n^2}{n} (S−n∂ψ) x^{n−1};
3) pn(x) = \frac{n^2}{n} x^n \partialψ S−n∂ψ x^{n−1};
4) pn(x) = \frac{n^2}{n} x^n (Q(∂ψ))'−1pn−1(x) (ψ-Rodrigues ψ-formula).

For proof see [7].

Here we used the properties of the Pincherle ψ-derivative:
Definition 1.3. (compare with (17) in [9].) The Pincherle $\psi$-derivative i.e. the linear map $\hat{T}_{\partial_{\psi}}: \Sigma \psi \to \Sigma \psi$;

$T_{\partial_{\psi}} = T_{\partial_{\psi}} \hat{x}_{\psi} - \hat{x}_{\psi} T_{\partial_{\psi}} \equiv [T_{\partial_{\psi}}, \hat{x}_{\psi}]$ where the linear map $\hat{x}_{\psi}: P \to P$; is defined in the basis $\{x^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ as follows

$$\hat{x}_{\psi}x^n = \frac{\psi_{n+1}(q)(n+1)}{\psi_n(q)} x^{n+1} = \frac{(n+1)}{(n+1)_q} x^{n+1}; \quad n \geq 0$$

Shaffer $\partial_{\psi}$-polynomials constitute the more general class. These are defined as follows:

Definition 1.4. A polynomial sequence $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is called the sequence $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of Shaffer $\partial_{\psi}$-polynomials of the $\partial_{\psi}$-delta operator $Q(\partial_{\psi})$ iff

1. $s_0(x) = c \neq 0$;
2. $Q(\partial_{\psi}) s_n(x) = n_{\psi} s_{n-1}(x)$.

The following proposition relates Shaffer $\partial_{\psi}$-polynomials of the $\partial_{\psi}$-delta operator $Q(\partial_{\psi})$ to the unique $\partial_{\psi}$-basic polynomial sequence of the $\partial_{\psi}$-delta operator $Q(\partial_{\psi})$:

Proposition 1.1. Let $Q(\partial_{\psi})$ be a $\partial_{\psi}$-delta operator with $\partial_{\psi}$-basic polynomial sequence $\{q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Then $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of Shaffer $q$-polynomials of the $\partial_{\psi}$-delta operator $Q(\partial_{\psi})$ iff there exists a $\partial_{\psi}$-shift invariant operator $S_{\partial_{\psi}}$ such that $s_n(x) = S_{\partial_{\psi}}^{-1} q_n(x)$. For proof see [7].

The family of Shaffer $\partial_{\psi}$-polynomials sequences $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ corresponding to the fixed $\partial_{\psi}$-delta operator $Q(\partial_{\psi})$ is labeled by elements of the abelian group of all $\partial_{\psi}$-shift invariant invertible operators $S_{\partial_{\psi}}$. It is an orbit of this group.

Let us also stress here again that $q$-deformed quantum oscillator algebra provides a natural setting for $q$-Laguerre polynomials and $q$-Hermite polynomials [24, 25, 26], $sl_q(2)$ and the $q$-oscillator algebra give rise to basic geometric functions as matrix elements of certain operators in analogy with Lie theory [24, 25]. Also automorphisms of the $q$-oscillator algebra lead to Shaffer $q$-polynomials for example to $q$-generalization of the Charlier polynomials [24, 25].

2. Remarks on Extended Umbral Calculus and $\psi$-deformed Quantum Oscillator

$\partial_{\psi}$ – delta operators and their duals and similarly $\partial_{\psi}$-delta operators with their duals provide us with pairs of generators of $\psi$ -deformed quantum oscillator algebras (see Remark 2.2) - possible candidates to describe parastatistical behavior of some processes [5]. Namely as we shall see : $[Q(\partial_{\psi}), \hat{x}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})}]_{\partial_{\psi}, q} = id$. With the choice $\psi_n(q) = [R(q^n)!]^{-1}$ and $R(x) = \frac{1-x}{1-q}$ we get the well known $q$-deformed oscillator dual pair of operators leading to the corresponding $C^*$ algebra description of $q$-Heisenberg-Weyl algebra. These oscillator-like algebras generators and $q$-oscillator-like algebras generators are encountered explicitly or implicitly in [1, 2] and in many other subsequent references - see [36, 4] and references therein. In many such references [24, 25] $q$-Laguerre and $q$-Hermite or $q$-Charlier polynomials appear which are just either Shaffer $\psi$-polynomials or just $\partial_{\psi}$-basic polynomial sequences of the $\partial_{\psi}$-delta operators $Q(\partial_{\psi})$ for $\psi_n(q) = \frac{1}{R(q^n)!} ; R(x) = \frac{1-x}{1-q}$ and corresponding choice of $Q(\partial_{\psi})$.
functions of $\partial_\psi$ (for example $Q = \text{id}$). The case $\psi_n(q) = \frac{1}{R(q^n)}! : n_\psi = n_R$; $\partial_\psi = \partial_R$ and $n_{\psi(q)} = n_{R(q)} = R(q^n)$ appears implicitly in [27] where advanced theory of general quantum coherent states is being developed. However there is no mention of $R(q^n)$-umbral calculus in [27]. In the q-case it was noticed among others also in [29] that commutation relations for the q-oscillator-like algebras generators from [1, 2] and others (see [38, 39, 4]) might be chosen in appropriate operator variables to be of the form [29]:

$$AA^+ - \mu A^+ A = 1 ; \quad \mu = q^2$$

As for the Fock space representation of normalized eigenstates $|n\rangle$ of excitation number operator $N$ various q-deformations of the natural number $n$ are used in literature on quantum groups and at least some families of quantum groups may be constructed from q-analogues of Heisenberg algebra [1, 2, 29, 3]. In fact, these q-oscillator algebras generators are (see below) the $\partial_q$-delta operators $Q(d_q)$ and their duals i.e. basic objects of the q-extended finite operator calculus of Rota. (Of course $d_q x - q x d_q = \text{id}$.)

The known important fact is that the “$q$-Canonical Commutation Relations” $AA^+ - qA^+A = 1$ lead [4] to the q-deformed spectrum of excitation number operator $N$ and to various parastatistics [5]. More possibilities result from considerations of Wigner [37] extended by the authors of [5]. We therefore hope that the $\psi$-calculus of Rota to be developed here might be useful in a $C^*$ algebraic [4] description of “$\psi$-quantum processes” - if any - with various parastatistics [5].

Here in below we shall propose a $\psi$-extension of the q-oscillator model algebra using basic concepts of Viskov’s $\psi$-extension of calculus of Rota.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $\{p_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the $\partial_q$-basic polynomial sequence of the $\partial_q$-delta operator $Q(\partial_q)$. A linear map $\hat{x}_Q(\partial_q) : P \rightarrow P$; $\hat{x}_Q(\partial_q)p_n = p_{n+1}$; $n \geq 0$ is called the operator dual to $Q(\partial_q)$.

For $Q = \text{id}$ we have : $\hat{x}_Q(\partial_q) \equiv \hat{x}_{\partial_q} \equiv \hat{x}$. 

**Comment:** Dual in the above sense corresponds to adjoint in q-umbral calculus language of linear functionals’ umbral algebra (see : Proposition 1.1.21 in Kirschenhofer [19]).

**Definition 2.2.** Let $\{p_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the $\partial_\psi$-basic polynomial sequence of the $\partial_\psi$-delta operator $Q(\partial_\psi) = Q$. Then the $\hat{\psi}_Q$- operator is a liner map;

$$\hat{\psi}_Q : P \rightarrow P; \quad \hat{\psi}_Q p_n = \frac{(n+1)!}{n!}p_{n+1}; \quad n \geq 0.$$

We call the $\hat{\psi}_Q$ operator the $\hat{\psi}_Q$-mutator operator.

**Note:** For $Q = \text{id}$ $Q(\partial_\psi) = \partial_\psi$ the natural notation is $\hat{\psi}_{\text{id}} \equiv \hat{\psi}$. For $Q = \text{id}$ and $\psi_n(q) = \frac{1}{R(q^n)}!$ and $R(x) = \frac{1-x}{1-q}$ $\hat{\psi}_Q \equiv \hat{\psi}_{R, \text{id}} \equiv \hat{R}_q \equiv \hat{\psi}_{\text{id}} \equiv \hat{\psi} \equiv \hat{q}$ and $\hat{\psi}_Q x^n = q^n x^n$.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $A$ and $B$ be linear operators acting on $P$; $A : P \rightarrow P$ ; $B : P \rightarrow P$. Then $AB - \hat{\psi}_Q BA \equiv [A,B] \hat{\psi}_Q$ is called $\hat{\psi}_Q$-mutator of $A$ and $B$ operators.
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Note: \( Q(\partial_\psi)x \delta_\psi Q(\partial_\psi) \equiv [Q(\partial_\psi), \hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi)]_{\delta_\psi} = \text{id}. \)

This is easily verified in the \( \partial_\psi \)-basic \( \{p_n\}_{n\geq 0} \) of the \( \partial_\psi \)-delta operator \( Q(\partial_\psi) \).

Equipped with pair of operators \( (Q(\partial_\psi), \hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi) ) \) and \( \hat{\psi}_Q \)-mutator we have at our disposal all possible representants of “canonical pairs” of differential operators on the \( P \) algebra. The meaning of the adjective: “canonical” includes also the content of the remark 2.2. For important historical reasons however here is at first \([6, 7]\):

Remark 2.1. The \( \psi \)-derivative is a particular example of a linear operator that reduces by one the degree of any polynomial. In 1901 it was proved \([32]\) by Pincherle and Amaldi that every linear operator \( T \) mapping \( P \) into \( P \) may be represented as infinite series in operators \( \hat{x} \) and \( D \). In 1986 Kurbanov and Maximov \([33]\) supplied the explicit expression for such series in most general case of polynomials in one variable; namely according to the Proposition 1 from \([33]\) one has: “Let \( D \) be a linear operator that reduces by one each polynomial. Let \( \{q_n(\hat{x})\}_{n\geq 0} \) be an arbitrary sequence of polynomials in the operator \( \hat{x} \). Then \( T = \sum_{n\geq 0} q_n(\hat{x})D^n \) defines a linear operator that maps polynomials into polynomials. Conversely, if \( T \) is linear operator that maps polynomials into polynomials then there exists a unique expansion of the form

\[
T = \sum_{n\geq 0} q_n(\hat{x})D^n .
\]

Note: In 1996 this was extended to algebra of many variables polynomials \([34]\).

Remark 2.2. The importance of the pair of dual operators: \( Q(\partial_\psi) \) and \( \hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi) \) is reflected by the facts:

a) \( Q(\partial_\psi) \hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi)^{-1} \hat{\psi}_Q x \hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi) Q(\partial_\psi) \equiv [Q(\partial_\psi), \hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi)]_{\delta_\psi} = \text{id}. \)

b) Let \( \{q_n(\hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi))\}_{n\geq 0} \) be an arbitrary sequence of polynomials in the operator \( \hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi) \). Then \( T = \sum_{n\geq 0} q_n(\hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi))Q(\partial_\psi)^n \) defines a linear operator that maps polynomials into polynomials. Conversely, if \( T \) is linear operator that maps polynomials into polynomials then there exists a unique expansion of the form

\[
(2.2) \quad T = \sum_{n\geq 0} q_n(\hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi))Q(\partial_\psi)^n .
\]

Equipped with pair of operators \( (Q(\partial_\psi), \hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi) ) \) and \( \hat{\psi}_Q \)-mutator we have at our disposal all possible representants of “canonical pairs” of differential operators on the \( P \) algebra such that:

a) the above unique expansion \( T = \sum_{n\geq 0} q_n(\hat{x}_Q(\partial_\psi))Q(\partial_\psi)^n \) holds

b) we have the structure of \( \psi \)-umbral or \( \psi \)-extended finite operator calculus - coworking.
3. Does a $\psi$-analogue of quantum $Q$-plane formulation exist?

In [18, 19] Cigler and Kirchenhofer defined the polynomial sequence $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of $q$-binomial type equivalently by

$$p_n(A + B) \equiv \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_q p_k(A)p_{n-k}(B) \text{ where } [B, A]_q \equiv BA - qAB = 0.$$  

$A$ and $B$ might be interpreted then as coordinates on quantum $q$-plane. For example $A = x$ and $B = yQ$ where $Q\varphi(x) = \varphi(qx)$. With this being adopted the following identification holds:

$$p_n(x + y) \equiv E^\psi(\partial_q) p_n(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_q p_k(x)p_{n-k}(y) = p_n(\hat{x} + y\hat{Q}) \text{ 1}$$

Also $q$-Sheffer polynomials $\{s_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are defined equivalently (see : 2.1.1. Kirschenhofer in [19] ) by

$$s_n(A + B) \equiv \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_q s_k(A)p_{n-k}(B)$$

where $[B, A]_q \equiv BA - qAB = 0$ and $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of $q$-binomial type. For example $A = x$ and $B = y\hat{Q}$ where $\hat{Q}\varphi(x) = \varphi(qx)$. Then the following identification takes place:

$$s_n(x + y) \equiv E^\psi(\partial_q) s_n(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_q s_k(x)p_{n-k}(y) = s_n(\hat{x} + y\hat{Q}) \text{ 1}$$

This means that one may formulate $q$-extended finite operator calculus with help of the “quantum $q$-plane” $q$-commuting variables $A, B : AB - qBA = [A, B]_q = 0$.

Let us now try to formulate - perhaps in vain - the basic notions of $\psi$-extended finite operator calculus with help of the “quantum $\psi$-plane” $\hat{\psi}_Q,q$-commuting variables $A, B : [A, B]_{\hat{\psi}_Q,q} = 0$ exactly in the same way as it was done by Cigler and Kirchenhofer in [18, 19].

For that to do let us consider appropriate generalization of $A = \hat{x}$ and $B = y\hat{Q}$ where this time the action of $\hat{Q}$ on $\{x^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is to be found from the condition

$$AB - \hat{\psi}_Q BA \equiv [A, B]_{\hat{\psi}_Q} = 0.$$  

Acting with $[A, B]_{\hat{\psi}_Q}$ on $\{x^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ one easily sees that due to $\hat{\psi}_Q x^n = \left(\frac{n+1}{n+1}_\psi\right)x^n$;

$$n \geq 0 \quad \hat{Q}x^n = b_n x^n \text{ where } b_0 = 0 \text{ and } b_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{(k+1)_\psi}{k_\psi} \text{ for } n > 0 \text{ is the solution of the difference equation: } b_n - b_{n-1} \frac{(n+1)_\psi}{n_\psi} = 0; \quad n > 0.$$  

With all above taken into account one immediately verifies that for our $A$ and $B$ $\hat{\psi}_Q$-commuting variables already

$$A + B)^n \neq \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_\psi A^k B^{n-k}$$

unless $\psi_n(q) = \frac{1}{R(x)}$; $R(x) = \frac{1-x}{1-q}$ hence $\hat{\psi}_Q R \equiv \hat{\psi}_R \equiv \hat{\psi}_R \equiv \hat{\psi}_R \equiv \hat{\psi}_R \equiv \hat{\psi}_R \equiv \hat{\psi}_R \equiv \hat{\psi}_R \equiv \hat{\psi}_R$ and $\hat{\psi}_Q x^n = q^n x^n$ i.e. unless we are back to the $q$-case.
In conclusion one sees that the above identifications of polynomial sequence \( \{p_n\}_0^\infty \) of q-binomial type and Sheffer q-polynomials \( \{s_n(x)\}_0^\infty \) fail to be extended to the more general \( \psi \)-case. This means that we can not formulate that way the \( \psi \)-extended finite operator calculus with help of the “quantum \( \psi \)-plane” \( \hat{q}_{\psi,Q} \)-commuting variables \( A, B : AB = \hat{q}_{\psi,Q} BA \equiv [A,B]_{\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}} = 0 \) while considering algebra of polynomials \( P \) over the field \( F \).

Nevertheless - already the q-case is already reach enough in abundant applications (see [1]-[5], [20]-[26], [28]-[30], [35]-[37] and hundreds of references therein) to various “q-quantum mechanical models” - \( q = \omega = \exp \{ \frac{2\pi i}{n} \} \) case included. One may expect the natural use of q-umbral calculus in these applications to be advantageous. Models using \( \hat{q}_{\psi,Q} \)-mutator \( [Q(\partial_{\psi}), \hat{z}_{Q(\partial_{\psi})}]_{\hat{q}_{\psi,Q}} = \text{id} \) relations are suitable play-ground for \( \psi \)-umbral calculus ( leading perhaps to \( \psi \)-lasers ? - see the q-footnote in [1] p. L887 ).

**Indication:** To this end we want to draw attention of the reader to new areas of possible applications of related extended binomial enumeration to combinatorics on one side and data structures organizations on the other side [38, 39].

**Added:** After completion of this work the authors became acquainted with the work of Ward [40] which is of primary importance for the whole direction of investigation as already seen from the indicatory Glossary of terms that now follow.

**Morgan Ward:** Amer. J. Math. 58, 255 (1936).

**Indicatory glossary of terms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Rota - oriented (this note)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([n] ; [n]!)</td>
<td>(n_{\psi} ; n_{\psi}!)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>basic binomial coefficient ( [n,r] = \frac{[n]!}{r![n-r]!} )</td>
<td>(\psi)-binomial coefficient ( \binom{n}{k}<em>\psi \equiv \frac{n</em>{\psi}^k}{k!_{\psi}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D = D_x \text{ - the operator } D)</td>
<td>(\partial_{\psi} \text{ - the } \psi\text{-derivative})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D x^n = [n] \ x^{n-1})</td>
<td>(\partial_{\psi} x^n = n_{\psi} \ x^{n-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((x+y)^n)</td>
<td>((x+\psi \ y)^n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((x+y)^n \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{n} [n,r] \ x^{n-r} \ y^r)</td>
<td>(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_\psi x^k y^{n-k})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>basic displacement symbol (E^t; \ t \in \mathbb{Z})</td>
<td>generalized shift operator (E^y(\partial_{\psi}) \equiv \exp_{\psi}{y \partial_{\psi}}; \ y \in F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E\varphi(x) = \varphi(x + 1))</td>
<td>(E^y(\partial_{\psi})\varphi(x) = \varphi(x + \psi \ 1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E^t\varphi(x) = \varphi(x + t))</td>
<td>(E^y(\partial_{\psi})x^n = (x + \psi \ y)^n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>basic difference operator ( \Delta = E - \text{id} )</td>
<td>(\psi)-difference delta operator (\Delta_{\psi} = E^y(\partial_{\psi}) - \text{id})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta = \epsilon(D) - \text{id} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n}{[n]!} - \text{id})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Basic difference symbol**

\[ E^t; \ t \in \mathbb{Z} \]

\[ E\varphi(x) = \varphi(x + 1) \]

\[ E^t\varphi(x) = \varphi(x + t) \]

**Basic difference operator**

\[ \Delta = E - \text{id} \]

\[ \Delta = \epsilon(D) - \text{id} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n}{[n]!} - \text{id} \]

**Indicatory glossary of terms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roman</th>
<th>Rota - oriented (this note)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( t; \ tx^n = nx^{n-1} )</td>
<td>( \partial_\psi ) - the ( \psi )-derivative ( \partial_\psi x^n = n_\psi x^{n-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \langle t^k</td>
<td>p(x) \rangle = [\partial_\psi^k p(x)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluation functional</td>
<td>generalized shift operator ( E^\psi (\partial_\psi) = \exp { y\partial_\psi } )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_\psi(t) = \exp { yt } )</td>
<td>( E^\psi (\partial_\psi) = \exp { y\partial_\psi } )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \langle t^k</td>
<td>x^n \rangle = n! \delta_{n,k} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \langle \varepsilon_\psi(t)</td>
<td>p(x) \rangle = p(y) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_\psi(t)x^n = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k} x^k y^{n-k} )</td>
<td>( E^\psi (\partial_\psi) = \exp { y\partial_\psi } )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formal derivative ( f'(t) \equiv \frac{d}{dt}f(t) )</td>
<td>Pincherle derivative ( [Q(\partial_\psi)]' \equiv \frac{d}{d\psi}Q(\partial_\psi) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{f}(t) ) compositional inverse of formal power series ( f(t) )</td>
<td>( Q^{-1}(\partial_\psi) ) compositional inverse of formal power series ( Q(\partial_\psi) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_t; \ \theta_t x^n = \frac{n+1}{(n+1)!} x^{n+1}; \ n \geq 0 )</td>
<td>( \hat{x}<em>\psi; \ \hat{x}</em>\psi x^n = \frac{n+1}{(n+1)!} x^{n+1}; \ n \geq 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_t t = \hat{x} D )</td>
<td>( \hat{x}<em>\psi \partial</em>\psi = \hat{x} D = \hat{N} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{s_k(x)}{k!} t^k = [g(\bar{f}(x))]^{-1} \exp { x\bar{f}(t) } )</td>
<td>( \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{s_k(x)}{k!} z^k = s(q^{-1}(z)) \exp { xq^{-1}(z) } )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( { s_n(x) }_{n \geq 0} ) - Sheffer sequence for ( (g(t), \bar{f}(t)) )</td>
<td>( q(t), s(t) ) indicators of ( Q(\partial_\psi) ) and ( S_{\partial_\psi} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Rota - oriented (this note)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g(t) s_n(x) = q_n(x) ) - sequence associated for ( f(t) )</td>
<td>( s_n(x) = S_{\partial \psi}^{-1} q_n(x) - \partial \psi ) - basic sequence of ( Q(\partial \psi) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The expansion theorem: ( h(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{h(t)[p_k(x)]}{k!} f(t)^k )</td>
<td>The First Expansion Theorem ( T_{\partial \psi} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{[T_{\partial \psi} p_n(x)]_{\ell=0}}{n^\psi} Q(\partial \psi)^n )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>where ( p_n(x) ) - sequence associated for ( f(t) )</td>
<td>( \partial \psi ) - basic polynomial sequence ( {p_n}_0^\infty )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \exp y f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_k(y) t^k}{k!} )</td>
<td>( \exp {x Q^{-1}(x)} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{p_k(y) z^k}{k!} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Sheffer Identity: ( s_n(x + y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p_k(y) s_{n-k}(x) )</td>
<td>The Sheffer ( \psi )-Binomial Theorem: ( s_n(x + \psi y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k} \psi^k(x) q_{n-k}(y) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicatory glossary of terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viskov</th>
<th>Rota - oriented (this note)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_\psi$ - the $\psi$-derivative</td>
<td>$\partial_\psi$ - the $\psi$-derivative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_\psi x^n = \frac{\psi_{n-1}}{\psi_n} x^{n-1}$</td>
<td>$\partial_\psi x^n = n_\psi x^{n-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_p (p = {p_n}^\infty_0)$</td>
<td>see A.K.K [6, 7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_p p_n = p_{n-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_p (p = {p_n}^\infty_0)$</td>
<td>see A.K.K [6, 7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_p p_n = (n + 1) p_{n+1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E^y_p (p = {p_n}^\infty_0)$</td>
<td>$E^y (\partial_\psi) = \exp_{\psi} {y \partial_\psi}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E^y_p p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n-k}(x)p_k(y)$</td>
<td>$E^y (\partial_\psi) p_n(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k} \psi^k p_n(x)p_{n-k}(y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T - \varepsilon_p$-operator:</td>
<td>$E^y$ - shift operator:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$TA_p = A_p T$</td>
<td>$E^y \varphi(x) = \varphi(x + \psi y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\forall y \in F \quad TE^y_p = E^y_T$</td>
<td>$T_{\partial_\psi} - \partial_\psi$-shift invariant operator:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\forall \alpha \in F \quad [T, E^\alpha (\partial_\psi)] = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Viskov

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( Q - \delta_\psi )-operator:</th>
<th>( Q - \delta_\psi )-operator and ( Qx = \text{const} \neq 0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( {p_n(x), n \geq 0} - (Q, \psi))-basic polynomial sequence of the ( \delta_\psi )-operator ( Q )</td>
<td>( {p_n}<em>{n \geq 0} - \partial</em>\psi )-basic polynomial sequence of the ( \partial_\psi )-delta-operator ( Q(\partial_\psi) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rota - oriented (this note)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( Q(\partial_\psi) - \partial_\psi )-delta-operator:</th>
<th>( Q(\partial_\psi) - \partial_\psi )-shift-invariant and ( Q(\partial_\psi)(id) = \text{const} \neq 0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

\[ \psi \text{-binomiality property} \]

\[ \psi \text{-binomiality property} \]

\[
\Psi_y s_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{\psi_n \psi_{n-m}}{\psi_n} s_m(x)p_{n-m}(y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \left( \frac{n}{k} \right)^{\psi_k} p_k(x)p_{n-k}(y)
\]

\[ T = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n [VTp_n(x)]Q^n \]

\[ T \Psi_x p(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n s_{n}(y)Q^n STp(x) \]

\[ T_{\partial_\psi} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left[ T_{\psi_{p_n(x)}} \right]_{n \geq 0} Q(\partial_\psi)^n \]

\[ T_{\partial_\psi} p(x + \psi y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\partial_\psi(y)}{k!} Q(\partial_\psi)^k S_{\partial_\psi} T_{\partial_\psi} p(x) \]

**Indicatory glossary of terms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markovsky</th>
<th>Rota - oriented (this note)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L$ - the differential operator</td>
<td>see A.K.K [6, 7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Lp_n = p_{n-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>see A.K.K [6, 7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Mp_n = p_{n+1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_y$</td>
<td>$E^y(\partial_y) = \exp_y{y\partial_y}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_y p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p_k(x)p_{n-k}(y)$</td>
<td>$E^y(\partial_y) p_n(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k} p_k(x)p_{n-k}(y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E^a$ - shift-operator:</td>
<td>$E^y$ - shift operator:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E^a f(x) = f(x + a)$</td>
<td>$E^y \varphi(x) = \varphi(x + y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$ - shift-invariant operator:</td>
<td>$T_{\varphi} - \partial_\varphi$-shift invariant operator:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$EG = GE$</td>
<td>$\forall_{\alpha \in F} [T, E^\alpha(\partial_\varphi)] = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$ - delta-operator:</td>
<td>$Q(\partial_\varphi) - \partial_\varphi$-delta-operator:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$ - shift-invariant and</td>
<td>$Q(\partial_\varphi) - \partial_\varphi$-shift-invariant and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Gx = const \neq 0$</td>
<td>$Q(\partial_\varphi)(id) = const \neq 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FURTHER REMARKS ON EXTENDED UMBRAL CALCULUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markovsky</th>
<th>Rota - oriented (this note)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{Q_0, Q_1, \ldots} - basic family for differential operator (L)</td>
<td>{p_n}<em>{n \geq 0} - \partial</em>\psi\text{-basic polynomial sequence of the } \partial_\psi\text{-delta-operator } Q(\partial_\psi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>binomiality property (P_n(x + y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} P_i(x) P_{n-i}(y))</td>
<td>(E^\nu(\partial_\psi)p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \partial_\psi^k p_k(x)p_{n-k}(y))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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