

Peter Gilkey; S. Nikčević

Isometry groups of k -curvature homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

In: Martin Čadek (ed.): Proceedings of the 25th Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 2006. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 79. pp. [99]–110.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701769>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ISOMETRY GROUPS OF k -CURVATURE HOMOGENEOUS PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

P. GILKEY AND S. NIKČEVIĆ

ABSTRACT. We study the isometry groups of a family of complete $p + 2$ -curvature homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ which have neutral signature $(3 + 2p, 3 + 2p)$, and which are 0-curvature modeled on an indecomposable symmetric space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{M} := (M, g)$ be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) . Let $g_P := g|_{T_P M}$ (resp. $\nabla^i R_P := \nabla^i R|_{T_P M}$) be the restriction of the metric (resp. the i^{th} covariant derivative of the curvature tensor) to the tangent space at $P \in M$. We define the k -model of $\mathcal{M}$ at P by setting:

$$\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}, P) := (T_P M, g_P, R_P, \dots, \nabla^k R_P).$$

One says that $\phi : \mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_1, P_1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_2, P_2)$ is an *isomorphism* from the k -model of $\mathcal{M}_1$ at P_1 to the k -model of $\mathcal{M}_2$ at P_2 if ϕ is a linear isomorphism from $T_{P_1} M_1$ to $T_{P_2} M_2$ with

$$\phi^* g_{2, P_2} = g_{1, P_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^* \nabla_2^i R_{\mathcal{M}_2, P_2} = \nabla_1^i R_{\mathcal{M}_1, P_1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq k.$$

One says that $\mathcal{M}$ is k -curvature homogeneous if the k -models $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}, P)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}, Q)$ are isomorphic for any $P, Q \in M$.

In the Riemannian setting ($p = 0$), Sekigawa and Takagi constructed first examples of complete 0-curvature homogeneous Riemannian manifolds which are not locally homogeneous, see e.g. [14]. These examples are all noncompact. Compact examples (only in large dimensions) can be found in the paper by Ferus, Karcher, and Münzner [5]. Although many other examples have been constructed, there are no known Riemannian manifolds which are 1-curvature homogeneous but not locally homogeneous and it is natural to conjecture that any 1-curvature homogeneous Riemannian manifold is locally homogeneous.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53B20.

Key words and phrases: k -curvature homogeneous, homogeneous space, symmetric space, isometry group.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted elsewhere.

In the Lorentzian setting ($p = 1$), curvature homogeneous manifolds which are not locally homogeneous were constructed by Cahen et. al. [4]; 1-curvature homogeneous Lorentzian manifolds which are not locally homogeneous have been exhibited by Bueken and Djorić [2] and by Bueken and Vanhecke [3]. One could conjecture that a 2-curvature homogeneous Lorentzian manifold must be locally homogeneous.

It is clear that local homogeneity implies k -curvature homogeneity for any k . The following result, due to Singer [11] in the Riemannian setting and to F. Podesta and A. Spiro [10] in the general context, provides a partial converse:

Theorem 1.1 (Singer, Podesta-Spiro). *There exists an integer $k_{p,q}$ so that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a complete simply connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) which is $k_{p,q}$ -curvature homogeneous, then (M, g) is homogeneous.*

Sekigawa, Suga, and Vanhecke [12, 13] showed any 1-curvature homogeneous complete simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $m < 5$ is homogeneous; thus $k_{0,2} = k_{0,3} = k_{0,4} = 1$. The estimate $k_{0,m} < \frac{3}{2}m - 1$ was claimed by Gromov [9]. Results of [6] can be used to show $k_{p,q} \geq \min(p, q)$; we conjecture $k_{p,q} = \min(p, q) + 1$.

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a homogeneous space, let $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{H}) := \mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{H}, Q)$ for any point $Q \in H$; the isomorphism class of $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{H})$ is independent of the point $Q \in H$. We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is k -modeled on $\mathcal{H}$ and that $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{H})$ is a k -model for $\mathcal{M}$ if $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}, P)$ are isomorphic for any $P \in M$.

Throughout this paper, we shall adopt the notational convention that

$$p \geq 1.$$

In [7], we exhibited complete metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ of neutral signature $(3 + 2p, 3 + 2p)$ which are $(p + 2)$ -curvature homogeneous, which are 0-modeled on an indecomposable symmetric space, but which are not $(p + 3)$ -curvature homogeneous; these examples show that the constants $k_{p,q} \rightarrow \infty$ as $(p, q) \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 rested on a careful analysis of the isometry groups of the model spaces. In this paper, we continue our study of the manifolds introduced in [7] by examining their isometry groups and the isometry groups of their k -models.

We recall the definition of the metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ which were introduced in [7]. We will be defining a number of tensors in this paper and, in the interests of brevity, we shall only give the non-zero components up to the usual symmetries. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be the usual coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let

$$\{x, y, z_1, \dots, z_p, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_p, x^*, y^*, z_1^*, \dots, z_p^*, \tilde{y}^*, \tilde{z}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{z}_p^*\}$$

be coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. Let $F = F(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{p+1})$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,F} := (\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}, g_{6+4p,F})$$

where $g_{6+4p,F}$ is the metric of neutral signature $(3 + 2p, 3 + 2p)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ with:

$$g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_x, \partial_x) = -2\{F(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) + y\tilde{y} + z_1\tilde{z}_1 + \dots + z_p\tilde{z}_p\},$$

$$g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_x, \partial_{x^*}) = g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_y, \partial_{y^*}) = g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_{\tilde{y}}, \partial_{\tilde{y}^*}) = 1,$$

$$g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_{z_i}, \partial_{z_i^*}) = g_{6+4p,F}(\partial_{\tilde{z}_i}, \partial_{\tilde{z}_i^*}) = 1.$$

Theorem 1.2 (Gilkey-Nikčević [7]). *Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{6+4p,F}$. Then:*

- (1) *All geodesics in $\mathcal{M}$ extend for infinite time.*

- (2) $\exp_P : T_P \mathbb{R}^{6+4p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ is a diffeomorphism for all $P \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$.
- (3) $\nabla^k R(\partial_x, \partial_{\xi_1}, \partial_{\xi_2}, \partial_x; \partial_{\xi_3}, \dots, \partial_{\xi_{k+2}}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\xi_1} \cdots \partial_{\xi_{k+2}})g_{6+4p, F}(\partial_x, \partial_x)$ are the non-zero components of $\nabla^k R$ where $\xi_i \in \{y, z_1, \dots, z_p, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_p\}$.
- (4) All scalar Weyl invariants of $\mathcal{M}$ vanish.
- (5) $\mathcal{M}$ is a symmetric space if and only if F is at most quadratic.

1.1 The manifolds $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, k} = (\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}, g_{6+4p, k})$. We can specialize this construction as follows. Let $g_{6+4p, k}$ be defined by setting $F = f_{p, k}$ where we let:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p, 0}(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) &:= 0, \\ f_{p, k}(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) &:= z_1 y^2 + \cdots + z_k y^{k+1} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq p. \end{aligned}$$

As exceptional cases, we set:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p, p+1}(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) &:= z_1 y^2 + \cdots + z_p y^{p+1} + y^{p+3}, \\ f_{p, p+2}(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) &:= z_1 y^2 + \cdots + z_p y^{p+1} + e^y. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.3 (Gilkey-Nikčević [7]). *Let $1 \leq k \leq p+2$.*

- (1) $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, 0}$ is an indecomposable symmetric space.
- (2) $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, k}$ is an indecomposable homogeneous space which is not symmetric.

1.2 The manifolds $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p, \psi} = (\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}, g_{6+4p, \psi})$. Let $\psi = \psi(y)$ be a real analytic function of one variable such that

$$\psi^{(p+3)} > 0, \quad \psi^{(p+4)} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^{(p+3)} \neq a e^{by}.$$

Define a metric $g_{6+4p, \psi}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ by taking $F = f_\psi$ where

$$f_\psi(y, z_1, \dots, z_p) := \psi(y) + z_1 y^2 + \cdots + z_p y^{p+1}.$$

The following result shows that the geometry of a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold need not be determined by the k -model:

Theorem 1.4 (Gilkey-Nikčević [7]). *Let $0 \leq j < k \leq p+2$.*

- (1) $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, k}$ is j -modeled on $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, j}$; $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, j}$ is not k -modeled on $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, k}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p, \psi}$ is $p+2$ -curvature homogeneous and $p+2$ -modeled on $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, p+2}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p, \psi}$ is not $p+3$ -curvature homogeneous and not locally homogeneous.

1.3 Isometry groups. Let $G(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $G(\mathfrak{M}_k)$) be the isometry group of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. of a k -model $\mathfrak{M}_k$). In this paper, we study the groups $G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, k})$, $G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p, \psi})$, and $G(\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, k}, P))$ for any point P of $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. A byproduct of our study is the following result that shows, not surprisingly, that the symmetric space $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, 0}$ has the largest isometry group.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $1 \leq k \leq p$. Let $n_p := (6+4p) + (p+1)(3+2p) + (2p+3)$.*

- (1) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, 0})\} = n_p + (p+1)(2p+1)$.
- (2) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, k})\} = n_p + (2p+2) + \frac{1}{2}(2p-k)(2p-k-1)$.
- (3) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, p+1})\} = \dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p, p})\} - 1$.

$$(4) \dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+2})\} = \dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+1})\} - 1.$$

$$(5) \dim\{G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} = \dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+2})\} - 1.$$

Here is a brief outline to the remainder of this paper. In Section 2, we review some results from [7]. In Section 3, we reduce the proof of Theorem 1.5 to a purely algebraic problem by showing for any $P \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ that for $0 \leq k \leq p+2$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k})\} &= 6 + 4p + \dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}, P))\}, \\ \dim\{G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} &= 5 + 4p + \dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_{p+2}(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+2}, P))\}. \end{aligned}$$

In Section 4, we complete the proof by determining $\dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}, P))\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq p+2$.

2. MODELS

It is convenient to work in the purely algebraic setting. Let

$$\mathfrak{M}_\nu := (V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, A^0, \dots, A^\nu)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a non-degenerate inner product of signature (p, q) on a finite dimensional vector space V of dimension $m = p+q$ and where $A^\mu \in \otimes^{4+\mu} V^*$ satisfies the appropriate symmetries of the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor for $0 \leq \mu \leq \nu$; if $\nu = \infty$, then the sequence is infinite. We say that $\mathfrak{M}_\nu$ is a ν -model for a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M} = (M, g)$ if for each point $P \in M$, there is an isomorphism $\phi_P : T_P M \rightarrow V$ so that

$$\phi_P^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = g_P \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_P^* A^\mu = \nabla^\mu R_P \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq \nu.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{M}$ is ν -curvature homogeneous if and only if it admits a ν -model.

2.1 Models for the manifolds $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$.

$$\mathcal{B} = \{X, Y, Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p, X^*, Y^*, Z_1^*, \dots, Z_p^*, \tilde{Y}^*, \tilde{Z}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p^*\}$$

be a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. Define a hyperbolic inner-product on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$ by pairing ordinary variables with the corresponding dual $\star$ -variables:

$$(2.a) \quad \langle X, X^* \rangle = \langle Y, Y^* \rangle = \langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}^* \rangle = \langle Z_i, Z_i^* \rangle = \langle \tilde{Z}_i, \tilde{Z}_i^* \rangle = 1.$$

Define $A^0 \in \otimes^4(\mathbb{R}^{6+4p})^*$ with non-zero components:

$$A^0(X, Y, \tilde{Y}, X) = A^0(X, Z_i, \tilde{Z}_i, X) = 1.$$

Define tensors $A^i \in \otimes^{4+i}(\mathbb{R}^{6+4p})^*$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ with non-zero components:

$$\begin{aligned} A^i(X, Y, Z_i, X; Y, \dots, Y) &= 1, \\ A^i(X, Y, Y, X; Z_i, Y, \dots, Y) &= 1, \dots, \\ A^i(X, Y, Y, X; Y, \dots, Y, Z_i) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally define $A^{p+1} \in \otimes^{5+p}(\mathbb{R}^{6+4p})^*$ and $A^{p+2} \in \otimes^{6+p}(\mathbb{R}^{6+4p})^*$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} A^{p+1}(X, Y, Y, X; Y, \dots, Y) &= 1, \\ A^{p+2}(X, Y, Y, X; Y, \dots, Y) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Define models:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k} := (\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, A^0, \dots, A^k) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq p+2.$$

Lemma 2.1 (Gilkey-Nikčević [7]). *Let $0 \leq k \leq p+2$.*

- (1) $\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k}$ is a k -model for $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$.
- (2) $\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,p+2}$ is a $p+2$ -model for $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$.

3. ISOMETRY GROUPS IN THE GEOMETRIC SETTING

In this section we will reduce the proof of Theorem 1.5 to a purely algebraic problem by showing:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 \leq k \leq p+2$.*

- (1) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k})\} = 6 + 4p + \dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k})\}.$
- (2) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} = 5 + 4p + \dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,p+2})\}.$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be based on several Lemmas. In Lemma 3.2, we review a basic result about group actions. In Lemma 3.3, we relate the full isometry group $G(\cdot)$ to the isotropy subgroup. In Lemma 3.4, we relate the isotropy subgroup to the isometry group of the ∞ -model. In Lemma 3.5, we relate isometry group of the ∞ -model to the isometry group of an appropriate finite model.

The following result is well known.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a Lie group which acts continuously on a metric space X . If $x \in X$, let $G \cdot x$ be the orbit and let $G_x = \{g \in G : gx = x\}$ be the isotropy subgroup.*

- (1) *We have a smooth principle bundle $G_x \rightarrow G \rightarrow G \cdot x$.*
- (2) $\dim\{G\} = \dim\{G_x\} + \dim\{G \cdot x\}.$

We can relate $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M})\}$ to $\dim\{G_P(\mathcal{M})\}$ for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$ or $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $P \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. Let $0 \leq k \leq p+2$.*

- (1) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k})\} = 6 + 4p + \dim\{G_P(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k})\}.$
- (2) $\dim\{G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} = 6 + 4p - 1 + \dim\{G_P(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\}.$

Proof. We apply Lemma 3.2 to the canonical action of $G(\mathcal{M})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. Assertion (1) follows as $\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$ is a homogeneous space. Let $\nu \geq 2$. Set

$$\alpha_{6+4p,\nu}(\psi) := \psi^{(\nu+p+3)}\{\psi^{(p+3)}\}^{\nu-1}\{\psi^{(p+4)}\}^{-\nu}.$$

We showed [7] that if $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis satisfying the normalizations of Section 2, then the only non-zero components of $\nabla^{\nu+p+1}R$ are given by:

$$(3.a) \quad \nabla^{\nu+p+1}R(X, Y, Y, X; Y, \dots, Y) = \alpha_{6+4p,\nu}(\psi).$$

We also showed that the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) $\alpha_{6+4p,\nu}(\psi_1)(P_1) = \alpha_{6+4p,\nu}(\psi_2)(P_2)$ for all $\nu \geq 2$.
- (2) There exists an isometry $\phi : \mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi_2}$ with $\phi(P_1) = P_2$.

The functions $\alpha_{6+4p,\nu}(\psi)$ are constant on the hyperplanes $y = c$; thus the group of isometries acts transitively on such a hyperplane. Consequently

$$\dim\{G(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} \geq \dim\{G_P(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi})\} + 6 + 4p - 1.$$

Since $\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$ is not a homogeneous space, equality holds. $\square$

Let $P \in M$. We can show that $G_P(\mathcal{M})$ is isomorphic to $G(\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, P))$ under certain circumstances.

Lemma 3.4.

- (1) Let $\mathcal{M}_1 := (M_1, g_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 := (M_2, g_2)$ be real analytic. Assume for $\varrho = 1, 2$ that there are points $P_\varrho \in M_\varrho$ so $\exp_{P_\varrho} : T_{P_\varrho}M_\varrho \rightarrow M_\varrho$ is a diffeomorphism. If $\phi : T_{P_1}M_1 \rightarrow T_{P_2}M_2$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}_1, P_1)$ to $\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}_2, P_2)$, then $\Phi := \exp_{P_2} \circ \phi \circ \exp_{P_1}^{-1}$ is an isometry from $\mathcal{M}_1$ to $\mathcal{M}_2$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}$ or if $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}$, then $G_P(\mathcal{M}) = G(\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, P))$ for any point $P \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$.

Proof. An analytic pseudo-Riemannian metric g is uniquely determined, up to local isometry, by the tensors $R, \nabla R, \dots, \nabla^k R, \dots$ at one point, see Belger and Kowalski [1] and Gray [8] for related work. The first assertion now follows; the second follows immediately from the first and from Theorem 1.2. $\square$

We now replace the infinite model by a finite model:

Lemma 3.5. Let $P \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}$. Let $0 \leq k \leq p+2$. Then:

- (1) $G(\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,k}, P)) = G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k})$.
- (2) $G(\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{N}_{6+4p,\psi}, P)) = G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,p+2})$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, restriction induces an injective map

$$r : G(\mathfrak{M}_\infty(\mathcal{M}, P)) \rightarrow G(\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathcal{M}, P)).$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{4p+6,k}$ for $k < p+2$. Then $\nabla^j R = 0$ for $j > k$; consequently any isomorphism of the k -model is an isomorphism of the ∞ -model; this proves Assertion (1) for $0 \leq k \leq p+1$.

To deal with the remaining cases, we suppose that $\psi^{(p+3)}$ and $\psi^{(p+4)}$ are always positive, but drop the restriction that $\psi^{(p+3)} \neq ae^{by}$. Choose a basis $\mathcal{B}$ for $T_P M$ satisfying the normalizations of Section 2. If $g \in G(\mathfrak{M}_{p+2}(\mathcal{M}_{6+4p,p+2}, P))$, then $g\mathcal{B}$ also satisfies the normalizations of Section 2. We may then apply Equation (3.a) to see that g is in fact an isomorphism of the ∞ -model since g preserves $\nabla^k R$ for any $k > p+2$. The first assertion with $k = p+2$ and the second assertion of the Lemma now follow; this also completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

4. ISOMETRY GROUPS OF THE MODELS

Let $\mathbb{R}^{3+2p} := \text{Span}\{X, Y, Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p\}$ and let $B^i \in \otimes^{4+i}(\mathbb{R}^{3+2p})^*$ be the restriction of A^i to $\mathbb{R}^{3+2p}$. We introduce the affine models by restricting the domain and suppressing the metric:

$$\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k} := (\mathbb{R}^{3+2p}, B^0, \dots, B^k).$$

Lemma 4.1. $\dim\{G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k})\} = \dim\{G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})\} + (p+1)(3+2p)$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{o}(s)$ be Lie algebra of skew-symmetric $s \times s$ real matrices. Set

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= (S_1, \dots, S_{3+2p}) = (X, Y, Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p), \\ S^* &:= (S_1^*, \dots, S_{3+2p}^*) = (X^*, Y^*, Z_1^*, \dots, Z_p^*, \tilde{Y}^*, \tilde{Z}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p^*), \\ \mathcal{K} &:= \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p} : A^0(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3) = 0 \ \forall \ \eta_i \in \mathbb{R}^{6+4p}\} \\ &= \text{Span}\{S_1^*, \dots, S_{3+2p}^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g \in G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k})$. The space $\mathcal{K}$ is preserved by g . Thus

$$gS_i = \sum_{i,j} \{g_{0,ij}S_j + g_{1,ij}S_j^*\} \quad \text{and} \quad gS_i^* = \sum_{i,j} \{g_{2,ij}S_j^*\}.$$

By Equation (2.a), $\langle gS_i, gS_j \rangle = 0$ and $\langle gS_i, gS_j^* \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Thus

$$\sum_k \{g_{0,ik}g_{1,jk} + g_{1,ik}g_{0,jk}\} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_k \{g_{0,ik}g_{2,jk}\} = \delta_{ij}.$$

for all i, j . Set $\gamma := g_0 g_1^t$. One then has

$$(4.a) \quad g_0 \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}), \quad \gamma + \gamma^t = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad g_0 g_2^t = \text{id}.$$

Conversely, if Equation (4.a) is satisfied then $g \in G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k})$. The map $g \rightarrow (g_0, \gamma)$ yields an identification of

$$G(\mathfrak{M}_{6+4p,k}) = G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) \times \mathfrak{o}(3+2p)$$

as a twisted product. The Lemma follows as $\dim\{\mathfrak{o}(3+2p)\} = \frac{1}{2}(3+2p)(2+2p)$. $\square$

There is a natural action of $G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3+2p}$. We continue our study by relating $G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})$ and the isotropy subgroup $G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})$.

Lemma 4.2.

$$(1) \dim\{G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})\} = \dim\{G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})\} + 2p + 3 \text{ for } k \leq p + 1.$$

$$(2) \dim\{G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})\} = \dim\{G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})\} + 2p + 2.$$

Proof. Lemma 4.2 will follow from Lemma 3.2 and the following relations:

$$(4.b) \quad \begin{aligned} G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})X &= \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle \neq 0\} \quad \text{if } k \leq p + 1, \\ G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})X &= \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle = \pm 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

We first show $\supset$ holds in Equation (4.b). Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p}$. Assume that

$$a := \langle \xi, X^* \rangle \neq 0.$$

Set $gX = \xi$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 &:= (a^2)^{-1/(p+3)}, & gY &:= \varepsilon_0 Y, & g\tilde{Y} &:= a^{-2} \varepsilon_0^{-1} \tilde{Y}, \\ \varepsilon_i &:= \{a^2 \varepsilon_0^{i+1}\}^{-1}, & gZ_i &:= \varepsilon_i Z_i, & g\tilde{Z}_i &:= \varepsilon_i^{-1} a^{-2} \tilde{Z}_i. \end{aligned}$$

The non-zero components of $\nabla^i R$ for $1 \leq i \leq p+2$ are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(gX, gY, g\tilde{Y}, gX) &= a^2 \varepsilon_0 a^{-2} \varepsilon_0^{-1} = 1, \\ R(gX, gZ_i, g\tilde{Z}_i, gX) &= a^2 \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i^{-1} a^{-2} = 1, \\ \nabla R(gX, gY, gZ_1, gX; gY) &= \nabla R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gZ_1) = a^2 \varepsilon_0^2 \varepsilon_1 = 1, \dots \\ \nabla^p R(gX, gY, gZ_p, gX; gY, \dots, gY) &= \nabla^p R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gZ_p, gY, \dots, gY) = \dots \\ &= \nabla^p R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY, gZ_p) = a^2 \varepsilon_0^{p+1} \varepsilon_p = 1, \\ \nabla^{p+1} R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY) &= a^2 \varepsilon_0^{p+3} = 1, \\ \nabla^{p+2} R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY) &= a^2 \varepsilon_0^{p+4} = \varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+1})$. Furthermore, $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})$ if $a^2 = 1$. Consequently:

$$(4.c) \quad \begin{aligned} \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle \neq 0\} &\subset G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) \cdot X \quad \text{for } k \leq p+1, \\ \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle = \pm 1\} &\subset G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2}) \cdot X. \end{aligned}$$

We must establish the reverse inclusions to complete the proof. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p}$. Let $J_\xi(\eta_1, \eta_2) := R(\xi, \eta_1, \eta_2, \xi)$ be the *Jacobi form*. Adopt the Einstein convention and sum over repeated indices to expand

$$\xi = aX + b^i Z_i + \tilde{b}^i \tilde{Z}_i$$

where $a = \langle \xi, X^* \rangle$. We have the following cases

- (1) If $a = 0$, then $J_\xi = 0$ on $\text{Span}\{Y, \tilde{Y}, Z_i, \tilde{Z}_i\}$ so $\text{Rank}(J_\xi) \leq 1$.
- (2) If $a \neq 0$, then $J_\xi(Y, \tilde{Y}) \neq 0$ so $\text{Rank}(J_\xi) \geq 2$.

If $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})$, then $\text{Rank}\{J_\xi\} = \text{Rank}\{J_{g\xi}\}$. Consequently

$$\langle \xi, X^* \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Rank}(J_\xi) \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{Rank}(J_{g\xi}) \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow \langle g\xi, X^* \rangle = 0$$

Consequently we have

$$(4.d) \quad \begin{aligned} G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) \cdot X &\subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle \neq 0\}, \\ G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) \cdot \text{Span}\{Y, Z_i, \tilde{Z}_i\} &= \text{Span}\{Y, Z_i, \tilde{Z}_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $k = p+2$. Since $\text{Rank}(J_Y) = 0$, $\text{Rank}(J_{gY}) = 0$ so $\langle gY, X^* \rangle = 0$. Expand

$$\begin{aligned} gX &= aX + a_0 Y + \tilde{a}_0 \tilde{Y} + a^i Z_i + \tilde{a}^i \tilde{Z}_i, \\ gY &= b^0 Y + \tilde{b}^0 \tilde{Y} + b^i Z_i + \tilde{b}^i \tilde{Z}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \nabla^{p+1} R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY) = a^2 (b^0)^{p+3}, \\ 1 &= \nabla^{p+2} R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY) = a^2 (b^0)^{p+4}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $a^2 = 1$ and $b^0 = 1$ so

$$(4.e) \quad \begin{aligned} G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})X &\subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle = \pm 1\}, \\ G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})Y &\subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3+2p} : \langle \xi, X^* \rangle = 0, \text{ and } \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Equations (4.c), (4.d), and (4.e) now imply Equation (4.b); the Lemma follows. $\square$

We now consider the double isotropy group

$$G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) = \{g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) : gX = X \text{ and } gY = Y\}.$$

Lemma 4.3.

- (1) $\dim\{G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,0})\} = (p+1)(2p+1)$.
- (2) $\dim\{G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})\} = \dim\{G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})\} + 2p+2$ for $1 \leq k \leq p$.
- (3) $\dim\{G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})\} = \dim\{G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})\} + 2p+1$ for $k = p+1, p+2$.
- (4) $G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p}) = G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+1}) = G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})$.

Proof. As noted above, the Jacobi form $J_X(\cdot, \cdot) = R(X, \cdot, \cdot, X)$ defines a non-singular bilinear form of signature $(p+1, p+1)$ on

$$W := \text{Span}\{Y, Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p\} = \{\xi : \text{Rank}(J_\xi) \leq 1\}.$$

Let $O(W, J_X)$ be the associated orthogonal group. If $g \in G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})$, then we have $gW = W$ by Equation (4.d). Since $gX = X$, we may safely identify g with $g|_W$. Furthermore,

$$J_X(\xi, \eta) = J_{gX}(g\xi, g\eta) = J_X(g\xi, g\eta) \quad \text{so} \quad G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) \subset O(W, J_X).$$

Conversely, if g is a linear map of W which preserves J_X , we may extend g to $\mathbb{R}^{3+2p}$ by defining $gX = X$ and thereby obtain an element of $G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,0})$. Thus $G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,0}) = O(W, J_X)$. Assertion (1) now follows since

$$\dim\{O(W, J_X)\} = \frac{1}{2} \dim W(\dim W - 1) = \frac{1}{2}(1+2p)(2+2p).$$

Assertions (2) and (3) will follow from Lemma 3.2 and from the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) \cdot Y &= \{\xi \in W : \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle \neq 0\} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq p, \\ (4.f) \quad G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+1}) \cdot Y &= \{\xi \in W : \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle^{p+3} = 1\}, \\ G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2}) \cdot Y &= \{\xi \in W : \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\xi \in W$, let $S_\xi(\eta) := \nabla R(X, \xi, \xi, X; \eta)$. Expand

$$(4.g) \quad \xi = b^0 Y + \tilde{b}^0 \tilde{Y} + b^i Z_i + \tilde{b}^i \tilde{Z}_i.$$

We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_\xi(X) &= 0, \quad S_\xi(\tilde{Z}_i) = 0, \quad S_\xi(Y) = 2b^0 b^1, \\ S_\xi(Z_1) &= (b^0)^2, \quad \text{and} \quad S_\xi(Z_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $S_\xi = 0$ if and only if $b^0 = \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle = 0$. It now follows that for $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.h) \quad G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})Y &\subset \{\xi \in W : \langle \xi, Y^* \rangle \neq 0\}, \\ G_X(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) \text{Span}\{Z_i, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_i\} &\subset \text{Span}\{Z_i, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a = 1$, the analysis used to prove Lemma 4.2 shows $(b^0)^{p+3} = 1$ if $k = p+1$ and $b^0 = 1$ if $k = p+2$. This establishes the inclusions $\subset$ in Equation (4.f).

We complete the proof by establishing the reverse inclusions in Equation (4.f). Expand ξ in the form given in Equation (4.g). Assume $b^0 \neq 0$. Let $gX = X$, $gY = \xi$, $g\tilde{Y} = (b^0)^{-1}\tilde{Y}$,

$$gZ_i := \varepsilon_i \{Z_i - (b^0)^{-1}\tilde{b}^i \tilde{Y}\} \quad \text{and} \quad g\tilde{Z}_i := \varepsilon_i^{-1} \{\tilde{Z}_i - (b^0)^{-1}b^i \tilde{Y}\}.$$

The possibly non-zero components of R are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(gX, gY, g\tilde{Y}, gX) &= 1, \\ R(gX, gY, gZ_i, gX) &= \varepsilon_i \{ \tilde{b}^i - (b^0)(b^0)^{-1} \tilde{b}^i \} = 0, \\ R(gX, gY, g\tilde{Z}_i, gX) &= \varepsilon_i^{-1} \{ b^i - (b^0)(b^0)^{-1} b^i \} = 0, \\ R(gX, gZ_i, g\tilde{Z}_i, gX) &= \varepsilon_i^{-1} \varepsilon_i = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The non-zero components of $\nabla^i R$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^i R(gX, gY, gZ_i, gX; gY, \dots, gY) &= \dots \\ &= \nabla^i R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gZ_i) = (b^0)^{i+1} \varepsilon_i. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore set $\varepsilon_i = (b^0)^{-i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ to ensure $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p})$.

The non-zero components of $\nabla^i R$ for $i = p+1, p+2$ are

$$\nabla^i R(gX, gY, gY, gX; gY, \dots, gY) = (b^0)^{i+2}.$$

If $(b^0)^{p+3} = 1$, then $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+1})$; if $b^0 = 1$, then $g \in G(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,p+2})$. This establishes the reverse inclusions in Equation (4.f) and completes the proof of Assertions (2) and (3); Assertion (4) is immediate. $\square$

Let $W(p) := \text{Span}\{Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p\}$. Let $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p, \tilde{\beta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_p\}$ be the corresponding dual basis for the dual space $\mathcal{W}(p) := W(p)^*$. The curvature tensor $R(X, \cdot, \cdot, X)$ defines a non-degenerate form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $W(p)$; dually on $\mathcal{W}(p)$ we have:

$$\langle \beta_i, \beta_j \rangle = \langle \tilde{\beta}_i, \tilde{\beta}_j \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \beta_i, \tilde{\beta}_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}(p)$ be the associated orthogonal group on $\mathcal{W}(p)$. Let

$$\mathcal{O}(p, k) := \{h \in \mathcal{O}(p) : h\beta_i = \beta_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$

be the simultaneous isotropy group. We set $\mathcal{O}(p, 0) = \mathcal{O}(p)$. Theorem 1.5 will now follow from the following result:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $1 \leq k \leq p$.*

- (1) $G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k}) = \mathcal{O}(p, k)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\beta}_1}(p, k) = \mathcal{O}(p-1, k-1)$.
- (3) $\dim\{\mathcal{O}(p, k)\} = \dim\{\mathcal{O}(p-1, k-1)\} + 2p - k - 1$.
- (4) $\dim\{\mathcal{O}(p, k)\} = \frac{1}{2}(2p-k)(2p-k-1)$.

Proof. Let $g \in G_{X,Y}(\mathfrak{A}_{3+2p,k})$. Let $\xi \in \text{Span}\{Z_1, \dots, Z_p, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}_1, \dots, \tilde{Z}_p\}$. We may use Equation (4.h) and the relation $R(X, Y, g\xi, X) = R(X, Y, \xi, X)$, to see

$$g\tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y} + a^i Z_i + \tilde{a}^i \tilde{Z}_i, \quad gZ_i = a_i^j Z_j + \tilde{a}_i^j \tilde{Z}_j, \quad g\tilde{Z}_i = \tilde{a}_i^j Z_j + a_i^j \tilde{Z}_j.$$

Consequently $\text{Span}_{1 \leq i \leq p}\{gZ_i, g\tilde{Z}_i\} = \text{Span}_{1 \leq i \leq p}\{Z_i, \tilde{Z}_i\}$ and the relation

$$R(X, gZ_i, g\tilde{Y}, X) = R(X, g\tilde{Z}_i, g\tilde{Y}, X) = 0$$

implies $a^i = \tilde{a}^i = 0$. Thus $g\tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}$ and $g : W(p) \rightarrow W(p)$; this shows that g is determined by its restriction to $W(p)$. Let $h := {}^*g$ denote the dual action of g on

$\mathcal{W}(p)$. The isomorphism of Assertion (1) now follows as:

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, g\xi_1, g\xi_2, R) &= R(X, \xi_1, \xi_2, X) \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \Leftrightarrow h \in \mathcal{O}(p), \\ \nabla^i R(X, Y, g\xi, X; Y, \dots, Y) &= \nabla^i R(X, Y, \xi, X; Y, \dots, Y) \quad \forall \xi \Leftrightarrow h\beta_i = \beta_i. \end{aligned}$$

If $h(\beta_1) = \beta_1$ and $h(\tilde{\beta}_1) = \tilde{\beta}_1$, then h preserves

$$\text{Span} \{\beta_1, \tilde{\beta}_1\}^\perp = \text{Span} \{\beta_2, \dots, \beta_p, \tilde{\beta}_2, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_p\}.$$

The isomorphism of Assertion (2) now follows by restricting h to this subspace and by renumbering the variables appropriately.

We set

$$\mathcal{W}(p, k) := \{\xi \in \mathcal{W}(p) : \langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0, \langle \xi, \beta_1 \rangle = 1, \langle \xi, \beta_i \rangle = 0 \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

If $h \in \mathcal{O}(p, k)$, then h preserves $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and h preserves $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$. Consequently $h\tilde{\beta}_1 \in \mathcal{W}(p, k)$ as $\tilde{\beta}_1$ satisfies these relations. Conversely, $\xi \in \mathcal{W}(p, k)$ if and only if

$$\xi = b^1\beta_1 + \sum_{1 < i} b^i\beta_i + \tilde{\beta}_1 + \sum_{k < i} \tilde{b}^i\tilde{\beta}_i \quad \text{where} \quad b^1 + \sum_{k < i} b^i\tilde{b}^i = 0.$$

Since the variables $\{b^2, \dots, b^p, \tilde{b}^{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{b}^p\}$ can be chosen arbitrarily,

$$\mathcal{W}(p, k) = \mathbb{R}^{p-1+p-k} \quad \text{so} \quad \dim \mathcal{W}(p, k) = 2p - k - 1.$$

We show that $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(p, k)\tilde{\beta}_1$ by finding $h \in \mathcal{O}(p, k)$ so $h\tilde{\beta}_1 = \xi$. Set:

$$\begin{aligned} h\beta_i &= \beta_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k, & h\beta_i &= \beta_i - \tilde{b}^i\beta_1 \quad \text{for } k < i, \\ h\tilde{\beta}_1 &= \xi, & h\tilde{\beta}_i &= \tilde{\beta}_i - b^i\beta_1 \quad \text{for } 1 < i. \end{aligned}$$

This shows $\mathcal{O}(p, k) \cdot \tilde{\beta}_1 = \mathcal{W}(p, k)$. Assertion (3) now follows from Assertion (2) and from Lemma 3.2.

As $\dim\{\mathcal{O}(p-k)\} = \frac{1}{2}(2p-2k)(2p-2k-1)$, Assertion (4) follows by induction. $\square$

Acknowledgments. Research of P. Gilkey partially supported by the Max Planck Institute in the Mathematical Sciences (Leipzig). Research of S. Nikčević partially supported by MM 1646 (Srbija).

REFERENCES

- [1] Belger, M. and Kowalski, O., *Riemannian metrics with the prescribed curvature tensor and all its covariant derivatives at one point*, Math. Nachr. **168** (1994), 209–225.
- [2] Bueken, P. and Djorić, M., *Three-dimensional Lorentz metrics and curvature homogeneity of order one*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **18** (2000), 85–103.
- [3] Bueken, P. and Vanhecke, L., *Examples of curvature homogeneous Lorentz metrics*, Classical Quantum Gravity **14** (1997), L93–L96.
- [4] Cahen, M., Leroy, J., Parker, M., Tricerri, F. and Vanhecke, L., *Lorentz manifolds modelled on a Lorentz symmetric space*, J. Geom. Phys. **7** (1990), 571–581.
- [5] Ferus, D., Karcher, H. and Münzner, H., *Cliffordalgebren und neue isoparametrische Hyperflächen*, Math. Z. **177** (1981), 479–502.
- [6] Gilkey, P. and Nikčević, S., *Complete k -curvature homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **27** (2005), 87–100.

- [7] Gilkey, P. and Nikčević, S., *Complete k -curvature homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds 0-modeled on an indecomposable symmetric space*, Topics in Almost Hermitian Geometry and the Related Fields. World Scientific (2005), 87–104.
- [8] Gray, A., *The volume of a small geodesic ball of a Riemannian manifold*, Michigan Math. J. **20** (1973), 329–344.
- [9] Gromov, M., *Partial differential relations*. Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb 3. Folge. Band 9, Springer-Verlag (1986).
- [10] Podesta, F. and Spiro, A., *Introduzione ai Gruppi di Trasformazioni*, Volume of the Preprint Series of the Mathematics Department “V. Volterra” of the University of Ancona, Via delle Brece Bianche, Ancona, Italy (1996).
- [11] Singer, I. M., *Infinitesimally homogeneous spaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1960), 685–697.
- [12] Sekigawa, K., Suga, H. and Vanhecke, L., *Four-dimensional curvature homogeneous spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **33** (1992), 261–268.
- [13] Sekigawa, K., Suga, H. and Vanhecke, L., *Curvature homogeneity for four-dimensional manifolds*. J. Korean Math. Soc. **32** (1995), 93–101.
- [14] Takagi, H., *On curvature homogeneity of Riemannian manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. **26** (1974), 581–585.

P. GILKEY,
 MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
 EUGENE OR 97403 USA
E-mail: gilkey@darkwing.uoregon.edu

S. NIKČEVIĆ
 MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SANU,
 KNEZ MIHAILOVA 35. P.P. 367
 11001 BELGRADE, SERBIA AND MONTENEGRO
E-mail: stanani@mi.sanu.ac.yu