Edward Grzegorek Always of the first category sets

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Proceedings of the 12th Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Section of Topology. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1984. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 6. pp. [139]–147.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701835

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

ALWAYS OF THE FIRST CATEGORY SETS

E. Grzegorek

Results of this note were presented during 7th (compare [6]) and 12th Winter Schools of Abstract Analysis in Czechoslovakia. We prove in ZFC (using a theorem of D.Maharam and A.H. Stone [11]) that there is an always of the first category subset A of the real line R such that A + A is not of the first category in R. The lack of such example was pointed out in [2]. To prove this we investigate (often more carefully than necessary) a certain sub-G-ideal of the G-ideal of always of the first category subsets of R. Some remarks concerning universal null (= universal measure zero) subsets of R are also included.

Let X be a separable metric space. If every dense in itself subset of X is of the first category relative to itself, then X is said to be always of the first category. We denote by $\mathcal{H}(X)$, or simply **X** if X=R, th. G -ideal of the first category sets in X and by $\mathcal{K}^{\star}(X)$, or \mathcal{H}^{\star} if X=R, the g-ideal of always of the first category subsets of X. If Y is a metric space such that $X \subseteq Y$, then X is always of the first category iff for all perfect sets $P \subseteq Y$ the set $P \cap X$ is of the first category relative to P. References concerning \mathcal{K}^{\star} can be found e.g. in [10] and in the surveys articles [2] and [14]. We denote by $\mathfrak{G}(X)$ the 6-field of Borel subsets of X. A space X is called a universal null set if there is no continuous probability measure on $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ (for many equivalent definitions and references see [2] and [14]). We denote by \mathcal{N} the G-ideal of universal null subsets of R and by \mathcal{L}_{a} the Gideal of Lebesgue measure zero subsets of R. A separable complete metric space is called Polish space. We need the following known

<u>Theorem.</u> If X and Y are uncountable Polish spaces without isolated points, then there is a Borel isomorphism f from X onto Y such that $f(\mathcal{K}(X)) = \mathcal{K}(Y)$.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

The Theorem follows from Lemma 1 in [13]. It also follows from Sikorski's theorem [17] and the result of Zaskowsky (see Example I, 535 in [18]) that any two separable nonetomic complete Boolean algebras are isomorphic. Any Borel isomorphism as in the above Theorem will be called category preserving (c. p.) isomorphism.

A family \mathcal{J} of subsets of the real line R is called \mathfrak{g} -ideal on R if $A_0, A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{J}$ implies $\bigcup \{A_n: n=0,1,2,\ldots\} \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{D}(A_0) \subseteq \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\{x\} \in \mathcal{J}$. If \mathcal{J} is a \mathfrak{g} -ideal on R, then we define (see [6])

 $\overline{J} = \{A \subseteq R: \text{ for every } B \subseteq R \text{ such that there exists a 1-1} \\ \text{Borel measurable function } f: B \longrightarrow A \text{ we have } B \in J \}. \\ \text{If in the definition of } \overline{J} \text{ we additionally assume that the} \\ \text{function } f \text{ maps } B \text{ onto } A, \text{ then such obtained family we denote} \\ \text{by } \widetilde{J}.$

<u>Proposition 1.</u> \overline{J} is a G-ideal on R. $\overline{J} \subseteq J$, $\overline{J} = \overline{J}$ and $\overline{J} = \widetilde{J}$.

<u>Proof.</u> The only nontrivial part is $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}$. It is clear that $\mathbf{J} \in \mathbf{J}$. Let now $A \in \mathbf{J}$. Suppose that $A \notin \mathbf{J}$. Hence there is $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $B \notin \mathbf{J}$ and there is a one to one Borel measurable function f from B into A. Clearly either $B \cap (-\infty, 0) \notin \mathbf{J}$ or $B \cap [0, \infty) \notin \mathbf{J}$. Assume that e.g. $B \cap (-\infty, 0) \notin \mathbf{J}$. Define $B_1 = B \cap (-\infty, 0)$. Let $B_2 \subseteq [0,\infty)$ be such that there is a Borel isomorphism h from B_2 onto $A \setminus f(B_1)$. Let $B_3 = B_1 \cup B_2$ and let k be a function from B_3 into A defined by k(x) = f(x) if $x \in B_1$ and k(x) = h(x) if $x \in B_2$. We have that k is a 1-1 Borel measurable function from B_3 onto A such that $B_3 \notin \mathbf{J}$. Hence a contradiction with $A \in \mathbf{J}$.

<u>Remark 1.</u> Marczewski [19] proved that $\overline{\mathcal{L}_{0}} = \mathcal{N}$ (see also Section IV in [2] and references there). On the other hand assuming CH (or M4) there is $X \in \mathcal{H}^{*}$ such that there is a Borel isomorphism f from X into R with $f(X) \notin \mathcal{K}$ (see [10] or [2] or [14]), so $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}^{*}$.

J.C. Morgan II has proved [15] that there exists a subset X of R every homeomorphic image of which is in \mathcal{K} but X $\notin \mathcal{K}^{\bigstar}$. On the other hand we have the following

<u>Proposition 2.</u> Let $X \subseteq R$. If every Borel isomorphic image of X into R is in \mathcal{H} then every such image is also in \mathcal{H}^{\sharp} .

<u>Proof.</u> In order to prove Proposition 2 it is enough to prove that for every X satisfying the assumption of Proposition 2 we have XeX. Suppose $X \notin \mathcal{H}^*$. Let P be a perfect subset of R such that $P \cap X \notin \mathcal{H}(P)$. Let g_1 be a c.p. isomorphism from P onto $(0,\infty)$. Let g_2 be any Borel isomorphism from $X \setminus P$ into $(-\infty, 0]$. Let h be the Borel isomorphism from X into R such that $h(x) = g_1(x)$ if $x \in P \cap X$ and $h(x) = g_2(x)$ if $x \in X \setminus P$. We have $h(X) \supseteq h(X \cap P) =$ $g_1(X \cap P) \notin \mathcal{H}(0,\infty)$. Hence $h(X) \notin \mathcal{H}$ and so we have a contradiction.

Notice that if J and J are 6-ideals on R such that $J \supseteq J$ and $\overline{J} \subseteq J$ then $\overline{J} = \overline{J}$. Indeed. $J \supseteq J$ implies $\overline{J} \supseteq \overline{J}$. $\overline{J} \subseteq J$ implies $\overline{J} \subseteq \overline{J}$ and hence, by Proposition 1, $\overline{J} \subseteq \overline{J}$. So $\overline{J} = \overline{J}$. Hence by Proposition 2 we have

<u>Proposition 3.</u> $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \overline{\mathcal{H}}^*$.

We have the following

<u>Theorem 1.</u> Let $m_1 = \min \{ |Y| : Y \subseteq \mathbb{R} \text{ and } Y \notin \mathcal{H} \}$. There is $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $|X| = m_1$ and $X \in \mathcal{H}^*$.

Before giving a proof we would like to make some remarks. A similar theorem for universal null sets can be found in [4] (compare also [5]). In [4] we proved that there is a subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $|X| = m_1$ and all Borel isomorphic images of X into R are in \mathcal{K} (and so by Proposition 2 of the present note, in \mathcal{K}^*). The proof of Theorem 1 is similar to the proof in [4] but a little longer. Recall that [4] was based on some ideas from K. Prikry [16]. Instead of Theorem 1 I announced in [6] the following

<u>Theorem 1'.</u> Let m_1 be as in Theorem 1 and let $m_2 = \min \{ |Y| : Y \subseteq R \text{ and } Y \notin \mathcal{H}^* \}$. Then there are $X_1, X_2 \subseteq R$ such that $|X_1| = m_1, |X_2| = m_2, X_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ and $X_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^*}$.

The fact that Theorem 1' is the same as Theorem 1 follows from the fact that $m_1 = m_2$ (see Remark 3) or Prop.3. Theorem 1 also follows from Theorem 2 in [6], which was proved there, with the help of the c.p. isomorphism. Theorem 1 itself I discovered after learning from D. Fremlin [3] that he proved the existence of a set $A \in \mathcal{K}^{\#}(R \times R)$ such that its projection is not in $\mathcal{H}(R)$. On the other hand the main part of the mentioned result of Fremlin follows easily from Theorem 1' itself. Indeed. From Theorem 1' we have that there are A, $B \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$ (= irrational numbers) such that |A| = |B|, $A \notin \mathcal{H}^{*}$ and $B \in \mathcal{K}^{*}$. Let f be any bijection from A onto B and let G be the graph of f. Since $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$ is homeomorphic to $\omega^{\omega} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ we have that G is in $\mathcal{H}^{*}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ but clearly the projection of G onto the first axis does not belong to \mathcal{H}^{*} .

Now we give a full proof of Theorem1.

<u>Proof of Theorem 1.</u> By Propositions 1 and 3 it is enough to prove that there is $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $|X| = m_1$ and $X \in \mathcal{K}$. It follows from the assumption of Theorem 1 that there is $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $|Y| = m_1$, $Y \notin \mathcal{K}$ and Y is dense on \mathbb{R} (add the rational numbers to Y from the definition of m_1). Observe that each subset A of Y such that $|A| < m_1$ is in $\mathcal{K}(Y)$. Let $\{y_{\alpha} : \alpha < m_1\}$ be a one-to-one enumeration of Y. For every $\alpha < m_1$ let F_{α} be an \mathcal{F}_{α} subset of Y such that $F_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ and $F_{\alpha} \supseteq \{y_{\alpha} : \alpha' \le \alpha\}$. We now define $Z \subseteq m_1 \prec Y$ as follows: $Z = \alpha < m_1(\{\alpha\} \times \mathbb{F})$. Let $0_0, 0_1, 0_2, \ldots$ be a countable base for the topology of Y.

Setting

 $\mathbb{E}_{i} = \{ \alpha < m_{1} : 0_{i} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{\alpha} \} \text{ for every } i < \omega ,$

we get

 $\begin{aligned} & z = \bigcup_{i < \omega} E_i > 0_i \quad (\text{compare [16]or see general theorem [1]}). \\ \text{Let } \mathcal{K} \quad \text{be a countably generated and separating points G-field on} \\ & m_1. \text{Let } \mathcal{C} \quad \text{be a s-field on } m_1 \text{ generated by } \mathcal{A} \text{ and the family} \\ & \{E_i: i < \omega\}. \text{ It is clear that } Z \quad \text{belongs to the product G-field} \\ & \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathfrak{M}(Y). \text{ We claim that the G-field } \mathcal{C} \text{ has the following property} \end{aligned}$

 (\bigstar) for every $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that there is a one-to-one $(\mathfrak{B}(B), \mathcal{C})$ measurable function from B onto m_1 we have $B \in \mathcal{H}$.

It is clear that in order to prove (*) it is enough to prove (*) for ^B such that B is dense in R. Let f be a one-to-one $(\mathfrak{B}(B), \mathcal{C})$ measurable function from B onto m_1 . We have that there is a subset S of B×Y such that $S \in \mathfrak{G}(B \times Y)$, $\{y: (b,y) \in S\} \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ for every $b \in B$, and $|B \setminus \{b: (b,y) \in S\}| < m_1$ for every $y \in Y$ (put $S = \{(f^{-1}(b), y): (b, y) \in Z\})$. Applying Kuratowski-Ulam category version of Fubini's theorem [10] we have that $B \in \mathcal{H}(B)$ and hence $B \in \mathcal{H}$. Let X be a subset of R such that there is a one-to-one $(\mathfrak{G}(X), \mathcal{C})$ measurable function g from X onto m_1 (e.g. let f be a characteristic function of a countable sequence of sets generating \mathcal{C} and put X = $f(m_1)$ and $g = f^{-1}$. It is clear that (*) implies that $X \in \mathcal{H}$ <u>Proposition 4.</u> There is $X \in \mathcal{H}^*$ such that $X \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$ and there is a continuous function f from ω^{ω} into ω^{ω} such that $f(X) \notin \mathcal{H}$.

<u>Proof.</u> By Theorem 1 we have that there are $A, B \subseteq \omega^{\omega}$ such that $|A| = |B|, A \in \mathcal{H}^{\#}$ and $B \notin \mathcal{H}$. Let g be a one-to-one function from A onto B and let G be the graph of g. Let h be a homeomorphism from ω^{ω} onto $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$ and let T be the projection from $\omega^{\omega} \times \omega^{\omega}$ onto ω^{ω} such that T(G) = B. Define $X = h^{-1}(G)$ and f = Th.

<u>Theorem2.</u> There exists $A \in \mathcal{K}^*$ such that $A + A \notin \mathcal{K}$

<u>Proof.</u> We need the following particular case of a theorem of D. Maharam and A.H. Stone ([11] or [12]): If Z is a separable metric space, then every Borel measurable function f from Z into R can be expressed as the sum of two one-to-one Borel measurable functions. Let X and f be as in Proposition 4. By the theorem of D. Maharam and A.H. Stone there are one-to-one Borel measurable functions $f_1: \omega^{\omega} \longrightarrow R$ (i = 1, 2) such that $f = f_1 + f_2$. Since $f_1^{-1}: f_1(X) \longrightarrow X$ (i = 1, 2) are Borel measurable functions [10] we have that $f_1(X) \in \mathcal{H}^*$ (i = 1, 2). Define $A = f_1(X) \cup f_2(X)$. Clearly $A \in \mathcal{H}^*$. Since $\mathcal{H} \neq f(X) \subseteq f_1(X) + f_2(X) \subseteq A + A$ we have $A + A \notin \mathcal{H}$.

<u>Corollary.</u> There exists $A \in \mathcal{K}^{\star}$ such that $A + A \notin \mathcal{K}$.

The lack of such example was pointed out in [2]. It is well known[2] that assuming CH (or Martin's Axiom) there is $A \in \mathcal{H}^{\bigstar}$ such that A + A = R. Miller (compare [14]) proved that ZFC + (all $X \in \mathcal{H}^{\bigstar}$ have cardinality at most \aleph_1) is consistent if ZFC is consistent. Hence it is unprovable in ZFC that there is $A \in \mathcal{H}^{\bigstar}$ such that A + A = R.

In [7] we observed that there exist $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $N + N \notin \mathcal{N}$. It was left as an open problem if there exist $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $N + N \notin \mathcal{A}_{o}$. Theorem of D. Maharam and A.H. Stone is just what we need to see that the answer to that question is yes.

<u>Theorem 3.</u> There exists $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $N + N \notin \mathcal{A}_{\sim}$.

<u>Proof.</u> It is known (Theorem 2(i) in [5]) that there is a continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that there is $X \in \mathcal{N}$ with $f(X) \notin \mathcal{L}_0$. Let $f = f_1 + f_2$, where f_1 and f_2 are one-to-one Borel measurable functions. Put $\mathbb{N} = f_1(X) \cup f_2(X)$. Remark 2.

a) In the definition of \overline{J} , Propositions 1,2,3 and Theorem 1 the real line can be replaced by any uncountable Polish space without isolated points. Proofs are similar.

b) Let Z be a Polish space without isolated points and let f be a c.p. isomorphism from Z onto R. Then $f(\overline{\mathcal{H}(Z)}) = \overline{\mathcal{H}(R)}$.

c) Let $X \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^*}$ and let Y be a separable metric space without isolated points such that there exists a one-to-one Borel measurable function f from Y into X. Let Z be a Polish space without isolated points such that $Y \subseteq Z$. Then $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^*(Z)}$.

<u>Proof.</u> Part a) is trivial. In order to prove part b) it is enough. by Proposition 3 and Remark 2 a), to prove that $f(\overline{\mathcal{H}(Z)}) = \overline{\mathcal{H}(R)}$, but the last equallity is easy to check. To prove c) let g be a c.p. isomorphism from Z onto R and let $X_1 = g(Y)$. Since $f(g^{-1} X_1)$ is a one-to-one Borel function from X_1 into X we have $X_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}^*$. Since $Y = g^{-1}(X_1)$, by b) we have $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^*(Z)}$.

The following remark seems to be in [3] but without proof.

<u>Remark 3</u> (Fremlin[3]). Let Z be a Polish space without isolated points, let $m_1(Z) = \min \{ |Y|: Y \subseteq Z \text{ and } Y \notin \mathcal{K}(Z) \}$ and let $m_2(Z) = \min \{ |Y|: Y \subseteq Z \text{ and } Y \notin \mathcal{K}(Z) \}$. Then we have $m_1(Z) = m_2(Z) = m_1(R)$. If Y is a separable metric space without isolated points such that $|Y| < m_1$ then $Y \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathsf{H}}(Y)$.

<u>Proof.</u> It is clear that $m_2(Z) \leq m_1(Z)$. Let $S \subseteq Z$ be such that $|S| = m_2(Z)$ and $S \notin \mathcal{K}^{\ast}(Z)$. By Pemark 2a and Proposition 2 there is $S_1 \subseteq Z$ such that $S_1 \notin \mathcal{K}(Z)$ and $|S_1| = |S| = m_2(Z)$. Hence $m_1(Z) \leq m_2(Z)$. The fact that that $m_1(Z) = m_1(R)$ we have immediately from the existence of c.p. isomorphism between Z and R. Let Z be a Polish space without isolated points such that $Z \supseteq Y$ (e.g. let Z be the Cantor completion of Y). Since $m_1 = m_2$ we have that $Y \in \mathcal{K}^{\ast}(Z)$.

<u>Remark 4</u>. Assume CH . Then $\overline{\mathcal{X}^*} \times \overline{\mathcal{X}^*} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{X}^*}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.

Indeed. Let A, B $\in \mathcal{H}^{*}$. CH implies that A \times B is a countable union of graphs of partial functions from R into R. Since the projections are one-to-one continuous functions from graphs into A or <u>B</u> respectively we have that the graph of each partial function is in $\mathcal{R}^{*}(\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{P})$ and so $\mathbb{A}\times\mathbb{B}\in\mathcal{R}^{*}(\mathbb{P}\times\mathbb{R})$. Similar argument works if instead of CH we assume only MA plus that 2^{∞} is a succesor cardinal.

From now X and Y will denote uncountable Polish spaces. Let $\mathcal{M}_{0}(X \times Y)$ be the 6-field of subsets of $/X \times Y$ generated by $\mathfrak{B}(X \times Y) \cup \mathcal{K}(X \times Y)$ and let $\mathcal{M}_{1}(X \times Y)$ be the 6-field on $X \times Y$ generated by $\mathfrak{B}(X \times Y) \cup \mathcal{M}(X \times Y)$. The following consequence of Mazurkie-wicz-Sierpiński theorem was observed in [9], Remark 4.

(+) If $C \subseteq X$ and $C \times Y \in \mathcal{M}_{L}(X \times Y) \cup \mathcal{M}_{L}(X \times Y)$, then $C \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$.

In [8] T generalised (+) to the following

(++) If C is an uncountable subset of X and D is an uncountable analytic subset of Y such that $C \times D \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(X \times Y) \cup \mathcal{M}_{1}(X \times Y)$, then $C \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$.

Now J would like to show how (++) follows immediately from (+). Namely we have the following (+++).

(+++) If C is an uncountable subset of X, D is a subset of Y such that D contains a homeomorphic image of the Cantor set and $C \times D \in \mathcal{M}_{C}(X \times Y) \cup \mathcal{M}_{1}(X \times Y)$, then $C \in \mathfrak{R}(X)$ and $D \in \mathfrak{R}(Y)$.

Indeed. Let K be a subset of D such that K is homeomorphic with the Cantor set. We have $C \times K \in \mathcal{M}_{O}(X \times K) \cup \mathcal{M}(X \times K)$. Hence, by (+), $C \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$. Now again by (+), $D \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$.

<u>Corollary</u>. If A and B are non-Borel universally measurable subsets of X and Y respectively (for the definition see e.g. [2]) such that at least one of them is not a universal null set, then $A \times B$ is a universally measurable subset of $X \times Y$ such that $A \times B \notin \mathcal{M}_{1}(X \times Y) \cup \mathcal{M}_{1}(X \times Y)$.

I am indebted to D. Fremlin for sending me [3] and to D. Maharam and A.H. Stone for sending me [12].

REFERENCES

- [1] BING R.H., BLEDSOE W.W., MAULDIN R.D. "Sets generated by rectangles", Pacific J. Math., <u>51</u> (1974), 27-36.
- [2] BROWN J.B., COX G.V. "Classical theory of totally imperfect spaces", Real Analysis Fxchange 7 (1981-2), 185-232.
- [3] FREMIIN D., Letter dated 24 March 1982 and the attached manuscript.
- [4] GPZEGOREK E. "Solution of a problem of Banach on G-fields without continuous measures", Bull. Ac. Pol.: Math. <u>28</u> (1980), 7-10.
- [5] "On some results of Darst and Sierriński concerning universal null and universally measurable sets", Bull. Ac. Pol.: Math. <u>29</u> (1981), 1-5.
- [6] "On sets always of the first category", betracta Seventh Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Math. Institute of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha 1979, 20-24.
- [7] "Symmetric G-fields of sets and universal null sets" in Measure Theory Oberwolfach 1981 Proceedings, pp. 101-109, Tecture Notes in Math., No 935, Springer-Verlag, New York 1982.
- [8] "Remarks on some Borel structures" preprint for Measure Theory Oberwolfach 1983 Proceedings.
- [9] , PYLI-NARDZEWSKI C. "A remark on absolutely measurable sets", Bull. 4c. Pol.: Math. <u>28(1980)</u>, 229-232.
- [10] KURATOWSKI C. "Torology, vol. I", Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [11] MAHARAM D., STONE A.H. "One-to-one functions and a problem on subfields" in Measure Theory Oberwolfach 1979 Proceedings, pp. 49-52, Jecture Notes in Math., No 794, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [12] -, "Expressing measurable functions by one-one ones", Advances in Mathematics 46 (1982), 151-161.
- [13] MILLER A.W. "Baire category theorem and cardinals of countable cofinality", Journal of Symbolic Logic 47 (1982), 275-288.
- [14] "Special subsets of the real line" Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology", North Holland, Amsterdam (to appear).
- [15] MORGAN JI J.C. "On sets every homeomorphic image of which has the Baire property", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. <u>75</u> (1979), 351-354.
- [16] PRIKRY K. "On images of the Lebesgue measure, I", unpublished manuscript dated 20 September 1977.
- [17] SIKORSKI R. "On the inducing of homomorphisms by mappings". Fund. Math. <u>36</u> (1949), 7-22.
- [18] "Boolean algebras", 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin 1964.
- [19] SZPILRAJN (MARCZEWSKI)E. "Ozbiorach ifunkcjach bezwzglednie mierzalnych", C.R.Soc.Sci.Varsovie <u>30</u> (1937), 39-68 (An English translation of this article by John. C. Morgan II is available in

146

the manuscript.

- [20] "The characteristic function of a sequence of sets and some of its applications", Fund. Math. <u>31</u> (1938), 207-223.
- [21] "O przesunięciach zbiorów i o pewnym twierdzeniu Steinhausa", Roczniki Polskiego Towarzystwa Matematycznego Seria I: Prace Matematyczne I,2 (1955), 256-263.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, GDAŃSK UNIVERSITY (INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI UNIWERSYTETU GDAŃSKIEGO) ul. WITA STWOSZA 57, PI-80-952, GDAŃSK, POLAND