# Václav Nýdl Some results concerning reconstruction conjecture

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Proceedings of the 12th Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Section of Topology. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1984. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 6. pp. [243]–246.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701843

# Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

#### SOME RESULTS CONCERNING RECONSTRUCTION CONJECTURE

# Václav Nýdl

<u>Abstract:</u> B.Manvel first showed that, for every k, there exist two finite nonisomorphic graphs with the same collections of of k-point subgraphs. Here, we give some new results concerning Manvel's observation. We find the bounds of reconstructibility and nonreconstructibility of graphs from subgraphs for some classes of graphs /all graphs, all trees, all equivalences/.

# **O. Introduction**

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. More precisely: for a set X we denote  $P_2(X)$  the set of all 2-point subsets of X; a graph is a couple  $G = \langle V(G), E(G) \rangle$ , where V(G) is a finite set and  $E(G) \subseteq P_2(V(G))$ .

A mapping  $f:V(G) \longrightarrow V(H)$  is called the homomorphism from the graph G into the graph H if for every  $Z \in V(G)$   $f(Z) \in V(H)$ , and is called the isomorphism if f is a bijection and for every Z  $f(Z) \in V(H)$  if and only if  $Z \in V(G)$ . We write  $G \cong H$  to indicate isomorphic graphs.

For every subset Y of the set V(G) of the graph G the induced graph  $G/Y = \langle Y, V(G) \cap P_2(Y) \rangle$  is Jefined. The number of induced graphs of the graph G isomorphic to the graph H is called the frequency of H in G and denoted by frq(H,G).

We use homomorphisms of some special types. A homomorphism  $f:G \longrightarrow H$  is called the <u>monomorphism</u> if  $f:G \longrightarrow H/f(V(G))$  is an isomorphism and is called the <u>semimonomorphism</u> if for every component of connectivity C of the graph G  $f:G/C \longrightarrow H/f(V(C))$  is an isomorphism. A homomorphism  $f:G \longrightarrow H$  is said to be <u>covering</u> if f(V(G)) = V(H). It is obvious that every covering monomorphism has to be an isomorphism.

The number of components of connectivity of the graph G will be denoted by cp(G). It is obvious that a semimonomorphism  $f:G \rightarrow H$ is a monomorphism if and only if cp(G) = cp(H/f(V(G))).

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

#### VÁCLAV NÝDL

We use some integral-valued functions:

card X	denotes the number of elements of the set X,
G	<pre> = card(V(G)) for the graph G,</pre>
mono(G,H)	denotes the number of monomorphisms from G into H,
semi(G,H)	denotes the number of semimonomorphisms from G intoH
cov(G,H)	denotes the number of covering semimonomorphisms
	from G into H,
aut(G)	denotes the number of automorphisms of the graph G
	/we use the identity mono(G,H) = frq(G,H).aut G/.

#### 1. The frequency and the similarity of graphs

Definition 1.1. Let G,H be two graphs such that |G| = |H|, let k be an integer. The graphs G,H are called k-similar  $/\leq k$ -similar,  $\leq k$ -c-similar, respectively/ if for every graph R such that |R| == k /  $|R| \leq k$ ,  $|R| \leq k \& R$  is connected, respectively/ frq(R,G) = = frq(R,H) holds. We use the notation  $G \stackrel{k}{\sim} H / G \stackrel{\leq k}{\sim} H , G \stackrel{\leq k}{\sim} H$ , respectively/.

<u>Corollary 1.2.</u> /Kelly's lemma/. For any two graphs G,H and any integer k, if G  $\stackrel{k}{\sim}$  H, then G  $\stackrel{\leq k}{\sim}$  H.

Proof. See [1] pp. 229-230.

<u>Corollary 1.3.</u> /Reconstruction conjecture/. It is conjectured that for any two graphs G,H such that  $n = |G| = |H| \ge 2$  the implication " if G  $\stackrel{n-1}{\longrightarrow}$  H, then  $G \simeq H$  " is true.

Now, we describe some "counting" rules for frequencies.

Lemma 1.4. If  $G \stackrel{\leq k}{\subset} H$ , then for every R such that  $|R| \leq k$ semi(R,G) = semi(R,H).

Proof. The equality follows immediatelly from the observation that semi(R,G) =  $\prod_{m=1,...,cp(R)} mono(C_m,G)$ , where  $C_m$  are the components of R, from the identity  $mono(C_m,G) = frq(C_m,G).aut(C_m)$  and from their analogues for the graph H.

Lemma 1.5. Let  $I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \ldots \cup I_m \cup \ldots$  be a set and let  $\{R_1, 1 \in I\}$  be a collection of graphs such that:

1/ for every m, if  $i \in I_m$ , then  $cp(R_i) = m$ ,

2/ for every graph R there is one and only one i  $\in$  I such that  $R_1 \stackrel{\simeq}{\simeq} R$  .

Then for any two graphs R,G the identity  $semi(R,G) = \sum_{i \in I} cov(R,R_i)$ . .frq(R<sub>1</sub>,G) holds.

Proof. Let P = P<sub>2</sub>(V(G)). For Z \in P let  $\varphi(Z)$  = i so that  $G/Z \approx R_1$ . Obviously frq(R<sub>1</sub>,G) = card( $\varphi^{-1}(1)$ ). And now we can write semi(R,G) =  $\sum_{Z \in P}^{\sum} cov(R,G/Z) = \sum_{Z \in P}^{\sum} cov(R,R_{\varphi(Z)}) = \sum_{i \in I}^{\sum} cov(R,R_1)$ . frq(R<sub>1</sub>,G).

Lemma 1.6. If G,H are two graphs such that G  $\stackrel{\leq k}{\leftarrow}$  H, then G  $\stackrel{\leq k}{\leftarrow}$  H.

Proof. Let I, I<sub>m</sub>, R<sub>i</sub> be the same as in Lemma 1.5. We prove by induction that for every  $j \leq k$  the proposition A(j): " if  $q \in I_{j}$  as  $|R_q| \le k$ , then frq( $R_q$ ,G) = frq( $R_q$ ,H) " is true, 1/A(1) is true because of assumption G  $\le k$  H.

 $Q_{G} = i \in I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_{j-1}$  are supposed to be true. We introduce  $Q_{G} = i \in I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_{j-1}$  cov( $R_q, R_1$ ).frq( $R_1, G$ ), and analogically Q<sub>M</sub> .

Using Lemma 1.5. we obtain  $\operatorname{semi}(R_q,G) = Q_G + \operatorname{aut}(R_q) \cdot \operatorname{frq}(R_q,G)$ and  $\operatorname{semi} R_q,H) = Q_H + \operatorname{aut}(R_q) \cdot \operatorname{frq}(R_q,H)$ . But  $Q_G = Q_H$  because for every  $i \in I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_{j-1}$  frq $(R_1,G) = \operatorname{frq}(R_1,H)$  /if we suppose  $|R| \leq k/$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{semi}(R_q,G) = \operatorname{semi}(R_q,H)$  according to Lemma 1.4. Thus, we have  $frq(R_q, \vec{G}) = [semi(R_q, \vec{G}) - Q_H]/aut(R_q) = [semi(R_q, H) - Q_H]/aut(R_q) = frq(R_q, H).$ 

Theorem 1.7. For any two graphs G,H and for any integer k, the following three properties are equivalent

/1/ 6 К н, /11/ 6 К н, /111/ 6 К н.

Proof. The theorem is the summary of Corollary 1.2. and Lemma 1.6.

Corollary 1.8. The reconstruction conjecture is true for disconnected graphs.

Proof. Let G,H be two disconnected graphs such that n = |G| == |H| > 2 and let G  $\stackrel{n-1}{\sim}$  H. Using Theorem 1.7. we get G  $\stackrel{\leq}{\sim} (\stackrel{n-1}{\sim})$  H and, since G,H are disconnected, even G  $\stackrel{\leq n}{\longrightarrow}$  H. Now, by Theorem . 1.7., G ~ H, 1.e. G≃H.

# 2. Bounds of reconstructibility and nonreconstructibility

Let N be the set of all natural numbers. For every subset M of N we define max  $M = +\infty$ . Let us denote  $N^M = N \cup \{+\infty\}$ .

Definition 2.1. Let  ${\mathscr F}$  be a subclass of the class of all finite graphs. We define the mapping  $u_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  as  $u_{\mathcal{F}}(n) =$ = max { m;  $(\forall F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F})((|F_1| = |F_2| \leq m \& F_1 \stackrel{n}{\sim} F_2) \Rightarrow F_1 \simeq F_2)$ }

Corollary 2.2. We denote & the class of all finite graphs. B. Manvel showed in [2] that for every  $n \in N$  the unequality  $u_{\mathcal{G}}(n) < +\infty$ holds. Further, the reconstruction conjecture can be written in the form  $u_{\mathcal{Q}}(n) \ge n+1$  for  $n \ge 2$ .

Proposition 2.3. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the class of all finite trees. Then, for every n > 1,  $n+1 \le u_{\mathcal{T}}(n) < 2n$ .

Proof. The first unequality expresses the fact that the reconstruction conjecture is true for the case of trees. The second one was proved in  $\begin{bmatrix} 5 \end{bmatrix}$  where, for every n>1, we constructed two nonisomorphic trees  $T_1, T_2$  having 2n elements such that  $T_1 \stackrel{n}{\sim} T_2$ .

<u>Propositon 2.4.</u> If  $\zeta$  is the class of all finite graphs, then, for every n>1, the unequality  $u_{\zeta}(n) < \min(2n, 3n/2 + 15/2)$  holds.

Proof. To prove the unequality we use Proposition 2.3. and the construction from  $\begin{bmatrix} 5 \end{bmatrix}$  where, for every  $k \ge 2$ , we constructed two nonisomorphic graphs  $G_1, G_2$  having 3k + 6 elements such that  $G_1 \stackrel{2k}{\sim} G_2$ .

<u>Corollary 2.5.</u> V.Müller in [3] showed that for every  $\rho$ , 1<  $\rho$  <2, there exist a class  $\mathscr{R}$  and a number  $n_{\rho}$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $u_{\mathscr{R}}(n) > \rho$ .n and moreover,  $\mathscr{R}$  contains asymptotically the most graphs on n elements.

<u>Remark 2.6.</u> It was proved in [4] that for every  $n \in N$  in the class  $\in$  of all finite equivalences the unequalities  $n.(\ln n - 1) \leq u_{\odot}(n) < (n+1).2^{n-1}$  hold /here ln denotes the logarithmus naturalis/.

<u>Problem 2.7.</u> Prove that, for every sufficiently "rich" class  $\mathcal{F}$  of finite graphs, the unequality  $u_{\mathcal{F}}(n) < +\infty$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

REFERENCES

- [1] Bondy, J.A., Hemminger, R.L. "Graph reconstruction a survey", J. Graph. Theory, <u>1</u> (1977), 227 - 286.
- [2] Manvel, B. "Some basic observations on Kelly's conjecture for graphs", Discrete Math., <u>8</u> (1974), 181 - 185.
- [3] Möller, V. "Probabilistic reconstruction from subgraphs", Comment. Math. Univ. Carol., <u>17</u> (1976), 709 - 719.
- [4] Nýdl,V. "Reconstruction of an Equivalence Object from Its Subobjects", thesis (Czech), Charles University, Prague, 1980.
- [5] Nýdl,V. "Finite graphs and digraphs which are not reconstructible from their cardinality restricted subgraphs", Comment. Math. Univ. Carol., <u>22</u> (1981), 281 - 287.

VÁCLAV NÝDL VŠZ PEF SINKULEHO 13 370 05 Č.BUDĚJOVICE CZECHOSLOVAKIA

246