

Tomasz Natkaniec

On sums of Darboux functions

*Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica*, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 2, 107--111

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702000>

**Terms of use:**

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## On Sums of Darboux Functions

T. NATKANIEC

Bydgoszcz\*

Received 14 April 1993

### Introduction

We consider only real functions defined on the real line. It is well-known that the sum of Darboux functions (i.e. functions with the intermediate value property) need not be Darboux in general. Therefore for any family  $\mathcal{F}$  of real functions we can consider the following conditions:

$a(\mathcal{F})$ : there exists a Darboux function  $g$  such that  $f + g$  is Darboux for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$c(\mathcal{F})$ : there exists a Darboux function  $g$  such that  $f + g$  is Darboux for no  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

It was remarked by Lindenbaum [7] that  $a(\{f\})$  holds for each real function  $f$  (cf [1], p. 106). This result was generalized by Fast [3] in the following way:  $a(\mathcal{F})$  holds for each family  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions with  $\text{card}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2^\omega$ . Moreover,  $a(\mathcal{F})$  holds for some families  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions with  $\text{card}(\mathcal{F}) = 2^{2^\omega}$ , e.g. if  $\mathcal{F}$  is either the family of all Lebesgue measurable functions or the family of all functions with the Barire property [8] (obviously  $a(\mathcal{F})$  does not hold for the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of all real functions).

Now we shall consider the opposite problem: which families  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions satisfy the condition  $c(\mathcal{F})$ . It is well-known that  $c(\{f\})$  holds iff  $f$  is non-constant [12] (cf [13] and [2]). P. Komjáth proved recently that  $c(\mathcal{F})$  is satisfied for any family  $\mathcal{F}$  of nowhere constant continuous functions with  $(\text{card} \mathcal{F})^+ < 2^\omega$  [5]. Moreover, if we assume that the additivity of the ideal of all first category sets is equal to  $2^\omega$  then  $c(C^*)$  holds for the family  $C^*$  of all nowhere constant continuous

---

\*) Mathematical Institute WSP, ul. Chodkiewicza 30, 85-064 Bydgoszcz, Poland  
e-mail adress: WSPB11@PLTUMK11.BITNET

---

\*) Supported by KBN Research Grant 1992-94, No 2 1144 91 01.

functions<sup>1</sup> [6]. It is interesting that  $c(\mathcal{F})$  is false for the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of all non-constant continuous functions [9]. The purpose of the present paper is the extension of the above theorems.

### Notation

We use standard set theory notation. Cardinals are identified with initial ordinals,  $2^\omega$  is the cardinal of the continuum. For an ideal  $I \subset P(\mathbb{R})$  we define the additivity of  $I$  as

$$\text{add}(I) = \inf \{ \text{card}(I_0) : I_0 \subset I \text{ and } \bigcup I_0 \notin I \}.$$

All ideals considered in this paper satisfy the following conditions:

- (1)  $\{x\} \in I$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (2) if  $G$  is open and  $G \in I$  then  $G = \emptyset$ .

A function  $f$  is said to be *nowhere constant* iff  $\text{int } f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$  for each  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , *quasi-continuous* iff  $f^{-1}(W) \subset \text{cl int } f^{-1}(W)$  for each open set  $W$  [4], in  $\mathfrak{B}_1^*$  class if in each non-void closed set  $F$  there is an open interval  $(a, b)$  with  $(a, b) \cap F \neq \emptyset$  such that  $f|_F$  is continuous on  $(a, b) \cap F$  [10].

In the same way as P. Komjáth [5] we can prove the following result.

**Proposition 1** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of nowhere constant, locally bounded Darboux functions with  $(\text{card } \mathcal{F})^+ < 2^\omega$  then  $c(\mathcal{F})$  holds.*

Note that if CH is assumed then Komjáth's theorem does not determine if  $c(\mathcal{F})$  holds even for countable families  $\mathcal{F}$ . However, it is easy to prove the following

**Proposition 2** *For each countable family  $\mathcal{F}$  of nowhere constant functions the condition  $c(\mathcal{F})$  is fulfilled.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n : n < \omega\}$  and let  $I_n = [2n, 2n + 1]$  for each  $n < \omega$ . Since  $f_n|_{I_n}$  are non-constant, there exist Darboux functions  $g_n : I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(f_n + g_n)|_{I_n}$  is not Darboux (for all  $n < \omega$ ) [12]. Now if we put

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} g_n(x) & \text{for } x \in I_n, n < \omega, \\ \text{linear otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then  $f + g$  is Darboux for no  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . □

---

<sup>1</sup> Note that this result is independent of ZFC. Indeed, during the last Winter School on Abstract Analysis in Poděbrady, prof. Todorčević informed me that recently prof. J. Steprans from Toronto constructed a model for  $ZFC + \neg c(C^*)$ .

**Proposition 3** Assume that  $\mathfrak{I}$  is an ideal and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of locally bounded functions which all level sets in  $\mathfrak{I}$ . If  $\text{card}(\mathcal{F}) < \text{add}(\mathfrak{I})$  then the following condition is satisfied

$c^*(\mathcal{F})$ : there exists a Darboux function  $g$  such that  $(f + g)|I$  is Darboux for no  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and no non-degenerate interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be a countable base for the Euclidean topology in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Arrange all elements of  $\mathcal{F} \times B \times \mathbb{R}$  in a sequence  $(f_\alpha, I_\alpha, r_\alpha)_{\alpha < 2^\omega}$ , and select by transfinite induction a sequence  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha < 2^\omega}$  such that:

- (1) if  $x_\alpha = x_\beta$  then  $\alpha = \beta$ ,
- (2)  $f(x_\alpha) \neq -r_\alpha$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\alpha < 2^\omega$ .

Such a choice is possible because, by hypothesis,

$$\{x \in I_\alpha : f(x) = -r_\alpha \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} f^{-1}(-r_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{I}$$

Since  $\text{card}(\mathcal{F}) < 2^\omega$ , for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $y(x)$  such that  $y(x) \neq -f(x)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Now we define  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} r_\alpha & \text{for } x = x_\alpha, \alpha < 2^\omega, \\ y(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $g$  takes every value on every non-degenerate interval, it is Darboux. Fix  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and a non-degenerate interval  $I$ . Then  $(f + g)(x) \neq 0$  for each  $x \in I$ . Since  $f$  is locally bounded and  $g|I$  is unbounded,  $(f + g)(x_1) < -1$  and  $(f + g)(x_2) > 1$  for some  $x_1, x_2 \in I$ , so  $f + g$  does not have the Darboux property.  $\square$

**Proposition 4** Assume that  $\mathfrak{I}$  is an ideal and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of functions with all level sets in  $\mathfrak{I}$ . If  $\text{card}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{add}(\mathfrak{I}) = 2^\omega$  then  $c^*(\mathcal{F})$  is satisfied.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable base for the Euclidean topology in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Arrange all reals in a sequence  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha < 2^\omega}$  and all elements of  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{R}$  in sequence  $(f_\alpha, I_\alpha, r_\alpha)_{\alpha < 2^\omega}$ . We select by transfinite induction sequences  $(t_{\alpha,i})_{\alpha < 2^\omega, i < 3}$ ,  $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha < 2^\omega}$  and  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha < 2^\omega}$  such that:

- (1) if  $t_{\alpha,i} = t_{\beta,j}$  then  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $i = j$ ,
- (2)  $f_\alpha(t_{\beta,0}) \neq y_\alpha - r_\beta$  for each  $\alpha, \beta < 2^\omega$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,
- (3)  $y_\alpha - f_\alpha(t_{\alpha,i}) + (-1)^i \neq y_\beta - f_\beta(t_{\alpha,i})$  for  $\alpha, \beta < 2^\omega$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,
- (4)  $f_\alpha(x_\beta) + z_\beta \neq y_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha, \beta < 2^\omega$ .

Assume that for some  $\gamma$  there are chosen sequences  $(t_{\alpha,i})_{\alpha < \gamma, i < 3}$ ,  $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha < \gamma}$  and  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha < \gamma}$  for which the conditions (1)–(4) are fulfilled.

Since  $A_\gamma = \{t_{\beta,i} : \beta < \gamma, i < 3\} \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \gamma} f_\beta^{-1}(y_\beta - r_\gamma) \in \mathfrak{I}$ , we can choose distinct points  $t_{\gamma,0}, t_{\gamma,1}, t_{\gamma,2} \in I_\gamma \setminus A_\gamma$

Since  $B_\gamma = \{f_\gamma(t_{\beta,0}) + r_\beta : \beta \leq \gamma\} \cup \{f_\gamma(x_\beta) + z_\beta : \beta < \gamma\} \cup \{y_\beta + (-1)^i - f_\beta(t_{\beta,i}) + f_\gamma(t_{\beta,i}) : \beta < \gamma, i \in \{1, 2\}\} \cup \{y_\beta - f_\beta(t_{\gamma,i}) + f_\gamma(t_{\gamma,i}) - (-1)^i : \beta < \gamma, i \in \{1, 2\}\}$  has cardinality less than  $2^\omega$ , we can choose  $y_\gamma \notin B_\gamma$ .

Since  $C_\gamma = \{y_\beta - f_\beta(x_\gamma) : \beta < \gamma\}$  has cardinality less than  $2^\omega$ , we choose  $z_\gamma \notin C_\gamma$ .

Now it is easy to verify that sequences chosen in this way satisfy the conditions (1)–(4). Define  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} r_\alpha & \text{for } x = t_{\alpha,0}, \alpha < 2^\omega, \\ r_\alpha + (-1)^i - f_\alpha(x) & \text{for } x = t_{\alpha,i}, \alpha < 2^\omega, i \in \{1, 2\}, \\ z_\alpha & \text{for } x = x_\alpha \neq t_{\beta,i}, \alpha, \beta < 2^\omega, i < 3. \end{cases}$$

Since  $g$  takes every value on every non-degenerate interval, it is Darboux. Fix  $f \in \mathbb{F}$  and a non-degenerate interval  $I$ . Then there exists  $\alpha < 2^\omega$  such that  $f = f_\alpha$  and  $I_\alpha \subset I$ . Since  $t_{\alpha,1}, t_{\alpha,2} \in I_\alpha$ ,  $(f_\alpha + g)(t_{\alpha,i}) = y_\alpha - 1 < y_\alpha < (f_\alpha + g)(t_{\alpha,2})$  and  $(f_\alpha + g)(x) \neq y_\alpha$  for  $x \in I_\alpha$ ,  $(f_\alpha + g)|I$  is not Darboux.  $\square$

Now we can consider relationships between  $c(\mathcal{F})$  and  $c^*(\mathcal{F})$ . Obviously, the following fact holds.

**Fact 1** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}$  be families of real functions such that for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  there exist  $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$  and an interval  $I$  such that  $f|I = f_0|I$ . Then  $c^*(\mathcal{F}_0)$  implies  $c(\mathcal{F})$ .*

Let  $\mathfrak{I}$  denote the ideal of all first category sets. Since level sets of nowhere constant quasi-continuous or  $\mathfrak{B}_1^*$  functions belong to  $\mathfrak{I}$ , we can apply Propositions 3 and 4 to families  $\mathcal{F}$  of such functions. By Fact 1, we can omit the assumption that functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  are locally bounded.

Finally, note that in the same way we can prove analogous results in more general cases, e.g. for real functions defined on dense-in-itself Polish spaces. In this case we understand the Darboux property in the Pawlak sense. Recall that a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  has the Pawlak property iff the image of every arc in  $X$  is connected [11].

## References

- [1] BRUCKNER A. M. and CEDER J., *Darboux continuity*, Jber. Deutsch. Math. Ver. 67 (1965), 93–117.
- [2] BRUCKNER A. M. and CEDER J., *On the sum of Darboux functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 97–102.
- [3] FAST H., *Une remarque sur la propriété de Weierstrass*, Coll. Math. 7 (1974), 125–128.
- [4] KEMPISTY S., *Sur les fonctions quasicontinues*, Fund. Math. 19 (1932), 184–197.
- [5] KOMJÁTH P., *A note on Darboux functions*, a manuscript.

- [6] KIRCHHEIM B. and NATKANIEC T., *On universally bad Darboux functions*, *Real Analysis Exchange* 16 (1990–1991), 481–486.
- [7] LINDENBAUM A., *Annales de la Société Polonaise de Math.* 6 (1927), 129.
- [8] NATKANIEC T., *Almost Continuity*, *Real Analysis Exchange* 17 (1991–1992), 462–520.
- [9] NATKANIEC T., *On a problem concerning universally bad Darboux functions*, submitted.
- [10] O'MALLEY R. J., *Baire\* I, Darboux functions*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 60 (1976), 187–192.
- [11] PAWLAK R. J., *Darboux Transformations*, Thesis, Łódź, 1985.
- [12] RADAKOVIĆ T., *Über Darbouxsche und stetige Funktionen*, *Monat. Math. Phys.* 38 (1931), 111–122.
- [13] ŠVARC R., *On the range of values of the sum of a continuous and a Darboux function*, *Čas. Pest. Mat.* 98 (1973), 178–180, 213.