A. R. D. Mathias A scenario for transferring high scores

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 45 (2004), No. 2, 67--73

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702098

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

A Scenario for Transferring High Scores

A. R. D. MATHIAS

Réunion

Received 15. March 2004

1. Introduction

Let \mathscr{X} be a Polish space with metric d and $f: \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{X}$ a continuous function. We recall the definition of the attacking relation $x \rightharpoonup_f y$ studied in our papers [2, 3, 4]:

$$x \curvearrowright_f y \Leftrightarrow_{\mathrm{df}} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall m : \in \mathbb{N} \exists \ell [\ell \ge m \& d(f^{\ell}(x), y) < \varepsilon].$$

Our notation is related to a familiar one in dynamical systems: $x \rightarrow_f y$ iff $y \in \omega_f(x)$.

An important example is *Baire space*, the space of infinite sequences of natural numbers, often denoted by \mathcal{N} or ${}^{\omega}\omega$, which for each finite such sequence r has the basic open set $\{\alpha \mid \alpha \upharpoonright \ell h(r) = r\}$. In that space the (backward) *shift functions* $\mathfrak{s}: \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ is given by $\mathfrak{s}(\alpha)(n) = \alpha(n + 1)$.

The score, $\theta(a, f)$, of a point a in \mathscr{X} with respect to the function f, is defined to be the least ordinal θ such that $A^{\theta}(a, f) = A^{\theta+1}(a, f)$, where we define recursively a shrinking sequence of sets by $A^{0}(a, f) = \omega_{f}(a)$, $A^{\nu+1}(a, f) =$ $= \{x \mid \exists y(y \in A^{\nu}(a, f) \& y \rightharpoonup_{f} x)\}$ and for a limit ordinal λ , $A^{\lambda}(a, f) = \bigcap_{\nu < \lambda} A^{\nu}(a, f)$.

In [3] we showed, working always with the shift function \mathfrak{s} , that for Baire space $\omega \omega$ or the Cantor space $\omega 7$ there are points of score any given countable ordinal. In [4] we constructed a point in Baire space of score the first uncountable ordinal, which by results of [3] is the maximum possible. An unpublished transfer theorem of Cristian Delhommé shows that a point of uncountable score and points of all countable scores will exist in Cantor space $\omega 2$.

The question arises, which other spaces and functions will permit the existence of a point of uncountable score?

ERMIT, Université de la Réunion

We describe hypytheses on a dynamical system (\mathcal{X}, f) which will permit such a transfer. We then describe one setting in which one can establish all but the last hypothesis; then we show that an assumption of equicontinuity will yield that last hypothesis. However, experts on dynamical systems believe that, in the given setting, it is likely that f cannot be equicontinuous. So at present no dynamical system is known (to the author) to satisfy all the given hypotheses.

We work with DC, the mild form of the Axiom of Choice that implies that a relation is well-founded if and only if it admits no infinite descending sequences.

2. Hypotheses leading to high scores

2.0 THEOREM Suppose that (\mathcal{X}, f) is a dynamical system such that there is a continuous surjection Ψ of \mathcal{X} onto either " ω or some "m with $m \ge 2$, satisfying these properties, where we say that x is at α rather that $\Psi(x) = \alpha$:

(2.0.0) for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\Psi(f(x)) = \mathfrak{s}(\Psi(x))$

(2.0.1) for all x and y in \mathscr{X} , if $x \rightharpoonup_f y$ then $\Psi(x) \curvearrowright_{\mathfrak{s}} \Psi(y)$

(2.0.2) if x is at α and $\alpha \sim_{\mathfrak{s}} \beta$, then there is a y at β with $x \sim_{\mathfrak{f}} y$.

(2.0.3) if $\alpha \curvearrowright_s \beta \curvearrowright_s \gamma$, a is at α , c is at γ and $\alpha \curvearrowright_f c$, then there is a point b at β with $\alpha \curvearrowright_f b \curvearrowright_f c$.

Then for every x, the f-score of x equals the \mathfrak{s} -score of $\Psi(x)$, so that there are points in \mathfrak{X} of all scores up to and including ω_1 .

Proof: We recall the definition from [3], page 263, of the tree $T_y(f)$, where $x \rightarrow_f y$. It is the set of finite sequences $(y_0, y_1, ..., y_n)$ such that $y_0 = y$, each $y_{i+1} \rightarrow_f y_i$ and $x \rightarrow_f y_n$.

Extend the definition of Ψ to such finite sequences in the natural way: $\Psi((y_0, ..., y_n)) = (\Psi(y_0) ... \Psi(y_n))$. With this extended definition, Ψ preserves length and end-extension.

2.1 PROPOSITION If $x \rightharpoonup_f y$, $\Psi[T_y^x(f)] = T_{\Psi(y)}^{\Psi(x)}(s)$.

Proof: by (2.0.1), the restriction of Ψ to the first tree is into the second; by repeated use of (2.0.3), we see that it is onto.

2.2 LEMMA If x is at α , y is at β , and $x \rightarrow_f y$, then $T_y^x(f)$ is well-founded iff $T_{\beta}^x(\mathfrak{s})$ is.

Proof: by repeated use of (2.0.1) and (2.0.3) to transfer infinite descending sequences from one tree to the other. 4(2.2)

2.3 DEFINITION If $a \rightharpoonup_f b$, $T_b^a(f)$ is well-founded, and $s \in T_b^a(f)$, write $\varrho_{a,b,f}(s)$ for the rank of s in the tree $T_b^a(f)$, as given by the recursion

$$\varrho_{a,b,f}(s) = \sup\{\varrho_{a,b,f}(s \land \langle y \rangle) + 1 \mid s \land \langle y \rangle \in T_b^a(f)\}.$$

2.4 PROPOSITION If $a \curvearrowright_f b$, $\emptyset \neq s \in T_b^u(f)$ and $\varrho_{a,b,f}(s) = \xi$, then the last element $\ell(s)$ of the finite sequence s is in $A^{\zeta}(a, f) \setminus A^{\zeta+1}(a, f)$.

Proof: by induction on ξ , much as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [3]. $\dashv (2.4)$

2.5 COROLLARY $\theta(a, f)$, the f-score of a, equals $\sup\{\varrho_{a,b,f}(\emptyset) \mid a \rightharpoonup_f b\}$.

Thus the score of a point *a* is computable from the ranks of the various trees $T_b^a(f)$.

2.6 PROPOSITION Suppose the two trees are both well-founded. Then they have the same ranks: $\varrho_{\alpha,\beta,f}(\emptyset) = \varrho_{\alpha,\beta,s}(\emptyset)$.

2.7 PROPOSITION If x is at α , then the f-score of x equals the β -score of α ; in particular if x is at α and α is of uncountable score, then so is x.

For points of uncountable score we can also argue as follows. A point is of uncountable score iff its abode is not a Borel set. Further the image under Ψ of the abode of x is the abode of α , and the image under Ψ of the escape of x is the escape of α .

Hence if the abode and escape of x were Borel, then both the abode and the escape of α would be analytic, and therefore by Souslin's celebrated result, would be Borel.

2.8 REMARK In this connection it might be worth looking again at the "original" construction of a point of uncountable score mentioned in the penultimate paragraph of [4], and there called *c*, where *c* "neatly" attacks α_T for every countable well-founded tree *T*. The nodes of α_T survive for $\zeta = \varrho(T)$ steps, and ζ can be arbitrarily large.

2.9 PROPOSITION Suppose that $\alpha \curvearrowright_s \varrho \curvearrowright_s \varrho$. Let a be at α and x be at ϱ with $a \curvearrowright_f x$. Then there are recurrent r and s such that $a \curvearrowright_f s \curvearrowright_f x \curvearrowright_f r$ and $\varrho \curvearrowright_s \Psi(r) \curvearrowright_s \varrho$.

Proof: by (2.0.2) there is a y at ϱ such that $x \rightharpoonup_f y$. Taking, by (2.0.3), an infinite backward sequence of ϱ 's there are points y_i with $y_0 = y$, each $y_{i+1} \rightharpoonup_f y_i$ and x attacking each y_i . By proposition 3.18 of [3] there is a recurrent point r with $x \rightharpoonup_f r \frown_f y$, which by (2.0.1) gives $\varrho \frown_s \Psi(r) \rightharpoonup_s \varrho$.

To find s, repeat the argument, inserting a chain of attacks $a \xrightarrow{}_f s_{i+1} \xrightarrow{}_f s_i \xrightarrow{}_f x$. $\dashv (2.9)$

2.10 COROLLARY If x is at β , a at α , a $rac{}_{f} x$, and β is in the abode of α , then x is in the abode of a.

Proof: for some ϱ , $\alpha \sim_{\mathfrak{s}} \varrho \sim_{\mathfrak{s}} \varrho \sim_{\mathfrak{s}} \varrho$; we can therefore find *f*-recurrent *r* with $a \sim_f r \sim_f x$.

Many things now fit well together. For example in [3] an operator Γ was introduced: $\Gamma_f(Z) = \{x \mid \exists y (y \in Z \& y \rightharpoonup_f x)\}.$

2.11 LEMMA $\Psi[\omega_f(x)] = \omega_s(\Psi(x)).$

2.12 Lemma $\Psi[\Gamma_f(Z)] = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}(\Psi[Z]).$

2.13 PROPOSITION For all v, $\Psi[A^{v}(a, f)] = A^{v}(\Psi(a), \mathfrak{s})$.

Proof: the previous two lemmata cover the case of 0 and successors. At limits, we must use the analysis of trees. 4(2.13)

2.14 REMARK We have made little use of separability: it has been used only to show that any point that vanishes does so at a countable stage, thus giving the upper bound of ω_1 to the score. But for the sake of proving the existence of points of uncountable score, it shouldn't be necessary.

3. Horseshoes

Following a lecture of Jozef Bobok we make a definition. The setting is a compact (Polish) space \mathscr{A} with metric d, a continuous function $f: \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A}$ and an integer $m \ge 2$. We write \emptyset for the sequence of length 0, and \emptyset for the empty set. Of course in many formal presentations of mathematics the two objects are the same.

3.0 DEFINITION An (m, f)-strong horseshoe is a sequence S_0, \ldots, S_{m-1} of pairwise disjoint non-empty closed sets with the property that for all *i* and *j* less than *m*,

$$S_i \subseteq f[S_j]$$

3.1 REMARK That is a stronger requirement that the condition met by Smale's original horseshoe, which was that $S_i \cap f[S_i]$ is non-empty for each *i* and *j*.

- 3.2 LEMMA $S_i \cap f^{-1}[S_j] \neq \emptyset$: indeed $f[S_i \cap f^{-1}[S_j]] = S_j$.
- 3.3 LEMMA $S_i \cap f^{-1}[S_j] \cap f^{-2}[S_k] \neq \emptyset$; indeed $f^2[S_i \cap f^{-1}[S_j] \cap f^{-2}[S_k]] = S_k$.

We shall be able to generalise the above, but must first adopt a less cumbersome notation.

3.4 DEFINITION Set $S^{\emptyset} =_{df} \bigcup_{i < m} S_i$, and for u a sequence of length k + 1 of numbers less than m, set $S^u =_{df} S^{u \nmid k} \cap f^{-k}[S_{u(k)}]$. For $\alpha \in {}^{\omega}m$, set $S^{\alpha} = \bigcap_k S^{\alpha \restriction k}$.

3.5 Proposition $S^u \neq \emptyset$; indeed $f^k[S^u] = S_{u(k)}$.

3.6 PROPOSITION If $u = s \cap t$, the concatenation of s and $\ell = \ell h(s)$, then $S^u = S^s \cap f^{-\ell}[S^t]$ and $f^\ell[S^u] = S^t$.

Proof: The first assertion holds by the identity $g^{-1}(C \cap D) = g^{-1}(C) \cap g^{-1}(D)$. For the second, the inclusion from left to right is evident, using the first assertion. Suppose that x is in S'. Let $v = u \upharpoonright (\ell + 1)$. By Proposition 3.5, there is a y in S^v

70

with f'(y) = x; but then $y \in S^s \cap f^{-\prime}(S^t)$, and so, by the first assertion again, $x \in f'[S^u]$. $\exists (3.6)$

3.7 PROPOSITION Each S^u is a non-empty closed subset of \mathscr{A} .

3.8 Definition $\mathscr{X} =_{\mathrm{df}} \{ x \in \mathscr{A} \mid \forall k \ge 0, f^k(x) \in S^0 \}.$

3.9 LEMMA Each S^{α} , the intersection along the path α , is non-empty.

Proof: by compactness.

3.10 Lemma $\mathscr{X} = \bigcup_{\alpha} S^{\alpha} = \bigcap_{k} \bigcup \{ S^{\iota} \mid u \in {}^{k}m \}.$

3.11 PROPOSITION \mathscr{X} is a closed non-empty subset of \mathscr{A} , and is therefore a compact Polish space.

3.12 LEMMA If $x \in \mathcal{X}$, then $f(x) \in \mathcal{X}$.

3.13 LENNA If $x_k \to x$ as $k \to \infty$, and $x_k \in S^{\alpha \restriction n_k}$, where $n_k \to \infty$ with k, then $x \in S^{\alpha}$.

Henceforth we work in the space \mathscr{X} .

A map to *m*-Cantor space

Let \mathscr{C} be the product space ${}^{\omega}m$, where *m* is given the discrete topology. \mathscr{C} is compact by Tychonoff. We shall use Greek letters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \varrho$ for members of \mathscr{C} .

On \mathscr{C} we define the shift function \mathfrak{s} by $\mathfrak{s}(\alpha)(n) = \alpha(n+1)$.

- 3.14 DEFINITON For $x \in \mathcal{X}$, define $\Psi(x)(n)$ to be the i < m such that $f^n(x)$ is in S_i .
- 3.15 PROPOSITION For $x \in \mathscr{X}$, $\Psi(f(x)) = \mathfrak{s}(\Psi(x))$.

3.16 REMARK This means that Ψ is an action map in the sense defined by Akin and Kolyada [1]

- 3.17 PROPOSITION If $x \in \bigcap_{k \in \omega} S^{\alpha \restriction k}$, then $\Psi(x) = \alpha$; hence Ψ is surjective. [The compactness ensures that the intersection along α is non-empty].
- 3.18 PROPOSITION Ψ is continuous. We shall say that x is at α if $\Psi(x) = \alpha$.

Lifting an attack

3.19 PROPOSITION \mathscr{X} is \curvearrowright_f closed in the sense that if x is in \mathscr{X} and $x \curvearrowright_f y$ then $y \in \mathscr{X}$.

3.20 PROPOSITION If $x \rightarrow_f y$ then $\Psi(x) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{s}} \Psi(y)$.

Proof: Let $\hat{\varepsilon} =_{df} \min_{i \neq j} d(S_i, S_j)$; thus $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$ and has the property that two points in S^{\emptyset} within distance $\hat{\varepsilon}$ of each other are in the same S_i .

+(3.9)

Fix a natural number k. We seek ℓ such that f'(x) shadows y for k steps, in the sense that for n < k, $\Psi(f'(x))(n) = \Psi(y)(n)$.

By the continuity of $f, f^2 \dots$ and f^{k-1} at y, there are $\delta_i > 0$ such that for each i < k

$$d(a,y) < \delta_i \Rightarrow d(f^i(a), f^i(y)) < \hat{\varepsilon}$$

Take δ to be the minimum of the δ_i 's. Pick ℓ exceeding k and large enough so that $d(f^{\ell}(x),y) < \delta$. $\exists (3.20)$

3.21 COROLLARY If r is f-recurrent then $\Psi(r)$ is 5-recurrent.

Proof: Let
$$\Psi(r) = \varrho$$
. $r \curvearrowright_f r$, so $\varrho \curvearrowright_{\mathfrak{s}} \varrho$. $\dashv (3.21)$

3.22 PROPOSITION Suppose that $\alpha \curvearrowright_{\mathfrak{s}} \beta$, and that x is at α . Then we can find y at β such that $x \curvearrowright_{\mathfrak{f}} y$.

Proof: Let $\beta \upharpoonright k = s^{n_k}(\alpha) \upharpoonright k$. Then put $x_k = f^{n_k}(x)$. Each x_k is in $S^{\beta \upharpoonright k}$; by compactness some subsequence of the x's converges, to y say. Then $y \in S_\beta$ and $x \rightharpoonup_f y$. $\exists (3.22)$

3.23 COROLLARY If x is at α and the abode of α is empty, so is the abode of x.

Proof: the abode of a point is empty iff it attacks no recurrent points. $\dashv(3.23)$ The clauses (2.0.0), (2.0.1) and (2.0.2) are established in the present setting by 3.15, 3.20 and 3.22.

4. The effect of equicontinuity

Suppose now that at every point x of \mathscr{X} , f is equicontinuous in the sense that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0 \ \forall n \ \forall y \ [d(x,y) < \delta \Rightarrow d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < \varepsilon].$$

We derive clause $(2 \cdot 0 \cdot 3)$:

4.0 LEMMA Suppose that $\alpha \curvearrowright_s \beta \curvearrowright_s \gamma$ and that a is at α , c at γ and a $\curvearrowright_f c$. Then there is a point b at β with a $\curvearrowright_f b \curvearrowright_f c$.

Proof: Given k, a positive integer, there are (large) integers n_k and m_k such that for each i < k,

$$\gamma(i) = \beta(n_k + i) = \alpha(m_k + n_k + i)$$

and such that $f^{m_k+n_k}(a) \to c$.

Set $b_k = f^{m_k}(a)$. Some subsequence, say for $k \in B \in [\omega]^{\omega}$, of those converges, to b, say. We know that $f^{n_k}(b_k) \to d$. We shall use the equicontinuity of f at b to show that $f^{n_k}(b) \to d$.

Given ε , we seek K such that for k > K, $k \in B$, $d(f^{n_k}(b),d) < \varepsilon$. We know that there is a K_0 such that for all $k \ge K_0$, $d(f^{n_k}(b_k),c) < \varepsilon/2$. Using the equicontinuity

at b, we know that there is a δ such that if $d(z,b) < \delta$, then for all k, $d(f^{n_k}(z), f^{n_k}(b)) < \varepsilon/2$. For large enough $k \in B$, we shall indeed have $d(b_k, b) < \delta$. $\dashv (4.0)$

4.1 REMARK Equicontinuity seems formally too strong, as for given k we are only interested in the point $z = b_k$.

Finally we mention a variant of (2.0.3), of which the proof has an interesting feature.

4.2 LEMMA Suppose that $\alpha \curvearrowright_{\mathfrak{s}} B$ and that y is at β . Then there is an x at α with $x \curvearrowright_{\mathfrak{f}} y$.

Proof: By Proposition 3.6, for given k and n_k with $\mathfrak{s}^{n_k}(\alpha) \upharpoonright k = \beta \upharpoonright k$, we may find $x_k \in S^{\alpha \upharpoonright n_k}$ with $f^{n_k}(x_k) = y$ (and not just near y!). Let x be the limit of some convergent subsequence of the x_k 's. x will be at α by Lemma 3.13. Then by the equicontinuity of f at x, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for each k and $z \ d(x,z) < \delta \Rightarrow d(f^{n_k}(k), f^{n_k}(z)) < \varepsilon$; taking z to be an x_k in the subsequence that is suitably near x, we see that $d(f^{n_k}(x), y) < \varepsilon$, and so $x \rightharpoonup_f y$, as required. $\exists (4.2)$

References

- [1] AKIN, E. AND KOLYADA, S., Li-Yorke sensitivity, Nonlinearity 16 (2003) 1421 1433.
- [2] MATHIAS, A. R. D., Recurrent points and hyperarithmetic sets, in Set Theory, Techniques and Applications, Curaçao 1995 and Barcelona 1996 conferences, edited by C. A. Di Prisco, Jean A. Larson, Joan Bagaria and A. R. D. Mathias, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1998, 157 – 174; MR 2000d:03097.
- [3] MATHIAS, A. R. D., Delays, recurrence and ordinals, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 82 (2001) 257 – 298; MR 2001j:03087.
- [4] MATHIAS, A. R. D., Analytic sets under attack, Math. Proc Cam. Phil. Soc., to appear.