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# ON THE STRUCTURE OF A CLASS OF COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUPS 

Mario Petrich, Maryland (USA) (Received March 18, 1963)


#### Abstract

The purpose of the paper is to clarify the structure of a special type of commutative semigroups to which several authors have been led by studying decompositions of general semigroups.


1. Introduction and summary. In this paper we investigate the structure of a class of semigroups which we call $N$-semigroups. An $N$-semigroup is a commutative nonpotent archimedean cancellative semigroup. E. Hewitt and H. S. Zuckerman [2] have shown that if $G$ is any commutative semigroup, then there is a maximal separative homomorphic image $G^{\prime}$ of $G$, and that a member $H_{x}$ of the maximal semilattice decomposition of $G^{\prime}$ is either a group or an $N$-semigroup. T. Tamura [5] has given a characterization of $N$-semigroups. T. Tamura and N. Kimura [4] have shown that a member of the maximal semilattice decomposition of an arbitrary commutative semigroup is archimedean and has at most one idempotent. Š. Schwarz [6] has established certain properties of decompositions of a semigroup similar to those already mentioned.
In section 2 we define $N$-semigrcups and discuss some properties of commutative semigroups in connection with it. Then in section 3 we establish a property of N semigroups with a finite number of generators. In section 4 we find the structure of $N$-semigroups with two generators. Finally in section 5 we give a classification and several examples of $N$-semigroups.
A semigroup is a non-empty set on which an associative multiplication is defined. We will discuss only commutative semigroups. Throughout the whole paper $S$ will denote an arbitrary commutative semigroup unless stated otherwise. We follow the notation and terminology of A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston [1] for all concepts not defined in the paper. By $x^{m} y^{n}$ with $m=0$ and $n>0$, we mean $y^{n}$.

The writer wishes to thank Professor Edwin Hewitt for mentioning this problem to him, and Professor Herbert S. Zuckerman for his help in preparation of this paper.
2. Definitions and properties. We first define an $N$-semigroup and then discuss some properties of semigroups in connection with it.

Definition. $S$ will be called an $N$-semigroup if it has the following properties:
(A) for every $x, y, z \in S, x z=y z$ implies $x=y$ ( $S$ is cancellative);
(B) for every $x, y \in S, x^{n}=a y$ for some $a \in S$ and some natural number $n$ ( $S$ is archimedean);
(C) $S$ has no idempotents ( $S$ is nonpotent).

Proposition 1. If $S$ satisfies condition (B) and
(D) for every $x, y \in S, x^{2}=y^{2}=x y$ implies $x=y$ ( $S$ is separative), then $S$ also satisfies (A).

Proof. Let $S$ satisfy conditions (B) and (D) and suppose that $x z=y z$ for some $x, y, z \in S$. Then $x^{m}=a z$ and $y^{n}=b z$ for some $a, b \in S$ and some $m, n$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{m+1}=(a z) x=a(x z)=a(y z)=(a z) y=x^{m} y  \tag{1}\\
& y^{n+1}=(b z) y=b(y z)=b(x z)=(b z) x=y^{n} x \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

If in (1) $m>1$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{2 m-2} x y=x^{m-2} x^{m+1} y=x^{m-2} x^{m} y^{2}=x^{2 m-2} y^{2}, \\
x^{2 m-2} x y=x^{m-1} x^{m} y=x^{m-1} x^{m+1}=x^{2 m} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently $\left(x^{m-1} y\right)^{2}=\left(x^{m}\right)^{2}=x^{m}\left(x^{m-1} y\right)$ and thus $x^{m}=x^{m-1} y$. After $m-1$ steps, we obtain $x^{2}=x y$. Similarly from (2), we obtain $y^{2}=x y$ and therefore $x=y$.

Corollary. In the definition of an $N$-semigroup, we can substitute condition (A) by the weaker condition (D).

The proofs of the following statements are either contained in the works mentioned at the beginning of the paper or in [3].

Proposition 2. If S satisfies (B), then it contains at most one idempotent.
Proposition 3. If $S$ satisfies (A) and (B) and does not satisfy (C), then it is a group.
Theorem 1. $S$ contains no prime (proper semiprime) ideals if and only if $S$ satisfies (B).

Theorem 2. Each member of the maximal semilattice decomposition of $S$ satisfies (B).

Theorem 3. $S$ satisfies (D) if and only if $S$ is a semilattice of semigroups each of which satisfies (A).
3. Finitely generated $\mathbf{N}$-semigroups. The set of positive integers under addition is an $N$-semigroup generated by the element 1. It is evident that this is the only cyclic $N$-semigroup. The following theorem establishes a property of $N$-semigroups with a finite number of generators.

Theorem 4. A finitely generated $N$-semigroup $S$ satisfies the following condition:
(E) for every $x, y \in S$, there are natural numbers $p$ and $q$ such that $x^{p}=y^{q}$.

Proof. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be the set of generators of $S$. We first show that condition (E) holds for $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$. We do this by mathematical induction on the number $k$ defined as follows. A power of a fixed generator can be written as a product of powers of any $n-k$ of the remaining generators with the power of any specified generator positive, $1 \leqq k<n$.
The proof for $k=1$. Consider the generator $a_{1}$; the other cases are similar. For $m>1$, we have

$$
a_{1}^{t}=\left(a_{1}^{t_{1}} a_{2}^{t_{2}} \ldots a_{n}^{t_{n}}\right) a_{m}
$$

for some $t, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ with $t>1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}>0$. Here $t>t_{1}$, for otherwise we would arrive at a contradiction after cancellation. Hence

$$
a_{1}^{t-t_{1}}=a_{2}^{t_{2}} \ldots a_{m}^{t+1} \ldots a_{n}^{t_{n}}
$$

Suppose now that the condition stated at the beginning of the proof is satisfied for some $k, 1 \leqq k<n$. We again consider only the case of the generator $a_{1}$, the other cases being similar. We show that the condition in question is also valid for $k+1$. By hypothesis we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}^{p}=a_{k+1}^{p_{k+1}} a_{k+2}^{p_{k+2}} \ldots a_{n}^{p_{n}},  \tag{1}\\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
a_{k+1}^{q}=a_{1}^{q_{1}} a_{k+2}^{q_{k+2}} \ldots a_{n}^{q_{n}}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p_{n}>0$. We obtain

$$
a_{1}^{p q}=a_{k+1}^{p_{k+1} 1 q} a_{k+2}^{p_{k+2} q} \ldots a_{n}^{p_{n} q}=a_{1}^{q_{1} p_{k+1}} a_{k+2}^{q_{k+2} p_{k+1}} \ldots a_{n}^{q_{n} p_{k+1}} a_{k+2}^{p_{k+2} q} \ldots a_{n}^{p_{n} q}
$$

whence

$$
a_{1}^{p q-q_{1} p_{k+1}}=a_{k+2}^{q_{k+2} p_{k+1}+p_{k+2} q} \ldots a_{n}^{q_{n} p_{k+1}+p_{n} q}
$$

since necessarily $p q>q_{1} p_{k+1}$ and also $p_{n} q>0$. The general case is proved by considering in (1) any $n-k$ generators different from $a_{1}$ which merely amounts to a change of notation. This concludes the proof of induction.

We have in particular $a_{1}^{m_{i}}=a_{i}^{s_{i}}$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, n$ where $m_{i}, s_{i}>1$. Let $a_{1}^{k_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots$ $\ldots a_{n}^{k_{n}}, a_{1}^{l_{1}} a_{2}^{l_{2}} \ldots a_{n}^{l_{n}} \in S$ be arbitrary. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(a_{1}^{k_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots a_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)^{s_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n}\left(l_{1} s_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n}+m_{2} l_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n}+\ldots+m_{n} s_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n-1} l_{n}\right.}= \\
=a_{1}^{\left(k_{1} s_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n}+m_{2} k_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n}+\ldots+m_{n} s_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n-1} k_{n}\right)\left(l_{1} s_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n}+m_{2} l_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n}+\ldots+m_{n} s_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n-1} l_{n}\right)}= \\
=\left(a_{1}^{l_{1}} a_{2}^{l_{2}} \ldots a_{n}^{l_{n}}\right)^{s_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n}\left(k_{1} s_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n}+m_{2} k_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n}+\ldots+m_{n} s_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n-1} k_{n}\right.},
\end{gathered}
$$

which completes the proof.
4. $N$-semigroups with two generators. In this section we find the structure and give a concrete realization of $N$-semigroups with two generators. We do not consider $N$-semigroups with more than two generators because the characterization given in this paper becomes too involved in such a case. We first introduce some notations.

Notation. Let $S$ be an $N$-semigroup with two generators, say $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$. Let $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ be the smallest positive integers such that $a_{1}^{m_{1}}=u a_{2}$ and $a_{2}^{m_{2}}=v a_{1}$ for some $u, v \in S$. We suppose that $m_{1} \leqq m_{2}$ and denote $S$ by $N\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$.

Since $S$ is cancellative, $a_{2}$ is a generator of $S$, and $m_{1}$ is minimal, we have $u=a_{2}^{k 2}$ for some $k_{2}>0$, and thus $a_{1}^{m_{1}}=a_{2}^{k_{2}+1}$. Similarly $a_{2}^{m_{2}}=a_{1}^{k_{1}+1}$ for some $k_{1}>0$. By minimality of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}, m_{1} \leqq k_{1}+1$ and $m_{2} \leqq k_{2}+1$. If $k_{2}+1>m_{2}$, then

$$
a_{1}^{m_{1}}=a_{2}^{k_{2}+1}=a_{2}^{m_{2}} a_{2}^{k_{2}+1-m_{2}}=a_{1}^{k_{1}+1} a_{2}^{k_{2}+1-m_{2}}
$$

which is impossible since $m_{1} \leqq k_{1}+1$. Thus $m_{2}=k_{2}+1$, that is, $a_{1}^{m_{1}}=a_{2}^{m_{2}}$.
Notation. Let $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ be integers such that $2 \leqq m_{1} \leqq m_{2}$. A set $S$ will be denoted by $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$-s.g. if

$$
S=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \mid k_{1}=0,1,2, \ldots, \quad k_{2}=0,1,2, \ldots, m_{2}-1, \quad k_{1}+k_{2}>0\right\}
$$

with multiplication

$$
\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=\left(k_{1}+l_{1}+j m_{1}, k_{2}+l_{2}-j m_{2}\right)
$$

where $j$ is the integer such that $0 \leqq k_{2}+l_{2}-j m_{2}<m_{2}$, and $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ implies $k_{1}=l_{1}$ and $k_{2}=l_{2}$.

The following theorem gives a simple characterization of $N$-semigroups with two generators:

Theorem 5. Let $S$ be a set. Then $S=N\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ if and only if $S=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$-s.g.
Proof. We first prove necessity. Thus let $S=N\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ with the generators $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ such that $a_{1}^{m_{1}}=a_{2}^{m_{2}}$. If $x$ is an element of $S$, then $x=a_{1}^{k_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}}$ for some nonnegative integers $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ such that $k_{1}+k_{2}>0$. We have $0 \leqq k_{2}-j m_{2}<m_{2}$ for some non-negative integer $j$, and hence

$$
a_{1}^{k_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}}=a_{1}^{k_{1}} a_{2}^{j m_{2}+\left(k_{2}-j m_{2}\right)}=a_{1}^{k_{1}+j m_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}-j m_{2}} .
$$

Thus every element of $S$ can be written in the form of an element of ( $m_{1}, m_{2}$ )-s.g. with a suitable change of notation. One checks similarly that the multiplication of $S$ coincides with that of ( $m_{1}, m_{2}$ )-s.g. under the restriction that $0 \leqq k_{2}<m_{2}$ where $a_{1}^{k_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}} \in S$. Suppose that $a_{1}^{k_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}}=a_{1}^{l_{1}} a_{2}^{l_{2}}$ with $0 \leqq k_{2}, l_{2}<m_{2}$. If $k_{1}>l_{1}$, then $a_{1}^{k_{1}-l_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}}=a_{2}^{l_{2}}$ and thus necessarily $k_{2}<l_{2}$. Consequently $a_{1}^{k_{1}-l_{1}}=a_{2}^{l_{2}-k_{2}}$ and thus $l_{2}-k_{2} \geqq m_{2}$ by minimality of $m_{2}$. But this contradicts the hypothesis that $l_{2}<m_{2}$. The case $k_{1}<l_{1}$ is symmetric. Hence $k_{1}=l_{1}$ and consequently $k_{2}=l_{2}$. Therefore $S=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$-s.g.

We next prove sufficiency. Let $S=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$-s.g. It is clear that $S$ is closed under its multiplication and is commutative. We verify the postulates for $N\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$.

Associativity. It is easily seen that both $\left[\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)\right]\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ and $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. . $\left[\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\right]$ are equal to $\left(k_{1}+l_{1}+r_{1}+i m_{1}, k_{2}+l_{2}+r_{2}-i m_{2}\right)$ where $i$ is the integer such that $0 \leqq k_{2}+l_{2}+r_{2}-i m_{2}<m_{2}$. Hence the associative law holds.

Condition (A). If $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$, then

$$
\left(k_{1}+r_{1}+i m_{1}, k_{2}+r_{2}-i m_{2}\right)=\left(l_{1}+r_{1}+j m, l_{2}+r_{2}-j m_{2}\right)
$$

where $i$ and $j$ are the integers such that $0 \leqq k_{2}+r_{2}-i m_{2}<m_{2}$ and $0 \leqq l_{2}+$ $+r_{2}-j m_{2}<m_{2}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}+r_{1}+i m_{1}=l_{1}+r_{1}+j m_{1}  \tag{1}\\
& k_{2}+r_{2}-i m_{2}=l_{2}+r_{2}-j m_{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2) we obtain $k_{2}-l_{2}=(i-j) m_{2}$ which implies that $i=j$ and consequently $k_{2}=l_{2}$. But $i=j$ in (1) yields $k_{1}=l_{1}$. Therefore $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ and the cancellation law holds in $S$.

Condition (B). Now let $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ and ( $l_{1}, l_{2}$ ) be any elements of $S$. If $k_{1}>0$, then let $n=m_{1}+l_{1}+1$ and $q$ be the non-negative integer such that $0 \leqq n k_{2}-$ $-m_{2} q<m_{2}$. If $k_{1}=0$, then let $q=m_{1}+l_{1}+1$ and $n$ be the integer satisfying the inequality $m_{2} q / k_{2} \leqq n<\left(m_{2} q / k_{2}\right)+1$ (in this case $k_{2}>0$ ). Moreover let

$$
j=\left\langle\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } \quad n k_{2}-m_{2} q-l_{2}<0 \\
0 & \text { if } \quad n k_{2}-m_{2} q-l_{2} \geqq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows easily from the definitions of $n, q$, and $j$ that
(a) $n k_{1}+m_{1} q-l_{1}-j m_{1}>\left(m_{1}+l_{1}\right)-l_{1}-j m_{1} \geqq 0$;
(b) $n k_{1}+m_{1} q>0$;
(c) $0 \leqq n k_{2}-m_{2} q<m_{2}$;
(d) $0 \leqq n k_{2}-m_{2} q-l_{2}+j m_{2}<m_{2}$.

We therefore have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(n k_{1}+m_{1} q-l_{1}-j m_{1}, n k_{2}-m_{2} q-l_{2}+j m_{2}\right)\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)= \\
=\left(n k_{1}+m_{1} q, n k_{2}-m_{2} q\right)=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)^{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Condition (C). Suppose now that $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ for some $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in S$. Then

$$
\left(2 k_{1}+j m, 2 k_{2}-j m_{2}\right)=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)
$$

where $j$ is the integer such that $0 \leqq 2 k_{2}-j m_{2}<m_{2}$. Hence $2 k_{1}+j m_{1}=k_{1}$ and $2 k_{2}-j m_{2}=k_{2}$. Consequently $k_{2}-j m_{2}=0$ which implies that $j=0$ and hence also $k_{2}=0$. But $j=0$ in the first equation yields $k_{1}=0$, which is impossible. Therefore $S$ has no idempotents.
$S=N\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$. We have already proved that $S$ is an $N$-semigroup. It is clear that $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ are the generators of $S$. Let $n$ be the smallest positive integer such that $(1,0)^{n}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)(0,1)$ for some $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in S$. Then $k_{1}=0$ by minimality of $n$. Hence $(1,0)^{n}=\left(0, k_{2}\right)(0,1)$ and thus $(n, 0)=\left(j m_{1}, k_{2}+1-j m_{2}\right)$ where $j$ is the integer such that $0 \leqq k_{2}+1-j m_{2}<m_{2}$. Therefore $n=j m_{1}$ and $0=k_{2}+1-$ $-j m_{2}$. Consequently $j=1$ and thus $\mathrm{n}=m_{1}$ and $k_{2}=m_{2}-1$.

Similarly we see that if $n$ is the smallest positive integer such that $(0,1)^{n}=$ $=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)(1,0)$ for some $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in S$, then $n=m_{2}$. Since $m_{1} \leqq m_{2}$, we have proved that $S=N\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$.

Remark. From the definition of ( $m_{1}, m_{2}$ )-s.g. it follows easily that ( $m_{1}, m_{2}$ )-s.g. is isomorphic to the free commutative semigroup on two generators, say $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, with the defining relation $a_{1}^{m_{1}}=a_{2}^{m_{2}}$. This furnishes a second characterization of $N\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ by virtue of Theorem 5.

We next give a concrete realization of ( $m_{1}, m_{2}$ )-s.g. First we introduce some notation.

Notation. For integers $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ such that $2 \leqq m_{1} \leqq m_{2}$, let $C\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ be the subsemigroup of the group of non-zero complex numbers, generated by the two elements

$$
a_{1}=2^{1 / m_{1}} e^{(2 \pi i) / m_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{2}=2^{1 / m_{2}} e^{(4 \pi i) / m_{2}}
$$

Then we have the following result:
Theorem 6. The semigroups $C\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$-s.g. are isomorphic.
Proof. First note that $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$ because $2 \leqq m_{1} \leqq m_{2}$. Since $C\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ is a subsemigroup of a commutative group (non-zero complex numbers), by the remark above it suffices to show that $a_{1}^{k_{1}}=a_{2}^{k_{2}}$ implies $k_{1} / k_{2}=m_{1} / m_{2}$ and $k_{1} \geqq m_{1}$. If $a_{1}^{k_{1}}=a_{2}^{k_{2}}$, that is,

$$
2^{k_{1} / m_{1}} e^{\left[(2 \pi i) /\left(m_{1}\right)\right] k_{1}}=2^{k_{2} / m_{2}} e^{\left[(4 \pi i) /\left(m_{2}\right)\right] k_{2}},
$$

then by equating moduli, we obtain $k_{1} / m_{1}=k_{2} / m_{2}$. But then

$$
e^{2 \pi i\left[2\left(k_{1} / m_{1}\right)-\left(k_{1} / m_{1}\right)\right]}=1
$$

and consequently $k_{1} \geqq m_{1}$. The theorem follows.
The following is essentially a resume of some results of this section:
Theorem 7. The semigroup $\dot{C}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ is an $N$-semigroup with two-generators and $C\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=C\left(m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ only if $m_{1}=m_{1}^{\prime}$ and $m_{2}=m_{2}^{\prime}$. Conversely, every $N$ semigroup with two generators is isomorphic to the semigroup $C\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ for some (unique) integers $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}, 2 \leqq m_{1} \leqq m_{2}$.
5. Classification and examples of $N$-semigroups. We classify $N$-semigroups according to whether they satisfy condition (E) of Theorem 4, whether they are finitely generated, and whether they contain indecomposable elements. We say that an element $x$ of $S$ is indecomposable if $x \neq y z$ for all $y, z \in S$. We also give an example
for each group of $N$-semigroups. All the examples given are subsemigroups of the additive semigroup of positive real numbers. The numbers in brackets denote the generators of $S$; the letter $a$ denotes any positive real number.
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## Резюме

## О СТРОЕНИИ ОПРЕДЕЛЕННОГО КЛАССА КОММУТАТИВНЫХ ПОЛУГРУПП

МАРИО ПЕТРИХ (Mario Petrich), Мариланд (США)

Коммутативная полугруппа $S$ называется $N$-полугруппой, если 1 . В $S$ имеет место правило сокращения; 2. для каждой пары $x, y \in S$ существует $a \in S$ и целое число $n>0$ так, что $x^{n}=a y ; 3 . S$ не имеет идемпотентов. Работа посвящена изучению строения $N$-полугрупп. Именно, описана структура всех $N$-полугрупп, обладающих двумя генераторами.

