# Aleksander V. Arhangel'skii On closed maps, increasing dimension

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 18 (1968), No. 3, 389-391

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100840

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1968

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

#### ЧЕХОСЛОВАЦКИЙ МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЙ ЖУРНАЛ

Математический институт Чехословацкой Академии наук Т. 18 (93) ПРАГА 8. 10. 1968 г., No 3

### ON CLOSED MAPS, INCREASING DIMENSION

A. ARHANGELSKIJ, MOSCOW

(Received October 4, 1966)

The history of the question one can find in the survey [1], written by P. S. ALE-XANDROFF. Throughout the present article  $f: X \to Y$  will be a closed continuous map from one normal space onto the other with dim  $X < \dim Y < \infty$ .<sup>1</sup>) The main object of our interest will be the set  $NT = \{y \in Y: f^{-1}y \text{ is not a single point}\}$ . The points of the set NT will be said to be the points of non-triviality of the map. The question is: how many points of non-triviality one can find in Y in the situation just described? Recently G. SCORDEV has proved that if X is a paracompact space and dim  $f^{-1}y = 0$  for all  $y \in Y$ , then  $rd_Y NT \ge \dim Y - 1$ . Recall that  $rd_Y A$ , where A is a subset of Y, is defined as sup  $\{\dim A' : A' \subseteq A \text{ and } A' \text{ is closed in } Y\}$ . The proof of this assertion very essentially depends on some algebraic constructions based on the spectral sequence of the map<sup>2</sup>). Here is the point, where paracompactness of X and the second condition are very essential. We drop both these conditions and get a final theorem, using some purely topological and quite understandable technics.

**Theorem.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a closed continuous map from a normal space X onto some normal space Y. Suppose further that dim  $X < \infty$ , dim  $Y < \infty$ . Then  $rd_Y NT \ge \dim Y - \dim X - 1.^3$ )

When dim X = 0, this result is better than the Scordev's one: we need not suppose that the space X is paracompact.

Now we shall clarify some notation which will be used in the proof.

Let  $\eta$  be a finite covering of the space X and let y be any point of Y. The least number of elements of the covering  $\eta$  the union of which contains  $f^{-1}y$  is said to be the *index of f in y relative to*  $\eta$ , and it is written as  $I(f, \eta, y)$ , or, briefly, I(y).

Put  $Y(\eta) = \{y \in Y : I(f, \eta, y) \ge 2\}$ . It is easy to see that  $NT \supseteq Y(\eta)$ . As the map f

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Throughout the paper dim is to be understood as the covering dimension of the space under consideration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) The proof has not been published yet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>) The well known map of the Cantor set onto the unite segment shows that the inequality cannot be strengthened.

is closed and continuous, the set  $Y(\eta)$  is closed in Y. Now we are in a position to prove the theorem.

Proof. By the definition of dim, there exists an open finite covering  $\xi$  of the space Y such that there is no open finite refinement of  $\xi$  the order of which is less than (dim Y + 1). Put  $f^{-1}\xi = \{f^{-1}A : A \in \xi\}$ .

Clearly,  $f^{-1}\xi$  is an open finite covering of X. Let  $\eta$  be any finite open refinement of  $f^{-1}\xi$ , the order of which is less or equal to  $(\dim X + 1)$ . Let us take any open subset U of the space X and put  $f^*U = Y \setminus f(X \setminus U)$ . The set  $f^*U$  is open in Y and  $f^{-1}(f^*U) \subset U$ . Consider the family  $f^*\eta = \{f^*U : U \in \eta\}$ . Obviously, each element of

the family is contained in some member of  $\xi$ . If  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{i} f^*U_i$ , then

$$f^{-1}y \subset f^{-1}(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} f^*U_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} f^{-1}(f^*U_i) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} U_i.$$

Hence, the order of the family  $f^*\eta$  is not bigger than the order of  $\eta$  on X, and the last is less or equal to  $(\dim X + 1)$ . It follows from the definitions that the set of all points of Y which are not covered by  $f^*\eta$  coincides with  $Y(\eta)$ . Suppose that

$$\dim Y(\eta) < \dim Y - \dim X - 1$$

and let us find a contradiction. Pick a closed finite covering  $\lambda$  of  $Y(\eta)$  with the order  $\leq \dim Y - \dim X - 1$  the elements of which are contained in elements of  $\xi$ . Now, using the normality of Y we can extend  $\lambda$  into a family  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of open subsets of Y, each of which is smaller than some element of  $\xi$ , such that the order of  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is not bigger than the order of  $\lambda$ . Then  $\xi^* = f^*\eta \cup \tilde{\lambda}$  is an open finite covering of Y refining  $\xi$ , and, clearly,

order 
$$\xi^* \leq \text{order } f^*\eta + \text{order } \tilde{\lambda} \leq$$
  
$$\leq \dim X + 1 + \dim Y - \dim X - 1 = \dim Y < \dim Y + 1$$

Here is the contradiction, which completes the proof.

A problem. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a closed continuous map of a normal zerodimensional (finite-dimensional, countably dimensional) space onto an uncountably dimensional normal space Y. Is it true that  $rd_Y NT$  is not countable? A weaker question: in the situation just described, is it true that  $rd_Y NT$  is uncountably dimensional? I have proved that the answer on the first question is in affirmative when X is a metric space or when X is a continuous image of a separable metric space [2].

Another problem. Put  $NT_2 = \{y \in Y : f^{-1}y \text{ contains more than two points}\}$ , and define  $NT_k$  for any positive integer k in the obvious way. Is it true that in the situation under consideration

$$\operatorname{rd}_{Y} NT_{k} \geq \dim Y - \dim X - k?$$

390

## References

- [1] П. С. Александров: О некоторых результатах в теории топологических пространств, полученных за последние 25 лет, УМН 15, (1960), 25—95.
- [2] А. В. Архангельский: О замкнутых отображениях, бикомпактных множествах и одной задаче П. С. Александрова, Матем. сб. 69 (111) (1966), 13—34.

Author's address: Московский государственный университет, кафедра геометрии и топологии, Москва В — 234, СССР.