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## ON A CLASS OF FUNCTIONS WITH STARSHAPED IMAGES

R. M. Goel, Patiala
(Received February 2, 1968)

1. Introduction. Let $S$ be the class of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{2}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

regular in $|z|<1$ and satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right]>\frac{1}{2} \text { for }|z|<1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [1] Wu Zwao-Jen proved the following theorem.
Theorem A. If $f(z) \in S$, then any section

$$
f_{n}(z)=z+b_{2} z^{2}+\ldots+b_{n} z^{n} \quad(n \geqq 2)
$$

of $f(z)$ is starshaped in $|z|<\frac{1}{2}$ for $n \neq 3,4,5$.
Later in [2] it was shown that the above theorem holds for $n=3$.
Thus is has yet to be proved that Theorem A holds for $n=4,5$.
The purpose pf this paper is to show that the statement is true for $n=4,5$. We shall need the following Lemma
2. Lemma. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{2}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \in S$, then the coefficients satisfy the following
equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{2} & =b  \tag{3}\\
2 b_{3} & =2 b^{2}+e_{1}\left(1-|b|^{2}\right)  \tag{4}\\
6 b_{4} & =4 b^{3}+3 e_{1} b\left(1-|b|^{2}\right)+2 e_{2}  \tag{5}\\
24 b_{5} & =10 b^{4}+12 b^{2}\left(1-|b|^{2}\right) e_{1}+3\left(1-|b|^{2}\right)^{2} e_{1}^{2}+8 b e_{2}+6 e_{3} \\
& \quad|b| \leqq 1, \quad\left|e_{n}\right| \leqq 1 \text { for } n=1,2,3 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
G(z)=\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1
$$

then $G(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re}[G(z)]>0$ for $|z|<1$. Hence, by Caratheodory - Toeplitz's theorem we can put

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1 & =\frac{2\left(1+2 b_{2} z+3 b_{3} z^{2}+4 b_{4} z^{3}+5 b_{5} z^{4}+\ldots\right)}{\left(1+b_{2} z+b_{3} z^{2}+b_{4} z^{3}+b_{5} z^{4}+\ldots\right)}-1=  \tag{7}\\
& =1+\delta_{1} z+\delta_{2} z^{2}+\delta_{3} z^{3}+\delta_{4} z^{4}+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{1}\right| \leqq 2, \quad\left|2 \delta_{2}-\delta_{1}\right| \leqq 4-\left|\delta_{1}\right|^{2}, \quad\left|\delta_{3}\right| \leqq 2, \quad\left|\delta_{4}\right| \leqq 2 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating coefficients of $z, z^{2}, z^{3}, z^{4}$ on both sides of (7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 b_{2}=\delta_{1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 b_{3}=b_{2} \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}  \tag{10}\\
& 6 b_{4}=b_{3} \delta_{1}+b_{2} \delta_{2}+\delta_{3}  \tag{11}\\
& 8 b_{5}=b_{4} \delta_{1}+b_{3} \delta_{2}+b_{2} \delta_{3}+\delta_{4} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

By the second inequality of (8) we can put

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \delta_{2}-\delta_{1}^{2}=e_{1}\left(4-\left|\delta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \quad\left(\left|e_{1}\right| \leqq 1\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\delta_{1}=2 b(|b| \leqq 1), \delta_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} e_{1}\left(4-\left|\delta_{1}\right|^{2}\right), \delta_{3}=2 e_{2}\left(\left|e_{2}\right| \leqq 1\right)$ and $\delta_{4}=$ $=2 e_{3}\left(\left|e_{3}\right| \leqq 1\right)$ in (9), (10), (11) and (12) we get (3), (4), (5) and (6).
3. Proof of theorem a for $n=4$.

We shall show that $\operatorname{Re}\left[z f_{4}^{\prime}(z) \mid f_{4}(z)\right]>0$ for $|z|<\frac{1}{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z f_{4}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{4}(z)}\right] & =\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1+2 b_{2} z+3 b_{3} z^{2}+4 b_{4} z^{3}}{1+b_{2} z+b_{3} z^{2}+b_{4} z^{3}}\right]= \\
& =2-\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1-b_{3} z^{2}-2 b_{4} z^{3}}{1+b_{2} z+b_{3} z^{2}+b_{4} z^{3}}\right] \geqq \\
& \geqq 2-\left|\frac{1-b_{3} z^{2}-2 b_{4} z^{3}}{1+b_{2} z+b_{3} z^{2}+b_{4} z^{3}}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that the denominator never vanishes. Hence, by the principle of minimum for harmonic functions, we have only to prove that $\operatorname{Re}\left[z f_{4}^{\prime}(z) / f_{4}(z)\right]>0$ for $|z|=\frac{1}{2}$. By considering $\bar{\varepsilon} f(\varepsilon z)$ in place of $f(z)$ with a suitable $\varepsilon(|\varepsilon|=1)$, the proof is
reduced to the case $z=\frac{1}{2}$. Thus it is sufficient to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{4-b_{3}-b_{4}}{8+4 b_{2}+2 b_{3}+b_{4}}\right|<1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3), (4) and (5)

$$
\left|\frac{4-b_{3}-b_{4}}{8+4 b_{2}+2 b_{3}+b_{4}}\right|=\left|\frac{4-b^{2}-\frac{2}{3} b^{3}-\frac{1}{2} e_{1}(1+b)\left(1-|b|^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{3} e_{2}}{8+4 b+2 b^{2}+\frac{2}{3} b^{3}+e_{1}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} b\right)\left(1-|b|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{3} e_{2}}\right| .
$$

Again, by the principle of minimum it is sufficient to prove (14) with $|b|=1,\left|e_{1}\right|=1$, $\left|e_{2}\right|=1$. Thus we see that the inequality (14) is satisfied if

$$
2\left|12+6 b+3 b^{2}+b^{3}\right|-\left|12-3 b^{2}-2 b^{3}\right|-2>0, \quad(|b|=1) .
$$

On putting $\operatorname{Re} b=x$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(x)=2\left(106+114 x+168 x^{2}+96 x^{3}\right)^{1 / 2}-  \tag{15}\\
-\left(229+156 x-144 x^{2}-192 x^{3}\right)^{1 / 2}-2>0, \quad(-1 \leqq x \leqq 1)
\end{gather*}
$$

Differentiating (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{6\left(19+56 x+48 x^{2}\right)}{\left(106+114 x+168 x^{2}+96 x^{3}\right)^{1 / 2}}-  \tag{16}\\
& -\frac{6\left(13-24 x-48 x^{2}\right)}{\left(229+156 x-144 x^{2}-192 x^{3}\right)^{1 / 2}}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{\prime \prime}(x) & =\frac{6\left(4853+10176 x+5472 x^{2}+5376 x^{3}+2304 x^{4}\right)}{\left(106+114 x+168 x^{2}+96 x^{3}\right)^{3 / 2}}+  \tag{17}\\
& +\frac{6\left(6510+21984 x+7488 x^{2}-4608 x^{3}-4608 x^{4}\right)}{\left(229+156 x-144 x^{2}-192 x^{3}\right)^{3 / 2}}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that $P^{\prime}(x)>0$ for $0 \leqq x \leqq 1$ and $P^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $-1 \leqq x \leqq-\frac{1}{4}$. Consequently, the minimum value of $P(x)$ for $-1 \leqq x \leqq 1$ is attained in the interval $-\frac{1}{4}<x<0$. Moreover, from (17) we find by an easy calculation that $P^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ for $-\frac{1}{4} \leqq x \leqq 0$. From (15) we have

$$
P\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)=2 \sqrt{ }(86 \cdot 5)-\sqrt{ }(184)-2=3.04
$$

and from (16)

$$
P^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)=\frac{48}{\sqrt{ } 86 \cdot 5}-\frac{96}{\sqrt{ } 184}=-1 \cdot 91 .
$$

For $-\frac{1}{4} \leqq x \leqq 0$, noticing $P^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$, we have by Taylor's theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)>P\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)-\left(-\frac{1}{4}-x\right) P^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $x=0$ in (18) we get

$$
\operatorname{Min}_{-1 \leqq x \leqq 1} P(x)>P\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{1}{4} P^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)=3.04-\frac{1 \cdot 91}{4}>0 .
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem when $n=4$.
4. Proof of the theorem a for $n=5$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z f_{5}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{5}(z)}\right] & =\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1+2 b_{2} z+3 b_{3} z^{2}+4 b_{4} z^{3}+5 b_{5} z^{4}}{1+b_{2} z+b_{3} z^{2}+b_{4} z^{3}+b_{5} z^{4}}\right]= \\
& =2-\left[\frac{1-b_{3} z^{2}-2 b_{4} z^{3}-3 b_{5} z^{4}}{1+b_{2} z+b_{3} z^{2}+b_{4} z^{3}+b_{5} z^{4}}\right]= \\
& =2-\left|\frac{1-b_{3} z^{2}-2 b_{4} z^{3}-3 b_{5} z^{4}}{1+b_{2} z+b_{3} z^{2}+b_{4} z^{3}+b_{5} z^{4}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the case $n=4$, we may prove reducing the case to that with $z=\frac{1}{2}$. Thus it is sufficient to prove

$$
\left|\frac{16-4 b_{3}-4 b_{4}-3 b_{5}}{16+8 b_{2}+4 b_{3}+2 b_{4}+b_{5}}\right|<2 .
$$

On using (3), (4), (5) and (6) the above inequality reduces to

$$
\begin{gathered}
2\left|192+96 b+48 b^{2}+16 b^{3}+5 b^{4}\right|- \\
-\left|192-48 b^{2}-32 b^{3}-15 b^{4}\right|-67>0, \quad(|b|=1)
\end{gathered}
$$

On putting $\operatorname{Re} b=x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=2\left(28601+26464 x+28608 x^{2}+28416 x^{3}+15360 x^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}- \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$-\left(51649+40896 x+12096 x^{2}-49152 x^{3}-46080 x^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}-67>0, \quad(-1 \leqq x \leqq 1)$.
Differentiating (19) we obtain
(20) $Q^{\prime}(x)=\frac{32\left(827+1788 x+2664 x^{2}+1920 x^{3}\right)}{\left(28601+26464 x+28608 x^{2}+28416 x^{3}+15360 x^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}}-$

$$
-\frac{288\left(71+42 x-256 x^{2}-320 x^{3}\right)}{\left(51649+40896 x+12096 x^{2}-49152 x^{3}-46080 x^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
Q^{\prime \prime}(x)= & 1536\left(\frac{10048931}{12}+3174711 x+4900872 x^{2}+3175616 x^{3}+\right.  \tag{21}\\
& \left.+2505024 x^{4}+1704960 x^{5}+614400 x^{6}\right)(28601+26464 x+ \\
& \left.+28608 x^{2}+28416 x^{3}+15360 x^{4}\right)^{-3 / 2}-18432\left(\frac{358725}{32}-\right. \\
& -413192 x-938319 x^{2}-441216 x^{3}+7584 x^{4}+368640 x^{5}+ \\
& \left.+230400 x^{6}\right)\left(51649+40896 x+12096 x^{2}-49152 x^{3}-46080 x^{4}\right)^{-3 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that $Q^{\prime}(x)>0$ for $-\frac{1}{2} \leqq x \leqq 1$ and $Q^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $-1 \leqq x \leqq-\frac{3}{4}$. Consequently, the minimum value of $Q(x)$ for $-1 \leqq x \leqq 1$ is attained in the interval $-\frac{3}{4}<x<-\frac{1}{2}$. Moreover from (21) we find by an easy calculation that $Q^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ for $-\frac{3}{4} \leqq x \leqq-\frac{1}{2}$.

From (19) we have

$$
Q\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=2 \sqrt{ }(19929)-\sqrt{ }(37449)-67=21 \cdot 83,
$$

and from (20)

$$
Q^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{11488}{\sqrt{ }(19929)}-\frac{7488}{\sqrt{ }(37449)}=42.68 .
$$

For $-\frac{3}{4} \leqq x \leqq-\frac{1}{2}$, noticing $Q^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$, we have by Taylor's theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)>Q\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\left(-\frac{1}{2}-x\right) Q^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $x=-\frac{3}{4}$ in (22), we get

$$
\operatorname{Min}_{-1 \leqq x \leqq 1} Q(x)>Q\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4} Q^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=21 \cdot 83-\frac{42 \cdot 68}{4}>0 .
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
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