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# NEAR DOMAINS AS LINEAR PSEUDO TERNARIES 

Václay Havel, Brno

(Received March 2, 1970)
H. Karzel investigated in [2], $\S 11$ near domains with regard to sharply doubly transitive permutation groups. The purpose of the present Note is to characterize near domains as coordinatizing 3-groupoids of certain pseudo planes (pseudo planes were introduced by R. Sandler in [3], p. 301). This topic is a generalization of the classical considerations of M. Hall presented in [1], chap. IV, §3.

By a 3-groupoid we mean a non-void set $S$ together with a ternary operation $\tau: S^{3} \rightarrow S$. A 3-groupoid ( $S, \tau$ ) is called a pseudo ternary (cf. [3], p. 304) if two elements $0 \neq 1$ of $S$ are distinguished such that $\tau(a, 0, b)=\tau(0, a, b)=b, \tau(1, a, 0)=$ $\tau(a, 1,0)=a \quad \forall a, b \in S$ and if to any

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ ( b , c , d ) \in ( S \backslash \{ 0 \} ) \times S ^ { 2 } } \\
{ ( a , c , d ) \in ( S \backslash \{ 0 \} ) \times S ^ { 2 } } \\
{ ( a , b , d ) \in S ^ { 3 } }
\end{array} \text { there exists just one } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \in S \\
b \in S \\
c \in S
\end{array}\right.\right. \text { satisfying }
$$

$\tau(a, b, c)=d$.
If there is given a pseudo ternary $(S, \tau)$ then define binary operations $+_{\tau}: S^{2} \rightarrow S$, $\cdot_{\tau}: S^{2} \rightarrow S$ by the rules $a+_{\tau} b:=\tau(a, 1, b), a{ }_{\tau} b:=\tau(a, b, 0) \forall a, b \in S$. A pseudo ternary $(S, \tau)$ is said to be linear if $\tau(a, b, c)=\left(a \cdot{ }_{\tau} b\right)+{ }_{\tau} c \quad \forall a, b, c \in S$. If $T=(S, \tau)$ is a pseudo ternary then define for any $(u, v) \in(S \backslash\{0\}) \times S$ the permutation $\sigma_{u, v}$ of $S$ by the rule $\sigma_{u, v}(x)=\tau(x, u, v) \forall x \in S$. Further put $\Sigma_{T}:=\left\{\sigma_{u, v} \mid(u, v) \in\right.$ $\in(S \backslash\{0\}) \times S\}$. Let us remark that $\sigma_{u_{1}, v_{1}} \neq \sigma_{u_{2}, v_{2}}$ if $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \neq\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$. Finally let us introduce the notation $\leftarrow a, \rightarrow a$ for the solutions of $x+a=0$ and $a+y=0$ according to a given loop $(S,+)$ with neutral element 0

Begin with two simple assertions: Let $T=(S, \tau)$ be a linear pseudo ternary. Then ( $\Sigma_{T}, \circ$ ) is a semigroup (where $\circ$ is the usual composition of maps) if and only if to any $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right),\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right) \in(S \backslash\{0\}) \times S$ there exists a (unique) $\left(u_{3}, v_{3}\right) \in(S \backslash\{0\}) \times$ $\times S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\left(x \cdot_{\tau} u_{1}\right)+{ }_{\tau} v_{1}\right) \cdot{ }_{\tau} u_{2}\right)+{ }_{\tau} v_{2}=\left(x \cdot \tau u_{3}\right)+{ }_{\tau} v_{3} \quad \forall x \in S \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The proof is simple and will be omitted.)
If $T=(S, \tau)$ is a linear pseudo ternary such that $\left(\Sigma_{T}, \circ\right)$ is a semigroup then ( $S \backslash\{0\},{ }_{\tau}$ ) is a group.

Proof. Using (1) for $v_{1}=v_{2}=0$ we get $\left(x{ }_{\tau} u_{1}\right) \cdot{ }_{\tau} u_{2}=x{ }_{\tau} u_{3}+{ }_{\tau} v_{3}$. Putting $x=0$ we conclude $v_{3}=0$ whereas $x=1$ yields $u_{1}{ }_{\tau} u_{2}=u_{3}$. Thus $\left(x{ }_{\tau} u_{1}\right) \cdot{ }_{\tau} u_{2}=$ $=x \cdot_{\tau}\left(u_{1}{ }_{\tau} u_{2}\right)$. But $\left(S \backslash\{0\},{ }_{\tau}\right)$ is a loop so that it is even a group. Q.E.D.

Recall that a near domain ([2], p. 123) is defined as a triple $(S,+,$.$) having the$ following properties
(i) $(S,+)$ is a loop with the neutral element 0 ,
(ii) $(S \backslash\{0\},$.$) is a group with the neutral element 1$,
(iii) $(a+b) \cdot c=a \cdot c+b \cdot c \quad \forall a, b, c \in S$,
(iv) $a .0:=0,0 . a:=0 \quad \forall a \in S$,
(v) $(a+b)+c=\left(a \cdot d_{b, c}\right)+(b+c) \quad \forall a, b, c \in S$ where $d_{b, c}$ is the solution of $(1+b)+c=x+(b+c)$,
(vi) $(1+a)+(\rightarrow a)=1 \forall a \in S$.

If $D=(S,+,$.$) is a near domain then denote by \sigma_{u, v}$ the permutation of $S$ determined by $\sigma_{u, v}(x):=(x . u)+v \quad \forall x \in S$ for any given $(u, v) \in(S \backslash\{0\}) \times S$. Further put $\Sigma_{D}:=\left\{\sigma_{u, v} \mid(u, v) \in(S \backslash\{0\}) \times S\right\}$.

Remark that for any near domain $(S,+,),. \leftarrow a=\rightarrow a$ holds for all $a \in S$ so that we can use a simpler notation $-a$. Further it can be proved that $(-a) \cdot b=$ $=a .(-b)=-(a . b) \quad \forall a, b \in S$.

As there is shown in [2], pp. $124-125$ for any near domain $D=(S,+,$.$) ,$ ( $\Sigma_{D}, \circ$ ) is a sharply doubly transitive permutation group on $S$ and conversely, each sharply doubly transitive permutation group $G$ on a set $S$ (with at least two elements) determines a unique near domain $D$ such that $\left(\Sigma_{D}, \circ\right)=G$.

Theorem 1. If $T=(S, \tau)$ is a linear pseudo ternary such that $\left(\Sigma_{T}, \circ\right)$ is a semigroup and that for $+:=+_{\tau}, \cdot:={ }_{\tau}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\rightarrow 1, v}^{2}=i d \quad \forall v \in S  \tag{2}\\
& \sigma_{u, 0}^{2}=i d \text { for } u \neq 1 \text { implies } u=\rightarrow 1, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

then $(S,+,$.$) is a near domain.$
Proof. Rewrite (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(((x .(\rightarrow 1))+v) \cdot(\rightarrow 1))+v=x \quad \forall v, x \in S . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting here $v=x=0$ we get $(\rightarrow 1) .(\rightarrow 1)=1$. Similarly, for $v=x=1$ we obtain $(((\rightarrow 1)+1) .(\rightarrow 1))+1=1$ which implies $\rightarrow 1=\leftarrow 1=:-1$. Let $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right),\left(1, v_{2}\right) \in$ $\in(S \backslash\{0\}) \times S$ so that there is a unique $u_{3} \in S \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x \cdot u_{1}\right)+v_{1}\right)+v_{2}=\left(x \cdot u_{3}\right)+\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right) \quad \forall x \in S . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x=u_{1}^{-1}$ we obtain $\left(1+v_{1}\right)+v_{2}=\left(u_{1}^{-1} \cdot u_{3}\right)+\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)$, i.e., $u_{3}=u_{1} \cdot d_{v_{1}, v_{2}}$ and $(\mathrm{v})$ is fulfilled. If $1 \neq-1$ then for each $a \in S \backslash\{0\}$ we obtain $a \cdot(-1) \cdot a^{-1} \neq 1$ and $\sigma_{a \cdot(-1) \cdot a-1}^{2}=i d$ so that by (3) $a \cdot(-1) \cdot a^{-1}=-1$ and consequently $a \cdot(-1)=$ $=(-1) \cdot a$. This last equation is trivial for $a=0$ and also for all $a \in S$ if $1=-1$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \cdot(-1)=(-1) \cdot a \quad \forall a \in S . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4) for $x=v$ we obtain $(((v \cdot(-1))+v) \cdot(-1))+v=1$ so that $v \cdot(-1)=\leftarrow v$ for all $v \in S$. Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\leftarrow a) \cdot b=a \cdot(\leftarrow b)=\leftarrow(a \cdot b) \quad \forall a, b \in S \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $\left(1, v_{1}\right),\left(u_{2}, 0\right) \in(S \backslash\{0\}) \times S$. So there is a unique $\left(u_{3}, v_{3}\right) \in(S \backslash\{0\}) \times S$ such that $\left(x+v_{1}\right) \cdot u_{2}=x \cdot u_{3}+v_{3} \quad \forall x \in S$. If we choose $x=0$ then $v_{3}=v_{1} \cdot u_{2}$ whereas $x=\leftarrow v_{1}$ yields $\left(\left(\leftarrow v_{1}\right) \cdot u_{3}\right)+\left(v_{1} \cdot u_{2}\right)=0$, i.e., $\left(\leftarrow v_{1}\right) \cdot u_{3}=\leftarrow\left(v_{1} \cdot u_{2}\right)$. Therefore by (7) $\left(\leftarrow v_{1}\right) \cdot u_{3}=\left(\leftarrow v_{1}\right) \cdot u_{2}$ and consequently $u_{3}=u_{2}$. Thus the distributive law (iii) holds. More generally, the preceding investigations in connexion with (1) yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\left(\left(x \cdot u_{1}\right)+v_{1}\right) \cdot u_{2}\right)+v_{2}=\left(x \cdot\left(u_{1} \cdot u_{2}\right)\right)+\left(v_{1} \cdot u_{2}+v_{2}\right)  \tag{8}\\
\forall x, u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2} \in S .
\end{gather*}
$$

Now $0=0 \cdot(-1)=(a \cdot(-1)+a) \cdot(-1)=a+(\leftarrow a)$ so that $\leftarrow a=\rightarrow a:=-a$ for all $a \in S$. Using (8) for $u_{1}=u_{2}=x=1, v_{2}=-v_{1}$ we verify (vi). Q.E.D.

If a linear pseudo ternary $T=(S, \tau)$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 1 then by the results of Karzel mentioned above ( $\Sigma_{T}, \circ$ ) is a group and for any $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$, $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in(S \backslash\{0\}) \times S$ with $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$ there is precisely one $(u, v) \in(S \backslash\{0\}) \times S$ satisfying $\tau\left(x_{i}, u, v\right)=y_{i}, i=1,2$.

Theorem 2. For any near domain $D=(S,+,$.$) there is just one linear pseudo$ ternary $(S, \tau)$ such that $+=+_{\tau}, \cdot={ }_{\tau}$, that $\left(\Sigma_{D}, \circ\right)$ is a semigroup and (2), (3) hold.

Proof. Define $\tau: S^{3} \rightarrow S$ by the rule $\tau(a, b, c):=(a . b)+c \forall a, b, c \in S$. As immediate consequences of near domain properties (i) to (vi) we get that ( $S, \tau$ ) is a linear pseudo ternary such that $+_{\tau}=+,{ }_{\tau}=\cdot$, that $\left(\Sigma_{D}, \circ\right)$ is a semigroup and that (2) is valid. The only non-trivial assertion is the validity of the remaining condition (3). This can be deduced as follows. By [2], pp. 126-128 $\{\{(x, y) \mid y=$ $\left.\left.=\sigma_{u, v}(x)\right\} \mid(u, v) \neq(1,0), \sigma_{u, v}^{2}=i d\right\}$ and $\left\{\left\{(x, y) \mid y=\sigma_{u, v}(x)\right\} \mid \sigma_{u, v}^{2}=i d\right\}$ in case
$1 \neq-1$ or $1=-1$, respectively are decompositions of $S^{2}$ into pairwise disjoint non-void subsets. But $\{\{(x, y) \mid y=x .(-1)+v\} \mid v \in S\}$ must be the same decomposition so that consequently $\left\{(x, y) \mid y=\sigma_{u, 0}(x)\right\}, u \neq 1=u^{2}$, is one term of it and therefore $u=-1$. The uniqueness of this ( $S, \tau$ ) already follows from the linearity property and from $+_{\tau}=+,{ }_{\tau}=\cdot$. Q.E.D.

Now we are able to interpret simply Karzel's necessary and sufficient condition a) for a near domain $D=(S,+, \cdot)$ to be a near field (i.e. such that ( $S,+$ ) is a group), b) for a near field $D=(S,+$, .) to be ,,projective" (i.e. such that the equation $x . a=$ $=(x . b)+c$ is uniquely solvable through $x \in S$ for all $a, b, c \in S$ with $a \neq b)$.
In the first case the Karzel's condition ([2], p. 132) reads that for $J:=\left\{\sigma_{u, v} \mid(u, v) \neq\right.$ $\left.\neq(1,0), \sigma_{u, v}^{2}=i d\right\}, J^{2}$ forms a subgroup in $\left(\Sigma_{D}, \circ\right)$. This means in our interpretation that $\left(S,+_{\tau}\right)$ is a group because of $\sigma_{-1, v_{2}} \circ \sigma_{-1, v_{1}}=\sigma_{1,+v_{1}+v_{2}} \forall v_{1}, v_{2} \in S$.
In the second case the Karzel's condition ([2], p. 135) reads that all $\sigma_{u, v} \in \Sigma_{D}$ fixing no elements belong to $J^{2}$. But this means in our interpretation that $\{(x, y) \mid y=$ $=\tau(x, u, v)\} \cap\{(x, y) \mid y=\tau(x, 1,0)\}=\emptyset \Rightarrow u=1$, i.e., $\{(x, y) \mid y=\tau(x, u, v)\} \cap$ $\cap\{(x, y) \mid y=\tau(x, 1,0)\} \neq \emptyset$ for all $(u, v) \in(S \backslash\{0,1\}) \times S$ and this gives already the statement that $D$ is projective.
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