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# HOMOMORPHISMS OF PARTIAL UNARY ALGEBRAS 

Oldřich Kopeček, Brno
(Received June 3, 1974)

## 1. PROBLEM

1.0. Notation. If $A$ is a set we denote by $|A|$ the cardinal number of $A$. We denote by Ord the class of all ordinals. If $\alpha \in$ Ord then we put $W_{\alpha}=\{\beta \in \operatorname{Ord} ; \beta<\alpha\}$. We denote by $N$ the set of all finite ordinals.

Let $\varphi$ be a partial map from the set $A$ into the set $B$. We put dom $\varphi=\{x \in A$; there exists $y \in B$ such that $(x, y) \in \varphi\}$. If $\operatorname{dom} \varphi=A$ then we write $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ and speak about a map $\varphi$. If $C \subseteq A, D \subseteq B$ then we put $\varphi(C)=\{\varphi(x) ; x \in C\}$; further, we define $\varphi^{-1}(D)=\{x \in A ; \varphi(x) \in D\}$; finally, we denote by $\varphi \mid C$ the restriction $\varphi \cap(C \times B)$ of $\varphi$.
1.1. Definition. Let $A$ be a non empty set, $f$ a partial map from the set $A$ into $A$. Then the ordered pair $(A, f)$ is called a unary algebra.
1.2. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a unary algebra. Then we put $D(A, f)=A-\operatorname{dom} f$. If $D(A, f)=\emptyset$ then $(A, f)$ is called a complete unary algebra.
1.3. Definition. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be unary algebras and $F: A \rightarrow B$ a map. Then $F$ is called a homomorphism of $(A, f)$ into $(B, g)$ if $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ implies $F(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g$ and $F(f(x))=g(F(x))$ for each $x \in A$. We write $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$.
1.4. Problem. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be unary algebras. Find all homomorphisms $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$.
1.5. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a unary algebra. We put $f^{0}=\operatorname{id}_{A}$. Suppose that we have defined a partial map $f^{n-1}$ from $A$ into $A$ for $n \in N-\{0\}$. We denote by $f^{n}$ the following partial map from $A$ into $A$ : if $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n-1}$ and $f^{n-1}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ then we put $f^{n}(x)=f\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right)$.
1.6. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a unary algebra. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) $(A, f)$ is complete iff $\operatorname{dom} f^{n}=A$ for all $n \in N$.
(b) If $n \in N-\{0\}, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$ for each $m \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ and $f^{m}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $m \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$.
(c) If $n \in N-\{0\}, x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in A,\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$ and $f\left(x_{i}\right)=$ $=x_{i+1}$ for each $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ then $x_{0} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{n}$.
(d) Let $n \in N, x \in A$ be arbitrary. Then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ iff $f^{p}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{q-p}$ for each $p, q \in N, 0 \leqq p \leqq q \leqq n$.
(e) If $m, n \in N, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}, f^{m}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+n}$ and $f^{m+n}(x)=$ $=f^{n}\left(f^{m}(x)\right)$.
(f) If $m, n \in N, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}, f^{m}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}, f^{n}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$ and $f^{m}\left(f^{n}(x)\right)=f^{n}\left(f^{m}(x)\right)$.

Proof of (a) is evident.
Proof of (b). The assertion follows directly from 1.5.
Proof of (c). Denoting by $V(n)$ the assertion (c) for $n \in N-\{0\}$ we see that $V(1)$ holds.

Let $n \in N-\{0,1\}$ be arbitrary and let $V(n-1)$ hold. Further, let $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, x_{n-1}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$ for $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in A$ and let $f\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i+1}$ for each $i \in\{0,1, \ldots$ $\ldots, n-1\}$. Then the conditions of $V(n-1)$ are satisfied; thus, $x_{0} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n-1}$, $f^{n-1}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{n-1}$. Further, $f^{n-1}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{n-1} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and we obtain, by $1.5, x_{0} \in$ $\in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=f\left(f^{n-1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=f\left(x_{n-1}\right)=x_{n}$. Thus, we have $V(n)$.

Proof of (d). The condition is sufficient for $p=0, q=n$. The condition is necessary: By (b), $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$ for each $m \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ and $f^{m}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $m \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Let $p, q \in N, 0 \leqq p \leqq q \leqq n$ be arbitrary. Clearly, for $p=q$ the condition holds. Suppose that $p<q$. We put $x_{i}=f^{p+i}(x)$ for each $i \in\{0,1, \ldots$ $\ldots, q-p\}$. Then $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q-p-1}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$ and, for each $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, q-$ $-p-1\}, f\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(f^{p+i}(x)\right)=f^{p+i+1}(x)=x_{i+1} \quad$ by 1.5. Thus, $f^{p}(x)=x_{0} \in$ $\in \operatorname{dom} f^{q-p}$ by (c).

Proof of (e). We denote, for $n \in N$, the assertion (e) by $V(n)$. Clearly, $V(0)$ holds.
Let $n \in N-\{0\}$ be arbitrary and let $V(n-1)$ hold; further, if $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$, $f^{m}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ then the conditions of $V(n-1)$ are satisfied which implies $x \in$ $\in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+n-1}, f^{m+n-1}(x)=f^{n-1}\left(f^{m}(x)\right)$. By $(\mathrm{d}), f^{m}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n} i m p l i e s f^{m+n-1}(x)=$ $=f^{n-1}\left(f^{m}(x)\right) \in \operatorname{dom} f ; \quad$ by 1.5 , we obtain $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+n} \quad$ and $f^{m+n}(x)=$ $=f\left(f^{m+n-1}(x)\right)=f\left(f^{n-1}\left(f^{m}(x)\right)\right)=f^{n}\left(f^{m}(x)\right)$. Thus, $V(n)$ holds.

Proof of (f). By (e), we have $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+n}$ and $f^{m+n}(x)=f^{n}\left(f^{m}(x)\right)$. Hence $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}, f^{n}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$ by (d) which implies $f^{n+m}(x)=f^{m}\left(f^{n}(x)\right)$ by (e).
1.7. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a unary algebra and let $x \in A$ be arbitrary. Then we define $[x]_{(A, f)}=\left\{f^{n}(x) ; x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}\right\}$.
1.8. Lemma. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be unary algebras, $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$ a homomorphism. Then, for each $x \in A, n \in N, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ implies $F(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}$ and $F\left(f^{n}(x)\right)=g^{n}(F(x))$.

Proof. Let $x \in A, n \in N$ be arbitrary. We denote by $V(n)$ the assertion: if $x \in$ $\in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ then $F(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}$ and $F\left(f^{n}(x)\right)=g^{n}(F(x))$.
$V(0)$ holds because $F(x) \in B=\operatorname{dom} g^{0}$ and $F\left(f^{0}(x)\right)=F(x)=g^{0}(F(x))$.
Let $n \in N-\{0\}$ be arbitrary and let $V(n-1)$ hold. Further, let $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$; then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n-1}$ by 1.6 (d) and, by $V(n-1), F(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n-1}, F\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right)=$ $=g^{n-1}(F(x))$. Further, $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ implies $f^{n-1}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ by 1.6 (d). Thus, $F\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right) \in \operatorname{dom} g$ and $F\left(f\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right)\right)=g\left(F\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right)\right)$. We obtain $F\left(f^{n}(x)\right)=$ $=F\left(f\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right)\right)=g\left(F\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right)\right)=g\left(g^{n-1}(F(x))\right)=g^{n}(F(x))$ by $1.6(\mathrm{e})$. Thus, $V(n)$ holds.
1.9. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a unary algebra. For arbitrary $x, y \in A$, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x, y) \in \varrho(A, f) & \text { iff there exist } m, n \in N \quad \text { such that } \quad x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}, \\
& y \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n} \text { and } f^{m}(x)=f^{n}(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\varrho(A, f)=A \times A$ then $(A, f)$ is called a connected unary algebra and we refer to it briefly as to a c-algebra.

## 2. c-ALGEBRAS

First, we shall solve Problem 1.4 for c -algebras.
2.1. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. Then $|D(A, f)| \leqq 1$.

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, $x, y \in D(A, f)$ and $x \neq y$. Then there are $m, n \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}, y \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{m}(x)=f^{n}(y)$. We see that $m=0$, $n=0$ cannot occur because, in this case, $x=f^{0}(x)=f^{0}(y)=y$. Let, for example, $m \neq 0$. Then we obtain $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ by 1.6 (d) which is a contradiction. Similarly, we obtain a contradiction for $n \neq 0$.
2.2. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra such that $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$. Then we put $\{d(A, f)\}=D(A, f)$.
2.3. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a $c$-algebra such that $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$. Then, for arbitrary $x \in A$, there is $m \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$ and $f^{m}(x)=d(A, f)$.

Proof. For $x \in A, d(A, f) \in A$, there exist $m, n \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}, d(A, f) \in$ $\in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{m}(x)=f^{n}(d(A, f))$. Hence $n=0$ by 1.6 (d) and we have $f^{m}(x)=$ $=f^{0}(d(A, f))=d(A, f)$.
2.4. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a $c$-algebra and $x \in A$ arbitrary. Then we define $Z(x)=\left\{y \in A\right.$; there exists an infinite set $N(y) \subseteq N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{n}(x)=$ $=y$ for each $n \in N(y)\}$.
2.5. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a $c$-algebra such that $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$. Then, for arbitrary $x \in A, Z(x)=\emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, $Z(x) \neq \emptyset$ and $y \in Z(x)$. Then there is an infinite set $N(y) \subseteq N$ such that, for each $n \in N(y), x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}, f^{n}(x)=y$. Further, by 2.3, there is $n_{0} \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n_{0}}$ and $f^{n_{0}}(x)=d(A, f)$. Since $N(y)$ is infinite, there is $n_{1} \in N(y)$ such that $n_{1}>n_{0}$. Thus, by $1.6(\mathrm{~d})$, the conditions of 1.6 (e) are fulfilled and by 1.6 (e), $y=f^{n_{1}}(x)=f^{n_{1}-n_{0}}\left(f^{n_{0}}(x)\right)=f^{n_{1}-n_{0}}(d(A, f)$ ). In virtue of $n_{1}-n_{0}>0$, we obtain, by $1.6(\mathrm{~d}), d(A, f) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ which is a contradiction.
2.6. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a $c$-algebra. Then $Z(x)=Z(y)$ for any $x, y \in A$.

Proof. For $D(A, f)=\emptyset,(A, f)$ is a complete c-algebra and the assertion follows from [2], 1.2.

Let $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$. Then $Z(x)=\emptyset=Z(y)$ by 2.5 .
2.7. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. Then we put $Z(A, f)=Z(x)$ where $x \in A$ is an arbitrary element, $R(A, f)=|Z(A, f)| . Z(A, f)$ is called the cycle and $R(A, f)$ the range of $(A, f)$.
2.8. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra, $x \in A$ arbitrary. Then
(a) $x \in Z(A, f)$ iff there is $n \in N-\{0\}$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{n}(x)=x$;
(b) $i, j \in N, i<j, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{j}, f^{i}(x)=f^{j}(x)$ imply $f^{i}(x) \in Z(A, f)$.

Proof of (a). If $D(A, f)=\emptyset$ then the assertion follows from [2], 1.5 (b). If $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$ then $Z(A, f)=\emptyset$ by 2.5 and 2.7 and the assertion holds trivially.

Proof of (b). By $1.6(\mathrm{~d})$, we have $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{i}, f^{i}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{j-i}$ and $f^{j-i}\left(f^{i}(x)\right)=$ $=f^{j}(x)=f^{i}(x)$ which implies $f^{i}(x) \in Z(A, f)$ by (a).
2.9. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$ iff $R(A, f)=0$ and there is $x_{0} \in A$ such that $\left|\left[x_{0}\right]_{(A, f)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$.
(b) $\left|[x]_{(A, f)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$ or $\left|[x]_{(A, f)}\right| \geqq \aleph_{0}$ for all $x \in A$ iff there is $x_{0} \in A$ such that $\left|\left[x_{0}\right]_{(A, f)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$ or $\mid\left[x_{0}\right]_{(A, f)} \geqq \aleph_{0}$, respectively.
(c) $(A, f)$ is complete iff either $R(A, f) \neq 0$ or there is $x_{0} \in A$ such that $\left|\left[x_{0}\right]_{(A, f)}\right| \geqq \aleph_{0}$.

Proof of (a). Let $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$; then $Z(A, f)=\emptyset$ by 2.5 and 2.7 which implies $R(A, f)=0$. Further, $\left|[d(A, f)]_{(A, f)}\right|=1<\aleph_{0}$.

On the other hand, suppose $R(A, f)=0$ and the existence of $x_{0} \in A$ such that $\left|\left[x_{0}\right]_{(A, f)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$.
(1) Then, for all $i, j \in N$ such that $i \neq j$, then conditions $x_{0} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{i}, x_{0} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{j}$ imply $f^{i}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq f^{j}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Indeed, if we had $f^{i}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{j}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and, for example, $i<j$ then we should have, by $2.8(\mathrm{~b}), f^{i}\left(x_{0}\right) \in Z(A, f)$ which is a contradiction to $R(A, f)=0$.
(2) Further, we put $m=\left|\left[x_{0}\right]_{(A, f)}\right|$. Then, by 1.7 and 1.6 (d), $x_{0} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{j}$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, m-1$. Further, $x_{0} \notin \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$, because if we had $x_{0} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$ then we should have $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ such that $f^{m}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{i}\left(x_{0}\right)$ (because $\mid\left\{f^{0}\left(x_{0}\right)\right.$, $\left.f^{1}\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, f^{m-1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\} \mid=m$ by (1)) which is a contradiction to (1). Hence $f^{m-1}\left(x_{0}\right) \notin$ $\notin \operatorname{dom} f$ by 1.6 (d) because $x_{0} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m-1}$. Thus $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of (b). Clearly, the condition is necessary.
Let, on the other hand, $\left|\left[x_{0}\right]_{(A, f)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$ for $x_{0} \in A$. Let $x \in A$ be arbitrary; then there exist $m, n \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}, x_{0} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{m}(x)=f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Hence $\left[f^{m}(x)\right]_{(A, f)}=\left[f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]_{(A, f)} \subseteq\left[x_{0}\right]_{(A, f)}$ which implies $\left|\left[f^{m}(x)\right]_{(A, f)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$. Further, $[x]_{(A, f)}=\left\{f(x), f^{2}(x), \ldots, f^{m-1}(x)\right\} \cup\left[f^{m}(x)\right]_{(A, f)}$ by 1.7 and 1.6 (d) and we obtain $\left|[x]_{(A, f)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$.
The second assertion is a consequence of the first one.
Proof of (c). The assertion follows from (a) and (b).
2.10. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. Then $(Z(A, f), f \mid Z(A, f))$ is a subalgebra of $(A, f)$.

Proof. If $Z(A, f)=\emptyset$ then the assertion holds trivially. If $Z(A, f) \neq \emptyset$ then $R(A, f) \neq 0$ and $(A, f)$ is complete by 2.9 (c). The assertion follows from [2], 1.4.
2.11. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) If $x \in Z(A, f)$ is arbitrary then $R(A, f)=\min \left\{n \in N-\{0\} ; f^{n}(x)=x\right\}$;
(b) $R(A, f)<\aleph_{0}$.

Proof of (a). Since $R(A, f) \neq 0$ the c-algebra is complete by 2.9 (c) and the assertion follows from [2], 1.6 (a).

Proof of (b). If $D(A, f)=\emptyset$ then $(A, f)$ is complete and the assertion follows from [2], $1.6(\mathrm{~b})$. If $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$ then $R(A, f)=0$ by $2.9(\mathrm{a})$.
2.12. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra, $x \in Z(A, f)$ arbitrary. Then $(A, f)$ is complete and the following assertions hold:
(a) $f^{p, R(A, f)}(x)=x$ for each $p \in N$;
(b) $f^{m}(x)=x$ iff $\left.R(A, f) \mid m^{*}\right)$.

Proof. ( $A, f$ ) is complete by 2.9 (c).
Proof of (a). The assertion follows from [2], 1.5 (a).
Proof of (b). Let $R(A, f) \mid m$; then there is $p \in N$ such that $m=R(A, f) \cdot p$ which implies $f^{m}(x)=f^{p \cdot R(A, f)}(x)=x$ by (a).

Let, on the other hand, $f^{m}(x)=x$ hold. Then $R(A, f) \leqq m$ by 2.11 (a) and there are $p, q \in N$ such that $m=p . R(A, f)+q$ and $0 \leqq q<R(A, f)$. By 1.6 (e), 2.10 and (a) we have $x=f^{m}(x)=f^{p . R(A, f)+q}(x)=f^{p \cdot R(A . f)}\left(f^{q}(x)\right)=f^{q}(x)$. If $q \in N-\{0\}$ then $R(A, f) \leqq q$ by 2.11 (a) which is a contradiction to the definition of $q$. Hence $q=0$ and we obtain $m=p . R(A, f)$. Thus, $R(A, f) \mid m$.
2.13. Notation. Let $\infty, \infty_{1}, \infty_{2} \notin$ Ord.

If $M$ is an arbitrary set of ordinals then we denote by $\leqq$ the order relation on $M \cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ such that its restriction $\leqq \cap M^{2}$ to $M$ is the natural order relation of ordinals and that $\alpha<\infty_{1}<\infty_{2}$ for each $\alpha \in M$.
2.14. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. We put $A^{\infty}=\{x \in A$; there is a sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N}$ such that $x_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}, x_{0}=x$ and $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=x_{i}$ for each $i \in N\}, A^{0}=\left\{x \in A ; f^{-1}(x)=\emptyset\right\}$.

Let $\alpha \in$ Ord, $\alpha>0$ and suppose that the sets $A^{\alpha}$ have been defined for all $x \in W_{\alpha}$. Then we put $A^{\alpha}=\left\{x \in A-\bigcup_{\chi \in W_{\alpha}} A^{\chi} ; f^{-1}(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in W_{\alpha}} A^{\chi}\right\}$.
2.15. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) $\left(A^{\infty}, f \mid A^{\infty}\right)$ is a subalgebra of the c-algebra $(A, f)$;
(b) $Z(A, f) \subseteq A^{\infty}$.

Proof of (a). Let $x \in A^{\infty}$ be such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$. Then there is a sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N}$ such that $x_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}, x_{0}=x$ and $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=x_{i}$ for each $i \in N$. We put $f(x)=y_{0}$ and $y_{i+1}=x_{i}$ for all $i \in N$. Then $y_{1}=x_{0}=x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and, for each $i \in N-\{0\}, y_{i+1}=x_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} f$. Thus $y_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}$. Further, $y_{0}=f(x), f\left(y_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ and, for each $i \in N-\{0,1\}$, we have $f\left(y_{i+1}\right)=f\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i-1}=y_{i}$. Hence $f(x) \in A^{\infty}$ by 2.14 .

[^0]Proof of (b). If $Z(A, f)=\emptyset$ then the assertion holds trivially. If $Z(A, f) \neq \emptyset$ then $R(A, f) \neq 0$ and $(A, f)$ is complete by 2.9 (c). The assertion follows from [2], 1.15.
2.16. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. Then we put $A^{\infty_{1}}=A^{\infty}-Z(A, f)$, $A^{\infty_{2}}=Z(A, f)$.
2.17. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a $c$-algebra. Then
(a) if $x \in A^{\infty_{1}}$ then $f^{-1}(x) \cap A^{\infty_{1}} \neq \emptyset$;
(b) if $x \in A^{\infty 2}$ then $f^{-1}(x) \cap A^{\infty 2} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of (a). If $x \in A^{\infty_{1}}$ then $x \in A^{\infty}$ and there is a sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N}$ such that $x_{i} \in$ $\in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}, x_{0}=x$ and $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=x_{i}$ for each $i \in N$. Clearly, $x_{1} \in A^{\infty}$. Further, $x_{1} \notin Z(A, f)$ by 2.10 and we have $x_{1} \in f^{-1}(x) \cap A^{\infty_{1}}$.

Proof of (b). If $x \in A^{\infty_{2}}$ then $x \in Z(A, f)$ and $f^{R(A, f)}(x)=x$ by 2.12 (a). Thus, $f^{R(A, f)-1}(x) \in f^{-1}(x)$ and $f^{R(A, f)-1}(x) \in Z(A, f)=A^{\infty_{2}}$ by 2.10.
2.18. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra, $\alpha, \beta \in \operatorname{Ord}, \alpha \neq \beta$. Then $A^{\alpha} \cap A^{\beta}=\emptyset$.

Proof. If, for example, $\alpha<\beta$, then $A^{\beta} \cap A^{\alpha} \subseteq A^{\beta} \cap \bigcup_{x \in W_{\beta}} A^{\alpha}=\emptyset$ because $A^{\beta} \subseteq$ $\subseteq A-\bigcup_{x \in W_{\beta}} A^{x}$.
2.19. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a $c$-algebra. Then:
(a) There is $\vartheta \in$ Ord such that $A^{\vartheta}=\emptyset$.
(b) If $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Ord}, A^{\vartheta}=\emptyset$ then $A^{\lambda}=\emptyset$ for each $\lambda \in$ Ord with the property $\lambda \geqq \vartheta$.

Proof of (a). Let $v \in$ Ord be an ordinal number such that $|A| \leqq \aleph_{v}$. Suppose $A^{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$ for each $\lambda \in W_{\omega_{v+1}}$. Then $\aleph_{v+1} \leqq \sum_{\lambda \in W_{\omega_{v+1}}}\left|A^{\lambda}\right|=\left|\bigcup_{\lambda \in W_{\omega_{\nu+1}}}^{\bigcup} A^{\lambda}\right| \leqq|A| \leqq \aleph_{v}$ by 2.18 which is a contradiction.

Thus, there is $\vartheta \in W_{\omega_{v+1}}$ such that $A^{\vartheta}=\emptyset$.
Proof of $(\mathrm{b})$. We denote by $V(\lambda)$ the following assertion: $A^{\lambda}=\emptyset$. Then $V(\vartheta)$ holds.
Let $\beta \in \operatorname{Ord}, \vartheta<\beta$, suppose that $V(\lambda)$ holds for each $\lambda \in$ Ord with the property $\vartheta \leqq \lambda<\beta$. Then $\bigcup_{\lambda \in W_{\beta}} A^{\lambda}=\bigcup_{\lambda \in W_{s}} A^{\lambda}$ which implies $A^{\beta}=\left\{x \in A-\bigcup_{\lambda \in W_{\beta}} A^{\lambda} ; f^{-1}(x) \subseteq\right.$ $\left.\subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in W_{\beta}} A^{\lambda}\right\}=\left\{x \in A-\bigcup_{\lambda \in W_{s}} A^{\lambda} ; f^{-1}(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in W_{s}} A^{\lambda}\right\}=A^{y}=\emptyset$.

The assertion follows by transfinite induction.
2.20. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. Then we put $\vartheta(A, f)=\min \{\vartheta \in$ Ord; $\left.A^{\vartheta}=\emptyset\right\}$.
2.21. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. Then $A^{\infty}=A-\underset{\chi \in W_{s(A, f)}}{ } A^{x}$.

Proof. If $x \in A-\bigcup_{x \in W S(A, f)} A^{x}$ then there is an element $x^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(x)$ such that $x^{\prime} \in$ $\in A-\bigcup_{x \in W_{s(A, f)}} A^{x}$. Indeed, if we had $f^{-1}(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in W,(A, f)} A^{x}$ then we should put $\vartheta=$ $=\min \left\{\lambda \in \operatorname{Ord} ; f^{-1}(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in W_{\lambda}} A^{x}\right\}$. Then $\vartheta \leqq \vartheta(A, f)$ and $x \in A^{\vartheta}$ by 2.14 which is a contradiction either to $A^{\vartheta(A, f)}=\emptyset($ in the case $\vartheta=\vartheta(A, f))$ or to $x \in A-\underset{x \in W,(A, f)}{\cup} A^{x}$ (in the case $\vartheta<\vartheta(A, f)$ ). Clearly, $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} f$.

We put $x_{0}=x$ and $x_{n+1}=x_{n}^{\prime}$ for $n \in N$. Then $x_{n} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $n \in N-\{0\}$ and $f\left(x_{n+1}\right)=x_{n}$ for each $n \in N$. Thus, $x \in A^{\infty}$ and $A-\bigcup_{x \in W_{S(A, f)}} A^{x} \subseteq A^{\infty}$.

Let us have, on the other hand, $x \in A^{\infty} \cap\left(\underset{x \in W_{g(A, f)}}{ } A^{x}\right)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N}$ such that $x_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}, x_{0}=x$ and $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=x_{i}$ for each $i \in N$. By 2.18, there is precisely one $\chi_{0} \in W_{\vartheta(A, f)}$ such that $x_{0} \in A^{x_{0}}$.

Suppose that we have constructed ordinals $x_{0}>x_{1}>\ldots>x_{n}$ with the property $x_{i} \in A^{x_{i}}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$ where $n \in N$. Then $x_{n+1} \in f^{-1}\left(x_{n}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in W_{\chi_{n}}} A^{x}$ which implies the existence of $x_{n+1}<x_{n}$ such that $x_{n+1} \in A^{x_{n+1}}$. Thus, $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i_{i \in N}}$ is an infinite decreasing sequence of ordinals which is a contradiction.

Consequently, $A^{\infty} \subseteq A-\underset{x \in W_{\Omega(A, f)}}{ } A^{x}$.
2.22. Theorem. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra and put $W^{*}=W_{\vartheta(A, f)} \cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$. Then $A=\bigcup_{x \in W^{*}} A^{x}$ with disjoint terms.

Proof. The assertion is a consequence of 2.18, 2.21, 2.15 (6) and 2.16.
2.23. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. We define a map $S(A, f): A \rightarrow \operatorname{Ord} \cup$ $\cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ by the condition $S(A, f)(x)=x$ for each $x \in A^{x}, x \in W_{\vartheta(A, f)} \cup$ $\cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\} . S(A, f)(x)$ is called the degree of $x$.
2.24. Notation. Let $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq$ Ord, $\alpha \in$ Ord. Then we put $M \leqq \alpha$ if $\beta \leqq \alpha$ for each $\beta \in M$.
2.25. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a $c$-algebra, $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ord}, x \in A-A^{\infty}$. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) $S(A, f)(x)=\alpha$ iff $\alpha \leqq S(A, f)(x)$ and $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<\alpha$.
(b) If $S(A, f)(x)=\alpha$ then $W_{\alpha}$ is cofinal with $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)$.
(c) If $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<\alpha$ then $S(A, f)(x) \leqq \alpha$.

Proof of (a). The assertion follows directly from 2.14 and 2.23 because $S(A, f)(x)=\alpha$ is equivalent to $x \in A-\bigcup_{x \in W_{\alpha}} A^{x}, f^{-1}(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in W_{\alpha}} A^{\alpha}$ which is equivalent to $\alpha \leqq S(A, f)(x), S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<\alpha$.

Proof of $(\mathrm{b})$. Suppose $S(A, f)(x)=\alpha$ and, on the contrary, the existence of $\beta \in W_{\alpha}$ such that $\{\gamma ; \beta \leqq \gamma<\alpha\} \cap S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)=\emptyset$. Then $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<\beta$ and, since $S(A, f)(x)=\alpha>\beta$, we obtain by (a) $S(A, f)(x)=\beta$ which is a contradiction.

Proof of (c). Suppose $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<\alpha$ and, on the contrary, $\alpha<S(A, f)(x)$. Then, by (b), there is $y \in f^{-1}(x)$ such that $S(A, f)(y) \geqq \alpha$ which is a contradiction to $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<\alpha$.
2.26. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) If $x \in A-A^{\infty}$ and $n \in N$ are such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ then $S(A, f)\left(f^{n}(x)\right) \geqq$ $\geqq S(A, f)(x)+n$.
(b) If $x \in A$ is such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ then $S(A, f)(f(x)) \geqq S(A, f)(x)$.
(c) If $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset, A^{\infty}=\emptyset$ then $\vartheta(A, f)$ is isolated and $S(A, f)(d(A, f))=$ $=\vartheta(A, f)-1$.
(d) If $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset, A^{\infty} \neq \emptyset$ then $S(A, f)(d(A, f))=\infty_{1}$.

Proof of (a). For an arbitrary $n \in N$, we denote by $V(n)$ the following assertion: if $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ then $S(A, f)\left(f^{n}(x)\right) \geqq S(A, f)(x)+n$.

Clearly, $V(0)$ holds.
Let $n \in N-\{0\}$ and let $V(n-1)$ hold. Further, suppose $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$. If $S(A, f)\left(f^{n}(x)\right) \in\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ then $V(n)$ holds because $\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}>S(A, f)(x)+n$.

Suppose that $S(A, f)\left(f^{n}(x)\right) \in \operatorname{Ord}$. Since $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ we have $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n-1}$ by 1.6 (d). Hence $S(A, f)\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right) \geqq S(A, f)(x)+n-1$ by $V(n-1)$. Further, $f^{n-1}(x) \in f^{-1}\left(f^{n}(x)\right)$; if we put $S(A, f)\left(f^{n}(x)\right)=\alpha$ then $f^{n-1}(x) \in \bigcup_{x \in W_{\alpha}} A^{x}$ and there is $x_{0} \in W_{\alpha}$ such that $f^{n-1}(x) \in A^{\chi_{0}}$. Thus, $S(A, f)\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right)=\chi_{0}$ and we obtain $S(A, f)\left(f^{n}(x)\right)=\alpha \geqq \chi_{0}+1=S(A, f)\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right)+1 \geqq S(A, f)(x)+n-1+$ $+1=S(A, f)(x)+n$.
$V(n)$ holds.
Proof of (b). Let $x \in A$ be such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$.
If $S(A, f)(x) \in$ Ord then $x \in A-A^{\infty}$ which implies $S(A, f)(f(x))>S(A, f)(x)$ by (a).

If $S(A, f)(x)=\infty_{1}$ then $S(A, f)(f(x)) \in\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ and, finally, if $S(A, f)(x)=$ $=\infty_{2}$ then $S(A, f)(f(x))=\infty_{2}$ by 2.15 (a) and 2.10.

Proof of (c). Let $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset, A^{\infty}=\emptyset$. Then $S(A, f)(d(A, f))=\delta \in$ Ord and $\delta<\vartheta(A, f)$. Let there be $\delta<\varepsilon<\vartheta(A, f)$ and $x \in A$ with the property $S(A, f)(x)=$
$=\varepsilon$. Then, by 2.3, there is $n \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{n}(x)=d(A, f)$. Thus we obtain by (a) $\delta=S(A, f)(d(A, f))=S(A, f)\left(f^{n}(x)\right) \geqq S(A, f)(x)+n=\varepsilon+$ $+n \geqq \varepsilon$ which is a contradiction to $\delta<\varepsilon$. Thus, $\vartheta(A, f)$ is isolated.
Further, $\delta+1=\vartheta(A, f)$ which implies $S(A, f)(d(A, f))=\delta=\vartheta(A, f)-1$.
Proof of (d). Let $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset, A^{\infty} \neq \emptyset$ and let $x \in A^{\infty}$ be arbitrary. Then, by 2.3, there is $n \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}, f^{n}(x)=d(A, f)$. Thus, by $2.15(\mathrm{a}), d(A, f) \in A^{\infty}$. Further, $A^{\infty}=A^{\infty_{1}}$ because $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$ and so $A^{\infty_{2}}=Z(A, f)=\emptyset$ by 2.5 and 2.7. Consequently, $S(A, f)(d(A, f))=\infty_{1}$.

## 3. HOMOMORPHISMS OF c-ALGEBRAS

3.1. Lemma. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be c-algebras and $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$ a homomorphism. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) If $f^{n}(x)=x$ for $x \in Z(A, f)$ and $n \in N$, then $F(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}$ and $g^{n}(F(x))=F(x)$.
(b) $F(Z(A, f)) \subseteq Z(B, g)$.
(c) If $R(B, g)=0$ then $R(A, f)=0$.
(d) If $R(B, g) \neq 0$ then $R(B, g) \mid R(A, f)$.

Proof of (a) follows immediately from 1.8.
Proof of (b). Let $y \in F(Z(A, f))$ be arbitrary. Then there is $x \in Z(A, f)$ such that $F(x)=y$. Thus, $(A, f)$ is complete and there is $n \in N-\{0\}$ such that $f^{n}(x)=x$ by 2.8. Hence $y \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}, g^{n}(y)=y$ by (a). We have, by 2.8, $y \in Z(B, g)$.

Proof of (c). If $R(B, g)=0$ then $Z(B, g)=\emptyset$. If we had $R(A, f) \neq 0$ then we should have $Z(A, f) \neq \emptyset$ and, by $(\mathrm{b}), \emptyset \neq F(Z(A, f)) \subseteq Z(B, g)=\emptyset$ which is a contradiction.

Proof of (d). Clearly, the assertion holds for $R(A, f)=0$. Further, let $R(A, f) \neq 0$ and $x \in Z(A, f)$. Then, by 2.12 (a), $f^{R(A, f)}(x)=x$ and, by (a), $F(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g^{R(A, f)}$, $g^{R(A, f)}(F(x))=F(x)$. By $2.12(\mathrm{~b})$ we obtain $R(B, g) \mid R(A, f)$.
3.2. Lemma. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be c-algebras, $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$ a homomorphism. Then $F\left(A^{\infty}\right) \subseteq B^{\infty}$.

Proof. Let $x \in A^{\infty}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N}$ such that $x_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}, x_{0}=x$ and $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=x_{i}$ for each $i \in N$. For each $i \in N$ we put $y_{i}=F\left(x_{i}\right)$. Then $y_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} g$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}$ by 1.3. Further, $y_{0}=F\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $=F(x)$; finally, if $i \in N$ then $g\left(y_{i+1}\right)=g\left(F\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right)=F\left(f\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right)=F\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}$. Thus, $F(x) \in B^{\infty}$.
3.3. Lemma. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be c-algebras, $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$ a homomorphism, $x \in A$ arbitrary. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) $S(A, f)(x) \leqq S(B, g)(F(x))$.
(b) If $n \in N, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ then $F(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}$ and $S(A, f)\left(f^{n}(x)\right) \leqq S(B, g)\left(g^{n}(F(x))\right.$.

Proof of (a). (1) Clearly, if $S(A, f)(x)=0$ then the assertion holds.
Let $0<\alpha<\vartheta(A, f), S(A, f)(x)=\alpha$ and suppose that the assertion holds for each $y \in A$ with the property $S(A, f)(y)<\alpha$.

Clearly, if $S(B, g)(F(x)) \in\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ then the assertion holds by 2.13.
Thus, suppose that $S(B, g)(F(x)) \in$ Ord. Let $y \in f^{-1}(x)$ be arbitrary. Then $y \in$ $\in \operatorname{dom} f$ and, by 1.3, $F(y) \in \operatorname{dom} g$. Further, $g(F(y))=F(f(y))=F(x)$ which implies $S(B, g)(g(F(y))=S(B, g)(F(x)) \in$ Ord. We obtain $S(B, g)(F(y)) \leqq S(B, g)(g(F(y)) \in$ $\in$ Ord by $2.26(\mathrm{~b})$ and hence $F(y) \in B-B^{\infty}$. We have, by $2.26(\mathrm{a}), S(B, g)(F(y))<$ $<S(B, g)(g(F(y))$. We obtain by the induction hypothesis $S(A, f)(y) \leqq S(B, g)(F(y))<$ $<S(B, g)(g(F(y))=S(B, g)(F(x))$.

Thus, $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<S(B, g)(F(x))$ because $y \in f^{-1}(x)$ was arbitrary. We conclude $S(A, f)(x) \leqq S(B, g)(F(x))$ by 2.25 (c).
(2) Suppose that $S(A, f)(x)=\infty_{1}$; then $x \in A^{\infty}$ and $F(x) \in B^{\infty}$ by 3.2; thus, $S(B, g)(F(x)) \in\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ and the assertion holds.
(3) If $S(A, f)(x)=\infty_{2}$ then $x \in Z(A, f)$ and $F(x) \in Z(B, g)$ by 3.1 (b); thus, $S(B, g)(F(x))=\infty_{2}$ and the assertion holds.

Proof of (b). Let $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$. Then $F(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}$ and $F\left(f^{n}(x)\right)=g^{n}(F(x))$ by 1.8. Thus, $S(A, f)\left(f^{n}(x)\right) \leqq S(B, g)\left(F\left(f^{n}(x)\right)=S(B, g)\left(g^{n}(F(x))\right.\right.$ by (a).
3.4. Definition. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be c-algebras. Then $x \in A, x^{\prime} \in B$ are said to be a pair of h-elements of $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$ if, for each $n \in N, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ implies $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}$ and $S(A, f)\left(f^{n}(x)\right) \leqq S(B, g)\left(g^{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
3.5. Definition. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be c-algebras. Then $(B, g)$ is said to be admissible for $(A, f)$ if the following conditions hold:
(a) if $R(B, g) \neq 0$ then $R(B, g) \mid R(A, f)$;
(b) if $R(B, g)=0$ then $R(A, f)=0$ and there exists a pair of h-elements of $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$.
3.6. Lemma. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be $c$-algebras such that $(B, g)$ is admissible for $(A, f)$. Then,
(a) if $D(B, g) \neq \emptyset$ then $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$,
(b) if $(A, f)$ is complete then $(B, g)$ is complete.

Proof of (a). Let $D(B, g) \neq \emptyset$. Then, by 2.9 (a), (b), $R(B, g)=0$ and, for each $y \in B,\left|[y]_{(B, g)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$. Thus, by $3.5(\mathrm{~b}), R(A, f)=0$ and there is a pair of h-elements $x \in A, x^{\prime} \in B$ of $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$. We obtain that, for each $n \in N, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ implies $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}$. Since $\left|\left[x^{\prime}\right]_{(B, g)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$ we have $\left|[x]_{(A, f)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$.

Indeed, let, on the contrary, $\left|[x]_{(A, f)}\right| \geqq \aleph_{0}$; then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ for each $n \in N$. Thus, $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}$ for each $n \in N$ and there are $i, j \in N, i<j$, such that $g^{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=$ $=g^{j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ because $\left|\left[x^{\prime}\right]_{(B, g)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$. Hence $Z(B, g) \neq \emptyset$ by $2.8(\mathrm{~b})$ which is a contradiction to $R(B, g)=0$.

We see that $R(A, f)=0$ and $\left|[x]_{(A, f)}\right|<\aleph_{0}$ which implies $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$ by 2.9 (a).
Proof of (b). If $(A, f)$ is complete then $D(A, f)=\emptyset$ which implies $D(B, g)=\emptyset$ by (a). Thus, $(B, g)$ is complete.
3.7. Lemma. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be $c$-algebras such that $(B, g)$ is admissible for $(A, f)$. Then there is a pair of h-elements of $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$.

Proof. Let $R(B, g) \neq 0$. We take $x^{\prime} \in Z(B, g)$ arbitrary. Since $(B, g)$ is complete by 2.9 (c), it is $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}$ for each $n \in N$. Let $x \in A$ be arbitrary. Then for each $n \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ we have $S(B, g)\left(g^{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\infty_{2} \geqq S(A, f)\left(f^{n}(x)\right)$ by 2.10. Thus, $x \in A, x^{\prime} \in B$ is a pair of h-elements of $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$.

If $R(B, g)=0$ then the assertion holds in virtue of $3.5(\mathrm{~b})$.
3.8. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a c -algebra, $x \in A$ arbitrary. We put $P_{0}(x)=$ $=[x]_{(A, f)}, P_{1}(x)=f^{-1}\left(P_{0}(x)\right)-P_{0}(x)$. Let $n \in N-\{0\}$ and suppose that the sets $P_{0}(x), P_{1}(x), \ldots, P_{n}(x)$ have been defined. Then we put $P_{n+1}(x)=f^{-1}\left(P_{n}(x)\right)$.
3.9. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a c-algebra and $x \in A$ arbitrary. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) $Z(A, f) \subseteq P_{0}(x)$;
(b) if $D(A, f) \neq \emptyset$ then $d(A, f) \in P_{0}(x)$ and $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k}(x) \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$;
(c) $A=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}(x)$ with disjoint terms.

Proof of $(\mathrm{a}) . Z(A, f)=Z(x) \subseteq[x]_{(A, f)}=P_{0}(x)$ by 2.4.
Proof of (b). By 2.3, there is $n \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{n}(x)=d(A, f)$. Thus, $d(A, f) \in[x]_{(A, f)}=P_{0}(x)$ and $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k}(x) \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$.

Proof of (c). By 3.8 and (b) we have: if $k \in N, y \in P_{k}(x)$ and $n \in N$ are arbitrary then $n<k$ implies $y \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{n}(y) \in P_{k-n}(x)$ and $n \geqq k, y \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ implies $f^{n}(y) \in P_{0}(x)$.

Now, let $k, l \in N, k \neq l$; then $P_{k}(x) \cap P_{l}(x)=\emptyset$. Indeed, if we had $y \in P_{k}(x) \cap$ $\cap P_{l}(x)$ and, for example, $k>1$ then we should have $f^{k-1}(y) \in P_{1}(x)$ because
$y \in P_{k}(x)$ and $k-1<k$ and $f^{k-1}(y) \in P_{0}(x)$ because $y \in P_{l}(x)$ and $k-1 \geqq l$; thus, $f^{k-1}(y) \in P_{1}(x) \cap P_{0}(x)$ which is a contradiction to 3.8 .

It holds $A=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}(x)$.
Let, on the contrary, $y \in A-\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}(x)$. Then $y \in \operatorname{dom} f$ because $d(A, f) \in P_{0}(x)$. Hence $f(y) \in A-\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}(x)$ by 3.8. We obtain by induction that $y \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ implies $f^{n}(y) \in A-\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}(x)$. Further, there exist $p, q \in N$ such that $y \in \operatorname{dom} f^{p}, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{q}$ and $f^{p}(y)=f^{q}(x) \in P_{0}(x)$ which is a contradiction.
3.10. Lemma. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be $c$-algebras. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) Let $y \in A, y^{\prime} \in B$ be such that $S(A, f)(y) \leqq S(B, g)\left(y^{\prime}\right)$. Then for each $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ there exists $x^{\prime} \in g^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ such that $S(A, f)(x) \leqq S(B, g)\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.
(b) Let $x_{0} \in A, n \in N$ be arbitrary. Let a map $F: P_{n}\left(x_{0}\right) \rightarrow B$ be defined such that, for each $y \in P_{n}\left(x_{0}\right), S(A, f)(y) \leqq S(B, g)(F(y))$. Then, for each $x \in P_{n+1}\left(x_{0}\right)$, there exists $x^{\prime} \in g^{-1}(F(f(x)))$ such that $S(A, f)(x) \leqq S(B, g)\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof of (a). Suppose that $S(A, f)(y) \leqq S(B, g)\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ holds for $y \in A, y^{\prime} \in B$. Let $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ be arbitrary.

If $S(B, g)\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\infty_{2}$ then, by $2.17(\mathrm{~b})$, there is $x^{\prime} \in g^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ such that $S(B, g)\left(x^{\prime}\right)=$ $=\infty_{2}$. Thus, $\quad S(A, f)(x) \leqq S(A, f)(y) \leqq S(B, g)\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\infty_{2} \quad$ which implies $S(A, f)(x) \leqq S(B, g)\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Similarly, if $S(B, g)\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\infty_{1}$ then, by 2.17 (a), there is $x^{\prime} \in g^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ such that $S(B, g)\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\infty_{1}$ and $S(A, f)(x) \leqq S(B, g)\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Finally, let $S(B, g)\left(y^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Ord}$. Then $S(A, f)(y) \in \operatorname{Ord}$ and $S(A, f)(x)<S(A, f)(y)$ by 2.26 (a). Therefore $S(A, f)(x)<S(B, g)\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ and, by $2.25(\mathrm{~b})$, there is $x^{\prime} \in g^{-1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ with the property $S(A, f)(x) \leqq S(B, g)\left(x^{\prime}\right)<S(B, g)\left(y^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof of (b). Let $x_{0} \in A, n \in N$ be arbitrary. Suppose that, for each $y \in P_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$, we have $F(y) \in B$ such that $S(A, f)(y) \leqq S(B, g)(F(y))$. Let $x \in P_{n+1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ be arbitrary. Then $f(x) \in P_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ by 3.8 and $S(A, f)(f(x)) \leqq S(B, g)(F(f(x)))$. Since $x \in f^{-1}(f(x))$, there is, by (a), $x^{\prime} \in g^{-1}(F(f(x)))$ such that $S(A, f)(x) \leqq S(B, g)\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.
3.11. Definition. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be c-algebras such that $(B, g)$ is admissible for $(A, f)$. We define a map $F: A \rightarrow B$ in the following way:
(i) We take a pair of h-elements $x_{0} \in A, x_{0}^{\prime} \in B$ of $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$ (see 3.7). Then we put, for each $f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) \in P_{0}\left(x_{0}\right), F\left(f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=g^{n}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$.
$n-1$
(ii) Let $n \in N-\{0\}$. Suppose that, for each $x \in \bigcup_{k=0} P_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)$, we have defined $F(x)$ in such a way that $S(A, f)(x) \leqq S(B, g)(F(x))$.

Let $x \in P_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ be arbitrary. We take $x^{\prime} \in g^{-1}(F(f(x)))$ such that $S(A, f)(x) \leqq$ $\leqq S(B, g)\left(x^{\prime}\right)($ see $3.10(b))$. Then we put $F(x)=x^{\prime}$.

Then we say that the map $F: A \rightarrow B$ has been defined by the construction $c-K$ (with respect to $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$ ).
3.12. Theorem. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be c-algebras and $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$ a homomorphism. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) $(B, g)$ is admissible for $(A, f)$.
(b) The map $F: A \rightarrow B$ is defined by the construction $c-K$.

Proof of (a). The property (a) in 3.5 follows from 3.1 (d). The property (b) in 3.5 follows from 3.1 (c) and 3.3 (b) where we take an arbitrary $x \in A$ and put $x^{\prime}=F(x)$.

Proof of (b). By (a), $(B, g)$ is admissible for $(A, f)$. Let $x_{0} \in A$ be arbitrary. We put $x_{0}^{\prime}=F\left(x_{0}\right)$. Then, by $3.3(\mathrm{~b}), x_{0} \in A, x_{0}^{\prime} \in B$ is a pair of h-elements of $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$.

Thus, for $f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) \in P_{0}(x)$ we have $F\left(f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=g^{n}\left(F\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=g^{n}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$.
Further, let $n \in N-\{0\}, x \in P_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Putting $x^{\prime}=F(x)$ we have $S(A, f)(x) \leqq$ $\leqq S(B, g)\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Since, by 3.9 (b), $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ we have $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} g$ and $F(f(x))=$ $=g(F(x))=g\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, $x^{\prime} \in g^{-1}(F(f(x)))$.
3.13. Theorem. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be c-algebras and $F: A \rightarrow B$ a map defined by the construction $c-K$. Then $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$ is a homorphism.

Proof. Let a map $F: A \rightarrow B$ be defined by the construction $c-K$ as in 3.11. Then $x_{0} \in A, x_{0}^{\prime} \in B$ is a pair of h-elements of $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$.

Let $x \in P_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)$ be an arbitrary element and let $x=f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Then $F(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}$ and $F(x)=g^{n}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. If $x=d(A, f)$ then in virtue of 1.3 we have nothing to prove. Thus, let $x \neq d(A, f)$. Then $F(x) \neq d(B, g)$ because, for $n \neq 0$, we have $F(x) \in$ $\in \operatorname{dom} g^{n} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} g$ by 1.6 (b) and, for $n=0$, we obtain $x=x_{0}$ and $x_{0}=x \neq$ $\neq d(A, f)$ implies $F(x)=F\left(x_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{dom} g$ by 3.4.

We see that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ implies $F(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g$; further, we conclude $F(f(x))=$ $=F\left(f^{n+1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=g^{n+1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=g(F(x))$.

Suppose $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ by 3.9 (b). Since $F$ is defined by the construction $c-K$ we have $F(x) \in g^{-1}(F(f(x)))$ by 3.11 (ii). Thus, $F(x) \neq d(B, g)$ and $F(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g$. Finally, $g(F(x))=F(f(x))$.

The map $F: A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$.
3.14. Theorem. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be c-algebras, $F: A \rightarrow B$ a map. Then $F:(A, f) \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow(B, g)$ is a homomorphism if and only if $F$ is defined by the construction $c-K$ with respect to $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$.

Proof is a consequence of 3.12 and 3.13.
4.1. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a unary algebra and let $\varrho(A, f)$ be defined by 1.9. Then $\varrho(A, f)$ is an equivalence on $A$.

Proof. $\varrho(A, f)$ is reflexive because, for each $x \in A, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{0}$ and $x=f^{0}(x)$. Clearly, $\varrho(A, f)$ is symmetric. Further, let $x, y, z \in A$ and $(x, y) \in \varrho(A, f),(y, z) \in$ $\in \varrho(A, f)$. Then there are $m, n, n^{\prime}, p \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}, y \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}, y \in$ $\in \operatorname{dom} f^{n^{\prime}}, z \in \operatorname{dom} f^{p}$ and we have $f^{m}(x)=f^{n}(y), f^{n^{\prime}}(y)=f^{p}(z)$. We suppose that, for example, $n \leqq n^{\prime}$. Then $f^{n}(y) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n^{\prime}-n}$ by 1.6 (d) and this implies $f^{m}(x) \in$ $\epsilon \operatorname{dom} f^{n^{\prime}-n}$. Thus, by $1.6(\mathrm{e})$, we obtain $f^{m+n^{\prime}-n}(x)=f^{n^{\prime}-n}\left(f^{m}(x)\right)=f^{n^{\prime}-n}\left(f^{n}(y)\right)=$ $=f^{n^{\prime}}(y)=f^{p}(z)$. Hence $(x, z) \in \varrho(A, f)$ and $\varrho(A, f)$ is transitive.
4.2. Definition. Let $(A, f)$ be a unary algebra. Then we denote $\Theta(A, f)=A / \varrho(A, f)$.
4.3. Lemma. Let $(A, f)$ be a unary algebra and let $T \in \Theta(A, f)$. Then
(a) $(T, f \mid T)$ is a subalgebra of $(A, f)$;
(b) $(T, f \mid T)$ is a c-algebra.

Proof of (a). If $x \in T$ is such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ then $(x, f(x)) \in \varrho(A, f)$ because $x \in \operatorname{dom} f, f(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{0}$ and $f(x)=f^{0}(f(x))$. Thus, $f(x) \in T$.

Proof of (b). The assertion follows from (a) and 4.2.
4.4. Lemma. Let $(A, f),(B, f)$ be unary algebras, $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$ a homomorphism. Then, for each $T \in \Theta(A, f)$, there is $T^{\prime} \in \Theta(B, g)$ such that $F(T) \subseteq T^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in F(T)$ be arbitrary. Then there are $x, y \in T$ such that $F(x)=x^{\prime}$, $F(y)=y^{\prime}$. Thus, $(x, y) \in \varrho(A, f)$ and there are $m, n \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$, $y \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{m}(x)=f^{n}(y)$. It follows, by $1.3, x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} g^{m}, y^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} g^{n}$ and $g^{m}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=g^{m}(F(x))=F\left(f^{m}(x)\right)=F\left(f^{n}(y)\right)=g^{n}(F(y))=g^{n}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \varrho(B, g)$ and there is $T^{\prime} \in \Theta(B, g)$ such that $F(T) \subseteq T^{\prime}$.
4.5. Definition. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be unary algebras. We define a map $F: A \rightarrow B$ in this way:
(i) We take a map $\Phi: \Theta(A, f) \rightarrow \Theta(B, g)$ such that, for each $T \in \Theta(A, f)$, $(\Phi(T), g \mid \Phi(T))$ is admissible for the c-algebra $(T, f \mid T)$. For each $T \in \Theta(A, f)$, we define a map $F_{T}: T \rightarrow \Phi(T)$ by the construction $c-K$.
(ii) We put $F=\bigcup_{T \in \boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{A}, \mathrm{~S})} F_{T}$.

Then we say that the map $F: A \rightarrow B$ has been defined by the construction $K$ (with respect to $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$ ).
4.6. Theorem. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be unary algebras, $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$ a homomorphism. Then the map $F: A \rightarrow B$ is defined by the construction $K$.

Proof. Let $T \in \Theta(A, f)$ be arbitrary. Then there is (precisely one) $T^{\prime} \in \Theta(B, g)$ such that $F(T) \subseteq T^{\prime}$ by 4.4. We put $\Phi(T)=T^{\prime}$ and $F_{T}=F \mid T$. Then $(T, f \mid T)$, $\left(T^{\prime}, g \mid T^{\prime}\right)$ are c-algebras by $4.3(\mathrm{~b})$ and $F_{T}:(T, f \mid T) \rightarrow\left(T^{\prime}, g \mid T^{\prime}\right)$ is a homomorphism. Consequently, by 3.12 (a), ( $\left.T^{\prime}, g \mid T^{\prime}\right)$ is admissible for $(T, f \mid T)$ and $F_{T}: A \rightarrow B$ is a map defined by the construction $c-K$ by $3.12(\mathrm{~b})$.

Further, clearly $F=\underset{T \in \Theta(A, f)}{ } F_{T}$.
4.7. Theorem. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be unary algebras, $F: A \rightarrow B$ a map defined by the construction $K$. Then $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$ is a homomorphism.

Proof. Let $F: A \rightarrow B$ be defined by the construction $K$ and let $x \in A$ be such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$. Then there is $T \in \Theta(A, f)$ such that $x \in T$. By $4.3(\mathrm{~b}),(T, f \mid T),(\Phi(T)$, $g \mid \Phi(T)$ ) are c-algebras. Thus, $f(x) \in T$ and $F(x)=F_{T}(x), \quad F(f(x))=F_{T}(f(x))$ where $F_{T}: T \rightarrow \Phi(T)$ is a map defined by the construction $c-K$. Thus, $F_{T}:(T, f \mid T) \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow(\Phi(T), g \mid \Phi(T))$ is a homomorphism by 3.13. We obtain $F(x)=F_{T}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g$ and $g(F(x))=g\left(F_{T}(x)\right)=F_{T}(f(x))=F(f(x))$.
4.8. Main Theorem. Let $(A, f),(B, g)$ be unary algebras, $F: A \rightarrow B$ a map. Then $F:(A, f) \rightarrow(B, g)$ is a homomorphism if and only if $F$ is defined by the construction $K$ with respect to $(A, f)$ and $(B, g)$.

Proof is a consequence of 4.6 and 4.7.

## 5. COROLLARIES

Some corollaries for complete unary algebras can be found in [5].
Let $A, B$ be sets, $\alpha \subseteq A \times B$ arbitrary. Then $\alpha$ is said to be a correspondence from $A$ to $B$. If $\alpha$ is a correspondence from $A$ to $B$ then we put
$\operatorname{dom} \alpha=\{x \in A ;$ there is $y \in B$ such that $(x, y) \in \alpha\}$
$\operatorname{Im} \alpha=\{y \in B ;$ there is $x \in A$ such that $(x, y) \in \alpha\}$

If $\alpha$ is a correspondence from $A$ to $B, A \supseteq C \supseteq \operatorname{dom} \alpha, B \supseteq D \supseteq \operatorname{Im} \alpha$ then $\alpha \cap(C \times D)$ is a correspondence from $C$ to $D$. Further, if $\alpha_{i}$ is a correspondence from $A_{i}$ to $B_{i}$ for $i \in I$ then $\bigcup_{i \in I} \alpha_{i}, \bigcap_{i \in I} \alpha_{i}$ are correspondences from $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}$ to $\bigcup_{i \in I} B_{i}$. Finally, if $\alpha$ is a correspondence from $A$ to $B, \beta \subseteq \alpha$ then $\beta$ is a correspondence from $A$ to $B$. Clearly, the correspondence $\alpha$ from $A$ to $B$ is a partial map from $A$ into $B$ if $\left(x, y_{1}\right),\left(x, y_{2}\right) \in \alpha$ implies $y_{1}=y_{2}$.

The partial map $\alpha$ from $A$ into $B$ is said to be injective if $\left(x_{1}, y\right),\left(x_{2}, y\right) \in \alpha$ implies $x_{1}=x_{2}$.

The map $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be surjective if $\operatorname{Im} \alpha=B$ and bijective if it is injective and surjective.

If $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is a map, $n \in N-\{0\}$ arbitrary then we put, for each $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $\in A^{n}, \varphi^{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\varphi\left(x_{1}\right), \varphi\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$; it is a map $\varphi^{n}: A^{n} \rightarrow B^{n}$.
5.1. Definition. (a) Let $(A, \mathscr{F})$ be a complete universal algebra, $n \in N-\{0\}$ arbitrary. Then we put $\mathscr{F}(0)=\mathscr{F} \cap A, \mathscr{F}(n)=\left\{f \in \mathscr{F} ; f: A^{n} \rightarrow A\right\}$.
(b) Let $(A, \mathscr{F}),(B, \mathscr{G})$ be complete universal algebras. Then $(A, \mathscr{F}),(B, \mathscr{G})$ are said to be similar if there is a bijection $\alpha: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{G}$ such that, for each $n \in N, \alpha(\mathscr{F}(n))=$ $=\mathscr{G}(n)$ and $\alpha \mid \mathscr{F}(0) \cap A \cap B=\operatorname{id}_{\mathscr{F}(0) \cap A \cap B}$ and, for each $n \in N-\{0\}, f \in \mathscr{F}(n)$, $f\left|A^{n} \cap B^{n}=\alpha(f)\right| A^{n} \cap B^{n}$.
5.2. Problem. Let $A, B$ be sets, $\Phi$ a set of maps $A \rightarrow B$. Construct a system $\mathscr{F}$ of complete operations on $A$ and a system $\mathscr{G}$ of complete operations on $B$ in such a way that $(A, \mathscr{F}),(B, \mathscr{G})$ are similar universal algebras and that each $\varphi \in \Phi$ is a homomorphism of $(A, \mathscr{F})$ into $(B, \mathscr{G})$.
5.3. Lemma. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ be sets, $f$ a partial map from $A_{1}$ into $A_{2}, g$ a partial map form $B_{1}$ into $B_{2}$. Let $F_{i}: A_{i} \rightarrow B_{1} \cup B_{2}(i=1,2)$ be maps such that $F_{1}\left|A_{1} \cap A_{2}=F_{2}\right| A_{1} \cap A_{2}$. Then $F_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) \subseteq B_{i}(i=1,2)$ and, for each $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$, $F_{2}(f(x))=g\left(F_{1}(x)\right)$ iff $F_{1}\left(A_{1}-\operatorname{dom} f\right) \subseteq B_{1}, F_{2}\left(A_{2}-\operatorname{Im} f\right) \subseteq B_{2}$ and $F_{1} \cup F_{2}$ : $:\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}, f\right) \rightarrow\left(B_{1} \cup B_{2}, g\right)$ is a homomorphism.

Proof. The condition is necessary: We have $F\left(A_{1}-\operatorname{dom} f\right) \subseteq F\left(A_{1}\right) \subseteq B_{1}$, $F\left(A_{2}-\operatorname{Im} f\right) \subseteq F\left(A_{2}\right) \subseteq B_{2}$. Further, let $x \in A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ and let $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$. Then $F_{2}(f(x))$ is defined and $F_{2}(f(x))=g\left(F_{1}(x)\right)$. Thus, $F_{1}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g$. Since $x \in \operatorname{dom} f \subseteq$ $\subseteq A_{1}$ we obtain $\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(x)=F_{1}(x)$ and since $f(x) \in A_{2}$ we have $\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(f(x))=$ $=F_{2}(f(x))$. Thus, $\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g$ and $\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(f(x))=F_{2}(f(x))=g\left(F_{1}(x)\right)=$ $=g\left(\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(x)\right) . F_{1} \cup F_{2}$ is a homomorphism.
The condition is sufficient: Let $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$. Then $\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g$ and $\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(f(x))=g\left(\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(x)\right)$. Further, $x \in A_{1}$ and $f(x) \in \operatorname{Im} f \subseteq A_{2}$ which implies $\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(x)=F_{1}(x)$ and $\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(f(x))=F_{2}(f(x))$. Hence $F_{2}(f(x))=$ $=\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(f(x))=g\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(x)=g\left(F_{1}(x)\right)$.

Further, $F_{1}(x)=\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)(x) \in \operatorname{dom} g \subseteq B_{1}$ and we have $F_{1}(\operatorname{dom} f) \subseteq B_{1}$. Thus, $F_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)=F_{1}(\operatorname{dom} f) \cup F_{1}\left(A_{1}-\operatorname{dom} f\right) \subseteq B_{1}$.

Finally, let $y \in \operatorname{Im} f$ be arbitrary. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $f(x)=y$. Then $F_{2}(y)=F_{2}(f(x))=g\left(F_{1}(x)\right) \in \operatorname{Im} g \subseteq B_{1}$. Thus, $F_{2}(\operatorname{Im} f) \subseteq B_{2}$ which implies $F_{2}\left(A_{2}\right)=F_{2}(\operatorname{Im} f) \cup F_{2}\left(A_{2}-\operatorname{Im} f\right) \subseteq B_{2}$.
5.4. Theorem. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ be sets, $f$ a partial map from $A_{1}$ into $A_{2}, g$ a partial map from $B_{1}$ into $B_{2}$. Let $F_{i}: A_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}(i=1,2)$ be maps such that $F_{1}\left|A_{1} \cap A_{2}=F_{2}\right| A_{1} \cap A_{2}$. Then, for each $x \in \operatorname{dom} f, F_{2}(f(x))=g\left(F_{1}(x)\right)$ if and only if $F_{1} \cup F_{2}:\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}, f\right) \rightarrow\left(B_{1} \cup B_{2}, g\right)$ is a homomorphism.

Proof. The theorem is a corollary of 5.3.
5.5. Theorem. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ be sets, $f$ a partial map from $A_{1}$ into $A_{2}, g$ a partial map from $B_{1}$ into $B_{2}$. Let $F_{i}: A_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}(i=1,2)$ be maps such that $F_{1}\left|A_{1} \cap A_{2}=F_{2}\right| A_{1} \cap A_{2}$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(A) The diagram

is commutative.
(B) $F_{1} \cup F_{2}:\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}, f\right) \rightarrow\left(B_{1} \cup B_{2}, g\right)$ is a homomorphism.
(C) The map $F_{1} \cup F_{2}: A_{1} \cup A_{2} \rightarrow B_{1} \cup B_{2}$ is defined by the construction $K$ with respect to $\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}, f\right)$ and $\left(B_{1} \cup B_{2}, g\right)$.
Proof. (A) and (B) are equivalent by 5.4, (B) and (C) are equivalent by 4.8 .
5.6. Definition. Let $A, B$ be sets, $\Phi$ a set of maps $A \rightarrow B$.
(i) We put $\beta_{0}^{\varphi}=\{(x, \varphi(x)) ; x \in A$ such that $x \in A \cap B$ implies $\varphi(x)=x\}$ for each $\varphi \in \Phi$.
(ii) If $n \in N-\{0\}, \varphi \in \Phi$ are arbitrary then we put $\beta_{n}^{\varphi}=\left\{(f, g) ; f: A^{n} \rightarrow A\right.$, $g: B^{n} \rightarrow B, f \cup g$ is a map defined by the construction $K$ with respect to $\left(A^{n} \cup B^{n}, \varphi^{n}\right)$ and $(A \cup B, \varphi)\}$.
(iii) We put $\beta_{n}=\bigcap_{\varphi \in \Phi} \beta_{n}^{\varphi}$ for each $n \in N$.
(iv) We take $\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n}$ such that $\alpha$ is an injective partial map (from dom $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n}$ into $\operatorname{Im} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n}$ ). Then we put $\mathscr{F}=\operatorname{dom} \alpha, \mathscr{G}=\operatorname{Im} \alpha$.

Then we say that $(A, \mathscr{F}),(B, \mathscr{G})$ is a pair of complete universal algebras defined by the construction $A-K$ with respect to $\Phi$.
5.7. Theorem. Let $A, B$ be sets, $\Phi$ a set of maps $A \rightarrow B$. Then $(A, \mathscr{F}),(B, \mathscr{G})$ are similar complete universal algebras and $\varphi:(A, \mathscr{F}) \rightarrow(B, \mathscr{G})$ a homomorphism for each $\varphi \in \Phi$ if and only if $(A, \mathscr{F}),(B, \mathscr{G})$ is a pair of complete universal algebras defined by the construction $A-K$ with respect to $\Phi$.

Proof. The condition is necessary:
Let $(A, \mathscr{F}),(B, \mathscr{G})$ be similar complete universal algebras and let $\alpha: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{G}$ be a bijection such that $\alpha(\mathscr{F}(n))=\mathscr{G}(n)$ for each $n \in N$ and $\alpha \mid \mathscr{F}(0) \cap A \cap B=$
$=\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{F}(0) \cap A \cap B}$ and, for each $n \in N-\{0\}, f \in \mathscr{F}(n), g=\alpha(f)$ implies $f \mid A^{n} \cap B^{n}=$ $=g \mid A^{n} \cap B^{n}$.
We put $\alpha_{n}=\alpha \mid \mathscr{H}(n)$ for each $n \in N$.
Let $\varphi \in \Phi$ be arbitrary. Let $(f, g) \in \alpha_{0}$. Then $f \in \mathscr{F}(0) \subseteq A$; further, we have $g=\varphi(f)$ because $\varphi$ is a homomorphism and $f \in \mathscr{F}(0) \cap A \cap B$ implies $g=f$. Thus, $(f, g) \in \beta_{0}^{\varphi}$. Further, let $(f, g) \in \alpha_{n}$ for an arbitrary $n \in N-\{0\}$. Then, for each $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in A^{n}$, we have $\varphi\left(f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=g\left(\varphi\left(x_{1}\right), \varphi\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $=g\left(\varphi^{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)$ (because $\varphi$ is a homomorphism). Thus, the diagram

is commutative. Further, $f\left|A^{n} \cap B^{n}=g\right| A^{n} \cap B^{n}$ which implies that the map $f \cup g$ is defined by the construction $K$ with respect to $\left(A^{n} \cup B^{n}, \varphi^{n}\right)$ and $(A \cup B, \varphi)$ by 5.5. Thus, $(f, g) \in \beta_{n}^{\varphi}$.

We obtain $\alpha_{n} \subseteq \beta_{n}^{\varphi}$ for each $\varphi \in \Phi$ and each $n \in N$. This implies $\alpha_{n} \subseteq \bigcap_{\varphi \in \Phi} \beta_{n}^{\varphi}=\beta_{n}$ for each $n \in N$.

Finally, $\alpha=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n}$ and dom $\alpha=\mathscr{F}, \operatorname{Im} \alpha=\mathscr{G}$.
The condition is sufficient:
Let $(A, \mathscr{F}),(B, \mathscr{G})$ be a pair of complete universal algebras defined by the construction $A-K$ (with respect to $\Phi$ ) where $\mathscr{F}=\operatorname{dom} \alpha, \mathscr{G}=\operatorname{Im} \alpha$ for an $\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n}$ by 5.6. We put $\alpha_{n}=\alpha \cap \beta_{n}$ for each $n \in N$. Then $\alpha=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}$ with disjoint terms because $\beta_{n}$ are mutually disjoint.

Further, $\operatorname{dom} \alpha_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap \operatorname{dom} \beta_{0} \subseteq \mathscr{F} \cap A, \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \alpha \cap \operatorname{Im} \beta_{0} \subseteq \mathscr{G} \cap B$ and, for each $n \in N-\{0\}$, $\operatorname{dom} \alpha_{n} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap \operatorname{dom} \beta_{n} \subseteq \mathscr{F} \cap\left\{f ; f: A^{n} \rightarrow A\right\}$, $\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{n} \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \alpha \cap \operatorname{Im} \beta_{n} \subseteq \mathscr{G} \cap\left\{g ; g: B^{n} \rightarrow B\right\}$. Thus, $\operatorname{dom} \alpha_{n}=\mathscr{F}(n), \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{n}=\mathscr{G}(n)$ for each $n \in N . \alpha$ is an injective partial map (from $\operatorname{dom} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n}$ into $\operatorname{Im} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n}$ ) by 5.6. Then $\alpha: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{G}$ is a surjective (complete) map because $\operatorname{dom} \alpha=\mathscr{F}, \operatorname{Im} \alpha=\mathscr{G}$. Thus, $\alpha: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{G}$ is bijective.

Further, $\alpha(\mathscr{F}(n))=\alpha_{n}(\mathscr{F}(n))=\alpha_{n}\left(\operatorname{dom} \alpha_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Im} \alpha_{n}=\mathscr{G}(n)$ for each $n \in N$.
Finally, $\alpha \mid \mathscr{F}(0) \cap A \cap B=\operatorname{id}_{\mathscr{F}(0) \cap A \cap B}$ by 5.6 (i) and if $f \in \mathscr{F}(n)$ for each $n \in$ $\in N-\{0\}$ and $g=\alpha(f)$ then $f\left|A^{n} \cap B^{n}=g\right| A^{n} \cap B^{n}$ because $f \cup g$ is a map $A^{n} \cup B^{n} \rightarrow A \cup B$ by 5.6 (ii).

Thus, $(A, \mathscr{F}),(B, \mathscr{G})$ are similar complete universal algebras.
Further, let $\varphi \in \Phi$ be arbitrary. Let $f \in \mathscr{F}, g=\alpha(f)$.
If $f \in \mathscr{F}(0)$ then $g \in \mathscr{G}(0)$ and $(f, g) \in \alpha_{0} \subseteq \beta_{0} \subseteq \beta_{0}^{\varphi}$ which implies $\varphi(f)=g$ by 5.6 (i).

Suppose $n \in N-\{0\}$. If $f \in \mathscr{F}(n)$ then $g \in \mathscr{G}(n)$ and $(f, g) \in \alpha_{n} \subseteq \beta_{n} \subseteq \beta_{n}^{\varphi}$. We have, for each $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in A^{n}, \varphi\left(f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=g\left(\varphi^{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $=g\left(\varphi\left(x_{1}\right), \varphi\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ by 5.6 (ii).

Thus, $\varphi$ is a homomorphism.
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[^0]:    $\left.{ }^{*}\right) p \mid q$ for $p, q \in N$ means that $p$ is a divisor of $q$.

