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# THE LATTICE OF SOLID $\sigma$-SUBGROUPS OF A RETRACTABLE GROUP 

Richard D. Byrd, Justin T. Lloyd, Roberto A. Mena*, Houston, and J. Roger Teller, Georgetown

(Received August 11, 1975)

1. Introduction. The concept of a retractable group was introduced in [2] and there it was shown that the class of lattice-ordered groups is a proper subclass of the class of retractable groups, which in turn is a proper subclass of the class of torsion free groups. In 1942 G. Birkhoff [1] proved that the collection of $l$-ideals of a latticeordered group is a complete sublattice of the lattice of subgroups and that this sublattice is Brouwerian. In 1962 this result was generalized by K. Lorenz [5]. Lorenz showed that the collection of convex $l$-subgroups of a lattice-ordered group is a complete sublattice of the lattice of subgroups and again this sublattice is Brouwerian. In $[2$, Theorem 4.2 (iv)] it was shown that the collection of $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroups of a retractable group is a complete sublattice of the lattice of subgroups. The dual assertion is true for $\lambda$ - $\sigma$-subgroups, and hence, is true for solid $\sigma$-subgroups. The main result of this paper (Theorem 4.4) is that the collection of normal solid $\sigma$-subgroups is Brouwerian. This is a generalization of Birkhoff's result cited above. We note that the normal solid $\sigma$-subgroups are kernels of $\sigma$ - $\tau$-homomorphisms (see [2], Section 4).

In Section 2 we give the definitions and notation that will be used throughout the paper. In addition, we recall some results from [2] that will be frequently used. In Section 3 we give sufficient conditions for a $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup to be a $\lambda-\sigma$-subgroup (Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.3) and sufficient conditions for the transitivity of $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroups (Theorems 3.7 and 3.8). In addition to the main result in Section 4, we show that if $H$ and $J$ are disjoint solid $\sigma$-subgroups, then they commute elementwise (Theorem 4.2). Finally, in Section 5 we give an example to illustrate the scope and limitations of our theory.

[^0]2. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper, $G$ will denote a group, written multiplicatively and with identity $i$, and $F(G)$ will denote the collection of all finite, nonempty subsets of $G$. Then $F(G)$ is a join monoid, that is, $F(G)$ is a join semilattice in which $A \vee B=A \cup B, F(G)$ is a monoid in which $A B=\{a b \mid a \in A$ and $b \in B\}$, $A(B \vee C)=A B \vee A C$, and $(A \vee B) C=A C \vee B C$. A homomorphism $\sigma$ of $F(G)$ into $G$ such that $\{g\} \sigma=g$ for every $g$ in $G$, will be called a retraction of $G$. We will denote by Ret $G$ the collection of all retractions of $G$. If Ret $G$ is nonempty, then $G$ is said to be a retractable group. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ then the kernel of $\sigma$ is the set Ker $\sigma=$ $=\{A \mid A \in F(G)$ and $A \sigma=i\}$. If Ker $\sigma$ is a convex subsemilattice of $F(G)$, then $\sigma$ is said to be an l-retraction of $G$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the lattice-orderings of $G$ and the $l$-retractions of $G$ [2, Corollary 3.3]; in this case $\vee \mathcal{V}$ equals $A \sigma$ for all $A \in F(G)$.

If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varrho_{H, \sigma}=\{(A, B) \mid A, B \in F(G) \text { and } H(A \sigma)=H(B \sigma)\}, \\
& \lambda_{H, \sigma}=\{(A, B) \mid A, B \in F(G) \text { and }(A \sigma) H=(B \sigma) H\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It was shown in [2, Theorem 2.12] that the mapping given by $H \rightarrow \varrho_{H, \sigma}$ is a complete lattice isomorphism from the lattice of all subgroups of $G$ into the lattice of all equivalence relations of $F(G)$. (Dually, so is the mapping $H \rightarrow \lambda_{H, \sigma}$.) It is easily seen that $H$ is normal in $G$ if and only if $\lambda_{H, \sigma}=\varrho_{H, \sigma}$ (or $\lambda_{H, \sigma} \supseteq \varrho_{H, \sigma}$ ). We call $H$ a $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$ provided that $A \sigma \in H$ for every $A \in F(H)$. If $\sigma$ is an $l$-retraction, then $\sigma$-subgroups correspond to $l$-subgroups. If $H$ is a $\sigma$-subgroup, then the restriction of $\sigma$ to $F(H)$ is a retraction of $H$ and we will denote the restriction by $\sigma_{H}$.

If $\theta$ is an equivalence relation on a set $X$ and $x \in X$, then $[x] \theta$ will denote the equivalence class containing $x$.

Theorem 2.1. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ and $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, then the following are equivalent:
(i) $H$ is a $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$;
(ii) $F(H) \subseteq[\{i\}] \varrho_{H, \sigma}$;
(iii) if $(A, B) \in \varrho_{H, \sigma}$ and $C \in F(H)$, then $(A, C B) \in \varrho_{H, \sigma}$;
(iv) if $A \in F(G)$, then $F(H)\left([A] \varrho_{H, \sigma}\right) \subseteq[A] \varrho_{H, \sigma}$;
(v) $F(H)\left([\{i\}] \varrho_{H, \sigma}\right) \subseteq[\{i\}] \varrho_{H, \sigma}$.

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) was given in [2, Corollary 2.13] and the equivalence of (i), (iii), (iv), and (v) is straightforward. Of course, (ii) through (v) may be replaced by the corresponding assertions involving $\lambda_{H, \sigma}$.

Again, let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ and $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Then $H$ is said to be a $\varrho-\sigma$ subgroup (resp., $\lambda$ - $\sigma$-subgroup) if $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \in F(G)$ and $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \in H$ implies that $\left(A,\left\{h_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} a_{n}\right\}\right) \in \varrho_{H, \sigma} \quad$ (resp., $\left.\left(A,\left\{a_{1} h_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} h_{n}\right\}\right) \in \lambda_{H, \sigma}\right)$. We call $H$ a convex $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroup (resp., convex $\lambda$ - $\sigma$-subgroup) if $\varrho_{H, \sigma}$ (resp., $\lambda_{H, \sigma}$ )
is a join congruence on $F(G)$. If $H$ is both a $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup and a $\lambda$ - $\sigma$-subgroup, then $H$ is said to be a solid $\sigma$-subgroup. (In [2] and [3], a $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup was called a $c$ - $\sigma$-subgroup and a convex $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup was called a convex $\sigma$-subgroup.) Clearly, a normal $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup is a solid $\sigma$-subgroup. It was proven in [2, Theorem 4.2 (ii) and (iii)] that a convex $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroup is a $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroup and a $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup is a $\sigma$-subgroup. Moreover, the collection $\mathscr{R}_{\sigma}(G)$ of all $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroups is a complete sublattice of the lattice of all subgroups of $G$ [2, Theorem 4.2 (iv)] and the collection of all convex $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroups is a dual ideal of $\mathscr{R}_{\sigma}(G)$ in which joins and meets of nonvoid subcollections agree with those in $\mathscr{R}_{\sigma}(G)$ [2, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.8]. In particular, there is a smallest convex $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup, which is necessarily normal in $G$. Also, the lattice of convex $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroups is a Brouwerian lattice [2, Corollary 4.6]. If $\sigma$ is an $l$-retraction then $\{i\}$ is a convex $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup, each $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroup is a convex $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup, and the convex $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroups become convex $l$-subgroups in the lattice-ordering of $G$ induced by $\sigma$.

If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G, H$ is a normal solid $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$, and $X \sigma^{*}=H\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \sigma\right)$, for every $X=\left\{H a_{1}, \ldots, H a_{n}\right\} \in F(G / H)$, then $\sigma^{*} \in \operatorname{Ret} G / H$ [2, Theorem 4.3 (i)] and there is a lattice isomorphism between the $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroups of $G$ that contain $H$ and the $\varrho-\sigma^{*}$-subgroups of $G / H[2$, Corollary 4.5].

In the sequel we will have occasion to use retractions constructed from a given retraction $\sigma$ of $G$. If $\phi$ is an automorphism or an anti-automorphism of $G$, then $\phi \sigma \phi^{-1}$ (we do not distinguish in notation between the image of an element under a function and the image of a subset under the function) is a retraction of $G$ [2, Theorem 5.1]. If $\phi$ is the anti-automorphism of $G$ given by $g \phi=g^{-1}$, then $\sigma^{\prime}=\phi \sigma \phi^{-1}$ is called the dual of $\sigma$. (If $\sigma$ is an $l$-retraction, then $\sigma^{\prime}$ is an $l$-retraction and induces the dual lattice-ordering on $G$.) If $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}$, then $\sigma$ is said to be self dual. If $G$ is abelian, $\phi$ is an endomorphism of $G, A \in F(G)$, and $\sigma^{\wedge}$ is given by $A \sigma^{\wedge}=\left(\left(A A^{-1}\right) \sigma \phi\right)(A \sigma)$, then $\sigma^{\wedge}$ is a retraction of $G[2$, Theorem 5.5].

If $X \subseteq G$, then $[X]$ will denote the subgroup of $G$ generated by $X$. The rational numbers will be denoted by $Q$.
3. Subgroups. We begin this section by showing that if $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$, then the collection of convex $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroups is identical with the collection of convex $\lambda$ - $\sigma$-subgroups.

Theorem 3.1. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ and $H$ is a convex $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup of $G$, then $H$ is a convex $\lambda$ - $\sigma$-subgroup. Hence, each convex $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroup is a solid $\sigma$-subgroup.

Proof. Let $J$ be the smallest convex $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup of $G$. Then $H \supseteq J$ and $G / J$ is a lattice-ordered group, where the join of $\left\{J a_{1}, \ldots, J a_{n}\right\}$ equals $\left\{J a_{1}, \ldots, J a_{n}\right\} \sigma^{*}$ for every $\left\{J a_{1}, \ldots, J a_{n}\right\} \in F(G / J)[2$, Theorem 4.3 (i)]. Moreover, $H / J$ is a convex $l$-subgroup of $G / J\left[2\right.$, Corollary 4.6]. Let $(A, B) \in \lambda_{H, \sigma}$ and $C \in F(G)$, where $A=$ $=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}, \quad B=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$, and $C=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\}$. Then $\left(\left\{J a_{1}, \ldots, J a_{m}\right\}\right.$, $\left.\left\{J b_{1}, \ldots, J b_{n}\right\}\right) \in \lambda_{H / J, \sigma^{*}}$. Since $H / J$ is a convex $l$-subgroup of $G / J, \bigvee_{i=1}^{n}\left(J a_{i} H / J\right)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(\left\{J a_{1}, \ldots, J a_{m}\right\} \sigma^{*}\right) H / J=\left(\left\{J b_{1}, \ldots, J b_{n}\right\} \sigma^{*}\right) H / J=\bigvee_{i=1}^{n}\left(J b_{i} H / J\right) . \text { Hence, } \\
& \left(\left\{J a_{1}, \ldots, J a_{m}, J c_{1}, \ldots, J c_{p}\right\} \sigma^{*}\right) H / J=\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{m}\left(J a_{i} H / J\right)\right) \vee\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{p}\left(J c_{i} H / J\right)\right)= \\
& =\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n}\left(J b_{i} H / J\right)\right) \vee\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{p}\left(J c_{i} H / J\right)\right)=\left(\left\{J b_{1}, \ldots, J b_{n}, J c_{1}, \ldots, J c_{p}\right\} \sigma^{*}\right) H / J .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $(A \cup C, B \cup C) \in \lambda_{I, \sigma}$ and so $H$ is a convex $\lambda-\sigma$-subgroup of $G$.
In view of Theorem 3.1, we will call a convex $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup simply a convex $\sigma$-subgroup. We have not been able to determine if each $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup is a $\lambda-\sigma$ subgroup.

The proofs of Theorem 3.2, Corollaries 3.3 and 3.4, and Theorem 3.5 are straightforward and will be omitted.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\phi$ be an automorphism or an anti-automorphism of $G, \sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$, $\tau=\phi \sigma \phi^{-1}, H$ be a subgroup of $G$, and $J=H \phi^{-1}$.
(i) If $H$ is a $\sigma$-subgroup, then $J$ is a $\tau$-subgroup of $G$.
(ii) If $\phi$ is an automorphism and $H$ is a $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroup, then $J$ is a $\varrho-\tau$-subgroup of $G$; if $\phi$ is an anti-automorphism and $H$ is a $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup, then $J$ is a $\lambda$ - $\tau$-subgroup of $G$.
(iii) If $H$ is a solid $\sigma$-subgroup, then $J$ is a solid $\tau$-subgroup of $G$.
(iv) If $H$ is a convex $\sigma$-subgroup, then $J$ is a convex $\tau$-subgroup of $G$.

Corollary 3.3. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ and $H$ is a $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup of $G$, then the following are equivalent:
(i) $H$ is a $\varrho$ - $\sigma^{\prime}$-subgroup;
(ii) $H$ is a $\lambda$ - $\sigma$-subgroup;
(iii) $H$ is a solid $\sigma$-subgroup;
(iv) $H$ is a solid $\sigma^{\prime}$-subgroup.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ and $H$ be a subgroup of $G$.
(i) $H$ is a $\sigma$-subgroup if and only if $H$ is a $\sigma^{\prime}$-subgroup.
(ii) $H$ is a convex $\sigma$-subgroup if and only if $H$ is a convex $\sigma^{\prime}$-subgroup.

Theorem 3.5. Let $G$ be an abelian group, $\phi$ be an endomorphism of $G$, and $\sigma \in$ $\in \operatorname{Ret} G$. If $H$ is a solid $\sigma$-subgroup and $H$ is $\phi$-invariant, then $H$ is a solid $\sigma^{\wedge}$ subgroup.

Example 3.6. Let $K=Q \times Q \times Q$, the direct product of three copies of the rationals, and define $\left\{\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n}, b_{n}, c_{n}\right)\right\} \sigma=\left(\bigvee a_{i}, \bigvee b_{i}, \bigvee c_{i}\right)$.Then $\sigma \in$ $\in \operatorname{Ret} K$ and $H=\{(0,0, c) \mid c \in Q\}$ is a convex $\sigma$-subgroup of $K$. If $\phi$ is the endomorphism of $K$ given by $(a, b, c) \phi=(c,-c, 0)$, then neither $H, H \phi$, nor $H+H \phi$ is a $\sigma^{\wedge}$-subgroup of $K$.

Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ and $H$ and $J$ be normal solid $\sigma$-subgroups of $G$. We say that $G$ is the $\sigma$-product of $H$ and $J$, denoted $G=H \otimes J$, provided that $G$ is the direct product of $H$ and $J$ and if $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \in F(G)$, then $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\} \sigma_{H}\right)$. . $\left(\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\} \sigma_{J}\right)$, where $h_{i} \in H, j_{i} \in J$, and $a_{i}=h_{i} j_{i}$. If $\sigma$ is an $l$-retraction, $H$ and $J$ are normal convex $\sigma$-subgroups of $G$, and $G$ is the $\sigma$-product of $H$ and $J$, then $G$ is the cardinal product of the convex $l$-subgroups $H$ and $J$. The extension of the definition of a (restricted) $\sigma$-product to more than two factors is immediate.

A second problem which we have not been able to answer concerns the transitivity of $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroups. (Transitivity of $\sigma$-subgroups is trivial.) We show in Example 5.1 that the property of being a convex $\sigma$-subgroup need not be transitive.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ and $H$ and $J$ be normal solid $\sigma$-subgroups of $G$ such that $G=H \otimes J$.
(i) If $K$ is a $\varrho-\sigma_{H}$-subgroup of $H$, then $K$ is a $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup of $G$.
(ii) If $K$ is a solid $\sigma_{H}$-subgroup of $H$, then $K$ is a solid $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$.
(iii) If $K$ is a convex $\sigma_{H^{-}}$-subgroup of $H$ and $H$ is a convex $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$, then $K$ is a convex $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$.

Proof. The verification of (i) and (ii) is routine. We prove only (iii). Let $(A, B) \in$ $\in \varrho_{K, \sigma}$ and $C \in F(G)$, where $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}, B=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$, and $C=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\}$. Let $a_{i}=h_{i} j_{i}, b_{i}=s_{i} t_{i}$, and $c_{i}=x_{i} y_{i}$, where $h_{i}, s_{i}, x_{i} \in H$ and $j_{i}, t_{i}, y_{i} \in J$. Then $(A, B) \in \varrho_{H, \sigma}$ and so $(A \cup C, B \cup C) \in \varrho_{H, \sigma}$. Thus, $H\left(\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\} \sigma_{H}\right)$ $\left(\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p}\right\} \sigma_{J}\right)=H(A \cup C) \sigma=H(B \cup C) \sigma=H\left(\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\} \sigma_{H}\right)\left(\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p}\right\} \sigma_{J}\right)$. Since $H \cap J=\{i\}$, it follows that $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p}\right\} \sigma=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p}\right\} \sigma$. Similarly, $(A, B) \in \varrho_{H, \sigma}$ implies that $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right\} \sigma=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\} \sigma$. Therefore, $(A, B) \in \varrho_{K, \sigma}$ implies that $K\left(\left\{h_{1}, \ldots\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\ldots, h_{m}\right\} \sigma_{H}\right)=K\left(\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\} \sigma_{H}\right)$ and since $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\} \in F(H)$ and $K$ is a convex $\sigma_{H}$-subgroup of $H, K\left(\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\} \sigma_{H}\right)=K\left(\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\} \sigma_{H}\right)$. Consequently, $K(A \cup C) \sigma=K(B \cup C) \sigma$ and so $K$ is a convex $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$.

As a second instance of transitivity we have
Theorem 3.8. If $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G, \tau \in \operatorname{Ret} H$ such that for every $g \in G$ and every $A \in F(H),\left(g^{-1} A g\right) \tau=g^{-1}(A \tau) g$, $\leqq$ is a linear ordering of $G / H$ such that $(G / H, \leqq)$ is a linearly ordered group, then there is an extension $\sigma$ of $\tau$ to a retraction of $G$ such that $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{a_{m} a_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{n} a_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right) a_{n}$, where $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \in F(G)$ and $H a_{1} \leqq \ldots \leqq H a_{m-1}<H a_{m}=\ldots=H a_{n}$, and $H$ is a convex $\sigma$-subgroup of G. Moreover,
(i) if $J$ is a $\varrho$ - $\tau$-subgroup of $H$, then $J$ is a $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$;
(ii) if $J$ is a solid $\tau$-subgroup of $H$, then $J$ is a solid $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$;
(iii) if $J$ is a convex $\tau$-subgroup of $H$, then $J$ is a convex $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$.

Proof. First we note that in [3, Theorem 3.18] that the existence of $\sigma$ was established and it was shown that $H$ is a convex $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$.
(i) Let $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \in F(G)$, where $H a_{1} \leqq \ldots \leqq H a_{m-1}<H a_{m}=\ldots=H a_{n}$, and $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n} \in J$. Then $\left\{a_{m} a_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{n} a_{n}^{-1}\right\} \in F(H)$ and so $\left\{a_{m} a_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{n} a_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau=$ $=j\left(\left\{j_{m} a_{m} a_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, j_{n} a_{n} a_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right)$, for some $j \in J$. Now, $H j_{1} a_{1} \leqq \ldots \leqq H j_{m-1} a_{m-1}<$ $<H j_{m} a_{m}=\ldots=H j_{n} a_{n}$ and hence, $J\left(\left\{j_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, j_{n} a_{n}\right\} \sigma\right)=J\left(\left\{j_{m} a_{m} a_{n}^{-1} j_{n}^{-1}, \ldots\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\ldots, j_{n} a_{n} a_{n}^{-1} j_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right) j_{n} a_{n}=J\left(\left\{j_{m} a_{m} a_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, j_{n} a_{n} a_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right) a_{n}=J\left(j^{-1}\left(\left\{a_{m} a_{n}^{-1}, \ldots\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\ldots, a_{n} a_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right) a_{n}=J\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \sigma\right)$. Therefore, $J$ is a $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup of $G$.
(ii) is immediate from (i) and the dual assertion for $\lambda-\sigma$-subgroups of $G$.
(iii) Let $\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\},\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}\right) \in \varrho_{J, \sigma}$ and $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\} \in F(G)$, where $H a_{1} \leqq \ldots$ $\ldots \leqq H a_{r-1}<H a_{r}=\ldots=H a_{m}, H b_{1} \leqq \ldots \leqq H b_{s-1}<H b_{s}=\ldots=H b_{n}$, and $H c_{1} \leqq \ldots \leqq H c_{t-1}<H c_{t}=\ldots=H c_{p}$. Then $\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\},\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}\right) \in \varrho_{H, \sigma}$ and hence, $H a_{m}=H b_{n}$.

Case 1. $H c_{p}<H a_{m}$. Then $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{a_{r} a_{m}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m} a_{m}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right) a_{m}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{b_{s} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, b_{n} b_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right) b_{n}$. Since $\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}\right.$, $\left.\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}\right) \in \varrho_{J, \sigma}$, we have that $J\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\} \sigma\right)=J\left(\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right.\right.$, $\left.c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\} \sigma$ ).

Case 2. $H c_{p}=H a_{m}$. Then, since $a_{m} b_{n}^{-1} \in H$ and $\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\},\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}\right) \in \varrho_{J, \sigma}$, $J\left(\left\{a_{r} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m} b_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right)=J\left(\left\{a_{r} a_{m}^{-1} a_{m} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m} a_{m}^{-1} a_{m} b_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right)=$ $=J\left(\left\{a_{r} a_{m}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m} a_{m}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right) a_{m} b_{n}^{-1}=J\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \sigma\right) b_{n}^{-1}=J\left(\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\} \sigma\right) b_{n}^{-1}=$ $=J\left(\left\{b_{s} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, b_{n} b_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau b_{n}\right) b_{n}^{-1}=J\left(\left\{b_{s} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, b_{n} b_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right)$. Also, $c_{p} b_{n}^{-1} \in H$ and $\left\{c_{t} c_{p}^{-1}, \ldots, c_{p} c_{p}^{-1}\right\} \in F(H)$. Thus, $\left\{c_{t} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, c_{p} b_{n}^{-1}\right\} \in F(H)$. Since $J$ is a convex $\tau$-subgroup of $H$ and $\left(\left\{a_{r} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m} b_{n}^{-1}\right\},\left\{b_{s} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, b_{n} b_{n}^{-1}\right\}\right) \in \varrho_{J, \tau}$,
$\left(\left\{a_{r} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m} b_{n}^{-1}, c_{t} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, c_{p} b_{n}^{-1}\right\},\left\{b_{s} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, b_{n} b_{n}^{-1}, c_{t} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, c_{p} b_{n}^{-1}\right\}\right) \in \varrho_{J, \tau}$. Therefore, $J\left(\left\{a_{r} a_{m}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m} a_{m}^{-1}, c_{t} a_{m}^{-1}, \ldots, c_{p} a_{m}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right) a_{m} b_{n}^{-1}=J\left(\left\{a_{r} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m} b_{n}^{-1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.c_{t} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, c_{p} b_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right)=J\left(\left\{b_{s} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, b_{n} b_{n}^{-1}, c_{t} b_{n}^{-1}, \ldots, c_{p} b_{n}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right)$. It follows that $J\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\} \sigma\right)=J\left(\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\} \sigma\right)$.

Case 3. $H c_{p}>H a_{m}$. Then $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{c_{t} c_{p}^{-1}, \ldots, c_{p} c_{p}^{-1}\right\} \tau\right) c_{p}=$ $=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right\} \sigma$.

Consequently, $J$ is a convex $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$.
Theorem 3.9. If $\sigma$ is a self dual retraction of $G$ and $H$ and $J$ are $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroups of $G$, then $H J=J H$.

Proof. Let $h \in H$ and $j \in J$. It was shown in the proof of [2, Corollary 5.3] that if $\{i, h\} \sigma=a$, then $a^{2}=h$. Hence, $h=a^{2}=(\{i, h\} \sigma)(\{i, h\} \sigma)=\left\{i, h, h^{2}\right\} \sigma$. Thus, if $A=\left\{i, h, h^{2}\right\}$, we have $h=A \sigma$ and $i \in A \in F(H)$. Similarly, $j^{-1}=B \sigma$, where $B=\left\{i, j^{-1}, j^{-2}\right\} \in F(J)$. Since $H$ is a $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroup of $G, A \cup B \in F(G)$, $i \in A \cap B$, and $i, h^{-1}, h^{-2} \in H, \quad H(A \cup B) \sigma=H(B \sigma)$. Similarly, $J(A \cup B) \sigma=$ $=J(A \sigma)$. Therefore, $(A \cup B) \sigma=h_{1} j^{-1}=j_{1} h$, for some $h_{1} \in H$ and $j_{1} \in J$. Hence, $h j=j_{1}^{-1} h_{1} \in J H$. It follows that $H J=J H$.

We conclude this section by giving a description of the $\sigma$-subgroup generated by a subset of $G$.

Theorem 3.10. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G, X \subseteq G, H_{1}=[X], H_{n}=\left[\left\{A \sigma \mid A \in F\left(H_{n-1}\right)\right\}\right]$ for $n>1$, and $H=\bigcup H_{n}$.
(i) $H$ is the $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$ generated by $X$.
(ii) If $x y=y x$ for all $x, y \in X$, then $H_{n}=\left\{(A \sigma)(B \sigma)^{-1} \mid A, B \in F\left(H_{n-1}\right)\right\}$ for $n>1$ and $H$ is abelian. If, in addition, $\sigma$ is an l-retraction, then $H_{2}=H$.
(iii) If $\sigma$ is self dual, then $H_{n}=\left\{A \sigma \mid A \in F\left(H_{n-1}\right)\right\}$ for $n>1$.

Proof. (i) For each $n, H_{n} \subseteq H_{n+1}$. Hence, $H$ is a subgroup of $G$. If $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}\right\} \in$ $\in F(H)$, then $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}\right\} \in F\left(H_{n}\right)$ for some $n$, and so $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}\right\} \sigma \in H_{n+1} \subseteq H$. Therefore, $H$ is a $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$. If $J$ is any $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$ containing $X$, then $J \supseteq H_{1}=[X]$. If $J \supseteq H_{n}$, then $A \sigma \in J$ for every $A \in F\left(H_{n}\right)$. Thus, $H_{n+1} \subseteq J$ and so $H \subseteq J$.
(ii) First we show that $H$ is abelian. Clearly, $H_{1}$ is abelian. If $H_{n}$ is abelian, then $H_{n} \subseteq C\left(H_{n}\right)$, the centralizer of $H_{n}$. By [2, Theorem 2.14], the centralizer of any subset is a $\sigma$-subgroup. Hence, $C\left(H_{n}\right)$ is a $\sigma$-subgroup and the center of $C\left(H_{n}\right)$ is a $\sigma$-subgroup that contains $H_{n}$. Therefore, $H$ is abelian.

For $n>1$, let $T=\left\{(A \sigma)(B \sigma)^{-1} \mid A, B \in F\left(H_{n-1}\right)\right\}$. Then $T \subseteq H_{n}$. If $x, y \in T$, then $x=(A \sigma)(B \sigma)^{-1}$ and $y=(C \sigma)(D \sigma)^{-1}$ for some $A, B, C, D \in F\left(H_{n-1}\right)$. Thus, $x y^{-1}=(A \sigma)(B \sigma)^{-1}(D \sigma)(C \sigma)^{-1}=(A D) \sigma((C B) \sigma)^{-1} \in T$ and so $T=H_{n}$.

Next suppose that $\sigma$ is an $l$-retraction. Then $[2$, Theorem $3.2(\mathrm{v})]$ there is a latticeordering of $G$ so that the join of $A$ equals $A \sigma$ for every $A \in F(G)$. Hence, to show that $H_{2}$ is a $\sigma$-subgroup, it suffices to show that $\{i, h\} \sigma \in H_{2}$ for every $h \in H_{2}$. Now, $h \in H_{2}$ implies that $h=(A \sigma)(B \sigma)^{-1}$ for some $A, B \in H_{1}$. Then $\{i, h\} \sigma=$ $=\left\{i,(A \sigma)(B \sigma)^{-1}\right\} \sigma=\{B \sigma, A \sigma\} \sigma(B \sigma)^{-1}=((A \cup B) \sigma)(B \sigma)^{-1} \in H_{2}$.
(iii) For $n>1$, let $T=\left\{A \sigma \mid A \in F\left(H_{n-1}\right)\right\}$. If $A, B \in F\left(H_{n-1}\right)$ then $A B \in F\left(H_{n-1}\right)$ and so $(A \sigma)(B \sigma)=(A B) \sigma \in T$. Moreover, $A^{-1} \in F\left(H_{n-1}\right)$ and $(A \sigma)^{-1}=\left(A^{-1}\right) \sigma \in$ $\in T$ since $\sigma$ is self dual. Hence, $T=H_{n}$.
4. Distributivity. In this section we prove our main result. First we establish a theorem which appears very similar to the definition of $\sigma$-product.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G, H$ be a $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup of $G$ and $J$ be a $\lambda-\sigma$-subgroup of $G$ such that $H \cap J=\{i\}$. If $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \in H$ and $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n} \in J$, then $\left\{h_{1} j_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} j_{n}\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\} \sigma_{H}\right)\left(\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\} \sigma_{J}\right)$.
Proof. Since $H$ is a $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroup and $h_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, h_{n}^{-1} \in H, H\left(\left\{h_{1} j_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} j_{n}\right\} \sigma\right)=$ $=H\left(\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\} \sigma\right)$, and since $J$ is a $\lambda-\sigma$-subgroup and $j_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, j_{n}^{-1} \in J$, $\left(\left\{h_{1} j_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} j_{n}\right\} \sigma\right) J=\left(\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\} \sigma\right) J$. Thus, there exist $h \in H$ and $j \in J$ such that $h\left(\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\} \sigma\right)=\left\{h_{1} j_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} j_{n}\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\} \sigma\right) j$. Therefore, $\left(\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\} \sigma\right)^{-1} h=j\left(\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\} \sigma\right)^{-1} \in H \cap J=\{i\}$. Hence, $\left(\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\} \sigma_{H}\right)$. $\cdot\left(\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\} \sigma_{J}\right)=\left(\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\} \sigma\right)\left(\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\} \sigma\right)=\left\{h_{1} j_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} j_{n}\right\} \sigma$.

It is well known that if $G$ is a lattice-ordered group and $H$ and $J$ are convex $l$-subgroups of $G$ such that $H \cap J=\{i\}$, then $H$ and $J$ commute elementwise. Since each convex $\sigma$-subgroup is a solid $\sigma$-subgroup, our next theorem is a generalization of this result.

Theorem 4.2. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G, H$ and $J$ are solid $\sigma$-subgroups of $G$ such that $H \cap J=$ $=\{i\}, h \in H$ and $j \in J$, then $h j=j h$.

Proof. The proof is divided into steps.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\{i, h\} \sigma)(\{i, j\} \sigma)=(\{i, j\} \sigma)(\{i, h\} \sigma) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H$ is a $Q-\sigma$-subgroup and $J$ is a $\lambda$ - $\sigma$-subgroup, we have by Theorem 4.1 that $\{h, j\} \sigma=\{h i, i j\} \sigma=(\{h, i\} \sigma)(\{i, j\} \sigma)$. Dually, $\{h, j\} \sigma=(\{i, j\} \sigma)(\{i, h\} \sigma)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{h j, i\} \sigma=\{j h, i\} \sigma . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1) and Theorem 4.1, $\{h j, i\} \sigma=(\{h, i\} \sigma)(\{j, i\} \sigma)=(\{j, i\} \sigma)(\{h, i\} \sigma)=$ $=\{j h, i\} \sigma$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left\{h^{-1}, j^{-1}\right\} \sigma\right) h=h\left(\left\{h^{-1}, j^{-1}\right\} \sigma\right) \text { and }\left(\left\{h^{-1}, j^{-1}\right\} \sigma\right) j=j\left(\left\{h^{-1}, j^{-1}\right\} \sigma\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h$ and $j$ are arbitrary, we have by (2) that $\left(\left\{h^{-1}, j^{-1}\right\} \sigma\right) h=\left\{i, j^{-1} h\right\} \sigma=$ $=\left\{i, h j^{-1}\right\} \sigma=h\left(\left\{h^{-1}, j^{-1}\right\} \sigma\right)$. Similarly, we obtain the other equality.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h j=j h . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3), $h j\left(\left\{h^{-1}, j^{-1}\right\} \sigma\right)=h\left(\left\{h^{-1}, j^{-1}\right\} \sigma\right) j=\{h, j\} \sigma=j\left(\left\{h^{-1}, j^{-1}\right\} \sigma\right) h=$ $=j h\left(\left\{h^{-1}, j^{-1}\right\} \sigma\right)$. Hence, $h j=j h$.

Corollary 4.3. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ and $H$ and $J$ are solid $\sigma$-subgroups of $G$ such that $H \cap J=\{i\}$, then $[H \cup J]=H J$ and $H J$ is the $\sigma$-product of $H$ and $J$.

Before proving our main result, we recall some properties of the lattices mentioned in Section 2. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$, then the collection $\mathscr{R}_{\sigma}(G)$ of $\varrho-\sigma$-subgroups is a complete sublattice of the lattice of all subgroups of $G$. Dually, the collection $\mathscr{L}_{\sigma}(G)$ of $\lambda-\sigma$ subgroups is a complete sublattice of the lattice of subgroups of $G$. The intersection $\mathscr{S}_{\sigma}(G)$ of these two collections is the collection of all solid $\sigma$-subgroups, contains all normal $\varrho$ - $\sigma$-subgroups, and is also a complete sublattice of the lattice of all subgroups of $G$. By Theorem 3.1 the collection of convex $\sigma$-subgroups is a subset of $\mathscr{S}_{\sigma}(G)$ and, consequently, is a dual ideal of $\mathscr{S}_{\sigma}(G)$ in which joins and intersections of nonvoid subcollections agree with those in $\mathscr{S}_{\sigma}(G)$. Since $\mathscr{S}_{\sigma}(G)$ is a complete sublattice of the lattice of subgroups of $G$, the collection of normal solid $\sigma$-subgroups is a complete sublattice of the lattice of subgroups of $G$.

Theorem 4.4. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ and $\mathscr{N}_{\sigma}(G)=\{H \mid H$ is normal solid $\sigma$-subgroup of $G\}$, then $\mathscr{N}_{\sigma}(G)$ is a Brouwerian lattice.

Proof. Since $\mathscr{N}_{\sigma}(G)$ is compactly generated, it suffices to show that $\mathscr{N}_{\sigma}(G)$ is distributive. Suppose (by way of contradiction) that $\mathscr{N}_{\sigma}(G)$ is not distributive. Since $\mathscr{N}_{\sigma}(G)$ is modular, there exist $H, J, K \in \mathscr{N}_{\sigma}(G)$ such that $H J=H K=J K$, $H \cap J=H \cap K=J \cap K$, and $H, J$, and $K$ are pairwise incomparable. If $\tau$ is the retraction of $H J / H \cap J$ induced by $\sigma$, then the sublattice $\{H \cap J, H, J, K, H J\}$ is isomorphic to a sublattice of $\mathscr{N}_{\imath}(H J / H \cap J)$. Hence, we may further assume that $G=H J$ and $H \cap J=\{i\}$.

Next we show that $\sigma$ must be self dual. Let $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\} \in \operatorname{Ker} \sigma_{H}$. Then for every $1 \leqq i \leqq n, h_{i}=j_{i} k_{i}$ for some $j_{i} \in J$ and $k_{i} \in K$. Then by Theorem 4.1, $i=\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\} \sigma_{H}=\left\{j_{1} k_{1}, \ldots, j_{n} k_{n}\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\} \sigma_{J}\right)\left(\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\} \sigma_{K}\right)$. Since $J \cap K=\{i\},\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n}\right\} \sigma=i=\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\} \sigma$. Now $k_{i}=j_{i}^{-1} h_{i}$ and, as above, $i=\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{j_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, j_{n}^{-1}\right\} \sigma\right)\left(\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\} \sigma\right)$. Hence, $\left\{j_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, j_{n}^{-1}\right\} \sigma=i$. Thus, $\operatorname{Ker} \sigma_{H} \subseteq\left(\operatorname{Ker} \sigma_{H}\right)^{-1}$, where $\left(\operatorname{Ker} \sigma_{H}\right)^{-1}=\left\{A^{-1} \mid A \in \operatorname{Ker} \sigma_{H}\right\}$. By [2, Corollary $5.2(\mathrm{i})]$, $\operatorname{Ker} \sigma_{H}^{\prime}=\left(\operatorname{Ker} \sigma_{H}\right)^{-1}$ and by [2, Theorem 2.9 (ii)], $\sigma_{H}=\sigma_{H}^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\sigma_{H}$ is self dual. Similarly, $\sigma_{J}$ is self dual and since $G$ is the $\sigma$-product of $H$ and $J, \sigma$ is self dual.
If $g \in G$ and $\{i, g\} \sigma=a$, then, since $\sigma$ is self dual, $a^{2}=g$. (This was observed in the proof of Theorem 3.9.) Also, by [2, Theorem 2.4 (ii)], if $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ are integers with $r_{1}<\ldots<r_{n}$, then $\left\{g^{r_{1}}, \ldots, g^{r_{n}}\right\} \sigma=a^{r_{n}-r_{1}} g^{r_{1}}$. Let $i \neq k \in K$. Then $k=h j$ for some $i \neq h \in H$ and $i \neq j \in J$. Let $h_{1}=\{i, h\} \sigma$ and $j_{1}=\{i, j\} \sigma$. Then, by Theorem 4.1 and the above, $\left\{h^{-1} j^{2}, h^{-1} j, h\right\} \sigma=\left\{h^{-1} j^{2}, h^{-1} j, h i\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{h^{-1}, h\right\} \sigma\right)$. . $\left(\left\{j^{2}, j, i\right\} \sigma\right)=\left(h_{1}^{2} h^{-1}\right)\left(j_{1}^{2}\right)=j$. Since $K$ is a solid $\sigma$-subgroup, $K j=$ $=K\left(\left\{h^{-1} j^{2}, h^{-1} j, h\right\} \sigma\right)=K\left(\left\{i h^{-1} j^{2}, i h^{-1} j, k^{2} h\right\} \sigma\right)=K\left(\left\{h^{-1} j^{2}, h^{-1} j, h^{3} j^{2}\right\} \sigma\right)=$ $=K\left(\left\{h^{-1}, h^{3}\right\} \sigma\right)\left(\left\{j, j^{2}\right\} \sigma\right)=K\left(h_{1}^{4} h^{-1}\right)\left(j_{1} j\right)=K h j j_{1}=K k j_{1}=K j_{1}$. Therefore, $j_{1}=j_{1}^{2} j_{1}^{-1}=j j_{1}^{-1} \in K$ and hence, $j=j_{1}^{2} \in K$, a contradiction, since $J \cap K=\{i\}$. Thus, $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(G)$ is a distributive lattice.

Corollary 4.5. If $G$ is an abelian group of finite rank and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$, then $G$ has only finitely many solid $\sigma$-subgroups. Hence, $\mathscr{R}_{\sigma}(G)$ is a finite distributive lattice.

Proof. If $D$ is a divisible closure of $G$, then $\sigma$ can be uniquely extended to a retraction $\tau$ of $D$ [3, Theorem 3.7]. Moreover, there is a one-to-one correspondence between. the solid $\sigma$-subgroups of $G$ and the solid $\tau$-subgroups of $D$ [3, Theorem 3.9 (ii)]. Thus, we may assume that $G$ is divisible. Now each solid $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$ is divisible [2, Corollary 4.10]. Since $G$ has finite dimension as a rational vector space, the length of each chain in $\mathscr{R}_{\sigma}(G)$ is bounded by the dimension of $G$. Since $\mathscr{R}_{\sigma}(G)$ is distributive, it follows that $\mathscr{R}_{\sigma}(G)$ is finite.

The subgroup generated by two $\sigma$-subgroups need not be a $\sigma$-subgroup, even for $l$-retractions. In Theorem 4.7, which was first proven by J. Jakubík for latticeordered groups, we give a sufficient condition that the subgroup generated by a collection of $\sigma$-subgroups be a $\sigma$-subgroup. In fact, the remaining propositions in this section represent generalizations of corresponding theorems for iattice-ordered groups (see [4, Chapter 1]).

Corollary 4.6. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G, H, J, K, L \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma}(G)$ such that $G=H \otimes J=K \otimes L$, and $H \subseteq K$, then $J \supseteq L$.

Proof. $L=L \cap G=L \cap(H \vee J)=(L \cap H) \vee(L \cap J) \subseteq(L \cap K) \vee(L \cap J)=$ $=\{i\} \vee(L \cap J) \subseteq J$.

Thus, a $\sigma$-complement of a $\sigma$-factor is unique.
Theorem 4.7. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G, H_{\lambda}$ be a solid $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda, T_{\lambda}$ be a $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$ contained in $H_{\lambda}$, and suppose that if $\lambda, \gamma \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \neq \gamma$, then $H_{\lambda} \cap H_{\gamma}=\{i\}$. If $T$ is the subgroup of $G$ generated by $\cup T_{\lambda}$, then $T$ is a $\sigma$-subgroup of $G, T=\sum \otimes T_{\lambda}$, the restricted $\sigma_{T}$-product of the $T_{\lambda}$ 's, and $T_{\gamma}$ is a normal solid $\sigma_{T}$-subgroup of $T$ for each $\gamma \in \Lambda$. If $T_{\gamma}=H_{\gamma}$ for each $\gamma$ in $\Lambda$, then $T$ is a solid $\sigma$ subgroup of $G$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, $x y=y x$ for each $x \in H_{\lambda}, y \in H_{\gamma}$, with $\gamma \neq \lambda$. Therefore, for each $\gamma \in \Lambda, H_{\gamma}$ and $T_{\gamma}$ are normal in [ $U H_{\lambda}$ ] and $\left[U T_{\lambda}\right]$, respectively. By Theorem 4.4, $H_{\gamma} \cap\left[\bigcup_{\gamma \neq \lambda} H_{\lambda}\right]=\left[\bigcup_{\lambda \neq \gamma}\left(H_{\gamma} \cap H_{\lambda}\right)\right]=\{i\}$. Thus $T_{\gamma} \cap\left[\bigcup_{\lambda \neq \gamma} T_{\lambda}\right]=\{i\}$ and so $T$ is the restricted direct product of the $T_{\lambda}$ 's.

If $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\} \in F(T)$, then there exist $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \Lambda$ and $t_{i j} \in T_{\lambda_{j}}$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq m$ and $1 \leqq j \leqq n$ such that $t_{i}=t_{i 1} \ldots t_{i n}$. Since $T_{\lambda_{j}} \subseteq H_{\lambda_{j}}$, we have by Theorem 4.1 that $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{t_{11}, \ldots, t_{m 1}\right\} \sigma\right)\left(\left\{t_{12}, \ldots, t_{1 n}, \ldots, t_{m 2}, \ldots, t_{m n}\right\} \sigma\right)$, and, by induction, $\left\{t_{12}, \ldots, t_{1 n}, \ldots, t_{m 2}, \ldots, t_{m n}\right\} \sigma=\left(\left\{t_{12}, \ldots, t_{m 2}\right\} \sigma\right) \ldots\left(\left\{t_{1 n}, \ldots, t_{m n}\right\} \sigma\right)$. Therefore, $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\} \sigma \in T, T$ is a $\sigma$-subgroup of $G$, and $T$ is the $\sigma_{T}$-product of the $T_{\lambda}$ 's.
Since $T_{\lambda}=H_{\lambda} \cap T, T_{\lambda}$ is a normal solid $\sigma_{T}$-subgroup of $T[2$, Theorem 4.9 (i)]. The last assertion of the theorem follows from the fact that the collection of solid $\sigma$-subgroups of $G$ is a complete sublattice of the lattice of subgroups of $G$.

The following corollaries are immediate from Theorems 4.4 and 4.7.
Corollary 4.8. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G, G=\sum \otimes H_{\lambda}$, where $\left\{H_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\right\} \subseteq \mathscr{N}_{\sigma}(G)$ and $H \in \mathscr{N}_{\sigma}(G)$, then $H=\sum \otimes\left(H \cap H_{\lambda}\right)$.

Corollary 4.9. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ and $G=\sum_{\lambda \in A} \otimes H_{\lambda}=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \otimes J_{\gamma}$, where $\left\{H_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\right\} \cup$ $\cup\left\{J_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\right\} \subseteq \mathscr{N}_{\sigma}(G)$, then $G=\sum_{(\lambda, \gamma) \in A \times \Gamma} \otimes\left(H_{\lambda} \cap J_{\gamma}\right)$.
5. Example. If $G$ is a lattice-ordered group and $M$ is a convex $l$-subgroup of $G$ that is maximal with respect to not containing some $g$ in $G$, then $M$ is called a regular subgroup. It is well known that the collection of convex $l$-subgroups that contain a regular subgroup is a chain. It is trivial that the property of being a convex $l$-subgroup is transitive. The following example shows that even though a solid $\sigma$-subgroup represents a generalization of a convex $l$-subgroup, neither of the above properties is true for retractable groups.

Example 5.1. Let $K$ and $\sigma$ be as given in Example 3.6, and $\phi$ be the endomorphism of $K$ given by $(a, b, c) \phi=(b+c, 0,0)$. If $H_{1}=\{(a, 0,0) \mid a \in Q\}, H_{2}=$
$=\{(a, b, 0) \mid a, b \in Q\}$, and $H_{3}=\{(a, 0, c) \mid a, c \in Q\}$, then $H_{1}, H_{2}$, and $H_{3}$ are convex $\sigma$-subgroups of $K$ and are $\phi$-invariant. Thus, by Theorem 3.5, $H_{1}, H_{2}$, and $H_{3}$ are solid $\sigma^{\wedge}$-subgroups of $K$. We assert that these are the only proper solid $\sigma^{\wedge}$ subgroups of $K$. Suppose (by way of contradiction) that $H$ is a proper solid $\sigma^{\wedge}$ subgroup of $G$, where $H \notin\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}\right\}$. Since $K$ is divisible, $H$ must be a subspace of the rational vector space $K$. Note that if $A=\left\{\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n}, b_{n}, c_{n}\right)\right\} \in F(K)$, then from the definition of $\sigma^{\wedge}, A \sigma^{\wedge}=\left(\bigvee a_{i}+\bigvee b_{i}-\wedge b_{i}+\bigvee c_{i}-\wedge c_{i}, \bigvee b_{i}, \bigvee c_{i}\right)$.

Case 1. The dimension of $H$ is 1 . Then $H=\{r(a, b, c) \mid r \in Q\}$, for some $(0,0,0) \neq$ $\neq(a, b, c)$ in $K$. There are numerous subcases and we present only one of these to indicate how a proof could follow. If $a \neq 0$, then, since $H \neq H_{1}, H$ has a basis of the form ( $1, b, c$ ), where $b \neq 0$ or $c \neq 0$. If $b>0$ and $c>0$, then $\{(0,0,0)$, $(1, b, c)\} \sigma^{\wedge}=(1+b+c, b, c)=r(1, b, c)$ for some $r \in Q$. But then, $b+c=0$, a contradiction. The other subcases are done similarly.

Case 2. The dimension of $H$ is 2. Then $H \cap H_{2}$ and $H \cap H_{3}$ are solid $\sigma^{\wedge}$-subgroups of $K$ of dimension 1 . Since $H_{1}$ is the only solid $\sigma^{\wedge}$-subgroup of dimension $1, H \cap H_{2}=H_{1}=H \cap H_{3}$. But then, $H_{1}=\left(H \cap H_{2}\right) \vee\left(H \cap H_{3}\right)=$ $=H \cap\left(H_{2} \vee H_{3}\right)=H \cap K=H$, a contradiction.

Therefore, the lattice of solid $\sigma^{\wedge}$-subgroups of $K$ is $\left\{\{(0,0,0)\}, H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}, K\right\}$. Now $\{(0,0,0)\}$ is a maximal solid $\sigma^{\wedge}$-subgroup with respect to not containing ( $1,0,0$ ) and the solid $\sigma^{\wedge}$-subgroups that contain $\{(0,0,0)\}$ do not form a chain. It is easily verified that $H_{1}$ is the smallest convex $\sigma^{\wedge}$-subgroup of $K$ (or see [2, Corollary 4.6]). Also, $\{(0,0,0)\}$ is a convex $\sigma_{H_{1}}$-subgroup of $H_{1}$, but not a convex $\sigma^{\wedge}$-subgroup of $K$. Therefore, the property of being a "convex $\sigma$-subgroup" is not transitive. Note that the restriction of $\sigma^{\wedge}$ to $F\left(H_{1}\right)$ is an $l$-retraction and the retraction of $K / H_{1}$ induced by $\sigma^{\wedge}$ is an $l$-retraction, but $\sigma^{\wedge}$ is not an $l$-retraction.
Finally, we note that the lattice of solid $\sigma^{\wedge}$-subgroups of $K$ cannot be isomorphic to the lattice of all convex $l$-subgroups of a lattice-ordered group. Recalling Corollary 4.5 , we ask the following question:

If $L$ is a finite distributive lattice, is there a retractable group $G$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ret} G$ such that $L$ is isomorphic to the lattice of normal solid $\sigma$-subgroups of $G$ ?
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