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# A NECESSARY CONDITION FOR TWIN BOUNDARY LAYER BEHAVIOR 

F. A. Howes*), Davis

(Received February 2, 1978)

1. Introduction. In this note we give a simple condition that a constant $k$ must satisfy if it is to be the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$within $(a, b)$ of a solution $y=y(t, \varepsilon)$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon y^{\prime \prime} & =p(t, y) y^{\prime 2}+q(t, y) y^{\prime}, \quad a<t<b,  \tag{1.1}\\
y(a, \varepsilon) & =A, \quad y(b, \varepsilon)=B, \quad A \neq B ; \quad A, B \neq k . \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

More specifically, if the problem (1.1), (1.2) has a solution $y=y(t, \varepsilon)$ which exhibits boundary layer behavior at both $t=a$ and $t=b$ and which satisfies $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} y(t, \varepsilon)=k$, $a<t<b$, then $k$ satisfies a certain equation involving only $A, B$ and the functions $p$ and $q$. A similar necessary condition has recently been given by the author and S. V. Parter [2] for the related quasilinear problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon y^{\prime \prime}=f(t, y) y^{\prime}, \quad a<t<b  \tag{QL}\\
y(a, \varepsilon)=A, \quad y(b, \varepsilon)=B
\end{gather*}
$$

However the presence of the quadratic term in (1.1) requires us to modify the technique used in [2].
2. A'Priori Estimates. It follows directly from the form of (1.1) that any solution $y=y(t, \varepsilon)$ of (1.1), (1.2) is strictly increasing (if $A<B$ ) and strictly decreasing (if $A>B$ ); indeed, $(B-A) y^{\prime}(t, \varepsilon)>0, a \leqq t \leqq b$. Similarly any solution $y(t, \varepsilon)$ lies between $\min \{A, B\}$ and $\max \{A, B\}$ for $a \leqq t \leqq b$. This can be proved either by means of the maximum principle (cf. [1]) or by means of Nagumo's estimates [3], [4]. Finally suppose that any solution $y(t, \varepsilon)$ of (1.1), (1.2) is such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} y(t, \varepsilon)=k, a<t<b$, for a constant $k$ strictly between $A$ and $B$. Then Vishik and Liusternik [6] (cf. also [5; Chap. 2]) have given the following estimates for

[^0]$y^{\prime}(a, \varepsilon)$ and $y^{\prime}(b, \varepsilon)$ : for $A<B, y^{\prime}(a, \varepsilon)=\exp \left[\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{k}^{A} p(a, s) \mathrm{d} s\right]$ and $y^{\prime}(b, \varepsilon)=$ $=\left[\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{k}^{B} p(b, s) \mathrm{d} s\right]$, while for $A>B$,
$$
y^{\prime}(a, \varepsilon)=-\exp \left[\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{k}^{A} p(a, s) \mathrm{d} s\right] \text { and } y^{\prime}(b, \varepsilon)=-\exp \left[\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{k}^{B} p(b, s) \mathrm{d} s\right] .
$$

Note that in both cases $y^{\prime}(\tau, \varepsilon)=O\left(\exp \left[C \varepsilon^{-1}\right]\right), \tau=a$ or $b$, for a positive constant $C$ which is equal to the length of the initial boundary layer jump [6], [5; Chap. 2].
3. A Necessary Condition. Suppose for definiteness that $A<B$ in the following theorem.

Theorem. Let the functions $p$ and $q$ be of class $C^{(1)}$ on $[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{1}$ and let (1.1), (1.2) have a solution $y=y(t, \varepsilon)$ which satisfies $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} y(t, \varepsilon)=k, a<t<b$, for a constant $k$ in $(A, B)$. Then $k$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{k}^{B} p(b, s) \mathrm{d} s-\int_{k}^{A} p(a, s) \mathrm{d} s=\eta(b, B)-\eta(a, A)+\int_{a}^{b} r(t, k) \mathrm{d} t, \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta=\eta(t, y)=\int^{y} p(t, s) \mathrm{d} s$ and

$$
r(t, y)=q(t, y)-\tilde{q}(t, y),
$$

for

$$
\tilde{q}(t, y)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\eta(t, y))
$$

Proof. Since $A<B, y^{\prime}(t, \varepsilon)>0, a \leqq t \leqq b$, and consequently (1.1) is equivalent to $\varepsilon y^{\prime \prime} \mid y^{\prime}=p(t, y) y^{\prime}+q(t, y)$, i.e.,

$$
\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\ln y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(\eta(t, y))+r(t, y),
$$

since

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(\eta(t, y))=p(t, y) y^{\prime}+\tilde{q}(t, y) .
$$

Integrating both sides of this equation from $t=a$ to $t=b$ and using (1.2) we obtain

$$
\varepsilon \ln \left(y^{\prime}(b, \varepsilon)\right)-\varepsilon \ln \left(y^{\prime}(a, \varepsilon)\right)=\eta(b, B)-\eta(a, A)+\int_{a}^{b} r(t, y(t, \varepsilon)) \mathrm{d} t
$$

i.e.,
$\left.(\sim) \quad \int_{k}^{B} p(b, s) \mathrm{d} s-\int_{k}^{A} p(a, s) \mathrm{d} s=\eta^{\prime} b, B\right)-\eta(a, A)+\int_{a}^{b} r(t, y(t, \varepsilon)) \mathrm{d} t$
by virtue of the estimates of Vishik and Liusternik given in Section 2. Finally since $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} y(t, \varepsilon)=k, a<t<b$, it follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{a}^{b} r(t, y(t, \varepsilon)) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{a}^{b} r(t, k) \mathrm{d} t$. The estimate $(*)$ now results from letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$in $(\sim)$.

If $A>B$ then a similar argument shows that $k$ is also a solution of $(*)$, i.e.,

$$
\int_{k}^{B} p(b, s) \mathrm{d} s-\int_{k}^{A} p(a, s) \mathrm{d} s=\eta(b, B)-\eta(a, A)+\int_{a}^{b} r(t, k) \mathrm{d} t .
$$

## 4. Two Examples. Consider first

(E1) $\varepsilon y^{\prime \prime}=y y^{\prime 2}-y y^{\prime}, \quad 0<t<1, \quad y(0, \varepsilon)=A, \quad y(1, \varepsilon)=B, \quad A \neq B$.
Suppose that (E1) has a solution $y(t, \varepsilon)$ satisfying $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} y(t, \varepsilon)=k, a<t<b$, for $k$ between $A$ and $B$. Here $p(t, y)=y$ and $q(t, y)=-y$ and so $\eta(t, y)=\frac{1}{2} y^{2}$ and $r(t, y)=-y$. A short computation shows that (*) reduces to $k=0$.

Consider next

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon w^{\prime \prime}=w w^{\prime 2}-w, \quad 0<t<1, \quad w(0, \varepsilon)=A, \quad w(1, \varepsilon)=B \tag{E2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our theory does not apply directly to (E2); however, the change of dependent variable $y=w-t$ converts it into

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon y^{\prime \prime}=(y+t) y^{\prime 2}+2(y+t) y^{\prime}, \quad 0<t<1, \quad y(0, \varepsilon)=A,  \tag{E3}\\
y(1, \varepsilon)=B-1
\end{gather*}
$$

which is of the form (1.1), (1.2). Suppose now that for $A<B-1$ (E3) has a solution $y(t, \varepsilon)$ such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} y(t, \varepsilon)=k, 0<t<1$, for a constant $k$ in $(A, B-1)$. Since $p(t, y)=y+t$ and $q(t, y)=2(y+t)$ we set

$$
\eta(t, y)=\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+t y
$$

and

$$
r(t, y)=q(t, y)-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\eta(t, y))=y+t
$$

It follows directly that $(*)$ reduces to $k=-\frac{1}{2}$; moreover, one can show that for $A<-\frac{1}{2}<B-1$ (E3) has a solution $y=y(t, \varepsilon)$ for which $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} y(t, \varepsilon)=-\frac{1}{2}$, $0<t<1$. In terms of (E2) this means that for $A<-\frac{1}{2}$ and $B>\frac{1}{2}$ there is a solution $w=w(t, \varepsilon)$ which satisfies $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} w(t, \varepsilon)=t-\frac{1}{2}, 0<t<1$.
5. Concluding Remark. For the quasilinear problem (QL) (with $A \neq B$ ) the analog of $(*)$ is $\int_{a}^{b} f(t, k) \mathrm{d} t=0$, where $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} y(t, \varepsilon)=k, a<t<b$. This follows by noting that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(t, k) \mathrm{d} t=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{a}^{b} f(t, y(t, \varepsilon)) \mathrm{d} t=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\{\varepsilon \ln \left|y^{\prime}(b, \varepsilon)\right|-\varepsilon \ln \left|y^{\prime}(a, \varepsilon)\right|\right\}=0
$$

since $y^{\prime}(\tau, \varepsilon)=O\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right), \tau=a$ or $b$ (cf. [6]), and as a result, $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varepsilon \ln \left|y^{\prime}(\tau, \varepsilon)\right|=$ $=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varepsilon|\ln \varepsilon|=0$.

A complete discussion can be found in [2].
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