Ján Jakubík Generalized lattice identities in lattice ordered groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 30 (1980), No. 1, 127-134

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101662

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

GENERALIZED LATTICE IDENTITIES IN LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, KOŠICE

(Received May 22, 1978)

In the paper [4], the notion of a radical class of lattice ordered groups has been introduced. A particular case of this notion, the concept of a torsion class of lattice ordered groups, had been investigated earlier by J. MARTINEZ [7]. Each variety of lattice ordered groups is a torsion class (HOLLAND [1]). Several important classes of lattice ordered groups (e.g., the class of all archimedean *l*-groups, the class of all complete *l*-groups, the class of all completely distributive *l*-groups) are radical classes without being torsion classes (cf. [8], [5], [3], [6], [2]).

In this note it will be shown that if P_1 and P_2 are generalized lattice polynomials (which may contain infinitely many variables, cf. the definition below) and if $\mathscr{H}(P_1, P_2)$ is the class of all lattice ordered groups fulfilling the identity $P_1 \equiv P_2$, then $\mathscr{H}(P_1, P_2)$ is a radical class. (In fact, a slightly more general result concerning implications will be proved.)

The method used here is a generalization of the method that has been applied to investigating complete distributivity of lattice ordered groups in [2].

Let us recall the notion of a radical class [4]. A nonempty class \mathcal{K} of lattice ordered groups is said to be a radical class if it fulfils the following conditions:

(i) \mathscr{K} is closed with respect to isomorphisms.

(ii) If $H \in \mathscr{K}$ and H_1 is a convex *l*-subgroup of *H*, then $H_1 \in \mathscr{K}$.

(iii) If G is a lattice ordered group and $\{H_i\}$ is a system of convex *l*-subgroups of G such that each H_i belongs to \mathcal{K} , then $\forall H_i$ belongs to \mathcal{K} as well.

We shall use the following notation: the symbols $x, x_i, x_j, ...$ denote variables, while fixed elements of lattices or lattice ordered groups will be denoted by a, b, c,, $a_i, b_j, c_k, ...$ Instead of x_i and a_i we often write x(i) or a(i), respectively.

An expression of the form

(1)
$$S_{i_1 \in I_1}^1 S_{i_2 \in I_2}^2 \dots S_{i_n \in I_n}^n x(i_1, \dots, i_n)$$

(where $S^k \in \{\Lambda, V\}$ for each $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$, and $I_1, ..., I_n$ are nonempty sets of indices)

is called a generalized lattice polynomial with the variables $x(i_1, ..., i_n)$. The generalized polynomial (1) will be denoted also by $P x(i_1, ..., i_n)$ or by $P x(i_1, ..., i_n)$ $(i_1 \in I_1, ..., i_n \in I_n)$.

If $P x(i_1, ..., i_n)$ is a generalized lattice polynomial and if L is a lattice, then P can be viewed as a partial infinitary operation on L. Let us remark that general algebras with infinitary operations have been thoroughly studied by SLOMINSKI [9].

Let us have four generalized polynomials

$$P_{1} x(i_{1}, ..., i_{n_{1}}) (i_{1} \in I_{1}, ..., i_{n_{1}} \in I_{n_{1}}),$$

$$P_{2} x(i'_{1}, ..., i'_{n_{2}}) (i'_{1} \in I'_{1}, ..., i'_{n_{2}} \in I'_{n_{2}}),$$

$$Q_{1} x(j_{1}, ..., j_{m_{1}}) (j_{1} \in J_{1}, ..., j_{m_{1}} \in J_{m_{1}}),$$

$$Q_{2} x(j'_{1}, ..., j'_{m_{2}}) (j'_{1} \in J'_{1}, ..., j'_{m_{2}} \in J'_{m_{2}}).$$

Put

$$I = (I_1 \times \ldots \times I_{n_1}) \cup (I'_1 \times \ldots \times I'_{n_2}) \cup (J_1 \times \ldots \times J_{m_1}) \cup \cup (J'_1 \times \ldots \times J'_{m_2}).$$

Let L be a lattice. L will be said to fulfil the implication

$$P_1 = P_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 = Q_2,$$

if the following condition is valid:

(*) Whenever φ is a mapping of the set *I* into *L* such that all elements $P_1 \varphi(i_1, \ldots, i_{n_1}), P_2 \varphi(i'_1, \ldots, i'_{n_2}), Q_1 \varphi(j_1, \ldots, j_{m_1})$ and $Q_2 \varphi(j'_1, \ldots, j'_{m_2})$ exist in *L*, then we have

$$P_1 \varphi(i_1, ..., i_{n_1}) = P_2 \varphi(i'_1, ..., i'_{n_2}) \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_1 \varphi(j_1, ..., j_{m_1}) = Q_2 \varphi(j'_1, ..., j'_{m_2}).$$

We denote by \mathscr{L} the class of all lattices fulfilling the implication (2). If $L \in \mathscr{L}$, $a, b \in L, a \leq b$, then the interval [a, b] of L belongs to \mathscr{L} as well.

The following result will be proved:

Theorem 1. Let G be a lattice ordered group. There exists a convex l-subgroup H(G) of G such that (i) H(G) fulfils the implication (2); (ii) if H_1 is a convex l-subgroup of G fulfilling the implication (2), then $H_1 \subseteq H$; (iii) for each automorphism f of the lattice ordered group G we have f(H(G)) = H(G).

First we prove two lemmas. The symbol G denotes always a lattice ordered group.

Lemma 1. Let $a, b, c \in G$, $a \leq b \leq c$. If $[a, b] \in \mathcal{L}$ and $[b, c] \in \mathcal{L}$, then [a, c] belongs to \mathcal{L} , too.

Proof. Let $[a, b], [b, c] \in \mathscr{L}$ and suppose that [a, c] does not belong to \mathscr{L} .

Hence there exists a mapping $\varphi: I \to [a, c]$ such that $P_1 \varphi(i_1, \ldots, i_{n_1}), P_2 \varphi(i'_1, \ldots, i'_{n_2}), Q_1 \varphi(j_1, \ldots, j_{m_1}), Q_2 \varphi(j'_1, \ldots, j'_{m_2})$ exist in [a, c] and

(3)
$$P_1 \varphi(i_1, ..., i_{n_1}) = P_2 \varphi(i'_1, ..., i'_{n_2}),$$

(4)
$$Q_1 \varphi(j_1, ..., j_{m_1}) \neq Q_2 \varphi(j'_1, ..., j'_{m_2}).$$

Denote

(3')
$$u = Q_1 \varphi(j_1, ..., j_{m_1}) \wedge Q_2 \varphi(j'_1, ..., j'_{m_2}),$$

(3")
$$v = Q_1 \varphi(j_1, ..., j_{m_1}) \vee Q_2 \varphi(j'_1, ..., j'_{m_2})$$

From (4) we obtain that

$$(5) u = v$$

Let φ_1 be a mapping of the set I into [a, b] defined by

$$\varphi_1(i) = \varphi(i) \wedge b$$
 for each $i \in I$.

Analogously we define a mapping $\varphi_2: I \to [b, c]$ by putting

$$\varphi_2(i) = \varphi(i) \lor b$$
 for each $i \in I$.

Since G is infinitely distributive, we have

$$b \wedge P_1 \varphi(i_1, \dots, i_{n_1}) = P_1(b \wedge \varphi(i_1, \dots, i_{n_1})) = P_1 \varphi_1(i_1, \dots, i_{n_1}),$$

$$b \vee P_1 \varphi(i_1, \dots, i_{n_1}) = P_1(b \vee \varphi(i_1, \dots, i_{n_1})) = P_1 \varphi_2(i_1, \dots, i_{n_1});$$

analogous relations hold for P_2 , Q_1 and Q_2 . Thus in view of (3) we obtain

(3.1)
$$P_1 \varphi_1(i_1, ..., i_{n_1}) = P_2 \varphi_1(i'_1, ..., i'_{n_2})$$

(3.2)
$$P_1 \varphi_2(i_1, ..., i_{n_1}) = P_2 \varphi_2(i'_1, ..., i'_{n_2})$$

As both lattices [a, b] and [b, c] fulfil the implication (2), we infer that

(4.1)
$$Q_1 \varphi_1(j_1, ..., j_{m_1}) = Q_2 \varphi_1(j'_1, ..., j'_{m_2}),$$

(4.2)
$$Q_1 \varphi_2(j_1, \dots, j_{m_1}) = Q_2 \varphi_2(j'_1, \dots, j'_{m_2})$$

hold. Denote

$$u_1 = u \wedge b$$
, $v_1 = v \wedge b$, $u_2 = u \vee b$, $v_2 = v \vee b$.

From the definition of u, v, from (4.1) and in view of the infinite distributivity of G we obtain

$$(5.1) u_1 = v_1$$

129

Similarly, by using (4.2) we get

(5.2)

Since G is a distributive lattice, (5.1) and (5.2) yield u = v, which contradicts (5). Hence [a, c] fulfils the implication (2).

 $u_2 = v_2$.

Lemma 2. Let $0 \leq a \in G$, $0 \leq b \in G$, [0, a], $[0, b] \in \mathscr{L}$. Then $[0, a + b] \in \mathscr{L}$.

Proof. Since the interval [a, a + b] is isomorphic with [0, b], the assertion follows from Lemma 1.

Proof of Theorem 1:

We denote by H(G) the set of all elements $g \in G$ that fulfil the following condition: there are elements $0 \leq a_1 \in G$, $0 \leq a_2 \in G$ with $-a_1 \leq g \leq a_2$ such that both $[0, a_1]$ and $[0, a_2]$ belong to \mathscr{L} . If $g \in H(G)$, then $-g \in H(G)$. Lemma 2 implies that H(G) is closed with respect to the operation +, hence it is a subgroup of the group G. Moreover, from the definition of H(G) and from Lemma 2 we infer that H(G) is a sublattice of G. From this and from the obvious fact that $g_1 \in G$, $0 \leq g_1 \leq$ $\leq g_2 \in H(G)$ implies $g_1 \in H(G)$ we obtain that H(G) is a convex *l*-subgroup of G.

Let H_1 be a convex *l*-subgroup of *G* and suppose that H_1 fulfils the implication (2). Let $0 \le h_1 \in H_1$. Then the interval $[0, h_1]$ fulfils the implication (2) as well, hence $h_1 \in H(G)$. From this we easily obtain $H_1 \subseteq H(G)$.

The fact that H(G) is a convex *l*-subgroup of G implies that if $\{a_i\} \subset H(G)$ and if $\sup \{a_i\} = h$ holds in H(G), then h is, at the same time, the least upper bound of the set $\{a_i\}$ in G (and dually). Hence if $P x(i_1, ..., i_n)$ is a generalized lattice polynomial and the elements $a(i_1, ..., i_n)$, $a \in H(G)$ fulfil in H(G) the relation

$$P a(i_1,\ldots,i_n) = a ,$$

then this relation holds also with respect to G.

Assume that H(G) does not fulfil the implication (2). Then there exists a mapping $\varphi: I \to H(G)$ such that (under the same notation as in Lemma 1) the relations (3), (4) and (5) hold in H(G). In view of the above remark, these relations are valid in G as well. Put $v_1 = v - u$. Then $0 < v_1 \in H(G)$ and thus the interval $[0, v_1]$ fulfils the implication (2). As the intervals [u, v] and $[0, v_1]$ are isomorphic, [u, v] satisfies the implication (2) as well. Consider the mapping ψ of I into [u, v] defined by

$$\psi(i) = (\varphi(i) \lor u) \land v .$$

Then we obtain from (3)

(6)
$$P_1 \psi(i_1, ..., i_{n_1}) = P_2 \psi(i'_1, ..., i'_{n_2})$$

Moreover, from (3') and (3'') we infer

$$u = Q_1 \psi(j_1, ..., j_{m_1}) \land Q_2 \psi(j'_1, ..., j'_{m_2}),$$

$$v = Q_1 \psi(j_1, ..., j_{m_1}) \lor Q_2 \psi(j'_1, ..., j'_{m_2}).$$

130

Since u < v, we must have

(7)
$$Q_1 \psi(j_1, ..., j_{m_1}) \neq Q_2 \psi(j'_1, ..., j'_{m_2}).$$

According to (6) and (7), the interval [u, v] does not fulfil the implication (2), which is a contradiction. Hence H(G) fulfils the implication (2).

Let f be an automorphism of the lattice ordered group G. Denote $H_1 = f(H(G))$. Then H_1 fulfils the implication (2), hence $H_1 \subseteq H(G)$. Similarly, $H(G) \subseteq H_1$. The proof is complete.

An *l*-subgroup A of G is said to be closed in G if, whenever $\{a_i\} \subseteq A, g \in G$ and $\bigvee a_i = g$ holds in G, then $g \in A$. If this is valid, then the corresponding dual condition also holds for A. It is easy to verify that an *l*-subgroup B of G is closed in G if, whenever $\{b_i\}$ is a set of positive elements of $G \ g \in G$ and $\bigvee b_i = g$ holds in G, then $g \in B$.

Theorem 2. For each lattice ordered group G, H(G) is a closed l-subgroup of G.

Proof. Let $\{b_k\}_{k\in K} \subseteq H(G)$, $0 \leq b_k$ for each $k \in K$, $g \in G$. Let $g = \bigvee b_k$ be valid in G. Assume that g does not belong to H(G). Thus the interval [0, g] does not fulfil the implication (2). Hence there is a mapping φ of I into [0, g] such that (under the same notation as in Lemma 1) the relations (3), (4) and (5) are valid in [0, g] (since [0, g] is a closed sublattice of G, these relations hold also in G). We may assume that $\varphi(i) \in [u, v]$ is valid for each $i \in I$ (namely, the elements $\varphi(i)$ can be replaced by $(\varphi(i) \lor u) \land v$ in the same way as we did in the proof of Theorem 1). For each $k \in K$ we denote $u_k = u \land b_k$, $v_k = v \land b_k$. In view of the infinite distributivity of G we have

$$u = \bigvee_{k \in \mathbf{K}} u_k \, , \quad v = \bigvee_{k \in \mathbf{K}} v_k \, .$$

From this and from u < v it follows that there exists $k \in K$ with

$$(8) u_k < v_k \, .$$

Let this k be fixed. Since $u_k, v_k \in H(G)$, the interval $[u_k, v_k]$ fulfils the implication (2).

Consider the mapping $\psi: I \to [u_k, v_k]$ defined by

$$\psi(i) = \varphi(i) \wedge b_k$$
 for each $i \in I$.

From (3), (3') and (3'') we obtain

$$P_1 \psi(i_1, ..., i_{n_1}) = P_2 \psi(i'_1, ..., i'_{n_2}),$$

$$u_k = Q_1 \psi(j_1, ..., j_{m_1}) \land Q_2 \psi(j'_1, ..., j'_{m_2}),$$

$$v_k = Q_1 \psi(j_1, ..., j_{m_1}) \lor Q_2 \psi(j'_1, ..., j'_{m_2}).$$

Thus according to (8), the interval $[u_k, v_k]$ does not fulfil the implication (2), which is a contradiction.

131

An interval [a, b] of G is said to be nontrivial if a < b. For each $X \subseteq G$, the polar X^{δ} is defined by

$$X^{\delta} = \{g \in G : |g| \land |x| = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X\}.$$

(Cf. Šik [10].) Each polar is a closed convex *l*-subgroup of G.

Theorem 3. For each lattice ordered group G there exists a convex l-subgroup H'(G) of G such that:

(i) each nontrivial interval of H'(G) fails to fulfil the implication (2);

(ii) if H_1 is a convex l-subgroup of G such that each nontrivial interval of H_1 fails to fulfil the implication (2), then $H_1 \subseteq H'(G)$;

(iii) H'(G) is a closed l-subgroup of G;

(iv) f(H'(G)) = H'(G) for each automorphism f of the lattice ordered group G.

Proof. Put

$$H'(G) = (H(G))^{\delta}.$$

Then H'(G) is a convex closed *l*-subgroup of *G*. Let [a, b] be a nontrivial interval of H'(G). Assume that [a, b] fulfils the implication (2). Then $0 < b - a \in H'(G)$ and [0, b - a] fulfils the implication (2). Thus $b - a \in H(G)$ which is a contradiction, since $H(G) \cap H'(G) = \{0\}$.

Let H_1 be as in (ii), $0 \le h_1 \in H_1$. Further let $0 \le h \in H(G)$. Denote $h \land h_1 = c$. If c > 0, then $[0, c] \subseteq H(G)$, hence [0, c] fulfils (2); at the same time, $[0, c] \subseteq H_1$, hence [0, c] fails to fulfil (2), which is a contradiction. Therefore c = 0 and this yields $h_1 \in H'(G)$.

Let f be an automorphism of the lattice ordered group G. According to Theorem 1 we have f(H(G)) = H(G), whence $f((H(G))^{\delta}) = (H(G))^{\delta}$.

Remark 1. From (i) it follows that the *l*-subgroup H'(G) is uniquely determined. Moreover, H'(G) can be defined as the set of all elements $g \in G$ that fulfil the following condition:

 (α) Each nontrivial subinterval of the interval [0, |g|] fails to satisfy the implication (2).

In fact, if $g \in H'(G)$, then (α) is valid according to (i). Let $g \in G$ and suppose that g fulfils (α). Then clearly $|g| \land |h| = 0$ for each $h \in H(G)$, hence $g \in (H(G))^{\delta} = H'(G)$.

We denote by \mathscr{K}_1 the class of all lattice ordered groups G such that the lattice $(G; \leq)$ fulfils the implication (2). Further, let \mathscr{K}_2 be the class of all lattice ordered groups G_1 having the property that for each $g \in G_1$ the condition (α) is valid. Both the classes \mathscr{K}_1 and \mathscr{K}_2 fulfil the conditions (i) and (ii) from the definition of a radical class (cf. Introduction); in view of Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, the condition (iii) of this definition also holds. Hence we obtain the following

Corollary 1. \mathscr{K}_1 and \mathscr{K}_2 are radical classes.

Theorem 4. For each lattice ordered group G, H(G) is a polar of $G(i.e., (H(G))^{\delta\delta} = H(G))$.

Proof. Clearly $H(G) \subseteq (H(G))^{\delta\delta}$. Let $0 < g \in (H(G))^{\delta\delta}$ and assume that g does not belong to H(G). Then the interval [0, g] does not fulfil the implication (2). Hence there exists a mapping $\varphi : I \to [0, g]$ such that (under the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 1) the relation (5) is valid. Let $[u_1, v_1]$ be a nontrivial subinterval of [u, v]. Consider the mapping $\psi : I \to [u_1, v_1]$ defined by

$$\psi(i) = (\varphi(i) \land v_1) \lor u_1 \text{ for each } i \in I.$$

Now by an analogous reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2 we obtain that the interval $[u_1, v_1]$ does not satisfy the implication (2). Hence each nontrivial subinterval of [u, v] fails to satisfy the implication (2); because [0, v - u] is isomorphic with [u, v], the same holds for the interval [0, v - u]. Thus according to Remark 1, v - u belongs to H'(G). On the other hand, $v - u \in H(G)$; since v - u > 0, we have a contradiction. Therefore $g \in H(G)$ and hence $(H(G))^{\delta\delta} \subseteq H(G)$.

Since each polar of a complete lattice ordered group is a direct factor, we obtain

Corollary 2. For each complete lattice ordered group G we have $G = H(G) \times H'(G)$.

It can be shown by examples that the assertion of Corollary 2 need not hold for noncomplete lattice ordered groups.

Remark 2. If $P_1 x(i_1, ..., i_{n_1}) = x_1$, $P_2 x(i'_1, ..., i'_{n_2}) = x_1$, then Theorems 1, 2 and 3 turn out to be assertions concerning lattice ordered groups that fulfil the identity $Q_1 x(j_1, ..., j_{m_1}) \equiv Q_2 x(j'_1, ..., j'_{m_2})$.

Remark 3. Let K_1 and K_2 be sets of indices and let $P_1^{k_1}, P_2^{k_1}(k_1 \in K_1)$, $Q_1^{k_2}, Q_2^{k_2}(k_2 \in K_2)$ be generalized lattice polynomials. The above methods and results remain valid (under more complicated notation) also for the implication (2') that we obtain from (2) by replacing the left hand side of (2) by the conjunction of all relations $P_1^{k_1} = P_2^{k_1}(k_1 \in K_1)$ and the right hand side of (2) by the conjunction of all relations $Q_1^{k_2} = Q_2^{k_2}(k_2 \in K_2)$.

Remark 4. The above investigations remain valid also in the case when we define the notion of a generalized polynomial by the expression

$$S_{i_1 \in I_1} S_{i_2 \in I_2(i_1)} \dots S_{i_n \in I_n(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1})} x(i_1, \dots, i_n)$$

(where $I_1, I_2(i_1), \ldots, I_n(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{n-1})$ are nonempty sets of indices) instead of the expression (1).

References

- [1] Ch. Holland: Each variety of l-groups is a torsion class. Czech. Math. J. 29 (1979), 11-12.
- [2] J. Jakubik: Higher degrees of distributivity in lattices and lattice ordered groups. Czech. Math. J. 18 (1968), 356-376.
- [3] J. Jakubik: Conditionally α-complete sublattices of a distributive lattice. Alg. univ. 2 (1972), 255-261.
- [4] J. Jakubik: Radical mappings and radical classes of lattice ordered groups. Symposia math. 21 (1977), 451-477.
- [5] J. Jakubik: Archimedean kernel of a lattice ordered group. Czech. Math. J. 28 (1978), 140-154.
- [6] J. Jakubik: Projectable kernel of a lattice ordered group (to appear).
- [7] J. Martinez: Torsion theory for lattice ordered groups. Czech. Math. J. 25 (1975), 284-299.
- [8] R. H. Redfield: Archimedean and basic elements in completely distributive lattice-ordered groups. Pacif. J. Math. 63 (1976), 247-254.
- [9] J. Slominski: The theory of abstract algebras with infinitary operations. Rozprawy matematiczne 18 (1959), 1-65.
- [10] F. Šik: K teorii strukturno uporjadočennych grupp. Czech. Math. J. 6 (1956), 1-25.

Author's address: 040 01 Košice, Švermova 5, ČSSR (Vysoká škola technická).