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# A DISTANCE BETWEEN ISOMORPHISM CLASSES OF TREES 

Bohdan Zelinka, Liberec

(Received December 21, 1981)

In [1] a certain distance between isomorphism classes of graphs was introduced. Here we shall study an analogon of this distance for trees.

Consider the set $\mathscr{T}_{n}$ of all isomorphism classes of trees with $n$ vertices, where $n \geqq 3$. For any two elements $\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}$ of $\mathscr{T}_{n}$ we introduce the number $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)$ as the least integer with the property that there exists a tree with $n+\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)$ vertices which contains a subtree $T_{1} \in \mathfrak{I}_{1}$ and subtree $T_{2} \in \mathfrak{I}_{2}$. For the sake of simplicity we shall also use the notation $\delta_{T}\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ for two trees $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$; this will mean $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)$ for the classes $\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}$ such that $T_{1} \in \mathfrak{I}_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathfrak{I}_{2}$.

Theorem 1. The functional $\delta_{T}$ is a metric on the set $\mathscr{T}_{n}$.
Proof. Evidently $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right) \geqq 0$ for any two elements $\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}$ of $T_{n}$ and $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)=0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{I}_{1}=\mathfrak{I}_{2}$. Also evidently $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)=\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{2}, \mathfrak{I}_{1}\right)$. Now let $\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}, \mathfrak{I}_{3}$ be three elements of $\mathscr{T}_{n}$. There exists a tree $T_{12}$ with $n+$ $+\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)$ vertices which contains a subtree $T_{1} \in \mathfrak{I}_{1}$ and a subtree $T_{2} \in \mathfrak{I}_{2}$ and there exists a tree $T_{23}$ with $n+\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{2}, \mathfrak{I}_{3}\right)$ vertices which contains a subtree $T_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{I}_{2}$ and a subtree $T_{3} \in \mathfrak{I}_{3}$. The trees $T_{2}, T_{2}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic; take an isomorphic mapping of $T_{2}$ onto $T_{2}^{\prime}$ and identify each vertex of $T_{2}$ with its image in this mapping. We may suppose that $T_{12}$ and $T_{23}$ are vertex-disjoint. The graph $T$ obtained in the described way from the trees $T_{12}$ and $T_{23}$ is evidently a tree. It has $n+\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)+\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{2}, \mathfrak{I}_{3}\right)$ vertices and contains a subtree $T_{1} \in \mathfrak{I}_{1}$ and a subtree $T_{2} \in \mathfrak{I}_{2}$. Hence

$$
\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{3}\right) \leqq \delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)+\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{2}, \mathfrak{I}_{3}\right)
$$

and the triangle inequality holds.
Theorem 2. Let $\mathfrak{I}_{1} \in \mathscr{T}_{n}, \mathfrak{I}_{2} \in \mathscr{T}_{n}, T_{1} \in \mathfrak{I}_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathfrak{I}_{2}$. Let $k$ be a non-negative integer, $k<n$. Then the following two assertions are equivalent:
(i) There exists a tree $T$ with $n+k$ vertices which contains a subtree isomorphic to $T_{1}$ and a subtree isomorphic to $T_{2}$.
(ii) There exists a tree $T_{0}$ with $n-k$ vertices such that both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ contain subtrees isomorphic to $T_{0}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let (i) hold. Let $T_{1}^{\prime}, T_{2}^{\prime}$ be subtrees of $T$ isomorphic to $T_{1}, T_{2}$, respectively. As $k<n$, the trees $T_{1}^{\prime}, T_{2}^{\prime}$ have a non-empty intersection and this intersection is a subtree $T_{0}^{\prime}$ of $T$ which has at least $n-k$ vertices. Choose a subtree $T_{0}$ of $T_{0}^{\prime}$ with exactly $n-k$ vertices. If we take an isomorphic mapping of $T_{1}^{\prime}$ onto $T_{1}$ and an isomorphic mapping of $T_{2}^{\prime}$ onto $T_{2}$, then the images of $T_{0}$ in these mappings are subtrees of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ which are isomorphic to one another.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let (ii) hold. Without loss of generality suppose that $T_{1}, T_{2}$ are vertexdisjoint. Let $T_{0}^{\prime}, T_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ be subtrees of $T_{1}, T_{2}$, respectively, which are both isomorphic to $T_{0}$. Take an isomorphic mapping of $T_{0}^{\prime}$ onto $T_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and identify each vertex of $T_{0}^{\prime}$ with its image in this mapping. The graph $T$ obtained in this way is evidently a tree with $n+k$ vertices and it contains $T_{1}, T_{2}$ as subtrees.

Similarly as in [1] we may consider a graph $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ whose vertex set is $\mathscr{T}_{n}$ and in which two vertices $\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}$ are adjacent if and only if $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)=1$.

Theorem 3. The distance of any two vertices $\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}$ of $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ is equal to $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}$ be two vertices of $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ and let $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)=k$. Then there exists a tree $T$ with $n+k$ vertices which contains a subtree $T_{1} \in \mathfrak{I}_{1}$ and a subtree $T_{2} \in \mathfrak{I}_{2}$. In $T$ exactly $n-k$ vertices are common to $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ (see Theorem 2). Further, there are $k$ vertices of $T_{1}$ not belonging to $T_{2}$ and $k$ vertices of $T_{2}$ not belonging to $T_{1}$. The vertices of $T_{1}$ not belonging to $T_{2}$ will be denoted by $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}$ in such a way that each $u_{i}$ is adjacent either to a common vertex of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, or to a vertex $u_{j}$ with $j<i$; this can be easily done. The vertices of $T_{2}$ not belonging to $T_{2}$ will be denoted by $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ in such a way that each $v_{i}$ is adjacent either to a common vertex of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, or to a vertex $v_{j}$ with $j>i$. Then for each $j=1, \ldots, k$, the graph $S_{i}$ obtained from $T_{2}$ by deletung the vertices $u_{i}$ for $i \leqq j$ and adding the vertices $v_{i}$ for $i \leqq j$ together with the edges joining them with each other and with the common vertices of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ in $T$, is a tree. Evidently $S_{k}=T_{1}, \delta_{T}\left(T_{2}, S_{1}\right)=1, \delta_{T}\left(S_{i}, S_{i+1}\right)=$ $=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$. The vertices $T_{2}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}=T_{1}$ (here we speak about trees as vertices of $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ instead of classes containing them; we do this for the sake of simplicity) form a path of the length $k$ in $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ and thus the distance of $\mathfrak{I}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{I}_{2}$ in $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ is at most $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)$. Now suppose that the distance between $\mathfrak{I}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{I}_{2}$ in $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ is $l$. There exists a path of the length $l$ in $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ consisting of the vertices $T_{1}=S_{0}^{\prime}, S_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, S_{k}^{\prime}=$ $=T_{2}$. We have $\delta_{T}\left(S_{i}^{\prime}, S_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)=1$ for $i=0, \ldots, k-1$. Let $S_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ be a tree with $n+1$ vertices which contains a subtree isomorphic to $S_{i}^{\prime}$ and a subtree isomorphic to $S_{i+1}^{\prime}$. For each $i=0, \ldots, k-2$ we choose an isomorphism of the subtree of $S_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ isomorphic to $S_{i+1}^{\prime}$ onto the subtree of $S_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ isomorphic to $S_{i+1}^{\prime}$ and identify each vertex of the domain of this mapping with its image. Then we obtain a tree with $n+l$ vertices which contains a subtree from $\mathfrak{I}_{1}$ and a subtree from $\mathfrak{I}_{2}$. Thus the distance between $\mathfrak{I}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{I}_{2}$ in $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ is at least $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)$; together with the previous result this yields that this distance is equal to $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)$.

A snake is a tree consisting of one path. Its length is the length of this path.

Theorem 4. The diameter of the graph $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ is $n-3$. There exists exactly one pair of vertices of $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ whose distance is $n-3$.

Proof. As $n \geqq 3$, each tree from $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ contains a subtree which is a snake of the length 2 ; it has three vertices. If $\mathfrak{I}_{1} \in \mathscr{T}_{n}, \mathfrak{I}_{2} \in \mathscr{T}_{n}, T_{1} \in \mathfrak{I}_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathfrak{I}_{2}$, then according to Theorem 2 there exists a tree with $2 n-3$ vertices which contains a subtree isomorphic to $T_{1}$ and a subtree isomorphic to $T_{2}$. Thus $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right) \leqq n-3$ for any two vertices $\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}$ of $\mathscr{G}_{n}$. Now let $S_{1}$ be the snake of the length $n-1$ and let $S_{2}$ be a star with $n-1$ edges. Any subtree of $S_{1}$ (or $S_{2}$ ) with more than three vertices is a snake (or a star, respectively) with more than two edges. Therefore (ii) from Theorem 2 for $k \leqq n-3$ does not hold, thus (i) does not hold, either, and the isomorphism classes containing $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ have the distance exactly $n-3$. Any tree with $n$ vertices which is neither a snake nor a star contains a snake with four vertices and a star with four vertices as subtrees; hence the distance of its isomorphism class from any other isomorphism class from $\mathscr{T}_{n}$ is at most $n-4$.

For every positive integer $k \geqq 3$ we shall define the tree $T(k)$. First we define the graph $T_{0}(k)$. The vertex set of $T_{0}(k)$ consists of all vectors of the dimensions $0,1, \ldots$ $\ldots,] k / 2[-1$ (the symbol $] x[$ denotes the least integer greater than or equal to $x$ ) whose coordinates are the numbers from the set $\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$. Two vectors $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}$ are adjacent if and only if one of them is obtained from the other by adding one coordinate. If $k$ is odd, we take two disjoint copies of $T_{0}(k)$ and join the vertices corresponding to the zero vector in both of them. If $k$ is even, we take a new vertex $a$ and $k$ pairwise disjoint copies of $T_{0}(k)$ and join $a$ with the vertices corresponding to the zero vector in all of them. The tree thus obtained will be denoted by $T(k)$.

Lemma 1. The tree $T(k)$ has the maximal number of vertices among all trees with the diameter at most $k$ and the maximal degree at most $k$.

Proof. Let $T$ be a tree with the diameter $k$ and the maximal degree $k$. If $k$ is even, then $T$ has one centre $c$ and the distance of each vertex of $T$ from $c$ is at most $k / 2$. As the maximal degree of $T$ is $k$, for each $i=1, \ldots, k / 2$ there are at most $k(k-1)^{i-1}$ vertices of $T$ whose distance from $c$ is $i$. Thus $T$ has at most $1+k \sum_{i=0}^{k / 2-1}(k-1)^{i-1}$ vertices and this is the number of vertices of $T(k)$. The proof for $k$ odd is analogous.

By $\tau(k)$ we denote the number of vertices of $T(k)$ for each $k \geqq 3$. Evidently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau(k)=1+k \sum_{i=0}^{k / 2-1}(k-1)^{i-1} \text { for } k \text { even }, \\
& \tau(k)=2 \sum_{i=0}^{k / 2-1}(k-1)^{i-1} \text { for } k \text { odd } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, for $n \geqq 6$ we denote

$$
\sigma(n)=\max \{k \in N \mid \tau(k) \leqq n\},
$$

where $N$ denotes the set of all positive integers.

Theorem 5. Let $\varrho$ be the radius of $\mathscr{G}_{n}$. Then

$$
\varrho \leqq n-\sigma(n)-1
$$

Proof. Let $k=\sigma(n)$ and construct the tree $C$. If $\tau(k)=n$, then $C \cong T(k)$. If $\tau(k)<n$, then the tree $C$ is an arbitrary tree with $n$ vertices containing $T(k)$ as a subtree. Let $T$ be an arbitrary tree with $n$ vertices. If the diameter of $T$ is greater than $k$, then both $T$ and $C$ contain a snake with $k+1$ vertices as a subtree. If $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{I}$ ate isomorphism classes containing $C$ and $T$, respectively, then $\delta_{T}(\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{I}) \leqq$ $\leqq n-k-1$. If the diameter of $T$ is less than $k$, then (as it has $n \geqq \tau(k)$ vertices) by Lemma 1 its maximal degree must be greater than $k$. Then both $C$ and $T$ contain a star with $k+1$ vertices as a subtree and again $\delta_{T}(\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{z}) \leqq n-k-1$. The distance of $\mathbb{C}$ from the isomorphism class containing a snake and from one containing a star is evidently exactly $n-k-1$. Thus the radius of $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ is at most $n-k-1=$ $=n-\sigma(n)-1$.

Conjecture 1. The radius of $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ is equal to $n-\sigma(n)-1$.
In the sequel we shall study caterpillars. A caterpillar is a tree with the property that after deleting all of its terminal vertices (vertices of degree 1) a snake is obtained (a graph consisting of one vertex is also considered a snake). The snake just mentioned is called the body of the caterpillar.

Theorem 6. Let $\mathfrak{I}_{1} \in \mathfrak{I}_{n}, \mathfrak{I}_{2} \in \mathfrak{I}_{n}, T_{1} \in \mathfrak{I}_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathfrak{I}_{2}$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be caterpillars and let $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)=k$. Then there exists a caterpillar $T$ with $n+k$ vertices which contains a subtree isomorphic to $T_{1}$ and a subtree isomorphic to $T_{2}$.

Proof. As $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)=k$, according to Theorem 2 there exists a tree $T_{0}$ with $n-k$ vertices such that both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ contain subtrees isomorphic to $T_{0}$. We have $n-k \geqq 3$, therefore $T_{0}$ has at least two edges. As it is a subtree of a caterpillar, it is a caterpillar. Let $B\left(T_{1}\right), B\left(T_{2}\right), B\left(T_{0}\right)$ be the bodies of the caterpillars $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{0}$, respectively. Let $T$ be the tree constructed as in the proof of Theorem 2. If $T$ is not a caterpillar, then there exists an edge $e_{1}$ of $B\left(T_{1}\right)$ not belonging to $B\left(T_{2}\right)$ and an edge $e_{2}$ of $B\left(T_{2}\right)$ not belonging to $B\left(T_{1}\right)$, such that they both are incident with a vertex $v_{0}$ of $B\left(T_{0}\right)$. Let $v_{1}$ (or $v_{2}$ ) be the end vertex of $e_{1}$ (or of $e_{2}$, respectively) distinct from $v_{0}$. By identifying the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}$ in $T$ a tree with $n+k-1$ vertices is obtained which contains both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ as subtrees; this is a contradiction with the assumption that $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)=k$. Thus $T$ is a caterpillar, which was to be proved.

Corollary. The set of all isomorphism classes of caterpillars with $n$ vertices induces a subgraph $\widetilde{\mathscr{G}}_{n}$ of $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ with the property that the distance in $\widetilde{\mathscr{G}}_{n}$ is the same as in $\mathscr{G}_{n}$. The diameter of $\widetilde{\mathscr{G}}_{n}$ is $n-3$.

Now for every positive integer $k$ we construct a caterpillar $\widetilde{T}(k)$. The body of $\widetilde{T}(k)$ is a snake of the length $k-2$. The degree of any vertex of this body in $\widetilde{T}(k)$ is $k$. Evidently the number of vertices of $\widetilde{T}(k)$ is $k^{2}-2 k+3$.

Lemma 2. The caterpillar $\widetilde{T}(k)$ has the maximal number of vertices among all caterpillars with the diameter at most $k$ and the maximal degree at most $k$.

Proof. Evidently the diameter of a caterpillar is the length of its body plus two. This implies the assertion.

Theorem 7. Let $\varrho$ 列 the radius of $\widetilde{\mathscr{G}}_{n}$. Then

$$
\tilde{\varrho} \leqq n-\tilde{\sigma}(n)-1,
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\sigma}(n)=\max \left\{k \in N \mid k^{2}-2 k+3 \leqq n\right\} .
$$

Proof is analogous to that of Theorem 5.
Conjecture 2. The radius of $\mathscr{G}_{n}$ is equal to $n-\tilde{\sigma}(n)-1$.
In the end we shall compare the distance $\delta_{T}$ with the distance introduced in [1] on the set of all isomorphism classes of undirected $g_{1}$ aphs with $n$ vertices. The distance $\delta\left(\mathscr{W}_{1}, \mathfrak{G}_{2}\right)$ of two such classes was defined as the least number $k$ such that there exists a graph with $n+k$ vertices which contains an induced subgraph belonging to $\mathfrak{W}_{1}$ and an induced subgraph belonging to $\mathfrak{G}_{2}$.

Theorem 8. For two elements $\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}$ of $\mathscr{T}_{n}$ for $n \geqq 7$ the distances $\delta_{T}\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)$, $\delta\left(\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathfrak{I}_{2}\right)$ are different in general.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{1}$ (or $\mathfrak{S}_{1}$ ) be the isomorphism class containing a snake (or a star, respectively) with $n$ vertices. We know that $\delta_{T}\left(\Im_{1}, \Im_{2}\right)=n-3$. Now let $S_{1} \in \Xi_{1}$, $S_{2} \in \mathbb{S}_{2}$. In $S_{1}$ take an independent set with the maximal number of elements; it has ]n/2[ vertices. Identify each vertex of this set with one terminal vertex of $S_{2}$. We obtain a graph with [3n/2] vertices which contains $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ as induced subgraphs. Thus

$$
\delta\left(\Im_{1}, \Im_{2}\right) \leqq[3 n / 2]-n=[n / 2]<n-3=\delta_{T}\left(\Im_{1}, \Im_{2}\right)
$$

## Reference

[1] Zelinka, B.: On a certain distance between isomorphism classes of graphs. Časop. pěst. mat. 100 (1975), 371-373.

Author's addres: 46001 Liberec, Felberova 2, ČSSR (katedra matematiky VŠST).

