

Gary D. Jones

Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a fourth order linear differential equation

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 38 (1988), No. 4, 578–584

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102253>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF A FOURTH ORDER
LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

GARY D. JONES, Murray

(Received April 9, 1986)

1. Introduction. The asymptotic behavior of solutions of the second order differential equation

$$(1) \quad y'' + p(t)y = 0$$

assuming either

$$(2) \quad p \in C^1[a, \infty), \quad p'(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) = \infty$$

or

$$(3) \quad p \in C^1[a, \infty), \quad p'(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) = 0$$

has been widely studied. (Reference [2] gives a history of the study of (1) with condition (2)). It is known, for example, that assuming condition (2), (1) has at least one non-trivial solution which tends to zero as t tends to infinity [3]. It need not be the case, however, that all solutions of (1) tend to zero [3]. Similarly, assuming condition (3), (1) has at least one non-trivial solution y such that $\limsup |y(t)| = \infty$. Again, however, it need not be the case that $\limsup |y(t)| = \infty$ for every non-trivial solution of (1).

We call a non-trivial solution $y(t)$ of the fourth order differential equation

$$(4_i) \quad y^{IV} + (-1)^i p(t)y = 0 \quad i = 1, 2$$

oscillatory if the set of zeros of $y(t)$ is not bounded above.

Assuming condition (2), Hastings and Lazer [1] show that unlike (1), every oscillatory solution of (4₁) tends to zero.

The purpose of this note is to study asymptotic behavior of solutions of (4₁) under condition (3) and (4₂) under conditions (2) and (3). In each case we show that stronger conclusions can be made for (4_i) than for (1).

2. Preliminary results. In this section we will give some simple results for (4_i) $i = 1, 2$ that will be used to prove our main theorems.

We define, for $y \in C^3[a, \infty)$ and $i = 1, 2$

$$(5_i) \quad G_i[y(t)] \equiv (y'''(t))^2 / (-1)^{i+1} p(t) - 2y(t)y''(t) + y'^2(t),$$

$$(6) \quad H_i[y(t)] \equiv (-1)^{i+1} p(t) y^2(t) - 2 y'(t) y'''(t) + y''^2(t),$$

and

$$(7) \quad F[y(t)] \equiv y'(t) y''(t) - y(t) y'''(t).$$

Lemma. Let $y_i(t)$ be a solution of (4_i) for $i = 1, 2$.

a) Assuming (3)

1. $G_1[y_1(t)]$ is increasing and $H_1[y_1(t)]$ is decreasing, while
2. $G_2[y_2(t)]$ is decreasing and $H_2[y_2(t)]$ is increasing.

b) Assuming (2), $G_2[y_2(t)]$ is increasing, $H_2[y_2(t)]$ is decreasing and $F[y_2(t)]$ is increasing.

Proof. The proof of each statement of the Lemma follows from the facts that for $i = 1, 2$

$$(8_i) \quad G_i[y_i(t)] = (-1)^i p'(t) (y_i'''(t)/p(t))^2,$$

$$(9_i) \quad H_i[y_i(t)] = (-1)^{i+1} p'(t) y_i^2(t)$$

and

$$(10) \quad F[y_2(t)] = (y_2''(t))^2 + p(t) y_2^2(t).$$

3. In this section we consider (4₁) assuming (3).

Theorem 1. If $p \in C^1[a, \infty)$, $p'(t) \leq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) = 0$, then every oscillatory solution of $y^{IV} - p(t) y = 0$ is unbounded.

Proof. Suppose $y(t)$ is an oscillatory solution of (4₁) that is bounded. Let $\{b_n\}$ be the divergent sequence along which y'' assumes its relative maximum or relative minimum values. Then by the Lemma and (6₁)

$$(11) \quad (y''(b_n))^2 \leq p(b_n) y^2(b_n) + (y'''(b_n))^2 = H[y(b_n)] \leq H[y(a)].$$

Hence y'' is bounded. Let $\{c_n\}$ be the divergent sequence along which y''' assumes its relative maximum or a relative minimum values. If n is such that $b_n > c_1$, then by (5₁) and the Lemma

$$(12) \quad 0 \leq G_1[y(c_1)] < G_1[y(b_n)] = -2 y(b_n) y''(b_n) + (y'(b_n))^2 \leq \\ \leq |2 y(b_n) y''(b_n)| + (y'(b_n))^2.$$

Assuming that y' is bounded, then from the monotone property of $G[y(t)]$ we conclude that $G[y(t)]$ is also bounded. Now

$$(y'''(c_n))^2/p(c_n) \leq (y'''(c_n))^2/p(c_n) + (y'(c_n))^2 = G_1[y(c_n)].$$

Since $G[y(t)]$ is bounded and

$$(13) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) = 0$$

we conclude that

$$(14) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'''(t) = 0.$$

Let $\{d_n\}$ be the divergent sequence along which y' assumes its relative maximum or

relative minimum values. Then

$$H_1[y(d_n)] = p(d_n) y^2(d_n) - 2 y'(d_n) y'''(d_n).$$

From the monotone property of $H_1[y(t)]$, the assumptions that y and y' are bounded, (13) and (14), we conclude

$$(15) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H[y(t)] = 0.$$

It now follows from (11) and (15) that

$$(16) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) = 0.$$

If n is large enough so that $b_n > c_2$ by the Lemma we have

$$(17) \quad 0 \leq G_1[y(c_1)] < G_1[y(c_2)] < G_1[y(b_n)] = -2 y(b_n) y''(b_n) + y'^2(b_n).$$

Hence from (17) and (16) we have

$$(18) \quad \limsup |y'(t)| = A \neq 0.$$

Suppose, without loss of generality, that $\limsup y'(t) = A$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a divergent sequence such that $y'(x_n) = A/2$ and $\{t_n\}$ be a divergent sequence such that on $[t_n, x_n]$, $y'(x) \geq A/4$ with $y'(t_n) = A/4$. Then by the Mean Value Theorem there is an $s_n \in [t_n, x_n]$ so that

$$(19) \quad (A/4)/(x_n - t_n) = [y'(x_n) - y'(t_n)]/(x_n - t_n) = y''(s_n).$$

Because of (16), it follows from (19) that

$$(20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - t_n) = \infty.$$

Hence, since

$$y(x_n) - y(t_n) = \int_{t_n}^{x_n} y'(t) dt \geq (A/4)(x_n - t_n)$$

either y is not bounded or y' is not bounded. Assume y' is not bounded and without loss of generality that $\limsup y' = \infty$. Let $\{s_n\}$ be a divergent sequence on which y' assumes a relative maximum and where

$$(21) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y'(s_n) = \infty$$

and $y'(s_n) > 1$ for all n . Let $\{t_n\}$ be a divergent sequence so that $y'(t_n) = 1$, $t_n < s_n$ and $y'(t) \geq 1$ for $t \in [t_n, s_n]$. By (11) y'' is bounded. Let $B > 0$ be such that $|y''(t)| < B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |y'(s_n) - 1| &= |y'(s_n) - y'(t_n)| = \\ &= \left| \int_{t_n}^{s_n} y''(t) dt \right| \leq \int_{t_n}^{s_n} |y''(t)| dt \leq B(s_n - t_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (21)

$$(22) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s_n - t_n) = \infty.$$

Hence

$$|y(s_n) - y(t_n)| = \left| \int_{t_n}^{s_n} y'(t) dt \right| \geq \int_{t_n}^{s_n} dt = s_n - t_n.$$

As a consequence of (22), y is not bounded.

4. It is known that either all or none of the solutions of (4₂) oscillate. Assuming (2) or (3) we get information about pairs of oscillatory solutions.

Theorem 2. *If $p \in C^1[a, \infty)$, $p'(t) \leq 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) = 0$ and $y^{IV} + py = 0$ is oscillatory, then there is a pair of linearly independent solutions that are unbounded.*

Proof. Let y be a solution of (4₂) such that $y(a) = y'(a) = 0$. From the Lemma, (5₂) and (6₂) $H_2[y(t)]$ is positive and $G_2[y(t)]$ is negative. If y is bounded then

$$(23) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) y^2(t) = 0.$$

Integrating (9₂) shows $H_2[y(t)]$ to be bounded. As in Theorem 1, we let $\{b_n\}$ be the divergent sequence along which y'' assumes its relative maximum or relative minimum values. Then

$$(24) \quad H_2[y(b_n)] = -p(b_n) y^2(b_n) + y''^2(b_n).$$

Since $H_2[y(t)]$ is bounded and (23),

$$(25) \quad 0 < \limsup |y''| = A < \infty.$$

Letting $\{d_n\}$ be the divergent sequence along which y' assumes its relative maximum or relative minimum values, then

$$(26) \quad H_2[y(d_n)] = -p(d_n) y^2(d_n) - 2 y'(d_n) y'''(d_n).$$

Again using the boundedness of $H_2[y(t)]$ and (23),

$$(27) \quad 0 < \limsup |y' y'''| = B < \infty.$$

Suppose y''' does not go to zero. If

$$(28) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} G_2[y(t)] = -\infty$$

then $G_2[y(b_n)] = -2 y(b_n) y''(b_n) + y'^2(b_n)$ yields

$$(29) \quad \limsup |y y''| = \infty.$$

Since we are assuming y is bounded (29) implies y'' is not bounded contrary to (25).

Let $\{c_n\}$ be the divergent sequence along which y''' assumes its relative maximum or relative minimum values. Then

$$(30) \quad G_2[y(c_n)] = y'''^2(c_n)/(-p(c_n)) + y'^2(c_n).$$

Since we are assuming y''' does not go zero, $\limsup y'''^2(c_n)/p(c_n) = \infty$. Thus if $G_2[y(t)]$ is bounded (30) implies $\limsup y'^2(c_n) = \infty$. Hence

$$(31) \quad \limsup |y'(c_n) y'''(c_n)| = \infty.$$

Now

$$(32) \quad H_2[y(c_n)] = -2 y'(c_n) y'''(c_n) + y''^2(c_n).$$

Thus by (31) and (25), $H_2[y(c_n)]$ is unbounded which is a contradiction. Hence

either

$$(33) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'''(t) = 0$$

or y is unbounded. Assuming (33), since $H_2[y(t)]$ is increasing, it follows from (26) and (23) that $-2 y'(d_n) y'''(d_n)$ is bounded away from zero. Hence from (33)

$$(34) \quad \limsup |y'(t)| = +\infty.$$

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a divergent sequence such that

$$(35) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y'(a_n) = +\infty.$$

By (25) y'' is bounded. Assume $|y''(t)| < C$. Then for $x \in [a_n, a_n + 1]$

$$y'(x) - y'(a_n) = \int_{a_n}^x y''(t) dt > -C$$

or

$$(36) \quad y'(x) > y'(a_n) - C.$$

By The Mean Value Theorem

$$(37) \quad y(a_n + 1) - y(a_n) = y'(\varepsilon_n) \quad \text{for } a_n < \varepsilon_n < a_n + 1.$$

From (37), (36) and (35) it follows that y is not bounded. Since y_1 and y_2 satisfying $y_1(a) = y_1'(a) = y_1''(a) = 0$, $y_1'''(a) = 1$ and $y_2(a) = y_2'(a) = y_2''(a) = 0$ and $y_2'''(a) = 1$ are independent, the theorem follows.

Theorem 3. *If $p \in C[a, \infty)$, $p'(t) \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) = \infty$, then $y^{IV} + py = 0$ has a pair of oscillatory solutions that go to zero.*

Proof. We first show that the conclusion of the Theorem holds for any solution y of (4₂) for which

$$(38) \quad G_2[y(t)] < 0 \quad t \in [a, \infty),$$

$$(39) \quad H_2[y(t)] > 0 \quad t \in [a, \infty) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(40) \quad F[y(t)] < 0 \quad t \in [a, \infty).$$

Later we will show the existence of two such solutions which are linearly independent.

Suppose y is a solution of (4₂) that satisfies (38), (39) and (40). Suppose

$$(41) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) \neq 0.$$

Let $\{t_n\}$ be the divergent sequence along which y assumes its relative maximum or relative minimum values. Then from (41) follows

$$(42) \quad \limsup p(t_n) y^2(t_n) = \infty.$$

From (6₂)

$$H_2[y(t_n)] = -p(t_n) y^2(t_n) + y''^2(t_n).$$

Hence since $H_2[y(t)]$ is positive and decreasing, (42) implies

$$(43) \quad \limsup y''^2(t_n) = +\infty.$$

Hence

$$(44) \quad \limsup |y(t_n) y''(t_n)| = +\infty.$$

But

$$(45) \quad G_2[y(t_n)] = -y'''(t_n)p(t_n) - 2y(t_n)y''(t_n).$$

Since $G_2[y(t_n)]$ is negative and increasing, (44) implies

$$(46) \quad \limsup y'''(t_n)p(t_n) = \infty,$$

which in turn implies

$$(47) \quad \limsup |y'''(t_n)| = \infty.$$

But

$$(48) \quad F[y(t_n)] = -y(t_n)y'''(t_n).$$

Since $F[y(t)]$ is negative and increasing, $F[y(t_n)]$ is bounded, while (47) implies

$$(49) \quad \limsup |y(t_n)y'''(t_n)| = \infty.$$

Hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$.

To show the existence of two linearly independent solutions of (4₂) that satisfy (38), (39) and (40), we use standard compactness arguments in the following way. Let Z_i for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ be solutions of (4₂) defined by the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} Z_i^{(j)}(a) &= \delta_{ij} = 0, \quad i \neq j, \\ &= 1, \quad i = j. \end{aligned}$$

For each integer $n > a$, let $b_{0n}, b_{2n}, b_{3n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n}, c_{3n}$ be numbers such that

$$(50) \quad b_{0n}^2 + b_{2n}^2 + b_{3n}^2 = 1, \quad c_{1n}^2 + c_{2n}^2 + c_{3n}^2 = 1$$

and

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} b_{0n} Z_0^{(i)}(n) + b_{2n} Z_2^{(i)}(n) + b_{3n} Z_3^{(i)}(n) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \\ c_{1n} Z_1^{(i)}(n) + c_{2n} Z_2^{(i)}(n) + c_{3n} Z_3^{(i)}(n) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(t) &= b_{0n} Z_0(t) + b_{2n} Z_2(t) + b_{3n} Z_3(t), \\ V_n(t) &= c_{1n} Z_1(t) + c_{2n} Z_2(t) + c_{3n} Z_3(t). \end{aligned}$$

By (50), there exists a sequence of integers $\{n_j\}$ such that the sequences $\{b_{in_j}\}$ and $\{c_{in_j}\}$ converge to numbers b_i and c_i respectively. Let u and v be solutions of (4₂) defined by

$$(52) \quad \begin{aligned} u(t) &= b_0 Z_0(t) + b_2 Z_2(t) + b_3 Z_3(t) \\ v(t) &= c_1 Z_1(t) + c_2 Z_2(t) + c_3 Z_3(t). \end{aligned}$$

From (50) it follows that neither $u(t)$ nor $v(t)$ are identically zero. Clearly the sequences $\{U_{n_j}(t)\}$ and $\{V_{n_j}(t)\}$ converge uniformly on compact intervals to $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ respectively. From (50) and the monotone properties of G_2, H_2 and F it follows that

$$(53) \quad G_2[y_n(x)] \leq 0, \quad H_2[y_n(x)] \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F[y_n(x)] \leq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [a, n]$$

for $y_n = u_n$ or v_n .

Thus

$$(54) \quad G_2[y(x)] \leq 0, \quad H_2[y(x)] \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F[y(x)] \leq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [a, \infty) \\ \text{for } y = u \quad \text{or} \quad v.$$

If u and v are linearly dependent then by (52)

$$u(t) = k v(t) = a_2 z_2(t) + a_3 z_3(t).$$

In that case by the Lemma and (7) $F[u(t)] > 0$ for $t > a$ contrary to (54). Hence u and v are linearly independent.

Added in proof. M. Švec, Sur le comportement asymptotique des intégrales de l'équation différentielle $y^{(4)} + Q(x)y = 0$, Czech. Math. J. 8(83) (1958), pp. 230–245, gets the conclusion of Theorem 3 assuming only that $p(x) \geq m > 0$. The author has been able to prove a theorem with the same conclusion as Theorem 2 assuming only that $0 < p(x) < M$.

References

- [1] S. P. Hastings and A. C. Lazer: On the Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of the Differential Equation $y^{(4)} = p(t)y$, Czechoslovak Math. J., Vol. 18 (93) (1968) pp. 224–229.
- [2] J. W. Macki: Regular Growth and Zero-Tending Solutions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1032, Springer, (1983) pp. 358–374.
- [3] J. W. Macki and J. S. Muldowney: The Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions to Linear Systems of Ordinary Differential Equations, Pacific J. Math., Vol. 33 (1970) pp. 693–706.

Author's address: Murray State University, College of Science, Department of Mathematics, Murray, Ky. 42071-3306, U.S.A.