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# GLOBAL EXISTENCE FOR QUASI-LINEAR DISSIPATIVE HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS WITH LARGE DATA AND SMALL PARAMETER 

Albert J. Milani, Milwaukee
(Received December 28, 1988)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the following dissipative quasi-linear hyperbolic initial-value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon u_{t t}+u_{t}-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(\nabla u) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} u=0 \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}, u=u(x, t) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{1}, t \geqq 0, x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}, \partial_{i}=\partial / \partial x_{i}$ and $\nabla$ is the gradient with respect to the space variables only. The coefficients $a_{i j}$ are smooth symmetric, and satisfy the strong ellipticity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall p \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}, \quad \forall q \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}, \quad \sum a_{i j}(p) q^{i} q^{j} \geqq a(p)|q|^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a: \boldsymbol{R}^{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ is a continuous function, such that $a(0)>0$. We are interested to smooth solutions to $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$, corresponding to smooth initial data, and to conditions that ensure that such solutions exist globally in time. Local existence results for $\left(H_{\varepsilon}\right)$ are well known; we refer mainly to Kato's general theory of [1], in the framework of the Sobolev spaces $H^{s}=H^{s}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$, of sufficiently high order $s(s>1+n / 2)$. Such results are generally established under some smallness assumptions on the initial data; since $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is dissipative, a further smallness assumption on the data allows us to extend these local solutions to all later times (Matsumura, [2]). These smallness conditions on the data refer to their $H^{s}$ norm, so that all their derivatives are usually required to have a small $L^{2}$ norm. We have recently been interested in the question, whether such smallness requirements on the data can be replaced by a smallness condition on the parameter $\varepsilon$; in particular, making essential use of the assumption that the coefficients $a_{i j}$ do not depend on $u_{t}$ (they might, however, depend on $x, t$ and $u$ ), we have been able to prove that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is globally solvable for small $\varepsilon$ in the following circumstances:

1) a smallness condition is required on the norm of $u_{0}$ only, and not on that of $u_{1}$ ([3]);
2) if a uniformly strong ellipticity condition holds, that is if in (1) we have that $a(p) \geqq a>0$, then no smallness condition has to be required of $u_{0}$ as well, provided that the reduced parabolic problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{t}-\sum a_{i j}(\nabla u) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} v=0,  \tag{P}\\
& v(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

has a global smooth solution ([4]);
3) for the equation in divergence form and in one space variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon u_{t t}+u_{t}-\sigma\left(u_{x}\right)_{x}=0, \quad \sigma^{\prime}(0)>0 \tag{W}
\end{equation*}
$$

a smallness condition is required only on the $L^{\infty}$ norm of $u_{0}$ and $u_{0 x}$, with no restriction on the higher order derivatives ([5]).
We remark that this last result is somehow to be expected: in fact, since $\sigma^{\prime}(0)>0$, $(\mathrm{W})$ remains hyperbolic for as long as $\left|u_{0 x}\right|$ is close to 0 . The purpose of this paper is to extend this last result to the higher dimensional case $n>1$; we shall not require $\left(H_{\varepsilon}\right)$ to be in divergence form, although we do still require that the $a_{i j}$ do not depend on $u_{t}$.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND STATEMENTS OF RESULTS

We refer to Kato's classical framework of Sobolev spaces $\boldsymbol{H}^{s}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$, with (integer) $s>(1+n) / 2$. For $m \in \boldsymbol{N}$ we set $H^{m}=H^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$, and note $\|\cdot\|_{m}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{m}$ its norm and scalar product (we shall drop the index $m=0$ ); we note $|\cdot|$ the $L^{\infty}$ norm, and if $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ is a multiindex, we write $D^{\alpha}=\partial^{|\alpha|} \mid \partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$. As in [3], we assume that the coefficients $a_{i j}$ satisfy (1) and some growth conditions near the origin, of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D^{\alpha} a_{i j}(p)\right| \leqq h_{\alpha}(|p|) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{\alpha}: \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$is a continuous non decreasing function (we shall actually denote by $h$ any such generic function, not necessarily the same, just like $c$ will denote any generic positive constant, not depending on $\varepsilon$ or on $t$ ).

We set $s_{0}=E(n / 2)+1, s_{1}=s_{0}+1$ and, for $\left.\left.s \geqq s_{1}, T \in\right] 0,+\infty\right], r \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X(T) & =C\left([0, T] ; H^{s+1}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{s}\right), \\
B(r) & =\left\{u \in H^{s+1} \mid\|u\|_{s_{1}} \leqq r\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the function $a$ is continuous and $a(0)>0$, there exist two constants $v_{0}>0$ and $v \in] 0, a(0)]$ such that

$$
a(p) \geqq v>0 \quad \text { if } \quad|p| \leqq v_{0}:
$$

by Sobolev's imbedding theorems, $H^{s_{0}} \mathrm{Q} L^{\infty}$ because $2 s_{0}>n$, so that a third constant $\delta_{0}>0$ exists such that if $u \in H^{s_{1}}$, then

$$
|\nabla u| \leqq v \quad \text { if } \quad\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}} \leqq \delta_{0}
$$

In particular, this means that if for $w \in B\left(\delta_{0}\right)$ and $m \in N$ we define

$$
Q_{m}(w ; \nabla u)=\sum_{|\alpha| \leqq m} \sum_{i, j}\left(a_{i j}(\nabla w) \partial_{i} D^{\alpha} u, \partial_{j} D^{\alpha} u\right),
$$

$Q_{m}(w ; \cdot)$ is a quadratic form on $H^{m+1}$ and actually, because of (1) and (2), an equivalent seminorm: in fact we have, $\forall u \in H^{m+1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\|\nabla u\|_{m}^{2} \leqq Q_{m}(w ; \nabla u) \leqq h\left(\delta_{0}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{m}^{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the paper we shall always assume that $u_{0} \in H^{s+1}$ and $u_{1} \in H^{s}$, with $s \geqq s_{1}$, and that $\left.\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0,1\right]$. Given $m \in N$ and $u \in H^{s+1}$, we abbreviate $Q_{m}(\nabla u)$ for $Q_{m}(u ; \nabla u)$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{m}(u, t)=\left(s\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{m}^{2}+s\left(u, u_{t}\right)_{m}+Q_{m}(\nabla u)\right)(t)+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{2}+Q_{m}(\nabla u)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

under these conditions, the following uniformly local existence result was proved in [3].

Theorem 1: There exist $\left.\delta_{1} \in\right] 0, \delta_{0}\left[\right.$ and $\left.\left.\varepsilon_{1} \in\right] 0,1\right]$ such that if $\left\|u_{0}\right\| \leqq \delta_{1}$ and $\varepsilon \leqq \varepsilon_{1},\left(\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ has a unique local solution $u \in X(\theta)$, for some $\theta>0$ depending on $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{s+1}$ but not on $\varepsilon$. Moreover, $u$ satisfies the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in[0,0], \quad E_{s}(u, t) \leqq v \delta_{0}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $\varepsilon_{1}$ depends on $\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{s}$ and $\delta_{1}$ (and therefore on $v$ through $\delta_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ ); $\theta$ depends on $\delta_{0}$, but not on $\varepsilon$ if $\varepsilon \leqq \varepsilon_{1}$ : this is what we mean by "uniformly local". Also, (4) assures that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ remains hyperbolic in all of $[0, \theta]$ : in fact, since $\varepsilon \leqq 1$,

$$
\varepsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\varepsilon\left(u, u_{t}\right) \geqq 0,
$$

so that from (3)

$$
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{s_{0}}^{2} \leqq v^{-1} Q_{s_{0}}(\nabla u(t)) \leqq v^{-1} E_{s}(u, t) \leqq \delta_{0}^{2},
$$

and therefore $|\nabla u(t)| \leqq v_{0}$. This indicates, however, that to guarantee the local existence of solutions of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ it should not be necessary to require an a priori bound on the derivatives of $u_{0}$ of order higher than $s_{1}$ : in fact, a straightforward modification of the proof of Theorem 1, based on the use of the estimates we shall established later on in Proposition 3, would allow us to prove the following.

Theorem 2: There exist $\left.\delta_{2} \in\right] 0, \delta_{0}\left[\right.$ and $\left.\left.\varepsilon_{2} \in\right] 0,1\right]$ such that if $u_{0} \in B\left(\delta_{2}\right)$ and $\varepsilon \leqq \varepsilon_{2},\left(\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ has a unique local solution $u \in X(\theta)$, for some $\theta$ not dependent of $\varepsilon$. Moreover, given $\Delta^{2}>E_{s}(u, 0), \theta$ can be chosen so that $u$ satisfies the bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in[0, \theta], \quad E_{s_{0}}(u, t) \leqq v \delta_{0}^{2}, \quad E_{s}(u, t) \leqq \Delta^{2}! \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that here $\varepsilon_{2}$ depends on $\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{s_{0}}$ and $\delta_{2}$, and $\theta$ depends on $\delta$ and $\Delta$ but, again, not on $\varepsilon$ if $\varepsilon \leqq \varepsilon_{2}$. Also, a similar result would hold when $\varepsilon=1$, providing local existence under a smallness requirement on $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{s_{1}}$ and $\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{s_{0}}$ only, and this
would hold even if the dissipation term $u_{t}$ is missing; this last result, however, would not be uniform with respect to variations of $\varepsilon$.

We propose now to extend the local solutions of $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ provided by Theorem 2 to all later times. We claim

Theorem 3: There exist $\left.\left.\delta_{3} \in\right] 0, \delta_{2}\right]$ and $\left.\left.\varepsilon_{3} \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{2}\right]$ such that if $u_{0} \in B\left(\delta_{3}\right)$ and $\varepsilon \leqq \varepsilon_{3},\left(\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ has a unique solution $u \in X(+\infty)$, satisfying the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \geqq 0, \quad\|u(t)\|_{s_{1}} \leqq \delta_{3} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists $M^{2}>E_{s}(u, 0)$, depending on $\delta_{3}$ but not on $\varepsilon$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \geqq 0, \quad E_{s}(u, t) \leqq M^{2} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider this result a generalization of the one given in [5] for (W): in fact, if $n=1$ then $s_{1}=2$ and, by Sobolev's imbedding theorem, $H^{s_{1}}=H^{2} G W^{1, \infty}$, so that

$$
\left|u_{0}\right|+\left|u_{0 x}\right| \leqq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{s_{1}} .
$$

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

We shall establish the global existence Theorem 3 by proving that solutions of $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ in $X(T)$ can be bounded a priori independently of $T$ if $\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{s_{0}}$ and $\varepsilon$ are sufficiently small. For $m \geqq s_{1}$ and $u \in X(T), T>0$ given, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{m}(u, t)=\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{m}^{2}+\varepsilon\left(u, u_{t}\right)_{m}+\right. \\
& \left.+\varepsilon Q_{m}(\nabla u)\right)(t)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\varepsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{2}+Q_{m}(\nabla u)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and claim.
Proposition 1: Assume $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ has a solution $u \in X(T)$ such that $\forall t \in[0, T], N_{s_{1}}(u, t) \leqq$ $\leqq \omega^{2}$, for some $T>0$ and $\omega>0$. There exists $\omega_{0}>0$, independent of $T$ and $\varepsilon$, such that if $\omega \leqq \omega_{0}$ and $s_{1} \leqq m \leqq s$, u satisfies the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in[0, T], \quad N_{m}(u, t) \leqq N_{m}(u, 0) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2: Assume $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ has a solution $u \in X(T)$, for some $T>0$, such that $\forall t \in[0, T], N_{s_{1}}(u, t) \leqq \omega_{0}^{2}$. Then if $s_{1} \leqq m \leqq s$, u satisfies the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in[0, T], \quad E_{m}(u, t) \leqq E_{m}(u, 0) \exp h\left(\omega_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{t} Q_{m}(\nabla u) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We postpone the proof of Propositions 1 and 2 to the next sections, and proceed to prove Theorem 3 assuming that these Propositions hold. We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{m}(u, 0)=\varepsilon^{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{m}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{m}^{2}+\varepsilon\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)_{m}+\varepsilon Q_{m}\left(\nabla u_{0}\right) \leqq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{m}^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small (this defines $\varepsilon_{3}$ ). Define now $\delta_{3}=\min \left(\omega_{0}, \frac{1}{2} \delta_{2}\right)$, and assume that $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{s_{1}} \leqq \delta_{3}$ : from (8) and (10) with $m=s_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{s_{1}}^{2} \leqq 2 N_{s_{1}}(u, t) \leqq 2 N_{s_{1}}(u, 0) \leqq 2\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{s_{1}}^{2} \leqq \frac{1}{2} \delta_{2}^{2}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, (6). This means that $u(t) \in B\left(\delta_{3}\right) \forall t \in[0, T]$ : in view of the local existence Theorem 2, it follows that $u$ can be extended to a global solution. To obtain the global bound (7), we see from (8) and (10) that

$$
\int_{0}^{t} Q_{m}(\nabla u) \leqq 2 N_{m}(u, t) \leqq 2 N_{m}(u, 0) \leqq 2\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{m}^{2} \leqq 4 E_{m}(u, 0)
$$

thus, (7) follows from (9) for $m=s$, with $M^{2} \equiv E_{s}(u, 0) \exp 4 h\left(\omega_{0}\right) E_{s}(u, 0)$.

## 4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

We establish estimates on $u$ which hold if $\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{s_{0}}$ is sufficiently small; our procedure is rather formal, since $u$ is in general not regular enough to allow for all the differentiations we are going to carry out. This difficulty can, however. be overcome by an appropriate regularization procedure, by means of Friedrichs' mollifiers, as shown by Matsumura in [2]. For $|\alpha| \leqq s$ we set $\varphi=D^{\alpha} u$ and derive from $\left(H_{\varepsilon}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon \varphi_{t t}+\varphi_{t}-\sum a_{i j}(\nabla u) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \varphi=G_{\alpha} \equiv  \tag{12}\\
& \equiv \sum\left\{D^{\alpha}\left[a_{i j}(\nabla u) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} u\right]-a_{i j}(\nabla u) D^{\alpha} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} u\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

(note that $G_{0}=0$ ). Multiplying this by $2 \varphi_{t}$ and $(1 / \varepsilon) \varphi$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\{\varepsilon\left\|\varphi_{t}\right\|^{2}+\left(\varphi_{t}, \varphi\right)+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\|\varphi\|^{2}+Q_{0}(u ; \nabla \varphi)\right\}+  \tag{13}\\
& +\left\|\varphi_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q_{0}(u ; \nabla \varphi)=\sum\left(\partial_{t}\left[a_{i j}(\nabla u)\right] \partial_{i} \varphi, \partial_{j} \varphi\right)+ \\
& +2\left(\left\|G_{\alpha}\right\|+\left\|\sum \partial_{j}\left[a_{i j}(\nabla u)\right] \partial_{i} \varphi\right\|\right)\left(\left\|\varphi_{t}\right\|+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\|\varphi\|_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We can estimate the right side of (13) by means of the following
Proposition 3: Let $u, w \in H^{s+1}$. For $s_{1} \leqq m \leqq s$ and $0<|\alpha| \leqq m$, we have:
(a) $\sum\left\|\partial_{j}\left[a_{i j}(\nabla w)\right] \partial_{i} D^{\alpha} u\right\| \leqq h\left(\|\nabla w\|_{s_{0}}\right)\|\nabla w\|_{s_{1}}\left\|\nabla D^{\alpha} u\right\|$,
(b) $\sum\left|\left(\partial_{t}\left[a_{i j}(\nabla w)\right] \partial_{i} D^{\alpha} u, \partial_{j} D^{\alpha} u\right)\right| \leqq h\left(\|\nabla w\|_{s_{0}}\right)\left\|w_{t}\right\|_{s_{1}}\left\|\nabla D^{\alpha} u\right\|^{2}$,
(c) $\left\|G_{\alpha}\right\| \leqq h\left(\|\nabla w\|_{s_{0}}\right)\left(\|\nabla w\|_{m}\|\nabla u\|_{|x|}\right)$.

Proof. The first two estimates are immediate: we just have to recall (2) and that $H^{s_{0}} \mathrm{G} L^{\infty}$. The proof of (c) is based on the following well known product estimates for functions in Sobolev spaces: "if $f \in H^{m}, g \in H^{r}$ and $p \leqq m, p \leqq r$ and $p<$ $<m+r-n / 2$, the pointwise product $f g \in H^{p}$, and $\|f \dot{g}\|_{p} \leqq\|f\|_{m}\|g\|_{r}$. Moreover, if $F$ is a smooth function and $u \in H^{m}, m \geqq s_{0}$, then $F(u) \in H^{m}$ and $\forall \alpha,|\alpha| \leqq m$ $\left\|D^{\alpha} F(u)\right\| \leqq h\left(\|u\|_{s_{0}}\right)\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|$ ". We now compute that

$$
G_{\alpha}=\sum_{i j} \sum_{|\beta|=1}^{|\alpha|} C_{\alpha, \beta} D^{\beta}\left[a_{i j}(\nabla w)\right] D^{\alpha-\beta} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} u,
$$

for suitable constants $c_{\alpha, \beta}$, so that considering $\nabla w \in H^{m}$ and $u \in H^{|\alpha|+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|D^{\beta}\left[a_{i j}(\nabla w)\right] D^{\alpha-\beta} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} u\right\| \leqq D^{\beta}\left[a_{i j}(\nabla w)\right]_{m-|\beta|}\left\|D^{\alpha-\beta+1} \nabla u\right\|_{|\beta|-1} \leqq \\
& \leqq\left\|a_{i j}(\nabla w)\right\|_{m}\|\nabla u\|_{|\alpha|} \leqq h\left(\|\nabla w\|_{s_{0}}\right)\|\nabla w\|_{m}\|\nabla u\|_{|x|},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $m \geqq|\beta| \geqq 1$ and $(m-|\beta|)+(|\beta|-1)-n / 2=m-1-n / 2 \geqq s_{1}-1-$ $-n / 2=s_{0}-n / 2>0$.
We now go back to (12): summing for $|\alpha| \leqq m, s_{1} \leqq m \leqq s$, and using (14) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\{\varepsilon\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{2}+\left(u_{t}, u\right)_{m}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\|u\|_{m}^{2}+Q_{m}(\nabla u)\right\}+  \tag{15}\\
& +\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q_{m}(\nabla u) \leqq h_{1}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{s_{1}}\|\nabla u\|_{m}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}+ \\
& +h_{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{s_{1}}\|\nabla u\|_{m}^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} h_{3}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{s_{1}}\|\nabla u\|_{m}\|\nabla u\|_{m_{-1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By interpolation inequalities (Niremberg, [6]), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\nabla u\|_{s_{1}} \leqq c\|\nabla u\|_{m}^{\beta}\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}^{1-\beta},  \tag{16}\\
& \|\nabla u\|_{m-1} \leqq c\|\nabla u\|_{m}^{1-\beta}\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}^{\beta},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta=1 /\left(m-s_{0}\right)$ (recall that $\left.m \geqq s_{0}+1=s_{1}\right)$. Thus, from (15)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} N_{m}(u, t)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} Q_{m}(\nabla u) \leqq h_{1}^{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{s_{1}}^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{m}^{2}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{2}+h_{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{s_{1}}\|\nabla u\|_{m}^{2}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{\varepsilon} h_{3}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}\|\nabla u\|_{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that by (3) if $N_{s_{1}} \leqq \omega^{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} N_{m}(u . t)+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} Q_{m}(\nabla u) \leqq h_{4}(\omega) \omega^{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q_{m}(\nabla u)+  \tag{17}\\
& +h_{5}(\omega) \omega \frac{1}{\sqrt{ } \varepsilon} Q_{m}(\nabla u)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} h_{6}(\omega) \omega Q_{m}(\nabla u) \leqq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h_{7}(\omega) \omega Q_{m}(\nabla u) .
\end{align*}
$$

Define now $\omega_{0}>0$ by $2 h_{7}\left(\omega_{0}\right) \omega_{0}=1$ : then (8) follows from (17) if $\omega \leqq \omega_{0}$, since then

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} N_{m}(u, t) \leqq 0
$$

(note that $\omega_{0}$ depends only on the coefficients $a_{i f}$ ).

We derive a similar set of estimates from (12): multiplying by $2 \varphi_{t}$ and $\varphi$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\{\varepsilon\left\|\varphi_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon\left(\varphi_{t}, \varphi\right)+\frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^{2}+Q_{0}(u ; \nabla \varphi)\right\}+\left\|\varphi_{t}\right\|^{2}+Q_{0}(u ; \nabla \varphi) \leqq  \tag{18}\\
& \leqq 2\left(\left\|G_{\alpha}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\sum \partial_{j}\left[a_{i j}(\nabla u)\right] \partial_{i} \varphi\right\|\right)\left(\left\|\varphi_{t}\right\|+\|\varphi\|\right)+ \\
& +\sum\left(\partial_{t}\left[a_{i j}(\nabla u)\right] \partial_{i} \varphi, \partial_{j} \varphi\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We estimate the right side of (18) with Proposition 3 , and sum for $|\alpha| \leqq m, s_{1} \leqq$ $\leqq m \leqq s$ : using the interpolation inequalities (16) again, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} E_{m}(u, t)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{m}(\nabla u) \leqq h_{1}^{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{s_{1}}^{2}\|\nabla u\|_{m}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{2}+  \tag{19}\\
& +h_{2}^{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{m}^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{s_{1}}^{2}+h_{3}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{s_{0}}\|\nabla u\|_{m}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

As in (11), we have by Proposition 1

$$
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{s_{0}}^{2} \leqq\|u(t)\|_{s_{1}}^{2} \leqq 4 N_{s_{1}}(u, t) \leqq 4\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{s_{1}}^{2} \leqq 4 \omega_{0}^{2},
$$

so that from (19)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} E_{m}(u, t)+\frac{1}{2} Q_{m}(\nabla u) \leqq h_{8}\left(\omega_{0}\right) Q_{m}^{2}(\nabla u)+\omega_{0} h_{7}\left(\omega_{0}\right) Q_{m}(\nabla u)
$$

Now, $Q_{m}(\nabla u(t)) \leqq E_{m}(u, t)$; thus, by the choice of $\omega_{0}$,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} E_{m}(u, t) \leqq h_{8}\left(\omega_{0}\right) Q_{m}(\nabla u) E_{m}(u, t)
$$

whence (9) follows, with $h=h_{8}$, by Gronwall's inequality.
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