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## PŘEDBĚŽNÁ SDĚLENÍ

## GENERAL STRESS-STRAIN RELATIONSHIPS OF ANISOTROPIC BODIES AND THE CONCEPT OF THE TRANSFORMED STRAIN <br> [ADVANCED NOTE]

Zdeněk Sobotka
(Received July 20, 1964.)

The author presents the general stress-strain relationships and the law of the deformation theory of plasticity of anisotropic bodies.

The general relation between the stress and strain components may be expressed in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}=f_{i j}\left(B_{k l m n} \varepsilon_{m n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{k l m n}$ are the components of the fourth-rank tensor of anisotropy.
Introducing the transformed strain tensor of the rank two

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k l}=B_{k l m n} \varepsilon_{m n}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we may consider the general function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}=f_{i j}\left(\beta_{k l}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of two coaxial tensors $\sigma_{i j}$ and $\beta_{k l}$.
The preceding function may be, under certain conditions, developed into absolutely convergent power series as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}=A_{0} \delta_{i j}+A_{1} \beta_{i j}+A_{2} \beta_{i \alpha} \beta_{\alpha j}+A_{3} \beta_{i \alpha} \beta_{\alpha \beta} \beta_{\beta j}+\ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$, etc. are scalar coefficients and $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta.
The left-hand side of (4) being a symmetrical tensor of the second rank, it follows from the tensorial dimensionality that the absolutely convergent series of the terms on the right-hand side is also represented by symmetrical tensors of rank two, which may be expressed according to the Cayley-Hamilton theorem in terms of three principal tensors

$$
\delta_{i j}, \quad \beta_{i j}=B_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{k l}, \quad \beta_{i \alpha} \beta_{\alpha j}=B_{i \alpha k l} B_{\alpha j m n} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n}
$$

and by functions of the three principal transformed strain invariants

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\beta} & =\beta_{i j} \delta_{i j}=B_{i j k l} \delta_{i j} \varepsilon_{k l},  \tag{5}\\
I I_{\beta} & =\beta_{i j} \beta_{i j}=B_{i j k l} B_{i j m n} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I I_{\beta}=\beta_{i j} \beta_{i \alpha} \beta_{\alpha j}=B_{i j k l} B_{i \alpha m n} B_{\alpha j p q} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n} \varepsilon_{p q} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the following constitutive stress-strain relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}=\Phi_{0} \delta_{i j}+\Phi_{1} B_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{k l}+\Phi_{2} B_{i \alpha k l} B_{\alpha j m n} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scalar functions of the invariants $\Phi_{0}, \Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}$ follow from three equations which are analoguous to those for isotropic materials

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{i j} \delta_{i j}= & 3 \Phi_{0}+\Phi_{1} B_{i j k l} \delta_{i j} \varepsilon_{k l}+\Phi_{2} B_{i j k l} B_{i j m n} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n},  \tag{9}\\
\sigma_{i j} \sigma_{i j}= & 3 \Phi_{0}^{2}+\Phi_{1}^{2} B_{i j k l} B_{i j m n} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n}+  \tag{10}\\
& +\Phi_{2}^{2} B_{i \alpha k l} B_{\alpha j m n} B_{i \beta p q} B_{\beta j r s} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n} \varepsilon_{p q} \varepsilon_{r s}+ \\
& +2 \Phi_{0} \Phi_{1} B_{i j k l} \delta_{i j} \varepsilon_{k l}+2 \Phi_{0} \Phi_{2} B_{i j k l} B_{i j m n} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n}+ \\
& +2 \Phi_{1} \Phi_{2} B_{i j k l} B_{i \alpha m n} B_{\alpha j p q} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n} \varepsilon_{p q}, \\
\sigma_{i j} \sigma_{i \alpha} \sigma_{\alpha j}= & 3 \Phi_{0}^{3}+\Phi_{1}^{3} B_{i j k l} B_{i \alpha m n} B_{\alpha j p q} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n} \varepsilon_{p q}+  \tag{11}\\
& +\Phi_{2}^{3} B_{i j k l} B_{i \alpha m n} B_{\alpha \beta p q} B_{\beta \gamma r s} B_{\gamma \delta t u} B_{\delta j a b} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n} \varepsilon_{p q} \varepsilon_{r s} \varepsilon_{t u} \varepsilon_{a b}+ \\
& +3 \Phi_{0}^{2} \Phi_{1} B_{i j l l} \delta_{i j} \varepsilon_{k l}+3 \Phi_{0} \Phi_{1}^{2} B_{i j k l} B_{i j m n} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n}+ \\
& +3 \Phi_{0}^{2} \Phi_{2} B_{i j k l} B_{i j m n} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n}+3 \Phi_{0} \Phi_{2}^{2} B_{i j k l} B_{i \alpha m n} B_{\alpha \beta p q} B_{\beta j r s} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n} \varepsilon_{p q} \varepsilon_{r s}+ \\
+ & 3 \Phi_{1}^{2} \Phi_{2} B_{i j k l} B_{i a m n} B_{\alpha \beta p q} B_{\beta j r s} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n} \varepsilon_{p q} \varepsilon_{r s}+ \\
& +3 \Phi_{1} \Phi_{2}^{2} B_{i j k l} B_{i \alpha m n} B_{\alpha \beta p q} B_{\beta \gamma r s} B_{\gamma j t u} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n} \varepsilon_{p q} \varepsilon_{r s} \varepsilon_{t u}+ \\
& +6 \Phi_{0} \Phi_{1} \Phi_{2} B_{i j k l} B_{i \alpha m n} B_{\alpha j p q} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n} \varepsilon_{p q} .
\end{align*}
$$

The third term in (8) represents the second-order effects. In the case of infinitesimal deformation, (8) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}=\Phi_{0} \delta_{i j}+\Phi_{1} B_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{k l} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The invariant functions may be expressed from

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{i j} \delta_{i j} & =3 \Phi_{0}+\Phi_{1} B_{i j k l} \delta_{i j} \varepsilon_{k l},  \tag{13}\\
\sigma_{i j} \sigma_{i j} & =3 \Phi_{0}^{2}+2 \Phi_{0} \Phi_{1} B_{i j k l} \delta_{i j} \varepsilon_{k l}+\Phi_{1}^{2} B_{i \alpha k l} B_{\alpha j m n} \varepsilon_{k l} \varepsilon_{m n} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

after introducing the relations (5) and (6) as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{0}=\frac{1}{3}\left(I_{\sigma}-I_{\beta} \sqrt{\left.\frac{3 I I_{\sigma}-I_{\sigma}^{2}}{3 I I_{\beta}-I_{\beta}^{2}}\right)}\right.  \tag{15}\\
& \Phi_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{3 I I_{\sigma}-I_{\sigma}^{2}}{3 I I_{\beta}-I_{\beta}^{2}}} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{\sigma}=\sigma_{i j} \delta_{i j}, I I_{\sigma}=\sigma_{i j} \sigma_{i j}$ are the invariants of the stress tensor.

After some rearrangements, the author has obtained the stress-strain relations of the deformation theory of plasticity for anisotropic bodies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}-\sigma \delta_{i j}=\frac{2 \sigma_{i}}{3 \beta_{i}}\left(\beta_{i j}-\beta \delta_{i j}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{11}+\sigma_{22}+\sigma_{33}\right)$ is the mean stress

$$
\sigma_{i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } 2} \sqrt{ }\left[\left(\sigma_{11}-\sigma_{22}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{22}-\sigma_{33}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{33}-\sigma_{11}\right)^{2}+6\left(\sigma_{12}^{2}+\sigma_{23}^{2}+\sigma_{31}^{2}\right)\right]
$$

the effective stress, $\beta_{i j}=B_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{k l}$ the transformed strain components,

$$
\beta_{i}=\frac{\sqrt{ } 2}{3} \sqrt{ }\left[\left(\beta_{11}-\beta_{22}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{22}-\beta_{33}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta_{33}-\beta_{11}\right)^{2}+6\left(\beta_{12}^{2}+\beta_{23}^{2}+\beta_{31}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

the transformed effective strain and $\beta=\frac{1}{3}\left(\beta_{11}+\beta_{22}+\beta_{33}\right)$ the transformed mean strain.

Then, the concept of the transformed strain makes it possible to express the stressstrain relationships for anisotropic bodies in a manner analogous to that of the isotropic case.
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