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# POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATION AND THE QUADRATURE PROBLEM OVER A SEMI-INFINITE INTERVAL 

N. K. Basu and M. C. Kundu<br>(Received April 16, 1974)

## INTRODUCTION

The polynomial approximation to a function in a semi-infinite interval is generally obtained by using Laguerre polynomials together with a suitable weight function of the form $\omega(x)=e^{-x}$. In the 1 st part of this paper the authors have obtained a similar expansion of the function $f(x)$ over $(0, \infty)$ in terms of a variant of Chebyshev polynomials of the form $f(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m} T_{m}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)$ where $T_{m}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)=\cos m \theta$ with $2 e^{-x}-1=$ $=\cos \theta$, the corresponding weight function being $\omega(x)=\sqrt{ }\left[\left(e^{-x}\right)\left(1-e^{-x}\right)^{-1}\right]$.

In the 2 nd part of this paper methods for numerical evaluation of the integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} f(x) \mathrm{d} x$ have been developed. The above integral which is usually solved by Laguerre Gauss quadrature method requires the use of Laguerre polynomials. However, in the present method the function $f(x)$ is first expressed in a series of a variant of Chebyshev polynomials as above and then the final evaluation is completed by integrating term by term. Also integrals of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2}} f(x) \mathrm{d} x$ which may be reduced to the form $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2}} f(x) \mathrm{d} x$ can be treated similarly. It may be mentioned in this connection that the method for solving the aforesaid integral over $(-\infty, \infty)$ which is evaluated with the help of Hermite polynomials is known as Hermite Gauss quadrature method. Numerical examples have been included to show the practical applications of the present method and to compare and contrast the results with the corresponding Laguerre Gauss and Hermite Gauss methods [1].

## POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATION

Let $f(x)$ be continuous over $(0, \infty)$ and let $T_{m}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)$ be a variant of Chebyshev polynomials of degree $m$, where $T_{m}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)=T_{m}\left(2 e^{-x}-1\right)=\cos m \theta$ with $2 e^{-x}-$ $-1=\cos \theta$.

Then the Chebyshev-Fourier expansion of $f(x)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m} T_{m}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right), \quad 0<x<\infty, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime indicates that the 1 st term is to be halved. The polynomials $T_{m}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)$ are orthogonal with respect to the weight function $\omega(x)=\sqrt{ }\left[\left(e^{-x}\right)\left(1-e^{-x}\right)^{-1}\right]$ and we get the following relations
(2) $\int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{ }\left[\left(e^{-x}\right)\left(1-e^{-x}\right)^{-1}\right] T_{m}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) T_{n}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) \mathrm{d} x=0$ for $m \neq n$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
=\pi & \text { for } \quad m=n=0, \\
=\frac{1}{2} \pi & \text { for } \\
m=n \neq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

The coefficients $a_{m}$ of (1) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{ }\left[\left(e^{-x}\right)\left(1-e^{-x}\right)^{-1}\right] T_{m}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) f(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that the series (1) has faster rate of convergence an approximation to $f$ may be taken as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_{k} T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients could be calculated from (3) but in practice even for quite simple functions it may be difficult to calculate exactly the integral involved. The approximate computation of the coefficients is done as follows.
The substitution $2 e^{-x}=1+\cos \theta$ in (3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos k \theta f\left(\log \sec ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \theta\right) \mathrm{d} \theta \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the mid-point quadrature formula in which the abscissae are taken mid-way between the equidistant points $\theta_{i}=\pi i /(N+1)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k} \approx \alpha_{k}=\frac{2}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \cos k \theta_{i} f\left(\log \sec ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \theta_{i}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{i}=\frac{(2 i+1) \pi}{2(N+1)}, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, N
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k} \approx \alpha_{k}=\frac{2}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right) f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again substituting this approximate expression for $a_{k}$ in (4) we get the polynomial approximation to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N} \alpha_{k} T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
f(x) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[\frac{2}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right)\right] f\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 e^{-x} T_{r}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)=T_{r-1}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)+2 T_{r}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)+T_{r-1}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{N} T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{t}}\right) T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and employing (9) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 e^{-x} \psi(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{N} 4 e^{-x} T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right)=  \tag{11}\\
=2 e^{-x}+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[T_{k+1}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)+2 T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)+T_{k-1}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)\right] T_{x}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

and
(12) $4 e^{-x_{i}} \psi(x)=2 e^{-x_{i}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[T_{k+1}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right)+2 T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right)+T_{k-1}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right)\right] T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)$.

Now subtracting (12) from (11) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=\frac{T_{N+1}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) T_{N}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right)}{4\left(e^{-x}-e^{-x_{i}}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x_{i}} T_{N+1}^{* \prime}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right) T_{N}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right)=-2(N+1) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (8), (10), (13) and (14) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[\frac{T_{N+1}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)}{\left\{1-e^{-\left(x-x_{i}\right.}\right\} T_{N+1}^{* \prime}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right)}\right] f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## QUADRATURE PROBLEM

The evaluation of the integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} f(x) \mathrm{d} x$ can be done in two ways. In the first case the function $f(x)$ is replaced by the expression contained in (4), whence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} f(x) \mathrm{d} x \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{p=0}^{[N / 2]} \frac{a_{2 p}}{1-4 p^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [ $N / 2$ ] means the largest integer contained in $N / 2$ for a given $N$, the coefficients $a_{k}$ being calculated from (7).

In the other case we replace $f(x)$ by (15) so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} f(x) \mathrm{d} x \approx \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{e^{x_{i}} T_{N+1}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \frac{T_{N+1}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right)}{e^{-x_{i}}-e^{-x}} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (10) and (13), (17) reduces to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} f(x) \mathrm{d} x \approx \sum_{i=0}^{N}\left[\frac{2}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} T_{k}^{*}\left(e^{-x}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right] f\left(x_{i}\right)=  \tag{18}\\
=\sum_{i=0}^{N} C_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i}=\frac{2}{N+1} \sum_{p=0}^{[N / 2]} \frac{T_{2 p}^{*}\left(e^{-x_{i}}\right)}{1-4 p^{2}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same result is obtained if the function $f(x)$ in the previous integral is replaced by (8).

## NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

We consider the following numerical examples:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \frac{x \mathrm{~d} x}{1-e^{-2 x}}=1.2337005 \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b)

$$
I=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \sin x \mathrm{~d} x=0.5
$$

(c)

$$
I=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2}} \cos x \mathrm{~d} x=1.3803884
$$

The numerical details of the above examples are contained in table 1,2 and 3 respectively.

## Remarks

(i) It may be seen from the above tables that to achieve the desired accuracy in some case larger number of points are required to evaluate the integral in the present method than in the corresponding Laguerre-Gauss quadrature and Hermite-Gauss quadrature methods. This is the only drawback of this method. But owing to the easy availability of a computer now-a-days such a defect should not be taken into account

Table 1

| Present Method |  | Laguerre-Gauss <br> Method |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $I$ | $N$ | $I$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1.2392836 | 3 | 1.2345388 |
| 6 | 1.2346744 | 6 | 1.2336694 |
| 8 | 1.2343299 | 8 | 1.2336918 |
| 10 | 1.2341360 | 10 | 1.2337020 |
| 11 | 1.2341142 | 11 | 1.2337010 |
| 12 | 1.2340182 | 12 | 1.2337016 |
| 13 | 1.2340000 | 13 | 1.2337000 |
| 14 | 1.2339420 | 14 | 1.2337014 |
| 15 | 1.2339276 | 15 | 1.2337008 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 2

| Present Method |  | Laguerre-Gauss <br> Method |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $I$ | $N$ | $I$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $0 \cdot 4605961$ | 3 | $0 \cdot 49603015$ |
| 4 | $0 \cdot 4757321$ | 4 | $0 \cdot 50487947$ |
| 5 | $0 \cdot 4839439$ | 5 | $0 \cdot 49890318$ |
| 7 | $0 \cdot 4951350$ | 7 | $0 \cdot 50003902$ |
| 8 | $0 \cdot 4979664$ | 8 | $0 \cdot 49998787$ |
| 9 | $0 \cdot 4996647$ | 9 | $0 \cdot 50000151$ |
| 10 | $0 \cdot 5007259$ | 10 | $0 \cdot 50000014$ |
| 11 | $0 \cdot 5013793$ | 11 | $0 \cdot 49999969$ |
| 13 | $0 \cdot 5019106$ | 13 | $0 \cdot 49999988$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 3

| Present Method |  | Hermite-Gauss <br> Method |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$ | $I$ | $N$ | $I$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $1 \cdot 3705233$ | 3 | 1.3820330 |
| 6 | 1.3820518 | 6 | 1.3803886 |
| 9 | 1.3803933 | 9 | 1.3803885 |
| 10 | 1.3803559 | 10 | 1.3803885 |
| 13 | 1.3803824 | 13 | 1.3803884 |
| 15 | 1.3803874 | 15 | 1.3803880 |
| 16 | 1.3803887 | 16 | 1.3803887 |
|  |  |  |  |

so seriously because it involves only a little more computing time in comparison to other methods. On the other hand the existing methods require the use of precomputed weight coefficients and the abscissae which should be known in advance, either in the form of a table. But no such previous data are required in the present method which is the advantage of it.
(ii) In the evaluation of the integral the formula (18) should be preferred to formula (16) because the weight coefficients $C_{i}$ in (18) can be calculated beforehand from (19) for specified values of $N$ and can be supplied in the form of a table. This saves a lot of computing time for a particular evaluation of an integral.
(iii) It appears from the above tables that although in some cases larger number of points are required in the present method as compared to Laguerre-Gauss of Laguerre-Hermite methods, as the case may be, the results obtained by the present
method deviate less from the actual values than those of other methods. Thus by taking a few more points more accuracy in the solution is achieved.
(iv) No attempts have been made to obtain the error estimates both for the polynomial approximation and the integral evaluation. But simple estimates in these cases, if necessary, can be easily obtained by the methods given in [2].
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Souhrn

## APROXIMACE POLYNOMY A PROBLÉM KVADRATURY NA POLONEKONEČNÉM INTERVALU

N. K. Basu, M. C. Kundu

V článku je vypracován způsob aproximace funkce na polonekonečném intervalu $(0, \infty)$ polynomy, při čemž je užita jistá modifikace Čebyševových polynomů. Metoda je aplikována na problém kvadratury na tomtéž intervalu.
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